

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

1

MEMORANDUM


Date: August 4, 2008  
To: Members of the Board of Supervisors  
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *AC*  
Subject: Form 700

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG -4 PM 2:13  
BY *AC*

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interests to my office.

- Max Siegel, Legislative Assistant to Supervisor Sandoval

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS  
City and County of San Francisco  
www.sfgov.org/elections



John Arntz  
Director

9/9  
C: Paul  
2

Memorandum

To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
From: John Arntz, Director of Elections  
Date: August 5, 2008  
RE: Disclaimer Requirements for Local Ballot Measures:  
Endorse, Oppose or Take No Position on a Measure  
(Municipal Elections Code (MEC) Section 500(c)(8))

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 5 AM 10: 31  
BY [Signature]

The Department of Elections must print a disclaimer in the Voter Information Pamphlet before any opponent, proponent or rebuttal argument that has been authorized by motion by the Board of Supervisors and submitted by the Board of Supervisors or by one or more Members of the Board for or against any measure (Municipal Elections Code Section 500 (c) (8)). The disclaimer indicates which Supervisors endorse the measure, oppose the measure, or take no position on the measure.

Each Supervisor must notify the Department of Elections in writing of his or her position on each measure for which the Board or a Member or Members authorized by motion will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument. For the November 4, 2008 election, the notification deadline is 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, August 14. **Please understand that, if a Supervisor has not submitted his or her positions by this deadline, the Department of Elections will be required to print that the Supervisor takes no position on each measure.** The Department has no discretion in this matter.

Once the motion authorizing submissions of arguments has been adopted, we will send a form that may be used to indicate that the Supervisor wishes to endorse, oppose or take no position on each measure for which argument submissions have been authorized. The form will be provided for convenience; written positions on the proposed measures may be submitted in another format.

If you have any questions, please contact Barbara Carr at 415-554-6105.

J.P.A.

2

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG -5 AM 11:19

BY SW

Gavin Newsom | Mayor  
Rev. Dr. James McCray Jr. | Chairman  
Tom Nolan | Vice-Chairman  
Cameron Beach | Director  
Shirley Breyer Black | Director  
Malcolm Heinicke | Director  
Jerry Lee | Director  
Bruce Oka | Director  
Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

July 28, 2008

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

3

RE: 20080708-001

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

This is in response to Supervisor Gerardo Sandoval's July 8, 2008 inquiry requesting the feasibility of establishing an ALL-WAY STOP at the intersection of Ottawa Avenue at Ellington Avenue.

Based on our review we do not recommend additional STOP signs at this intersection. A review of collision information indicates that this intersection has been operating safely, with no reported collisions over the last five years according to the San Francisco Police Department reports. The STOP sign arrangement favoring Ottawa Avenue is appropriate given the higher volumes of traffic on this street compared to Ellington Avenue. At the same time, there are sufficient gaps in Ottawa Avenue traffic that pedestrians and vehicles can use to cross the street.

If you have any questions, please contact Cesario Agudelo of my staff at 701-4596.

Sincerely,

Bond M. Yee  
Director, Parking and Traffic

c: The Honorable Gerardo Sandoval, Member, Board of Supervisors  
Janet Martinsen, Municipal Transportation Agency

BMV:TF:CA  
081380

3

9/9

File # 08520  
C: Land Use  
members

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 26 AM 10:41  
4

August 20, 2008

Land Use & Economic Development Committee  
Board of Supervisors Room 224  
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place  
SF CA 94109

Dear Board of Supervisors Land Use & Economic Development Committee:


I am writing this letter concerning the proposed legislation #080520 regarding the changes to the Condo Conversion BMR Program.

I have been a resident of SF since the 1970's and purchased by condo in the Condo Conversion BMF Program in 1988.

I have mailed two letters to you, this third letter is in reponce to your most recent meeting where there was discussion of amendments in the code concerning rent and inheritance.

It is not ethical for the current owners in this program to follow ulres and procedures that are yet written. The Board of Supservisors and the LUED should see the Proceudres Manual before there is a vote of any kind concerneing this matter. And, then give time for public comment.

Thank you for your time. Your attention to this matter is greatly appreciated. And I look forward to your response.

  
Kathryn Hyde

SF CA 94118

cc Supervisors Maxwell, Peskin, Sandoval and McGoldrick

4



Land Use & Economic Development Committee  
Board of Supervisors Room 224  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
SF CA 94109

Dear Board of Supervisors Land Use & Economic Development Committee"

I am writing this letter concerning the proposed legislation #080520 regarding the changes to the Condo Conversion BMR Program.

I have been a resident of SF since the 1970's and purchased by condo in the Condo Conversion BMR Program in 1988. I urge you to consider the following points during the discussion phase of this proposal and before the LUED writes new legislation for the BMR program.

### 1) Capital Improvements & Special Assessments

I live in a 100 year old, 4 unit building, that is very well maintained in the Inner Richmond. I have paid for many capital improvements (flooring, roof, window replacements, exterior entry ways and building code upgrades).

The amount of reimbursement allocated by the MOH for Capital Improvements and Special Assessments should consider both the size and the age of the building. Owners of units in smaller buildings (4 - 6 units) and pay a substantially larger share of the costs and therefore have a higher financial burden than owners of larger housing complexes where the costs are spread between many owners. Likewise, owners of older buildings suffer more financial burden due to extensive life cycle costs and ongoing need for repair.

For these smaller and older buildings, at time of sale, a higher amount of compensation for code upgrades and building improvements should be calculated into allowed pricing of the condo.

### 2) Sale of the Units

The calculation for the determining the sale price for BMR owners who wish to sell should be analyzed and defined differently than for the people who have owned their units for many years (10- 20 years). The original purchase price of a condo in the BMR Program and the price of a like property in that same neighborhood 10 - 20 years ago was not a great as it is today. The differential between the allocated selling price for a BMR condo and the price of a like unit on the open market today is substantially greater.

For example, I purchased my unit in 1988 for \$75,000, at that time a similar unit in my neighborhood sold on the open market for about \$150,000 (twice as much). Today the price for a similar unit is about \$750,000 (depending on the upgrades). I have been told by the MOH that if I wanted to sell my condo today, I could ask about \$230,000 (depending on improvements). This is a situation that was not foreseen in the original guidelines. The BMR Program should allow me to sell my unit for the original proportional difference between market rate for an open market condominium and a BMR Program condo. As well as the cost of capital improvements and special assessments.

I realize this program was not meant to be an investment; however, I thought if I lived in my condo for a few years, I would eventually be able to buy a home or condo on the open market and turn my unit back to the BMR Program. Now that the allotted selling price is vastly lower than the market value I am stuck. I

cannot rent it and I cannot afford to sell it. People's circumstances change and they may want or need to sell. If people cannot afford to sell and they cannot rent, many units may be left vacant and then the units can deteriorate. We all suffer, and there will be few units for sale. The purpose of this unique program goes unfulfilled.

Currently, owners who have lived in these units for many years, may have had the opportunity to buy a home on the open market with another person but were unable to do so because of the severe price restrictions. These owners now have no options except to live there or leave their units vacant. Therefore, the Mayor's Office of Housing are further closing the door for low and moderate income people.

If rules/regulations are set that do not allow the owner to rent the units, especially those who have owned the unit for many years, there may be a flood of un-occupied units. Why? Because the owner cannot afford to sell, yet needs to live somewhere else due to personal circumstances, if he/she cannot sell - the unit may be left unoccupied, this serves no one and the unit building may deteriorate.

I strongly urge your committee to review all of these factors and clarify the regulations whether the legislation is changed or left the same. Also, forms and guidelines should be clearly developed in order for owners to track all allowed improvements.

Your attention to this matter is greatly appreciated. And I look forward to your response.

 8/7/08

Kathryn Hyde

---

SF CA 94118

cc Supervisors Maxwell, Sandoval and McGoldrick

May 27, 2008

Land Use & Economic Development Committee  
Board of Supervisors Room 224  
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place  
SF CA 94109

Dear Board of Supervisors Land Use & Economic Development Committee -

I am writing this letter concerning the proposed legislation #080520 regarding the changes to the Condo Conversion BMR Program. This is my second letter, with a third issue for your committee and the Board of Supervisors to take into account. In my first letter I addressed Capital Improvements and Special Assessments, and Sale of the Units. This letter concerns my third point.

I have been a resident of SF since the 1970's and purchased by condo in the Condo Conversion BMR Program in 1988. The unit is now almost 100 years old.

### 3) Consider the Type of Building


The unit I live in has 4 condominium units, three of which are in the Condo Conversion BMR Program. The 4<sup>th</sup> owner lives in a fair-market value unit. This owner has a lot of power as the unit has a high square footage, the unit is very valuable and the owner needs to maintain the unit at a certain level. And, of course I wish to maintain the building. However, the three owners in the BMR Program are expected to agree to all of the special assessments in order to upgrade and maintain the property at a high level. However, according to your program we do not necessarily recoup all of the money we lay out for these expenses.

This type of building with "mixed value" of the units is much different and should have a different set of rules and guidelines than new, large structures. We face costly upgrades and have a higher final burden.

As I mentioned in my first letter to the Board and the Supervisors, there should be a higher amount of compensation at time of sale for code upgrades and building improvements.

I strongly urge your committee to review all of these factors and clarify the regulations whether the legislation is changed or left the same. Also, forms and guidelines should be clearly developed in order for owners to track all allowed improvements. In addition, the MOH should look for the guidelines that were written for the owners back in the 1980's, we do not have clear information about how we sell the units.

Your attention to this matter is greatly appreciated. And I look forward to your response.

  
Kathryn Hyde

SF CA 94118

cc Supervisors Maxwell, Sandoval and McGoldrick

9/9

File # 080520  
C: Bas



JAM <johnsfny@

08/04/2008 06:14 PM

Please respond to  
johnsfny@

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject SF BMR housing

I don't know what the Land Use Committee will decide today, however, I am writing to tell you that I am in strong opposition to this ordinance which they are considering. We bought a one bedroom unit in Goldmine Hill in September, 1995 which, at the time, carried the same price as a one bedroom unit in Diamond Heights Village--a short distance away but not under the MOH program. Now the MOH, in order to keep the housing 'affordable,' tells us that we cannot improve our units--which were built in the mid 70's--because they want to limit capital improvements. If such capital improvements are sanctioned by the City of San Francisco, our condo complex of 204 units may well turn into a slum. Please, please vote down this measure. Thank you.  
John Mokricky and Bob McKee, \_\_\_\_\_, SF, CA 94131

080594  
C. Boc



<beauknows: \_\_\_\_\_>

<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

08/07/2008 01:44 PM

cc

bcc

Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

5

Submitted on: 8/7/2008 1:44:02 PM

name: Beau Harris

phone:

comments: Unfortunately I will be unable to attend today's public hearing regarding the above smoking legislation, so in my stead I wish to provide you with my objection to this legislation as a registered voter in San Francisco. I find this proposal to be excessive in scope and it infringes on individual freedoms and free commerce.

San Francisco is so often a beacon for freedom and acceptance, and this ordinance contradicts this spirit. We accept and/or legalize gay marriage, medical marijuana and other acts illegal in most of the United States, yet I find it ironic our City and County is spending time and resources to outlaw something that is legal.

As I former smoker, I feel if someone has issue with secondhand smoke, it should be addressed on an individual level in a civil manner rather than legislating a law to do so. To enforce this proposal would create an increased burden on police and court system via enforcement and frivolous lawsuits in a time of budget deficits and cuts to other needed programs.

This is also bad for businesses in San Francisco as well. This wide sweeping ordinance will adversely effect tourism overall. Also, for bars and restaurants that went to great pains and expenses to create smoking areas, this ordinance unfairly penalizes them and their capital investment. It also puts more undue strain on small businesses to apply their already strained resources to enforce it.

I feel the free market should determine where people can and cannot smoke, especially for restaurants and bars. If businesses are allowed to determine if they were or were not a smoke free environment, customers would be able to choose which ones to patronize. Smokers can go to bars that accept it and non smokers can go elsewhere. Businesses then would succeed or fail based on what they feel will make their business most successful, rather than the Board of Supervisors telling them how to run them. Let the individuals decide for themselves rather than the government deciding for them.

Over the past few years the San Francisco's Board of Supervisors already have issued too many ordinances, such as Healthy San Francisco and Paid Sick Leave, which conceptually sound like nice ideas to help our fellow man, but have had a negative impact on businesses and people who work in San Francisco. Prices on goods and services have gone up as a result of businesses recouping the cost of these programs and the current ordinance under consideration could do the same. These programs already hurt the people these programs target to help most, i.e. low income families, by increasing costs of their day to day expenses. This latest legislation could further hurt this group by forcing some business to close and eliminate many of their jobs, such as waiting and janitorial professions.

5

It is time for the Board of Supervisors to focus its energy and efforts on our budget deficits and increasing city and county revenue rather than exacerbating the problem with legislation that increases strain on law enforcement and increasing litigation. In these trying times, we need to make San Francisco attractive to visit and open businesses in, and legislation like this ordinance, in addition to the others mentioned above, do the opposite.

I am concerned we as a community are moving further away from the democratic principles we as San Franciscans embrace as a result of the Board of Supervisors playing "big brother" and legislating how we live our lives. For a city that is known for freedoms, it is ironic the Board of Supervisors over the past few years have increasingly dictated and legislated how we live and run our businesses. Please cease this legislation and focus your energy on more pressing issues.

Sincerely,

Beau Harris

---

---  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 66.125.236.74  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

9/9

080594  
C:Boz



<dee \_\_\_\_\_>  
08/07/2008 09:58 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

Submitted on: 8/7/2008 9:58:17 AM

name: Deandra Eggers

phone:

comments: I am writing in response to the proposed city ordinance that will ban smoking in public areas. I realize you feel that you are "supporting the best interest of the public", but I believe there are better ways to support the public. In a city that already makes it difficult for small businesses to thrive, this will only hinder them further. Is it truly reasonable to ask a business owner to post a sentry outside their business to watch for a pedestrian who is smoking? Do we truly live in a city that wants to turn their business owners into militants due to the fear that they will be fined if they don't? I have always appreciated the fact that San Francisco is a progressive and democratic city, but this really is extreme and unnecessary.

-----  
---

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 66.125.236.74  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

5

9/9

File # 080594  
O: Bas



Pdkimball@  
08/04/2008 03:14 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Smoking Ordinance Selectively taxes poor; some discount businesses

SF Board of Supervisors (415) 554-5184:

By preventing Rite Aid, Walgreens, and other pharmacies from selling tobacco products, your proposed ordinance is unfair and possibly illegal.

It is punitive to the poorer smokers (who are already outrageously punished by taxes and laws and controls) in that Rite Aid and Walgreens usually offer the best price to customers and have convenient central locations and, sometimes, parking lots. You are proposing that they (we) travel farther, pay more in time and gas, and pay a premium at other shops. The poor don't drive as much. Why not have an ordinance taxing those who drive? Why not Costco selling Coca Cola? McDonald's selling high fat foods?

Also, surely this cannot be legal treatment of Rite Aid and Walgreens; it is in violation of some of the most basic laws of business to outlaw their selling a product while others down the street may do so.

What are you thinking? You are Supervisors of the City, not of customers!

Pamela D. Kimball and  
Patricia C. Kimball  

---

San Francisco, CA 94121

\*\*\*\*\*

Looking for a car that's sporty, fun and fits in your budget? Read reviews on AOL Autos. (<http://autos.aol.com/cars-BMW-128-2008/expert-review?ncid=aolaut00050000000017>)

----- Message from "Mail Delivery System" <MAILER-DAEMON@ironport.sfgov.org> on 03 Aug 2008 16:41:52 -0700 -----

To: Pdkimball@

Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

The following message to <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org> was undeliverable. The reason for the problem:  
5.1.0 - Unknown address error 550-'board.of.supervisors.'@sfgov.org... No such user'  
Final-Recipient: rfc822;board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
Action: failed  
Status: 5.0.0 (permanent failure)  
Remote-MTA: dns; [10.1.3.224]  
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 5.1.0 - Unknown address error 550-'board.of.supervisors.'@sfgov.org... No such user' (delivery attempts: 0)

----- Message from Pdkimball on Sun, 3 Aug 2008 19:55:26 EDT -----

To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Subject: Smoking Ordinance Selectively taxes the poor, & some discount businesses



SF Board of Supervisors (415) 554-5184:

By preventing Rite Aid, Walgreens, and other pharmacies from selling tobacco products, your proposed ordinance is unfair and possibly illegal.

It is punitive to the poorer smokers (who are already outrageously punished by taxes and laws and controls) in that Rite Aid and Walgreens usually offer the best price to customers and have convenient central locations and, sometimes, parking lots. You are proposing that they (we) travel farther, pay more in time and gas, and pay a premium at other shops. The poor don't drive as much. Why not have an ordinance taxing those who drive? Why not Costco selling Coca Cola? McDonald's selling high fat foods?

Also, surely this cannot be legal treatment of Rite Aid and Walgreens; it is in violation of some of the most basic laws of business to outlaw their selling a product while others down the street may do so.

What are you thinking? You are Supervisors of the City, not of customers!

Pamela D. Kimball and  
Patricia C. Kimball

San Francisco, CA 94121

\*\*\*\*\*

Looking for a car that's sporty, fun and fits in your budget? Read reviews on AOL Autos.  
(<http://autos.aol.com/cars-BMW-128-2008/expert-review?ncid=aolaut00050000000017>  
)

9/9

File # 080594  
C. Bar



Marie Duverge  
<agubwa1@...>

08/06/2008 11:24 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject tobacco products from walgreens and rite aid

i am against this ordonnance who unfairly target those stores.it is not going to prevent people to smoke ,there's tobacco products all over town,just make it more expensive and inconvenient for them.nice!


2 August 2008

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

9/9  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG -5 AM 11:13

BY

  
File # 080594

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am writing with respect to a new law that I believe is about to be voted on about smoking: where you can't.

I would like to know when the harassment of people who smoke is going to end. I suppose that will be when you get what you want: no more smoking by anyone anywhere. This is social engineering and big brother at it's worst. This is government thinking it knows best what is good for everyone.

My main complaint is the aspect of not smoking 20 feet from the entrance of any ... I forget the specifics. Leave this part out. For people who go to bars (not my concern, just an example), does this mean the customers of these bars can't even go outside to smoke? Ditto restaurants. Coffee shops. Etc.

The only reasons I believe that you enact such nonsense are (1) it's easy: who is going to stand up for smokers?: nobody (2) it makes you look good, like you're doing something - when you should be taking care of more important business (as for looking good, my bet is there are many people [other than smokers] who think this has gone too far and makes you look foolish) and (3) it may get you more votes (but then again, maybe not).

Of course you could mention the statistics about smoking, how bad it is for you, about second hand smoke, etc. I defy anyone to separate the effects of second hand cigarette smoke from the effects of all other sources of air pollution. How is it possible to come up with statistics at all? How do you separate the effects of one thing from another? Ok, smoking and second hand smoke aren't good for you. Perhaps eating at fast food restaurants isn't good for you (I know there are people who believe that this should be regulated too). Negative thoughts aren't good for you. Stress isn't good for you. Too much exercise (especially jogging) isn't good for you [weakens the immune system] .

What do you propose to do about those who sit in their car with their phone hooked up, talking, with the engine running. Isn't it possible that the SECOND HAND SMOKE from these cars is a bit worse than from a cigarette (I'm comparing one parked car with the engine running to one cigarette). Oh, how about ALL THE SECOND HAND SMOKE from all the vehicles on the road?

Last July I moved from apartment 403 to 709. 403 is on the corner of Franklin & Eddy Streets. The Oasis Motel across the street seems to have become a four bus motel.

Every morning the bus would start up, and SIT THERE RUNNING FOR ABOUT AN HOUR! Sometimes there were two buses. And in late afternoon it would be back, passengers would unload, and the bus would SIT THERE RUNNING! HOW MUCH SECOND HAND SMOKE IS THIS? WHEN ARE YOU GOING TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT THIS?

I did write a letter to the motel; never sent it. Figured it wouldn't do any good. Figured writing to you wouldn't do any good. Figure writing to you now isn't going to do good. Does anyone really care? Do you really care? Or are you just staying busy?

Since we're talking about the effects of one person's behavior on another, how about noise pollution? Maybe we can call it second hand noise. Does anyone other than me think it's insane that motorcycles can roar around the city being louder than a bomb? I don't hear or read about anyone (including the government) talking about noise pollution. Extremely loud heavy bass music from cars, taxi cabs with their horns (has anyone ever told them that it's against the law to use the horn other than in an emergency?), etc. Not a word, not a whisper.

Speaking of whispers: how about leaf blowers? You get it all: really obnoxious much too loud noise, very pleasant second hand smoke, and wasting fuel.

One more tid-bit for amusement sake: I was walking on Eddy Street near the corner of Laguna where a police car is parked in a bus zone watching the neighborhood and THE ENGINE IS RUNNING! Tell me that government really cares about second hand smoke. Let's go onto to mention what a waste of money this is: two policemen sitting in their car watching for what? Wouldn't it be better for them to simple cruise slowly around the entire area. It seems that doing that would be a better crime deterrent.

Sincerely,



BOB FARAGASSO

~~~~~  
San Francisco CA 94102  
~~~~~

File # 080594  
Q: 802 9/9



Kaye Cuthbertson  
<k\_cuthbertson@\_\_\_\_\_>

08/08/2008 01:52 PM

Please respond to  
k\_cuthbertson@\_\_\_\_\_

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org


cc

bcc

Subject Ordinance targeting Walgreens and Rite Aid stores to stop selling tobacco products

I feel that this ordinance is unwarranted and unfair. Either have the ordinance ban the sale of tobacco products from all places of business or none. Thank you.

File # 080594  
C. Box 9/9



<marcadi@> ←  
08/08/2008 07:27 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

Submitted on: 8/8/2008 7:27:38 AM

name: Mary Arcadi

phone:

comments: Please support the smoking ban in common areas of condos, single occupancy hotels, multi-units, etc and within 10 feet of common areas. Although I feel that everyone has a right to smoke in their own home, I want to be able to open my windows and get fresh air not the second hand smoke of others.

Thank you.

-----  
---

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 67.169.38.58  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

Office of the Mayor  
City & County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom

cc: Bos, City Attorney 9/9

6

August 5, 2008

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94109

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 5 PM 4: 34  
BY SJK

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Carmen Chu as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the state of California at 4:30PM on Thursday, August 7, 2008 until 11:59PM Tuesday, August 12, 2008.

I hereby designate Supervisor Michela Alioto-Pier as Acting-Mayor from 12:00AM on Wednesday, August 13, 2008 until 11:59PM Saturday, August 16, 2008.

I hereby designate Supervisor Sean Elsbernd as Acting-Mayor from 12:00AM on Sunday, August 17, 2008 until 1:50PM on Thursday, August 21, 2008. In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Elsbernd to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,

  
Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney

6



"Lee, Frank W"  
<Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>  
08/05/2008 03:40 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"  
cc <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>  
bcc  
Subject FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
#20080610-004

7

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Our records show that we responded to this inquiry on June 17, 2008. Please see attached e-mail.

Sincerely,  
Frank W. Lee  
Executive Assistant to the Director  
Department of Public Works  
Tel: (415) 554-6993  
Fax: (415) 522-7727

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2008 3:59 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.  
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 7/24/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080610-004  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 7/11/2008:  
Reminder Sent: 7/9/2008

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Mirkarimi was made at the Board meeting on 6/10/2008 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

7



Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes:

Southeast corner of Eddy and Webster  
Southeast corner of Golden Gate and Scott  
Southwest corner of McAllister and Fillmore  
East side, mid-block of Buchanan and Linden

City Trash Cans:

Southwest corner of Buchanan and Hayes  
546 Haight Street  
Southeast corner of Scott and Eddy

Mailboxes:

Southwest corner of Divisadero and Golden Gate  
Northeast corner of Haight and Fillmore  
Southeast corner of Hayes and Buchanan

Bus Shelters:

Hayes and Buchanan  
Hayes and Fillmore

----- Message from "Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org> on Tue, 17 Jun 2008 18:48:06 -0700

-----

"Board of Supervisors (E-mail)" <IMCEAUNKNOWN-Unknown@sfdpw.org>, "Delepine, Boris"

To: <IMCEAEX-\_O=DPW-EXCHANGE\_OU=First+20Administrative+20Group\_cn=Recipients\_cn=1bf2dda3-699994b-88256f81-650e58@sfdpw.org>, "Galli, Phil" <Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Jurosek, Marla" <mjurosek@swater.org>, "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed" <Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pearman, Rick" <Rick.Pearman@sfdpw.org>, "Reilly, John" <JohnReilly@sfdpw.org>, "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>  
cc: "Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>

Subject: RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080610-004

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes:  
Southeast corner of Eddy and Webster SR# 809311 (Abated 6-13-08)  
Southeast corner of Golden Gate and Scott SR# 809313 (Abated 6-13-08)  
Southwest corner of McAllister and Fillmore SR# 809316 (Abated 6-13-08)  
East side, mid-block of Buchanan and Linden SR# 809320 (Abated 6-13-08)

City Trash Cans:  
Southwest corner of Buchanan and Hayes SR# 809321 (Abated 6-13-08)  
546 Haight Street SR# 809322 (Abated 6-13-08)  
Southeast corner of Scott and Eddy SR# 809323 (Abated 6-13-08)

Mailboxes:

Southwest corner of Divisadero and Golden Gate 6-13-08) SR# 809324 (Abated)  
Northeast corner of Haight and Fillmore 6-13-08) SR# 809326 (Abated)  
Southeast corner of Hayes and Buchanan 6-13-08) SR# 809327 (Abated)

Bus Shelters:  
Hayes and Buchanan 6-13-08) SR# 809332 (Abated)  
Hayes and Fillmore 6-13-08) SR# 809334 (Abated)

Jonathan C. Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Supervisor I  
695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 8:49 AM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 6/12/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080610-004  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 7/11/2008

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 6/10/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes:

Southeast corner of Eddy and Webster  
Southeast corner of Golden Gate and Scott  
Southwest corner of McAllister and Fillmore  
East side, mid-block of Buchanan and Linden

City Trash Cans:

Southwest corner of Buchanan and Hayes  
546 Haight Street

Southeast corner of Scott and Eddy

Mailboxes:

Southwest corner of Divisadero and Golden Gate  
Northeast corner of Haight and Fillmore  
Southeast corner of Hayes and Buchanan  
Bus Shelters:  
Hayes and Buchanan  
Hayes and Fillmore

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org) and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 7/11/2008



Renee Massey  
<reneemass1952@>

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

08/06/2008 08:22 PM

Please respond to  
reneemass1952@

Subject



I am writing to inform you that I **will not** be vacationing or visiting in any way the beautiful city of San Francisco until the sanctuary status has been reversed.

Until your new promotion signs are removed, and your city ordinance Sec.12H.1 thru Sec.12H.2 are deleted, and San Francisco is no longer a city of refuge...my family, friends, co-workers will not spend a penny in your city.

It is apparent you are not concerned with the legal citizens that live, work and visit your area...and you should be. You reap what you sow... the fact that your ordinances forbid any city employee from following federal law is criminal in itself.

I plan to share my petition to boycott your city until the city has reversed itself.



<labhoncho@>  
08/05/2008 08:53 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

Submitted on: 8/5/2008 8:53:41 AM

name: Larry Cohen

phone:

comments: I live in California and am disgusted by your city's policy of providing sanctuary to illegal aliens. Three people in one family recently lost their lives. They were murdered by an illegal alien gang member you enabled to be released into society. As far as I'm concerned, you and your mayor belong in jail for willfully violating our national immigration laws.

-----  
---

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 204.108.96.22  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :



**Chula Smith**  
**<my22147@** \_\_\_\_\_  
08/06/2008 04:42 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
<gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject SANCTUARY

NOW IT APPEARS the Mayor and BOARD will not even address and DO NOT EVEN HAVE THE DECENCY TO CALL THE WIDOW TO APOLOGIZE AND REASSURE HER THAT THEY WILL FIX THIS PROBLEM. PERHAPS YOUR LIFE (including those hortshot liberals) should be a living hell for not giving a d.... for the people your are supposed to serve. Power corrupts and all of you are witnesses because of your poor decisions or total inaction. I hope she sues the pants of the city, the mayor, the supervisors and the legislators for promoting a sanctuary city for killers of families.

Are you now going to give sanctuary to the bomb thrower "animal right fascists in Santa Cruz Next?

THANK GOD FOR OREILLY, HANNITY, AND COMBES FOR DOING YOUR JOB and exposing you people.

---

Got Game? Win Prizes in the Windows Live Hotmail Mobile Summer Games Trivia Contest [Find out how.](#)



<jeanette.berger@

08/05/2008 09:04 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors



Submitted on: 8/5/2008 9:04:50 AM

name: Jeanette Berger

phone:

comments: To whom it may concern:

ATT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

PLEASE DO NOT FALL FOR A REVIEW

The public realizes they would no longer be able to park, and on some sidewalks DOUBLE PARK ,AND WITH CARS PARKED LEGAL IN THE STREET YOU MUST DRIVE THE WHOLE LENGTH OF THE SIDEWALK TO EXIT BY WAY OF THE HANDICAP ZONE, AND WITH ATT BLOCKING THEIR EXIT WHICH YHEY WOULD DO WITH THEIR BOXES. GET THE PICTURE.

Thank you

Jeanette Berger

-----

---  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 71.135.187.192  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

10

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 4, 2008  
To: Members of the Board of Supervisors  
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *AC*  
Subject: Conflict of Interest Code Review

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 4 PM 2: 13  
*Shen*

The Political Reform Act requires every local government agency to review its conflict-of-interest code biennially. Each agency must submit to the Board of Supervisors, a notice indicating whether or not an amendment is necessary by October 1, 2008.

This is to inform you that the following City departments have submitted their conflict-of-interest code notice to my office:

- Ethics Commission
- Film Commission
- Rent Board

c: Andrew Shen, Deputy City Attorney





"Annabella Jimenez"  
<annabella.jimenez@>

08/04/2008 02:13 PM

To: gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,  
charles.schwab@schwab.com, admin@wuyee.org,  
sfcares@wuyee.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject: Fwd: 04.27.2007 Mayor Gavin Newson

11

Dear Mr. Mayor

You won. Me and my son lost. I was unable to keep up on rent, I was unable to find affordable, reliable daycare, I was unable to afford to live in San Francisco anymore. I had to quit my job at Charles Schwab because of the time constraints I was enduring trying to find day care, this impacted my concentration at work. I quit before I was fired - my Supervisor at Schwab was not very understanding either - I put in over ten years with that company and I am bitter towards all of you. I moved to Southern California and am now homeless, unemployed, no daycare, no medical insurance, I have nothing now. All I wanted was to work, take care of my son, have my son enrolled in good reliable day care at an affordable cost. I wasn't asking that the City of San Francisco put me on welfare, MediCal or fully pay for my son's daycare, all I ever wanted was to be given subsidized day care so that I could afford to live in the city that I love and wanted to raise my son in.

I know you and the SF board of supervisors are happy now - one less homeless mother and child to worry about.

Thanks alot for not responding when I asked for help.

Anna Marie Jimenez

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jimenez, Anna** <Anna.Jimenez@>  
Date: Wed, May 28, 2008 at 2:59 PM  
Subject: 04.27.2007 Mayor Gavin Newson  
To: annabella.jimenez@

-----Original Message-----

From: Jimenez, Anna [mailto:Anna.Jimenez@]  
Sent: Friday, April 27, 2007 9:57 AM  
To: Mr. Mayor; Nancy Louie; Homeless Prenatal  
Cc: Jimenez, Anna  
Subject: SF Housing Authority Preference verification letter & any other assistance!!  
Importance: High

Good Morning Mr. Mayor, Ms. Ryan, Ms. Louie -

11

My name is Anna Jimenez. I have been a good citizen of San Francisco for 11 years. I have no criminal record & no drug or alcohol abuse problems. I am a hard working person since I was 17 yrs old and was brought up to "take care of my own" - meaning never rely or use government assistance. I am now 43 yrs old and for the first time, swallowed my pride and asked for assistance. Why? Because I gave birth to a miracle in June 2006 - his name is Rocket Joaquin O'Livier Jimenez. He is almost 11 months old - and he is the most important and positive thing that has happened to me. I am a single, one income mother (no child support is being received by me). I don't have any family here. I am doing this on my own.

Mr. Mayor, I saw you on TV recently address folks at the Excelsior Boys and Girl Club. I found it interesting to note that there was a woman who addressed you towards the end of the meeting regarding raising the medium for SF - she made 40k a year and was going to move out of the city because she couldn't afford to live here anymore. I make 45k a year and am turned down for services because I am not considered "low income" - and yet I was homeless and not eating so my son could eat. Here is how my son & I try to get assistance in San Francisco and how doors are shut in our faces because "the system" says I make too much money.

\* May 2006 (prior to Rocket's birth): I was struggling to keep food in the house, so I applied for WIC and the Homeless Prenatal program in order to get food. I barely qualified for WIC - my disability payments were considered a bit high.

\* Went back to work full time in September 2006 (after maternity leave).

\* Because my source of income had decreased (due to disability pay) I was unable to keep up with the \$900 month rent. As a result, I was evicted from my apartment last October 2006 and lived in motels/hotels in SF for 6 weeks. All the while working full time at Charles Schwab.

\* Applied for housing assistance with the SF Housing Authority in early November 2006 stating I was living homeless and moving from hotel to hotel with a 5 month old baby. I was put on a waiting list.

\* I went to Catholic Charities at that time (October 2006) and left in tears because of the shoddy treatment I received.

\* I applied for Child care subsidies in November 2006. Was put on a waiting list.

\* I finally saved enough money for a deposit and found a home on my own last February 2007.

\* I am not making ends meet. I am behind on child care payments by \$1,000.00; my rent is late again (\$1,500.00) and received an eviction notice.

\* I waited 2 hours at Homeless Prenatal on April 18th to get help with back rent. They asked me to come back on April 20th. I came back and completed paperwork.

\* I applied for back rent from Catholic Charities on April 23rd since I did not hear back from Homeless Prenatal.

\* I finally had an appointment with Nancy Louie of Wu Yee Child Care programs on April 20th. I was so excited, I thought - finally I could get some help. I brought all the necessary paperwork needed so I get assistance child care - BIG DISAPPOINTMENT - MR. MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM - I MAKE \$80.00 too MUCH per month!!!! I was told I did not qualify and to reapply when my income decreases. Although Wu Yee Child Services was made aware of my pending eviction situation and given letters from my landlord. This did not matter - why? BECAUSE I MAKE \$120.00 TO MUCH PER MONTH!!!

\* Please don't ask me to check out more websites. I have already applied for Working Familys.org. I have already seen SFKids.org. I have applied for assistance where I needed it. I really need day care subsidiary help and rental assistance.

\* I am not a frivolous person - I have not bought myself any new clothes or shoes since last year. Every penny I make goes to my child, food, day care. What is left over = goes to rent.

\* I am requesting a Preference Verification Letter so I can bring this document to the SF Housing Authority. I finally reached the top of the list! I left a message with Homeless Prenatal and still waiting to hear back.

I am desperate and at my wits end. I need help please. I want to keep my job and take care of my baby too. I am asking Wu Yee to reconsider their denial. I am asking Homeless Prenatal for better follow up with helping mothers facing eviction. I am asking Mr. Mayor Gavin Newsom to please consider raising the medium for SF City to at least 55K for single working mothers.

Please respond. I want to know that I've been listened to. I want results. I have jumped through your hoops - I want results. Please tell me what I need to do next to get immediate help.

Sincerely,

Anna M. Jimenez

\_\_\_\_\_ San Francisco, CA 94124

Home: \_\_\_\_\_

Work: \_\_\_\_\_

Anna.jimenez@ \_\_\_\_\_

9/9



"Lee, Frank W"  
<Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>  
08/05/2008 04:39 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Vaing, Jonathan"  
cc <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"  
<Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>

bcc

Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST  
DUE NOTICE #20080610-003

12

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Our records show that we responded to this inquiry on June 17, 2008. Please see attached e-mail.

Sincerely,  
Frank W. Lee  
Executive Assistant to the Director  
Department of Public Works  
Tel: (415) 554-6993  
Fax: (415) 522-7727

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 9:27 AM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE  
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.  
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 7/31/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080610-003  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 7/11/2008  
Reminder Sent: 7/9/2008  
Past Due Notice Sent: 7/24/2008

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Mirkarimi was made at the Board meeting on 6/10/2008 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

12

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from utility poles at the following locations:

Wood:

In front of 432 Oak  
406 Buchanan

Trash Cans:

Northeast corner of Octavia and Fell

Fire Hydrant:

Northeast corner of Haight and Divisadero

Mailbox:

Octavia and Oak

----- Message from "Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org> on Tue, 17 Jun 2008 18:18:15 -0700

"Board of Supervisors (E-mail)" <IMCEAUNKNOWN-Unknown@sfdpw.org>, "Delepine, Boris"

<IMCEAEX-\_O=DPW-EXCHANGE\_OU=First+20Administrative+20Group\_cn=Recipients\_cn=1bf2dda3-699994b-88256f81-650e58@sfdpw.org>, "Galli, Phil"

To: <Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Jurosek, Marla" <mjurosek@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed" <Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pearman, Rick" <Rick.Pearman@sfdpw.org>, "Reilly, John" <JohnReilly@sfdpw.org>, "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>

cc: "Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>

Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY # 20080610-003

Here's the status of removing graffiti from utility poles at the following locations:

Wood:

In front of 432 Oak SR# 809663 (Abated 6-13-08)  
406 Buchanan SR# 809667 (Abated 6-13-08)

Trash Cans:

N.E.C Octavia and Fell SR# 809683 (Abated 6-13-08)

Fire Hydrant:

N.E.C Haight and Divisadero SR# 809685 (Abated 6-13-08)

Mailbox:

Octavia and Oak SR# 809689 (Abated 6-13-08)

Jonathan C. Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Supervisor I  
695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 8:49 AM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 6/12/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080610-003  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 7/11/2008

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 6/10/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from utility poles at the following locations:

Wood:

In front of 432 Oak  
406 Buchanan

Trash Cans:

Northeast corner of Octavia and Fell

Fire Hydrant:

Northeast corner of Haight and Divisadero

Mailbox:

Octavia and Oak

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org) and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 7/11/2008



"Lee, Frank W"  
<Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>  
08/05/2008 05:12 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Vaing, Jonathan"  
cc <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"  
<Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST  
DUE NOTICE #20080610-004

13

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Our records show that we responded to this inquiry on June 17, 2008. Please see attached e-mail.

Sincerely,  
Frank W. Lee  
Executive Assistant to the Director  
Department of Public Works  
Tel: (415) 554-6993  
Fax: (415) 522-7727

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 9:27 AM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE  
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.  
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 7/31/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080610-004  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 7/11/2008  
Reminder Sent: 7/9/2008  
Past Due Notice Sent: 7/24/2008

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Mirkarimi was made at the Board meeting on 6/10/2008 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes:

Southeast corner of Eddy and Webster  
Southeast corner of Golden Gate and Scott  
Southwest corner of McAllister and Fillmore  
East side, mid-block of Buchanan and Linden

City Trash Cans:

Southwest corner of Buchanan and Hayes  
546 Haight Street  
Southeast corner of Scott and Eddy

Mailboxes:

Southwest corner of Divisadero and Golden Gate  
Northeast corner of Haight and Fillmore  
Southeast corner of Hayes and Buchanan

Bus Shelters:

Hayes and Buchanan  
Hayes and Fillmore

----- Message from "Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org> on Tue, 17 Jun 2008 18:48:06 -0700

-----

"Board of Supervisors (E-mail)" <IMCEAUNKNOWN-Unknown@sfdpw.org>, "Delepine, Boris"

<IMCEAEX-\_O=DPW-EXCHANGE\_OU=First+20Administrative+20Group\_cn=Recipients\_cn=1bf2dda3-699994b-88256f81-650e58@sfdpw.org>, "Galli, Phil"

**To:** <Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Jurosek, Marla" <mjurosek@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed" <Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pearman, Rick" <Rick.Pearman@sfdpw.org>, "Reilly, John" <JohnReilly@sfdpw.org>, "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>

**cc:** "Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>

**Subject:** RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080610-004

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes:

6-13-08)	Southeast corner of Eddy and Webster	SR# 809311 (Abated
6-13-08)	Southeast corner of Golden Gate and Scott	SR# 809313 (Abated
6-13-08)	Southwest corner of McAllister and Fillmore	SR# 809316 (Abated
6-13-08)	East side, mid-block of Buchanan and Linden	SR# 809320 (Abated

City Trash Cans:

6-13-08)	Southwest corner of Buchanan and Hayes	SR# 809321 (Abated
6-13-08)	546 Haight Street	SR# 809322 (Abated
6-13-08)	Southeast corner of Scott and Eddy	SR# 809323 (Abated

Mailboxes:



Southwest corner of Divisadero and Golden Gate 6-13-08) SR# 809324 (Abated  
Northeast corner of Haight and Fillmore 6-13-08) SR# 809326 (Abated  
Southeast corner of Hayes and Buchanan 6-13-08) SR# 809327 (Abated  
  
Bus Shelters:  
Hayes and Buchanan 6-13-08) SR# 809332 (Abated  
Hayes and Fillmore 6-13-08) SR# 809334 (Abated

Jonathan C. Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Supervisor I  
695-2181

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 8:49 AM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works  
  
FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 6/12/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080610-004  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 7/11/2008

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 6/10/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes:

Southeast corner of Eddy and Webster  
Southeast corner of Golden Gate and Scott  
Southwest corner of McAllister and Fillmore  
East side, mid-block of Buchanan and Linden

City Trash Cans:

Southwest corner of Buchanan and Hayes  
546 Haight Street

Southeast corner of Scott and Eddy

Mailboxes:

Southwest corner of Divisadero and Golden Gate  
Northeast corner of Haight and Fillmore  
Southeast corner of Hayes and Buchanan  
Bus Shelters:  
Hayes and Buchanan  
Hayes and Fillmore

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org) and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 7/11/2008



<josinsf@>  
08/05/2008 10:37 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

14

Submitted on: 8/5/2008 10:37:03 PM

name: Josephine

phone:

comments: PLEASE --- TRY TO SPEND MONEY ON STOPPING THOSE WHO DUMP GARBAGE ON  
CORNERS< WHO TAKE CANS FROM MY RECYCLING>  
PLEASE ---- DON'T SPEND MONEY ON GOING THROUGH OUR GARBAGE TO SEE IF WE  
RECYCLE\_-- WE DO!!

ENCOURAGE THE POSITIVE

-----

---

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 69.228.82.187  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :



brenda hansen

08/05/2008 09:07 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, <jake.mcgoldrick@sfgov.org>, <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>, <michela.alioto-pier@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Shell Oil Has Six Gas Stations for sale FOR REDEVELOPMENT in San Francisco!!!

15

The board of supervisors should be aware that Shell Oil Company has listed six gas station sites for sale, FOR **REDEVELOPMENT**, within the city of San Francisco. Though their listings state that the locations can be purchased for continued gas station use, the sale prices would prohibit anybody from buying and continuing as a gas station.

I thought San Francisco was trying to keep gas stations, not have them go away?!? If we think SF gas is expensive now (always stated as the highest price gasoline in the continental US by Lundberg Survey), just wait until Shell sells off these company owned, company operated gas station locations, eliminating competition. It is also rumored that the remaining Shell stations in SF which are operated by franchisees, will also be going up for sale as Shell will be offering the stations at exorbitant prices to their franchisees who will not be able to afford to purchase such locations for gas station use and in turn will also be put on the market for redevelopment.

**All stations have the following verbiage, " Property Description: This is an operating Shell service station that can be purchased for continued petroleum use, Shell will require a 15 year supply and brand agreement. Or the property can be purchased for redevelopment and Shell will remove the UST system. "**

To contact the broker for more details about this listing: [Contact Listing](#)

**PLEASE DO NOT ATTEMPT TO CONTACT EMPLOYEES AT STATION.**

**NO FEE TO OUTSIDE BROKERS.**


**1800 Lombard Street**

PM

**1800 Lombard Street**  
 San Francisco, California 94123  
 County: San Francisco

Photos

Image 1 of 1



1800 Lombard Street - [Click to Enlarge](#) (1 MB)

OptionsA

For ct  
Sal iv  
e e



[Map](#)

[Demogr:](#)

[Print Su](#)

[Print AL](#)

Property Use Type: Vacant/Owner-User  
Type: Retail

Service/Gas Station

Building Size: N/A

Lot Size: 6,600 SF

Price: \$3,200,000

Date Last Verified: 7/15/2008

Property ID: 15712931

---

### Additional Information

---

#### Property Description:

This is an operating Shell service station that can be purchased for continued petroleum use, Shell require a 15 year supply and brand agreement. Or the property can be purchased for redevelopment Shell will remove the UST system. The station has a food mart. The property is approximately 6,600 and is zoned NC 3.

DO NOT ATTEMPT TO CONTACT EMPLOYEES AT THE STATION.

NO FEE TO OUTSIDE BROKERS

#### Location Description:

[Browse More Listings](#)

[Retail For Sale](#)

[San Francisco, CA](#)

[California](#)

**1200 19th Street**



**1200 19th Street**

To contact the broker for more details about this listing:

[Contact Listing](#)


San Francisco, California 94122

County: San Francisco

**Note: This is 19th Avenue, not 19th Street**

Photos

Image 1 of 1



1200 19th Street - [Click to Enlarge](#) (1 MB)

[Browse More Listings](#)

[Retail For Sale](#)

[San Francisco, CA](#)

[California](#)

**2501 California Street**



**2501 California Street**

San Francisco, California 94115

County: San Francisco

Photos

Image 1 of 1

Active  
For Sale

Property Use Type: Vacant/Owner-User  
Type: Retail

Service/Gas Station

Building Size: N/A

Lot Size: 19,242 SF

Price: \$4,500,000

Date Last Verified: 7/15/2008

Property ID: 15712914

To contact the broker for more details about this listing:

[Contact Listing](#)

Active  
For Sale

Property Use Type: Business For Sale  
Type: Retail

Service/Gas Station

Building Size: N/A

Lot Size: 14,173 SF

Price: \$3,950,000

Date Last Verified: 7/15/2008



Property ID: 15747292

2501 California Street - [Click to Enlarge \(1 MB\)](#)

[Browse More Listings](#)  
[Retail For Sale](#)  
[San Francisco, CA](#)  
[California](#)

**2890 3rd Street**




**2890 3rd Street**  
San Francisco, California 94107  
County: San Francisco

To contact the broker for more details about this listing:

[Contact Listing](#)

Photos

Image 1 of 1



2890 3rd Street - [Click to Enlarge \(1 MB\)](#)

OptionsA

For ct  
Sal iv  
e e



Ma  
De  
Pri  
Pri

Property Use Type: Vacant/Owner-User  
Type: Retail  
Service/Gas Station  
Building Size: N/A  
Lot Size: 18,750 SF  
Price: \$3,800,000  
Date Last Verified: 7/15/2008  
Property ID: 15712935

---

## Additional Information

---

### Property Description:

This is an operating Shell service station that can be purchased for continued petroleum use, Shell require a 15 year supply and brand agreement. Or the property can be sold for redevelopment and will remove the UST system. The station has a food mart and 3 service bays. The property is approx 18,750 sq. ft. and is zoned M2.

PLEASE DO NOT ATTEMPT TO CONTACT EMPLOYEES AT STATION.

NO FEE TO OUTSIDE BROKERS

### Location Description:

The property is located at the NWC of Third Street and 25th

---

[Browse More Listings](#)

[Retail For Sale](#)

[San Francisco, CA](#)

[California](#)

---

### 551 3rd Street

#### 551 3rd Street


San Francisco, California 94107

County: San Francisco

Contact information for this listing was not made available by the broker. We are currently searching our hundreds of thousands of other listings available on L

Photos

Image 1 of 1



OptionsA

For ct  
Sal iv  
e e



Property Use Type: Vacant/Owner-User  
Type: Retail

Building Size: N/A

Lot Size: 9,217 SF

Price: N/A

Date Last Verified: 7/23/2008

Property ID: 15712924

[Ma](#)

[De](#)

[Pri](#)

[Pri](#)



551 3rd Street - [Click to Enlarge \(1 MB\)](#)

---

### Additional Information

---

#### Property Description:

This is an operating Shell service station that can be purchased for continued petroleum use, Shell require a 15 year supply and brand agreement. Or the property can be purchased for redevelopment Shell will remove UST system. The station has a foot mart. The parcel is approximately 9,217 sq.ft zoned SL 1.

PLEASE DO NOT ATTEMPT TO CONTACT EMPLOYEES AT STATION.

NO FEE TO OUTSIDE BROKERS.

#### Location Description:

East corner of 3rd Street and South Park Avenue.

**3550 Mission Street**

**3550 Mission Street**


San Francisco, California 94110

County: San Francisco

Contact information for this listing was not made available by the broker. For more information, please contact the listing agent or search our hundreds of thousands of other listings available on L

Photos

Image 1 of 1



3550 Mission Street - [Click to Enlarge \(1 MB\)](#)

OptionsA

For ct  
Sal iv  
e e



[Ma](#)  
[De](#)  
[Pri](#)  
[Pri](#)

Property Use Type: Vacant/Owner-User  
Type: Retail

Building Size: 24,426 SF

Lot Size: 24,426 SF

Price: N/A

Price/SF: N/A

Date Last Verified: 7/23/2008

Property ID: 15712939

---

## **Additional Information**

---

### **Property Description:**

This is an operating Shell service station that can be purchased for continued petroleum use, Shell require a 15 year supply and brand agreement. Or the property can be purchased for redevelopment Shell will remove the UST system. The station has a food mart and car wash. The property is approximately 24,426 sq.ft. and is zoned NC3.

PLEASE DO NOT ATTEMPT TO CONTACT EMPLOYEES AT STATION.

NO FEE TO OUTSIDE BROKERS.

### **Location Description:**

The property is located on the NWC of Mission Street and Randall Street.

---

Looking for a car that's sporty, fun and fits in your budget? [Read reviews on AOL Autos.](#)

---

Get more from your digital life. [Find out how.](#)



Gavin Newsom, Mayor  
Edward D. Reiskin, Director

July 29, 2008

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
Room 244, City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102-4845

Subject: Quarterly Report of the Department of Public Works  
Defective Sidewalk Repair Revolving Account

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Section 707 of the Public Works Code, attached is the Quarterly Report of the Department of Public Works Defective Sidewalk Repair Revolving Account for the period April 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Reiskin  
Director of Public Works

Attachment: As noted

CC: Main Library, Document Desk  
Mary Ellen Casey, BSM  
Robert Carlson, DDFMA  
Jocelyn Quintos  
Nini Leigh

9/9  
Phone: (415) 554-6920  
Fax: (415) 554-6944  
TDD: (415) 554-6900  
http://www.sfdpw.com

Department of Public Works  
Office of the Director  
City Hall, Room 348  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4645

16

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG -6 AM 10:56  
BY

RECEIVED  
08 AUG -11 AM 11:36  
DPW-ACCOUNTING

16

Department of Public Works  
Defective Sidewalk Repair Account  
Revolving Fund (Fund Type 2S)  
Quarterly Report  
June 30, 2008

	<u>Sidewalk Abatements</u>
Beginning Cash Balance April 1, 2008	\$153,001
FY 98-99 Contract Retention	(4,537)
Ending Fund Balance (Calculated)	<u><u>\$148,464</u></u>

17

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG -6 PM 2:21

BY [Signature]

August 6, 2008

Cynthia G. Goldstein  
Senior Contract Compliance Officer  
City and County of San Francisco  
Human Rights Commission  
25 Van Ness Avenue – Suite 800  
San Francisco, California 94102-6033

Dear Cynthia,

I have attached a Waiver Request Form signed by Mr. Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. Director/CEO of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA).

The request is for an event that SFMTA will be hosting on Saturday, October 25, 2008 that honors MUNI Operators who have at least 15 years of safe driving in the City. It is important that we have an event that properly shows the City's appreciation for all that the MUNI operators do on a daily basis and without incident.


As you know, we have been working with the Hilton Hotel San Francisco for some time now to get the necessary documentation for permanent compliance status. We are almost there. The remaining documents include a Relocation Travel Policy and Summary Plan Description that informs the employees of their rights as a member of the Hilton San Francisco Family.

It is my understanding that these particular documents require in-house legal review, as well as, reprinting and distribution. something that is typically done in February of each year. The Human Rights Commission has all of the documentation thus far including the union agreements, health plan agreements, etc.

Given that we are very close to an agreement and that the one hotel in the City that you suggested – The Hotel Whitcomb on Market Street –is not available for that date, I am respectfully requesting a waiver for this event.

I have a forwarded a copy of the enclosed documents to the Board of Supervisors for their review and hope that you see fit to permit a waiver so that we can continue the planning process for this very important event.

Thank you for your consideration.



Barbara Kolesar  
Principal Administrative Analyst  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

cc: Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

17



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

## S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY	
Request Number:	

### ► Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: *Antonio P. Padilla*  
 Name of Department: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency  
 Department Address: 1 South Van Ness Avenue 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Contact Person: Barbara Kolesar  
 Phone Number: 415 701-4476 Fax Number: 415 701-4735

### ► Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: San Francisco Hilton Hotel Contact Person: Don Griffin  
 Contractor Address: 333 O'Farrell Street, San Francisco, CA 94102  
 Vendor Number (if known): Contact Phone No.:

### ► Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 7/28/2008 Type of Contract: Event/Catering  
 Contract Start Date: End Date: Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 30,000.00

### ► Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B *Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.*

### ► Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: \$ 30,000.

**Kolesar, Barbara**

---

**From:** Tenzing Phentok [tphentok@hotelwhitcomb.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 06, 2008 10:52 AM  
**To:** Kolesar, Barbara  
**Cc:** Sharon Chism  
**Subject:** Hotel Whitcomb 10/25

Hello Barbara,

The Whitcomb Ballroom for October 25, 2008 is occupied.

If you have another date, we would be happy to assist you.

Thank you,

Tenzing

Tenzing Phentok  
Catering Coordinator  
Hotel Whitcomb  
1231 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94103  
Direct (415)487- 4478  
Fax (415) 487- 4489  
[TPhentok@HotelWhitcomb.com](mailto:TPhentok@HotelWhitcomb.com)  
[www.HotelWhitcomb.com](http://www.HotelWhitcomb.com)

9/9



**Richard  
Marshall/DHR/SFGOV**  
08/07/2008 12:32 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV  
cc Saru Cownan/DHR/SFGOV@SFGOV  
bcc  
Subject Copy of Waiver Request

Hi,

Attached for your information and in compliance with the HRC Waiver Request Form is a copy of the Waiver Request Form and Letter of Justification.

Thank you,

Richard Marshall, Senior Personnel Analyst  
San Francisco Department of Human Resources  
Public Safety Examinations Unit  
415-551-8943 Fax: 415-551-8945



HRCWaiverRequestForm&LetterofJustification.tif

17





# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

## S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number: _____

### Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: *[Signature]*

Name of Department: Department of Human Resources

Department Address: 44 Gough St., San Francisco 94103

Contact Person: Jaye Erickson

Phone Number: 551-8949

Fax Number: 551-8945

### Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Holiday Inn Fisherman's Wharf

Contact Person: Michael Trillo

Contractor Address: 1300 Columbus Ave. San Francisco

Vendor Number (if known):

Contact Phone No.: 415-273-4051

### Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 8/7/08

Type of Contract: Hotel Facilities Q60 Oral Exam

Contract Start Date: 11/9/08

End Date: 11/16/08

Dollar Amount of Contract: \$77702

### Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B *Note:* Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

### Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 8/7/08
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____

DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____

## CHECK LIST

**You must complete each of the steps below before submitting this form:**

- Attempt to get the contractor to comply with Administrative Code requirements. (Applies to Chapter 12B only.)
- Include a letter of justification explaining:
  - The purpose of the contract.
  - Your department's efforts to get the contractor to comply (for Chapter 12B waivers).
  - Why the contract fits the type of waiver being requested (for example, why it is a sole source).
- Answer all questions in Sections 1-3.
- Indicate (in Section 4) which Administrative Code Chapter(s) need to be waived.
- Indicate (in Section 5) which waiver type is being requested.
- For waiver types D, E and F, submit a copy of this form to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and indicate where requested on the form the date this was done.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Contract Duration:** Contracts entered into pursuant to a Chapter 12B waiver should be constructed for the shortest reasonable duration so that future contracts may be awarded to a Chapter 12B compliant contractor.

**Chapter 14B. Sole Source, Emergency and LBE Waivers:** Only the bid discounts and departmental good faith outreach efforts requirements of Chapter 14B may be waived. All other provisions of this Chapter still will be in force even if this type of waiver has been granted.

**Chapter 14B. Subcontracting Waivers:** Only the subcontracting goals may be waived. All other provisions of this Chapter still will be in force even if this type of waiver has been granted.

**Waiver Types D, E and F:** These waiver types have additional requirements:

1. The contracting department must notify the Board of Supervisor's that it has requested a waiver of this type.
2. The department must notify the HRC that it has used a waiver granted under one of these provisions. Such notification should take place within five days of the date of use by submitting to the HRC a copy of the approved waiver with the "Department Action" box completed.
3. Departments exercising waiver authority under one of these provisions must appear before a Board of Supervisors committee and report on their use of such waiver authority.

**All modifications** to waived contracts that increase the dollar amount of the contract must have prior HRC approval.

- ✓ **Additional copies** of this form may be downloaded at the Forms Center on the City's intranet at: <http://intranet/>.
- ✓ **Read the Quick Reference Guide to HRC Waivers for more information;** copies are available at the Forms Center on the City's intranet at: <http://intranet/>.
- ➔ **Send completed waiver requests to:** HRC, 25 Van Ness Ave., Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94102-6033.
- ☎ **For further assistance,** contact the HRC at 415-252-2500.



Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

Micki Callahan  
Human Resources Director

**LETTER OF JUSTIFICATION**

Attached is a Waiver Approval Request Form for the use of the Holiday Inn Fisherman's Wharf to administer the Q-60, Police Lieutenant Oral Examination.

In 1994 the San Francisco Police Department was mandated to maintain a full staffing level in the ranks of uniformed personnel. Since that time, the Public Safety Examinations Unit has administered a series of entry-level and promotional examinations for sworn ranks within the Police Department. It has been an on-going issue to find facilities that comply with Administrative Code Sections 12B and 12C and that satisfy the needs of the testing unit.

Because of the volume of candidates taking the examination, it is necessary to use a facility that has a large number of rooms conducive to the needs of the testing unit, i.e. for this administration of the test, 77 hotel rooms are needed to administer the oral interviews over a seven-day period. Additionally, meeting room space is needed to train the 50 raters that will be administering the test and space is needed to administer orientation to the candidates. It is imperative for the testing process that the facility has comprehensive security measures in place that will forestall a breach of the testing process. In the past, this has posed a difficult problem for the facilities to implement. The facility must be able to assure a standardized testing environment for all candidates and raters. Without these measures, the examination may be compromised at great expense and liability to the City.

The testing unit has surveyed numerous potential sites to determine those most suitable for the administration of the examinations. Repeatedly, the Holiday Inn emerges as one of the hotels that most satisfies the above-stated criteria. However, Holiday Inn does not comply with Sections 12B and 12C of the Administrative Code. The hotels that do comply with these Administrative Code Sections are inadequate for the needs of Public Safety testing: they do not have enough rooms for testing; they do not have sufficient space for training; they do not have sufficient catering services; they do not have acceptable security measures; and they do not have adequate parking. Therefore, we request that the Holiday Inn Fisherman's Wharf be approved as the test site for the Q-60 Police Lieutenant Oral Examination scheduled for administration in November 2008.

The Public Safety Examinations Unit has been contacting the Holiday Inn Fisherman's Wharf on an on-going basis to encourage them to implement equal benefits for domestic partners. At this time, Domestic Partnership benefits are offered to local Holiday Inn employees within the City and County of San Francisco.

If you have any questions, please contact Jaye Erickson in the Public Safety Examinations Unit at (415) 551-8945.

Thank you for your immediate consideration in this matter.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "BWTopp".

Bruce Topp  
Director  
Public Safety Examinations Unit

9/9



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

## S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY

Request Number: \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 26 PM 3:51A

BY: *[Signature]*

### Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: *Kevin Bausy*

Name of Department: SF WATER DEPT.-CDD #47

Department Address: 1990 Newcomb Ave., SF CA 94124

Contact Person: Gil Leon

Phone Number: 415-920-4018 Fax Number: 415-550-2784

### Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Northern Energy Vendor No.: 67958

Contractor Address: 1155 North 15th St., San Jose, CA 95112

Contact Person: Julie Contact Phone No.: 408-293-8912

### Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 8/6/08 Type of Contract: PURCHASE

Contract Start Date: 8/14/08 End Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 2126.60

### Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

Chapter 12B

Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

### Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

A. Sole Source

B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)

C. Public Entity

D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_

E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of this request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_

F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_

G. Subcontracting Goals

H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____

**DEPARTMENT ACTION** – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.

Date Waiver Granted: \_\_\_\_\_ Contract Dollar Amount: \_\_\_\_\_

HRC-201.pdf (8-06)

Copies of this form are available at: \_\_\_\_\_

67

08/18/2008 13:06

4155583463

SFFD HR

PAGE 01/03

9/9

SAN FRANCISCO



FIRE DEPARTMENT

TELEFAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

BY SM  
2008 AUG 18 PM 2:22

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

DATE: August 18, 2008  
TO: Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
FAX NUMBER: (415) 554-5163  
FROM: Doug Stoddard, Personnel Analyst

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES (including cover sheet): 3

COMMENTS: I am providing you with a copy of a waiver request that the Fire Department submitted to the Human Rights Commission to rent sleeping rooms at the Sheraton Fisherman's Wharf Hotel for the administration of the second component of the H-20 Lieutenant examination. Also attached is a letter of justification from Joanne Hayes-White, Chief of Department.

Please call me at 558-3614 if you have any questions or require further information.

**FIRE SERVICES EXAMINATION UNIT**  
**698 Second Street, Room 209**  
**San Francisco, CA 94107**  
Voice Line: (415) 558-3610  
Telefax Line: (415) 558-3463

17

08/18/2008 13:06

4155583463

SFFD HR

PAGE 02/03

JOANNE HAYES-WHITE  
CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT



GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

**SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT**  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

August 18, 2008

Cynthia Goldstein  
Human Rights Commission  
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 800  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Goldstein:

I respectfully request that the Human Rights Commission grant a waiver of requirements of Chapter 12B (Nondiscrimination in Contracts and Benefits) for a contract with Sheraton Fisherman's Wharf to rent sleeping rooms for the administration of the H-20 Lieutenant examination. A waiver request form for that facility is enclosed.

Members of my staff have contacted the Marriott Courtyard at Oyster Point and the Hotel Whitcomb (the only local hotels that are compliant). Neither facility was able to accommodate our request for sleeping rooms on such short notice. Therefore, we are unable to consider using those facilities for these particular events.

The examination process requires the assistance of fire officers from other jurisdictions. Due to a recent administrative revision in the process, the number of officers required was increased, thus necessitating contracting for additional sleeping rooms. Fire Department staff surveyed an extensive number of hotels in the area, and the Sheraton Fisherman's Wharf was the only facility that could accommodate our request for the specific dates. Staff has advised personnel at the hotel to contact the Human Rights Commission regarding the procedure for compliance certification.

I appreciate your favorable consideration of this request. If you have any questions or require further information, please contact Jesusa Bushong, Departmental Personnel Officer, at (415) 558-3615.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Joanne Hayes-White".

Joanne M. Hayes-White  
Chief of Department

Enclosure

cc: Jesusa Bushong, Departmental Personnel Officer



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

## S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B

### WAIVER REQUEST FORM

(HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY	
Request Number:	

#### ► Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: *[Signature]*

Name of Department: FIRE 08/18/08

Department Address: 698 Second Street, San Francisco, CA 94107

Contact Person: Doug Stoddard, Personnel Analyst

Phone Number: (415) 558-3614 Fax Number: (415) 558-3463

#### ► Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Sheraton Fisherman's Wharf Hotel Contact Person: Tony Chappell

Contractor Address: 2500 Mason St, San Francisco, CA 94133

Vendor Number (if known): C00559 Contact Phone No.: (415) 627-6297

#### ► Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 08/18/08 Type of Contract: Purchase Order

Contract Start Date: 08/19/08 End Date: 08/25/08 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$22,470.00

#### ► Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B *Note:* Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

#### ► Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 08/18/08
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____
<b>DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E &amp; F.</b>	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____

AUG-18-2008 10:48

D H S, O.C.M

415 557 5679 P.002

9/9

# City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom, Mayor

# Human Services Agency

Department of Human Services  
Department of Aging and Adult Services

Trent Rhorer, Executive Director

From: Philip Wong  
 To: Cynthia Goldstein  
 Date: August 18, 2008  
 Subject: Request Waiver for use of Harcourt Assessment Inc.

RECEIVED  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 SAN FRANCISCO  
 2008 AUG 18 AM 11:10  
 RW

Cynthia, the Human Services Agency requests a waiver to purchase some psychological testing materials from NCS Pearson, Inc. The items we need to purchase are only available from them. The items have to deal with the **MPPI-2 (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2)** test. It is a structured personality test that provides information that assists a clinician in conducting diagnostic assessment of clients.

For any clarification on these items, please contact Dr. Thomas Neill (558-1375) who is requesting these items.

Philip Wong  
 HAS Purchasing Unit  
 Tel: 415-557-5115 • Fax; 415-557-5679  
 Email: [philip.wong@sfgov.org](mailto:philip.wong@sfgov.org)





AUG-18-2008 10:47

D H S, O.C.M

415 557 5679 P.001




# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

## S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B

### WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

<b>FOR HRC USE ONLY</b>
Request Number: _____

#### ► Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: 

Name of Department: Human Services Agency

Department Address: 170 Otis Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

Contact Person: Philip Wong

Phone Number: 415-557-5115 Fax Number: 415-557-5679

#### ► Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: NCS PEARSON, INC. Contact Person: KAREN BANNON

Contractor Address: 5601 GREEN VALLEY DRIVE, 4<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR, BLOOMINGTON, MN 55437-1099

Vendor Number (if known): 91210 Contact Phone No.: 800-627-7271 x 3090

#### ► Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: August 18, 2008 Type of Contract: Prop Q

Contract Start Date: 8/22/08 End Date: 6/30/09 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$4,000

#### ► Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)


- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B *Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.*

#### ► Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 8/18/08
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

<b>HRC ACTION</b>	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____
<b>DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E &amp; F.</b>	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____

18



**Linda Wong/BOS/SFGOV**  
08/06/2008 01:59 PM

To  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Fw: FY 2008-09 AAO & Turnaround Reports. Budget Certification Letter

Masood Ordikhani  
----- Original Message -----

**From:** Masood Ordikhani  
**Sent:** 08/06/2008 12:39 PM PDT  
**To:** Kate Howard; Jenny Louie; Richard Kurylo; Angela Calvillo  
**Cc:** Nani Coloretti; Chris Iglesias; Thomas DiSanto  
**Subject:** Re: FY 2008-09 AAO & Turnaround Reports. Budget Certification Letter

Please find HRC's Budget Certification Letter for FY09 attached. (A hardcopy of the letter has also been sent to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Office, and Controller).

Thank you all for your hard work.



HRC FY 2008-09 Budget Certification Letter.pdf

Masood Ordikhani, Esq.  
Deputy Director  
City and County of San Francisco  
Human Rights Commission  
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 800  
San Francisco, CA 94102-6033  
Phone: 415-252-2520  
Fax: 415-431-5764  
Email: Masood.Ordikhani@sfgov.org  
<http://sfgov.org/sfhumanrights>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use, or distribution of the information included in this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

Nadia Feeser/CON/SFGOV



**Nadia Feeser/CON/SFGOV**  
08/06/2008 11:07 AM

To CON- Budget Contacts/CON/SFGOV, CON-Finance Officers/CON/SFGOV  
cc Thomas DiSanto/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Leo Levenson/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Michelle Allersma/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Cynthia Czerwin/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Rick Wilson/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Richard Kurylo/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Nani Coloretti/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Greg Wagner/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jenny

18



Louie/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Starr  
Terrell/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate  
Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Mike  
Fernandez/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV  
Subject FY 2008-09 AAO & Turnaround Reports. Budget  
Certification Letter

To Department Heads and Fiscal Directors,

Congratulations on completing the FY 2008-09 Budget!

**Reports available for pick-up**

The **FY 2008-09 Final Annual Appropriation Ordinances (AAO)** and **budget turnaround reports** are now available for pick-up in City Hall, Room 312. Two copies of the AAO and one turnaround report have been ordered for each department. Once this initial distribution is completed, additional AAOs will be available and additional turnaround reports requested by individual departments will be available this week.

**Budget Certification Letter**

Also, per San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, it is the duty of each department or agency head to certify his/her commitment to the responsible management of their department budget. Administrative Code Section 3.14 states:

"The head of each agency shall, within 30 days of the adoption of the annual budget by the Board of Supervisors, by letter addressed to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and Controller, agree that funding provided is adequate for his or her department, board commission or agency."

Please submit your budget certification letters **as soon as possible**, but no later than August 28, 2008. Please send a letter to each of the following departments: Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Office, and the Controller. A template has been provided to assist with this letter.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact your respective Controller's Office Budget Analyst. [attachment "Sample Certification Letter.doc" deleted by Masood Ordikhani/HRC/SFGOV]

Thank you!

Nadia Feeser  
Pr. Admin. Analyst - Budget & Analysis  
Controller's Office, Budget Division  
Ph: (415) 554-5247  
Fax: (415) 554-7466

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

Human Rights Commission

Contract Compliance  
Dispute Resolution/Fair Housing  
Small Micro Local Business Enterprise  
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender & HIV Discrimination

Chris Iglesias  
Executive Director

August 5, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

  
Chris Iglesias  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division



25 Van Ness Avenue  
Suite 800  
San Francisco  
California 94102-6033



TEL (415) 252-2500  
FAX (415) 431-5764  
TDD (415) 252-2550  
[www.sfgov.org/sfhumanrights](http://www.sfgov.org/sfhumanrights)



9/9




Chris Vein  
Chief Information Officer  
Telephone: (415) 581-4001

19

August 5, 2008

Honorable Supervisor Aaron Peskin  
President of the Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
Room 244, City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 6 AM 10: 56  
BY 

Honorable Supervisor Jake McGoldrick  
Chair, Board of Supervisors Budget and Finance Committee  
City and County of San Francisco  
Room 244, City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B, Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Gentlemen:

Recent events have resulted in the determination that administration of the City's Fiber Network, and potentially administrative control of some departmental networks, has been compromised. As a result, immediate funding is required for assessment and remediation of the situation and necessary emergency procurement procedures have commenced.

We are working diligently, in coordination with the Mayor's Budget Office, to identify available funding with our budgeted resources. However, the extent of the breach and necessary funding requirements will not be fully known until the initial assessment is completed in the coming weeks. I believe it is likely that the Department will be seeking a supplemental appropriation within the fiscal year to address one-time and ongoing funding requirements.

Sincerely,

Chris Vein  
Chief Information Officer

- cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
- Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Budget Director
- Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
- Edwin Lee, City Administrator
- Ben Rosenfield, Controller

19

9/9



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

August 11, 2008

20

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: **Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009**

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 15 AM 8:27  
BY \_\_\_\_\_

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the Planning Department's budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

John Rahaim  
Director of Planning

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

20

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 13 PM 1:25  
BY SW



San Francisco International Airport

9/9

August 7, 2008

P.O. Box 8097  
San Francisco, CA 94128  
Tel 650.821.5000  
Fax 650.821.5005  
www.flysfo.com

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/09 for the Airport  
Commission

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and  
Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for  
Fiscal Year 2008/09 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my  
department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations to the  
Airport's FY 2008/09 Operating Budget, barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

John L. Martin  
Airport Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

- AIRPORT  
COMMISSION
- CITY AND COUNTY  
OF SAN FRANCISCO
- GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR
- LARRY MAZZOLA  
PRESIDENT
- LINDA S. CRAYTON  
VICE PRESIDENT
- CARYL ITO
- ELEANOR JOHNS
- RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME
- JOHN L. MARTIN  
AIRPORT DIRECTOR

9/9

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

August 13, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Controller's Office  
City Hall, Room 316

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 14 PM 2:07  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ *FW*

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

*Madeleine Ficaroli*  
for Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

c: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Tom DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division



9/9

City and County of San Francisco

Adult Probation Department

Hall of Justice



Protecting the Community, Serving Justice and Changing Lives

PATRICK J. BOYD

Chief Adult Probation Officer

August 12, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 25 PM 3:13  
BY [Signature]

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Patrick J. Boyd  
Chief Adult Probation Officer

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

9/9

San Francisco Public Library 2008 AUG 14 AM 11:36

BY SW

August 12, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

  
Luis Herrera  
City Librarian

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

9/9

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

Human Rights Commission

Contract Compliance  
Dispute Resolution/Fair Housing  
Small Micro Local Business Enterprise  
Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender & HIV Discrimination

Chris Iglesias  
Executive Director

August 5, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:


I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

  
Chris Iglesias  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 14 AM 10:47  
BY 



25 Van Ness Avenue  
Suite 800  
San Francisco  
California 94102-6033



TEL (415) 252-2500  
FAX (415) 431-5764  
TDD (415) 252-2550  
[www.sfgov.org/sfhumanrights](http://www.sfgov.org/sfhumanrights)



9/9



**Marcia  
Bell/LAWLIBRARY/SFGOV**  
08/13/2008 03:46 PM

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Gavin  
To Newsom/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Controller  
CON/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Law Library Annual Certification letter

Please find enclosed the law library's annual certification letter for FY 08-09.

Thank you.



Annual Certification of funds 2008.doc

Marcia R. Bell, Director  
San Francisco Law Library  
401 Van Ness Avenue, Room 400  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
marcia.bell@sfgov.org  
415-554-6824  
www.sfgov.org/sfll

**San Francisco Law Library**  
**www.sfgov.org/sfll**  
**401 Van Ness Avenue, Room 400**  
**San Francisco, California 94102**  
**Direct line: 415-554-6824**  
**Fax 415-554-6194**

August 12, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Re: Adopted Budget for FY 2008-2009

Pursuant to its obligation under Administrative Code section 3.14 and Charter section 9.115 to certify the adequacy of funds provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008-09 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors, the Law Library hereby submits its certification.

I anticipate that the Law Library shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Marcia R. Bell  
Law Librarian

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

9/9

Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV

08/13/2008 04:02 PM

To "Linda Wong" <Linda.Wong@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: TTX's Budget Certification

Cpage

Tajel Shah

----- Original Message -----

From: Tajel Shah

Sent: 08/13/2008 03:10 PM PDT

To: Nani Coloretti; Mike Fernandez; Angela Calvillo; Thomas DiSanto

Cc: Pauline Marx; Jander Lacerda

Subject: TTX's Budget Certification

Hello,

Attached is TTX's Budget Certification letter.



If you need a hardcopy, please let me know. TTX\_Certification\_letter.pdf

- Tajel

Tajel Shah  
Budget & Administration  
Office of Treasurer and Tax Collector  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall - Room 140  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638  
415/554-4506 (phone)  
415/554-4672 (fax)

20

**Office of the Treasurer  
& Tax Collector**

**City and County of San Francisco**

City Hall, Room 140

#1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102



**José Cisneros, Treasurer**

Phone: (415) 554-4478

August 11, 2008

The Honorable Mayor Gavin Newsom  
City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

However, the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector is concerned that some costs related to the transfer of DTIS functions to our office were not accounted for in the area of salaries. Specifically, several of the transferred employees receive standby pay and one receives a salary differential. These costs were not included in our budget. In addition, there are several new fees and a parcel tax that were passed after the budget was adopted, which our office will be collecting. The costs associated with these collections were also not accounted for in our budget.

Our office is working diligently to make sure that none of these issues has an adverse effect. Some of our strategies include workorders with departments in order to conduct the fee collection; abatement of IT salary as related to IT requests from other departments that are not part of a workorder; and even greater controls on hiring.

Despite these issues, I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "José Cisneros", written over a horizontal line.

José Cisneros  
Treasurer

cc: Nani Coloretti & Mike Fernandez, Mayor's Office of Budget  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office -- Budget & Analysis Division

City and County of San Francisco

Gavin Newsom

Mayor



9/9  
Department of Human Resources

Micki Callahan

Human Resources Director

August 7, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
Office of the Controller  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ms. Calvillo and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Micki Callahan", written over a horizontal line.

Micki Callahan  
Human Resources Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office - Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 7 PM 3:16  
BY Handwritten initials in black ink, possibly "DM", written over a horizontal line.

Handwritten initials in black ink, possibly "eb", written in a circle.





**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**  
**OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**

**Ben Rosenfield**  
**Controller**

**Monique Zmuda**  
**Deputy Controller**

August 5, 2008

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

**RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2008-09**

9/9

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 6 PM 2:43  
BY SM

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administration Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2008-09 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed by the Board.

I anticipate that I will make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

cc: Nani Coloretti, Budget Director, Mayor's Office  
Monique Zmuda, Deputy Controller  
Jeannie Wong, Director of Finance & Administration, Controller's Office



**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**  
**OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**

**Ben Rosenfield**  
**Controller**

**Monique Zmuda**  
**Deputy Controller**

August 5, 2008

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

**RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2008-09**

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 6 PM 2:44  
BY SJ

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administration Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2008-09 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed by the Board.

I anticipate that I will make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

cc: Nani Coloretti, Budget Director, Mayor's Office  
Monique Zmuda, Deputy Controller  
Jeannie Wong, Director of Finance & Administration, Controller's Office



9/9  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG -7 AM 9:57  
BY SW

Superior Court of California  
County of San Francisco

GORDON PARK-LI  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

August 6, 2008

Hon. Gavin Newsom, Mayor  
City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

**Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

As you are aware, the Superior Court, as the local judicial branch of government, is funded by the State of California for court operations as defined in Government Code Section 77003, while the City funds the Court to provide non-court operations. I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the City's budget for Fiscal Year 2008-09, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors and executed by the Mayor, is adequate for the Court to meet the service levels of City-funded, non-court operations as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations for existing service levels of City-funded, non-court operations. However, it should be noted that a current extraordinary, high profile criminal case may necessitate a supplemental appropriation request at mid-year to provide constitutionally-mandated indigent defense services.

Very truly yours,

Gordon Park-Li  
Chief Executive Officer

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

9/9



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES

617 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3503 Tel. (415) 356-2700  
Child Support Automated Information System 1-888-823-2734



GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

KAREN M. ROYE  
DIRECTOR

August 7, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

KAREN M. ROYE  
Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG -8 PM 3:28  
BY



City and County of San Francisco  
Juvenile Probation Department

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG -8 PM 12:35

BY RC  
375 Woodside Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94127  
(415) 753-7800

William P. Siffermann  
Chief Probation Officer

August 5, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.


I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours

William P. Siffermann  
Chief Probation Officer

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office - Budget & Analysis Division

9/9



# ETHICS COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SUSAN J. HARRIMAN  
CHAIRPERSON

EMI GUSUKUMA  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON

EILEEN HANSEN  
COMMISSIONER

JAMIENNE S. STUDLEY  
COMMISSIONER

CHARLES L. WARD  
COMMISSIONER

JOHN ST. CROIX  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

August 8, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

John St. Croix  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

S:\Budget\08-09 Budget\Admin 3.14 certification.doc

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 11 AM 10:31  
BY

20

9/9



City and County of San Francisco

# Department on the Status of Women

Mayor Gavin Newsom

Executive Director Emily M. Murase, PhD

August 6, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Emily M. Murase, PhD  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 12 AM 9:56  
BY

88




**Linda Wong/BOS/SFGOV**

08/13/2008 08:36 AM

To  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Fw: Budget Acceptance Letter

----- Forwarded by Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV on 08/12/2008 10:39 AM -----



**Eugene Clendinen/DA/SFGOV**

08/08/2008 11:10 AM

To Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV, Nani Coloretti/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Thomas DiSanto/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV  
cc Richard Kurylo/CON/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kate Howard/MAYOR/SFGOV@SFGOV, Anh Castro/DA/SFGOV@SFGOV  
Subject Budget Acceptance Letter

All,

Attached is the 08-09 Budget Acceptance Letter signed by the District Attorney.



Budget Acceptance Letter.PDF

Eugene G. Clendinen  
Chief Financial Officer  
Office of District Attorney Kamala D. Harris  
850 Bryant Street, Rm 313  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
Phone: (415) 553-1895  
Fax: (415) 553-9700  
Eugene.Clendinen@sfgov.org

The information contained in this electronic message may be confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please delete the original message from your e-mail system. Thank you.





KAMALA D. HARRIS  
District Attorney

August 8, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

Sincerely,

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
District Attorney  
City and County of San Francisco



9/9  
John Arntz  
Director

August 12, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:


I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

  
John Arntz  
Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 13 PM 3:26  
BY 

9/9



August 12, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

✓ Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Monique Moyer  
Executive Director

cc: Noelle Simmons, Mayor's Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 13 PM 3:26  
BY

8



City and County of San Francisco  
Recreation and Park Department

McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park

501 Stanyan Street, San Francisco, CA 94117

TEL: 415.831.2700 FAX: 415.831.2096 WEB: <http://parks.sfgov.org>

9/9

August 11, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008 – 2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008 – 2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for the Recreation and Park Department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Yemi Agunbiade  
General Manager

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 13 PM 3:26  
BY



Mayor Gavin Newsom  
General Manager Yemi Agunbiade

20

9/9

# San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

Owned and Operated by the  
City and County of San Francisco

War Memorial Veterans Building  
Herbst Theatre/Green Room  
War Memorial Opera House  
Louise M. Davies Symphony Hall  
Harold L. Zellerbach Rehearsal Hall

401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 110  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone 415/621-6600  
FAX 415/621-5091

August 11, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

✓ Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for the War Memorial department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.


I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,




Elizabeth Murray  
Managing Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 14 AM 9:53  
BY 

20



9/9



Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

August 13, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring any unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Amy P. Hart, M.D.  
Chief Medical Examiner

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division  
Edwin Lee, General Services Agency  
Ara Minasian, General Services Agency

APH:nf

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 14 AM 9:53  
BY





Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

**Department of Emergency Management**  
1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Division of Emergency Communications  
Phone: (415) 558-3800 Fax: (415) 558-3843

Division of Emergency Services  
Phone: (415) 558-2700 Fax: (415) 503-2098



Vicki L. Hennessy  
Executive Director

9/9

August 13, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Vicki Hennessy  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 15 AM 10:43  
BY

City and County of San Francisco

Human Services Agency

Department of Human Services  
Department of Aging and Adult Services

Trent Rhorer, Executive Director



Gavin Newsom, Mayor

August 22, 2008

Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City Hall, Room 316

9/19  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 22 PM 3:35  
BY SRD

RE: Adopted Budget for FY 2008-09


Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for FY 2008-09 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I have also updated information pertaining to membership in professional organizations contracts for professional services and advertising.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

 (for)

Trent Rhorer,  
Executive Director

cc: Mayor's Budget Director

20



9/9

ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
MICHAEL COHEN, DIRECTOR



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
GAVIN NEWSOM, MAYOR

August 21, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 22 PM 3:35  
BY \_\_\_\_\_

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Michael Cohen  
Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

20

9/9

City and County of San Francisco

Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board




August 22, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 25 AM 10:08  
BY 

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.


I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Delene Wolf  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office - Budget & Analysis Division



 20

9/9

City and County of San Francisco



Phone: (415) 554-6920  
Fax: (415) 554-6944  
TDD: (415) 554-6900  
www.sfgov.org/dpw



Gavin Newsom, Mayor  
Edward D. Reiskin, Director

Department of Public Works  
Office of the Director  
City Hall, Room 348  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4645

August 19, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 19 PM 2:10  
BY SN

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Edward D. Reiskin  
Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

20

City and County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom, Mayor  
Edward D. Reiskin, Director

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG 22 AM 11:05

BY

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "SW", written over a horizontal line.



Phone: (415) 554-6920  
Fax: (415) 554-6944  
TDD: (415) 554-6900  
www.sfgov.org/dpw

Department of Public Works  
Office of the Director  
City Hall, Room 348  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4645

August 19, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "E. Reiskin", written in a cursive style.

Edward D. Reiskin  
Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE ASSESSOR-RECORDER  
SAN FRANCISCO



PHIL TING  
ASSESSOR-RECORDER

9/9

August 15, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Phil Ting", written over a horizontal line.

Phil Ting  
Assessor-Recorder

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 19 AM 10:30  
BY A vertical stamp with the word "RECEIVED" at the top, followed by "BOARD OF SUPERVISORS" and "SAN FRANCISCO". Below this is the date and time "2008 AUG 19 AM 10:30". To the left of the date is the word "BY" followed by a handwritten signature.

20

# OFFICE OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS

9/9

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO




Joyce M. Hicks  
Executive Director

August 8, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 19 AM 10:29  
BY 

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Joyce M. Hicks  
Executive Director

JMH/pt

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

20

9/9

Gavin Newsom | Mayor  
Rev. Dr. James McCray Jr. | Chairman  
Tom Nolan | Vice-Chairman  
Cameron Beach | Director  
Shirley Breyer Black | Director  
Malcolm Heinicke | Director  
Jerry Lee | Director  
Bruce Oka | Director  
Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

August 11, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Years 2008/2009 and 2009/2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Years 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.


I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,



Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr.  
Executive Director/CEO

cc: Sonali Bose, Director/CFO, SFMTA

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 21 AM 9:43  
BY 

20

AUG-19-2008 13:51

MTA AND FLEET PROCUREMENT

415 554 3453 P.01

**SFMTA** | Municipal Transportation Agency

Gavin Newsom | Mayor  
Rev. Dr. James McCray Jr. | Chairman  
Tom Nolan | Vice-Chairman  
Cameron Beach | Director  
Shirley Breyer Black | Director  
Malcolm Heinicke | Director  
Jerry Lee | Director  
Bruce Oka | Director  
Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

# FAX

<b>FROM:</b>	Sonali Bose Shahin Shaikh	<b>TO:</b>	Angela Calvillo
<b>FAX:</b>	(415) 701-4341	<b>FAX:</b>	(415) 554-5163
<b>PHONE:</b>	(415)701-4510	<b>PHONE:</b>	(415) 554-5184
<b>SUBJECT:</b> Adopted Budget For Fiscal Years 2008/2009 and 2009/2010			

Comments: Copy to follow in the mail.



AUG-19-2008 13:51

MTA AND FLEET PROCUREMENT

415 554 3453 P.02

**MTA** Municipal Transportation Agency

Gavin Newsom | Mayor  
Rev. Dr. James McCray Jr. | Chairman  
Tom Nolan | Vice-Chairman  
Cameron Beach | Director  
Shirley Breyer Black | Director  
Malcolm Heinicke | Director  
Jerry Lee | Director  
Bruce Oka | Director  
Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

August 11, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Years 2008/2009 and 2009/2010

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Years 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,



Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr.  
Executive Director/CEO

cc: Sonali Bose, Director/CFO, SFMTA

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency  
San Francisco Municipal Railway | Department of Parking & Traffic  
One South Van Ness Avenue, Seventh Fl. San Francisco, CA 94103 | Tel: 415 701 4500 | Fax: 415 701 4400 | www.sfmta.com

TOTAL P.02

9/9

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY



DENNIS J. HERRERA  
City Attorney

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4748

August 15, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Attn: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Ben Rosenfield, Controller  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 316  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 18 PM 4:20  
BY [Signature]

Re: Adopted Budget for 2008- 2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter section 9.115 and Administrative Code section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

If client departments use services beyond those contemplated during the budget process, I anticipate they will reimburse my department for the actual costs incurred. Additionally, I anticipate my department will request appropriations of attorney's fees and costs recovered from non-City sources.

Very truly yours,

DENNIS J. HERRERA  
City Attorney

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Budget Office  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

(20)

MAYOR'S OFFICE OF COMMUNITY INVESTMENT  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

9/9



GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

ADRIENNE PON  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

August 20, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Adrienne Pon  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 27 PM 3:01  
BY SM

9/9

MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

DOUGLAS SHOEMAKER  
ACTING DIRECTOR

August 13, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Douglas Shoemaker  
Acting Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office - Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 27 PM 3:01  
BY [Signature]

9/9

# City and County of San Francisco

## OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF



Michael Hennessey  
SHERIFF

415 - 554 - 7225

August 27, 2008  
Reference: 2008-090

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 28 PM 1:10  
BY SWD

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14, that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board with four exceptions. The first exception is an increase in jail population. If jail population exceeds existing capacity, additional overtime will be needed to open more housing units for which we are not presently funded. The second exception is any increased costs associated with requests from the Police Department for staff support beyond our 2008-2009 budget of \$128,547. The third exception is possible reductions of two state grants, Standards and Training for Corrections (STC) grant and Peace Officer Standards and Training grant (POST). Our current budget assumes \$677,000 in these grants to offset the cost of mandated trainings. We will need additional funding should reductions in these grants occur. The final exception is the costs associated with the supply of ordnance. We estimated the cost of the ordnance to be \$586,300 to meet operational needs and to comply with Memorandum of Understanding requirements. However, the cost of ordnance continues to escalate and therefore, the budget may be inadequate.

With these exceptions, I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Hennessey". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping initial "M".

Michael Hennessey  
Sheriff

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

City and County of San Francisco



San Francisco City and County  
Employees' Retirement System  
Office of The Executive Director

August 27, 2008

Due by: August 28, 2008

Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
City Hall Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Edward Harrington, Controller  
City Hall Controller's Office, Room 316  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008-09

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with San Francisco Charter Section 9.115 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008-09 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Clare M. Murphy  
Executive Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Budget Director - Office of Public Policy & Finance  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office - Budget & Analysis Division

919  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 29 PM 3:25  
BY

20

9/9



August 18, 2008


Fine Arts  
Museums of  
San Francisco  
  
de Young  
Legion of Honor

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 21 AM 10:36  
BY 

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

John E. Buchanan, Jr.  
Director of Museums

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

de Young  
Golden Gate Park  
50 Hagiwara Tea Garden Drive  
San Francisco, CA 94118-4502  
Tel 415.750.3600  
www.thinker.org

20





August 14, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694


Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

  
Vivian L. Day, CBO  
Acting Director, Department of Building Inspection

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 21 PM 1:29  
BY JD

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR  
1660 Mission Street – San Francisco CA 94103  
Office (415) 558-6131 – FAX (415) 558-6225 – [www.sfgov.org/dbi](http://www.sfgov.org/dbi)  
[Vivian.Day@sfgov.org](mailto:Vivian.Day@sfgov.org)

20



**GAVIN NEWSOM**  
MAYOR

**POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

THOMAS J. CAHILL HALL OF JUSTICE  
850 BRYANT STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103-4603



**HEATHER J. FONG**  
CHIEF OF POLICE

August 18, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors should be adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board. Due to the nature of the mission of the Police Department, this certification is made based on a series of assumptions which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- That there are no significant increases in service deployment, which are directly related to increases in serious criminal activity, natural disasters or elevated homeland security threat levels;
- That any legislative mandates related to re-deployment of Police Department resources include the appropriation of additional funds to address these mandates; and
- In light of the current police staffing situation and in order to maintain the staffing of critical assignments, the department may need to reallocate potential salary savings during the fiscal year to overtime if attrition significantly exceeds current projections.

Based on these assumptions, I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Heather J. Fong  
Chief of Police

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

9/9  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 20 PM 12:54  
BY \_\_\_\_\_

20

9/9



- COMMISSIONERS:
- Suzanne Giraudo**, Psychologist Clinical Director  
California Pacific Medical Center
  - Maria X. Martinez**, Deputy Director  
Community Programs, Department of Public Health
  - Betty Robinson-Harris**, Chair  
Child Development Committee  
School Improvement Committee/ER&D
  - Michele Rutherford**, Program Manager  
Child Care Policy & Planning  
Department of Human Services
  - Shannon Thyne**, Pediatrician, Medical Educator  
UCSF Department of Pediatrics
  - Nancy Lim Yee**, Psychiatric Social Worker, Program Director  
SF City & County Chinatown CDC

- Kara Dukakis**, Commission Chair  
Associate Director, Youth Data Archive  
Stanford University, John W. Gardner Center for  
Youth and their Communities
- September Jarrett**, Commission Vice Chair  
Policy and Planning Director,  
Department of Children, Youth and Their Families
- Laurel Kloomok**, Executive Director

August 11, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Sincerely,

Laurel Kloomok  
Director

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office -- Budget & Analysis Division

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 20 AM 11:16  
BY SK

20



**Office of the Public Defender**  
City and County of San Francisco

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG 20 AM 11:22

BY SW

9/9

Jeff Adachi  
Public Defender  
Teresa Caffese  
Chief Attorney

August 18, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom,  
Mayor, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Controller's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 312  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Subject: Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I hereby certify, in conformance with Charter Section 9.115 and Administrative Code Section 3.14 that the funding provided in the budget for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 as adopted by the Board of Supervisors is adequate for my department to meet service levels as proposed to the Board.

I anticipate that I shall make no requests for supplemental appropriations barring unforeseen circumstances.

Very truly yours,

Jeff Adachi  
Public Defender

cc: Nani Coloretti, Mayor's Office of Budget & Legislative Affairs  
Thomas DiSanto, Controller's Office – Budget & Analysis Division

20  
20



<johnstontj2002@>

08/07/2008 07:43 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

*C. Bar*  
**21**

Submitted on: 8/7/2008 7:43:56 AM

name: Timothy Johnston

phone:

comments: The Marian Residence is being closed. Please provide funding to keep this shelter for homeless women open until other funding sources can be found.

-----

---  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 71.131.189.8  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :



9/9

**MEMORANDUM**

22

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG - 7 AM 10:00  
BY *[Signature]*

**To:** Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
**From:** *[Signature]* Isam Hasenin, P.E., C.B.O., Director, Department of Building Inspection  
**Date:** August 6, 2008  
**Subject:** Sole Source Contract Reporting Requirement for FY 2007-08

In accordance with Sunshine Ordinance, Administrative Code Section 67.24(e), the Department of Building Inspection is submitting the following information.

The department entered into one sole source professional services contract during FY 2007-08.

Term	Vendor	Service	Amount	Reason
05/31/08 - 05/30/09	Oracle USA, Inc	Annual software maintenance and technical support for Oracle's database and application software	\$125,473	Proprietary software

Please contact Pamela Levin, Supervisor Administrative Service Division, at 558-6239 if you have any questions.

22



SAN FRANCISCO ARTS COMMISSION

9/9  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG 28 AM 11:46

BY SW

GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

MEMORANDUM

LUIS R. CANCEL  
DIRECTOR OF  
CULTURAL AFFAIRS

TO: Honorable Board of Supervisors  
C/O Clerk of the Board

FROM: Luis R. Cancel, Director of Cultural Affairs, Arts Commission

DATE: July 30, 2008 *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: FY 2007-08 Annual Report and Sole Source Contracts List

---

PROGRAMS  
CIVIC ART COLLECTION  
CIVIC DESIGN REVIEW  
COMMUNITY ARTS  
& EDUCATION  
CULTURAL EQUITY GRANTS  
PERFORMING ARTS  
PUBLIC ART  
STREET ARTISTS LICENSES

ARTS COMMISSION GALLERY  
401 VAN NESS AVENUE  
415.554.6080

WWW.SFARTSCOMMISSION.ORG  
ARTSCOMMISSION@SFGOV.ORG

In pursuance to Charter Section 4.103 and Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.24(e), please see the attached Annual Report and the Sole Source Contract List for the Arts Commission for the fiscal year 2007-08.

Attachments.



CITY AND COUNTY OF  
SAN FRANCISCO

<b>ARTS COMMISSION</b>						
<b>FY 07-08 ANNUAL REPORT</b>						
<b>Year Ending: June 30, 2008</b>						
Description		FY07-08 Budget	FY07-08 Actual	Encumbrance	Balance	Comment
<b>Subfund: 1G AGF AAA General Fund - Non-Project</b>						
<b>Index Code: 285004 Administration</b>						
001	Salaries	429,870	429,870	-	-	
013	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	115,719	115,719	-	-	
021	Non Personal Services	61,328	61,328	-	-	
081	Services of Other Depts	142,493	142,493	-	-	
Administration Total		749,410	749,410	-	-	No Balance
<b>Subfund: 1G AGF AAP General Fund - Annual Project</b>						
<b>1 Index Code: 28CAE050/PAR045 Cultural Centers</b>						
001	Salaries	68,834	76,419		(7,585)	
013	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	25,037	24,958		79	
021	Non Personal services	10	5,124	1,000	(6,114)	
038	City Grant Programs	2,121,229	2,053,939	14,607	52,683	
040	Materials & Supplies	-	720		(720)	
081	Services of Other Depts	139,449	139,449		-	
086	Expenditure Recovery	(441,229)	(387,279)		(53,950)	
Cultural Centers Total		1,913,330	1,913,330		-	No Balance
<b>2 Index Code: 28CAE051/PART01 Art Enrichment Project</b>						
001	Salaries	288,691	265,407		23,284	
013	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	71,367	70,042		1,325	
021	Non Personal services	104,483	459,046		(354,563)	
038	City Grant Programs	735,706	883,402		(147,696)	
040	Materials & Supplies	11,560	22,610		(11,050)	
06P	Programmatic Projects	488,700	-		488,700	
081	Services of Other Depts	152,357	152,357		-	
Art Enrichment Total		1,852,864	1,852,864		-	No Balance
<b>3 Index Code: 28CAE041/PAR041 GFTA PIC Program</b>						
021	Non Personal services	-	5134		(5,134)	
038	City Grant Programs	211,100	205,740		5,360	
040	Materials & Supplies	-	226		(226)	
CAE PIC Total		211,100	211,100		-	No Balance
<b>4 Index Code: 28CAE322/FAR322 Cultural Centers Facilities maintenance</b>						
06F	Facilities Maintenance	18,750	18,750		-	
060	Capital Outlay	22,000	22,000		-	
081	Services of Other Depts	591,185	591,185		-	
Facilities Maintenance Total		631,935	631,935		-	No Balance
<b>5 Index Code: 28COL211/FAR211 Collection Maintenance</b>						
021	Non Personal services	29,680	29,680		-	
06F	Facilities Maintenance	15,750	15,750		-	
060	Capital Outlay	140,250	140,250		-	
Collection Maintenance Total		185,680	185,680		-	No Balance
<b>6 Index Code: 28GAL050/PAR046 City Hall Exhibition</b>						
038	City Grant Programs	15,000	15,000		-	
Total		15,000	15,000		-	No Balance
<b>7 Index Code: 28POP004/PAR004 Symphony Orchestra</b>						
027	Personal Services	1,633,039	1,633,039		-	
Total		1,633,039	1,633,039		-	No Balance



<b>Subfund: 1G AGF ACP General Fund - Continuing Project</b>						
<b>1 Index Code: 28CEG197A/PAR197 Cultural Equity Grant</b>						
001	Salaries	173,413	182,668	-	(9,255)	
013	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	67,918	61,443		6,475	
021	Non Personal services	24,368	224,557		(200,189)	
038	City Grant Programs	3,031,069	2,827,386		203,683	
040	Materials & Supplies	758	3,275		(2,517)	
081	Services of Other Depts	37,000	35,197		1,803	
	CEG Total	3,334,526	3,334,526	-	-	No Balance
<b>Subfund: 1G AGF WOF Work Order Fund</b>						
<b>1 Index Code: 28CAE532/PAR532 WritersCorps Project</b>						
001	Salaries	58,152	57,567		585	
013	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	21,991	22,576		(585)	
021	Non Personal services	239,857	239,857		-	
086	Expenditure Recovery-Library	(70,000)	(70,000)		-	
086	Expenditure Recovery-DCYF	(250,000)	(250,000)		-	
	WritersCorps Total	-	-	-	-	No Balance
<b>2 Index Code: 28COL562/PAR562 Airport Civic Collection</b>						
001	Salaries	7,410	7,410		-	
013	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	2,590	2,590		-	
021	Non Personal services	20,000	20,000		-	
086	Expenditure Recovery	(30,000)	(30,000)		-	
	Collection Total	-	-	-	-	No Balance





SF Bay View  
<editor@sfbayview.com>  
08/06/2008 10:16 PM

To asumchai@sfbayview.com  
cc communityfirstcoalition@yahogroups.com  
board\_of\_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us  
bcc  
Subject Re: Helicopter Lands at Hunters Point Shipyard - Price of Fuel Too High!

23

So why does Homeland Security need to be "near San Francisco"? What are they securing us from ... us? Aren't the police helicopters bad enough?  
Mary

asumchai@sfbayview.com wrote:

Subject: CNP on Helicopter Landing at HPS on 8/6/08  
From: "McFadden, Patricia A CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West" <patricia.a.mcfadden@navy.mil>  
Date: Wed, 6 Aug 2008 16:41:57 -0700  
To: "Forman, Keith S CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West" <keith.s.forman@navy.mil>  
To: "Forman, Keith S CIV OASN (I&E) BRAC PMO West" <keith.s.forman@navy.mil>

A helicopter landed on Parcel E at the Hunters Point Shipyard (HPS) today at 9:30 a.m. The helicopter is operated by Homeland Security and stopped at HPS to save on fuel while they awaited orders. They needed to stay near San Francisco.

The Navy informed the pilot that we did not grant prior approval to land anywhere on HPS and that there are no approved helicopter landing areas on HPS. The Navy allowed them to remain until they received orders to proceed at 4:30 p.m. They landed on a road in a clear area, south of the landfill, where there were no obstructions and there was no impact to the environmental clean up areas.

If you have any questions about this, please contact Keith Forman at 415-308-1458 or \_\_\_\_\_

The recipients of this Community Notification Plan email are on the blind copy.

Date # 081087  
0, 2008



"Andy Cummings"  
<andy@sponsorwise.com>  
08/06/2008 10:44 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject FW: 2 Unit TIC Conversion Legislations

24

Hello,

I sent the below email to each of the supervisors plus the one at the bottom to Chris Daly. I will be further researching this topic and reaching out to any one that might be able to help.

Best regards,  
Andy

**Andy Cummings | Account Manager | Sponsorwise**  
161 W 25th Ave Suite 200, San Mateo, CA 94403  
t 650-212-7264 | c \_\_\_\_\_ | f 650-745-7354

---

**From:** Andy Cummings [mailto:andy@sponsorwise.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 06, 2008 10:16 AM

Dear Supervisor,

I am writing in hopes of a vote against the proposed legislation by Supervisor Daly that would stop 2 Unit TIC Owner Occupied buildings from bypassing the Condo Conversion Lottery in San Francisco.

I am a first time homebuyer about closing on a currently eligible 2 unit TIC property tomorrow, and will be putting my entire savings into my unit along with a friend of mine. We researched thoroughly the ability to condo convert before making the choice, and just found out about this proposed legislation yesterday, and, to say the least, we are pretty freaked out.

I have included below an email I sent to Chris Daly this morning expressing my concern.

Please let me know your thoughts if you have the chance. I hope that you and your fellow colleagues on the Board are listening closely to the community regarding this issue as it looks like everyone is sharing my concern (even though most are not directly affected like me).

Thanks in advance for any support on this issue.  
Best regards,  
Andy

**Andy Cummings | Account Manager | Sponsorwise**  
161 W 25th Ave Suite 200, San Mateo, CA 94403  
t 650-212-7264 | c 650-575-6165 | f 650-745-7354

---

**From:** Andy Cummings [mailto:andy@sponsorwise.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 06, 2008 9:08 AM  
**To:** 'Chris.Daly@SFGov.org'  
**Subject:** 2 Unit TIC Conversion Legislations

Dear Chris,

My name is Andy Cummings, I am 26 years old and am closing on a 2 Unit TIC building tomorrow. I have

24

put up my entire savings on this place which we chose very carefully because, amongst other things, it qualified for condo conversion within just one year.

It is a 2 unit building that is vacant with no evictions since 2004 and the two owners plan on living in each owner for many years (during which the plan was to condo convert). I just heard about your proposed legislation yesterday, after going through hell in this market to secure the property, and am now completely freaked out.

I am writing in hopes of changing your mind. While I hate to be selfish, I would at least ask that if the bill does pass, that it would only affect after the date it passes... I think this only seems fair.

Please let me know your thoughts if you have the chance. I will be taking any action possible in San Francisco to save my investment.

Best regards,  
Andy Cummings

Andy Cummings | Account Manager | Sponsorwise  
161 W 25th Ave Suite 200, San Mateo, CA 94403  
t 650-212-7264 | c [redacted] | f 650-745-7354





Poonam Whabi  
<poonam@designaction.org>

08/06/2008 01:16 PM

To SF Board of Supervisors <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc gavin.newsom@sfgov.org  
bcc  
Subject

25

Dear supervisors and mayor newsom

It's a disgrace that this nonviolent peaceful people protesting the chinese government's torture in tibet get treated with such violence in **OUR CITY!!!!**

<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/08/06/BA091269F9.DTL>  
<http://www.foxreno.com/news/17111364/detail.html>

**Please take a stand and let the consulate know that we are NOT okay with this in our city. What they are doing in tibet is illegal and immoral!!! please take a stand for sf and issue public comment condemning these actions!!!!**

Poonam

\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*

"Sustainability is another word for justice – for what is just is sustainable and what is unjust is not."

\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*

Poonam Whabi  
Graphic Designer  
Design Action Collective  
1710 Franklin Street #300  
Oakland, CA 94612  
(p) 510-452-1912

9/9



City and County of San Francisco  
Recreation and Park Department

McLaren Lodge in Golden Gate Park

501 Stanyan Street, San Francisco, CA 94117

TEL: 415.831.2776 FAX: 415.831.2098 WEB: <http://parks.sfgov.org>

26

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG -7 PM 3:04

BY [Signature]

July 31, 2008

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Please find attached the Recreation and Park Department's (RPD) report for the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of FY07-08 in response to the requirements of Resolution 157-99 Lead Poisoning Prevention. To date, RPD has completed assessment and abatement at **154** sites since program inception in 1999.

Surveys and abatement for four FY07-08 sites are completed or in process. One site, Kezar Pavilion requires abatement exceeding our budget; funding has been found to abate part of the building this fiscal year (it begins on 7/28/08), and next year's funding will be used to complete the abatement.

I hope that you and interested members of the public find that the Department's performance demonstrates our commitment to the health and well being of the children we serve. Please look for our next report in July 2008.

Thank you for your support of this important program. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions, comments or suggestion you have.

Sincerely,

Yomi Agunbiade  
General Manager

- Attachments:
1. FY 07-08 Implementation Plan, 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter Status Report
  2. FY 07-08 Site List
  3. Status Report for All Sites

Copy: The Honorable Chris Daly  
The Honorable Sophie Maxwell  
The Honorable Aaron Peskin  
K. Cohn, DPH, Children's Environmental Health Promotion  
N. Gendel, Healthy Children Organizing Project



Mayor Gavin Newsom  
General Manager Yomi Agunbiade

26

## Attachment 1. Implementation Plan Status Report



## 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter Status Report

### Plan Item

### Status

#### I. Hazard Identification and Control

**a) Site Prioritization**

The site prioritization list is revised after each cycle, usually the fiscal year. Prioritization is established from verified hazard reports, documented program use (departmental and day care), participant age, and presence of playgrounds or schoolyards.

FY07-08 site prioritization has been completed. As not all sites were completed this FY, the list will carry over into FY08-09.

**b) Survey**

Surveys have been completed for FY07-08.

**c) Abatement**

Abatement has been completed at three FY07-08 sites, is in progress at one, and is pending at one.

**d) Site Posting and Notification**

Each site has been or will be posted for abatement in advance so that staff and the public may be advised of the work to be performed.

#### II. Facilities Operations and Maintenance

**a) Periodic Inspection**

Periodic facility inspections are completed annually. This fiscal year, the completion rate was 83%. This fiscal year, classes were offered in October (4 people attended), November (1 person attended), March (3 people attended) and June (2 people attended). We hope to continue skill development of inspectors and expect this will improve the completion rate.

**b) Housekeeping**

Housekeeping as it relates to lead is addressed in the training course for periodic inspection and for maintenance work. In addition, custodial and administrative employees are reminded of this hazard and the steps to control it through our Safety Awareness Meeting program (discussed in Staff Training below).

**c) Staff Training**

Mandatory Lead SAMs are not scheduled for staff in this next training cycle (FY 08-09). The last year that this training was mandatory was calendar year 2005. Under the Department's Injury and Illness Prevention Program, this training is required every two years. We currently anticipate that the Lead SAM will be mandatory for FY09-10.

Lead training among Maintenance staff, which would allow them to perform lead-related work, was last conducted in February of 2000. Maintenance staff report that they have not performed lead work since that time. Should the need arise, maintenance staff will be re-trained prior to performing lead work.

Attachment 2. FY 07-08 Site List

Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
Golden Gate Park	Kezar Pavilion		Abatement begins 7/28/08	
Golden Gate Park	Kezar Stadium	07-08		
Golden Gate Park	Angler's Lodge		Abatement in process	
Golden Gate Park	Bandstand	07-08	No abatement needed.	
Golden Gate Park	Bowling Green	07-08		
King Pool	3rd/Armstrong			
Marina Yacht Harbor	Marina			
Gas House Cove	Marina			
Golden Gate Park	Conservatory			
Golden Gate Park	Nursery			
Golden Gate Park	Golf Course			
Palace of Fine Arts	3601 Lyon Street			
Pioneer Park/Coit Tower	Telegraph Hill			
Saint Mary's Square	California Street/Grant			
Union Square	Post/Stockton			
Rochambeau Playground	24th Avenue/Lake Street			Yes
Cayuga/Lamartine-Mini Park	Cayuga/Lamartine			Yes
Willie Woo Woo Wong PG	Sacramento/Waverly		formerly Chinese PG	Yes
Cow Hollow Playground	Baker/Greenwich			Yes

Attachment 3. Status Report for All Sites

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
1	Upper Noe Playground and Recreation Center	Day/Sanchez	99-00	Was to have been a retest in 04-05, but funds depleted. Then it was going to be a retest in 05-06 but the site is currently closed for extensive renovations, so it was removed from the retest list.	
2	Jackson Playground	17th/Carolina	99-00	Abatement completed in FY05-06.	04-05
3	Mission Rec Center-Treat Street	745 Treat Street	99-00	Originally on list as Mission Rec-Harrison Street. Incorrect, so name changed, and information on site removed. Was to have been done in 05-06 but funds depleted. Then was to have been done in 06-07 but wrong facility surveyed (Mission Pool), so did not do.	06-07
4	Palega (aka Portola) Playground and Recreation Center	Felton/Holyoke	99-00		
5	Eureka Valley Playground and Recreation Center	Collingwood/18th	99-00		
6	Glen Park Playground and Recreation Center and Canyon	Chenery/Elk	99-00		
7	North Beach Playground and Pool	Lombard/Mason	99-00		
8	Crocker Amazon Playground	Geneva/Moscow	99-00		
9	Christopher Playground	Diamond Hts/Duncan	99-00		
10	Alice Chalmers, Playground	Brunswick/Whittier	99-00		
11	Cayuga Playground	Cayuga/Naglee	99-00		
12	Cabrillo Playground	38th/Cabrillo	99-00		
13	Herz Playground and Coffman Pool		99-00		
14	Mission Playground & Pool	19th & Linda	99-00		
15	Oceanview (Minnie & Lovey) Playground and Recreation Center	Capital Avenue/Montana	99-00		
16	Sunset Recreation Center	28th Avenue/Lawton	99-00		
17	West Sunset Playground	39th Avenue/Ortega	99-00		
18	Excelsior Playground	Russia/Madrid	99-00		
19	Helen Wills Playground	Broadway/Larkin	99-00		
20	J. P. Murphy Playground	1960 9th Avenue	99-00		
21	Argonne Playground	18th/Geary	99-00		

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
22	Duboce Park	Duboce/Scott	99-00		
23	Golden Gate Park	Panhandle	99-00		
24	Junipero Serra Playground	300 Stonecrest Drive	99-00		
25	Merced Heights Playground	Byxbee/Shields	99-00		
26	Miraloma Playground	Omar/Sequoia Ways	99-00		
27	Silver Terrace Playground	Silver Avenue/Bayshore	99-00		
28	South of Market Park	Folsom/Harriet/6th	99-00		
29	South Sunset Playground	40th Avenue/Vicente	99-00		
30	Potrero Hill Playground and Recreation Center	22nd/Arkansas	99-00		
31	Rochambeau Playground	24th Avenue/Lake Street	00-01		
32	Silver Tree Day Camp	Chenery/Elk	00-01	Done in FY00-01 as part of Glen Park Survey/Abatement	
33	Cow Hollow Playground	Baker/Greenwich	00-01	No abatement needed	
34	West Portal Playground	Ulloa/Lenox Way	00-01	No abatement needed	
35	Moscone Playground (Funston)	Chestnut/Buchanan	00-01		
36	Midtown Terrace Playground	Clarendon/Olympia	00-01	No abatement needed	
37	Presidio Heights Playground	Clay/Laurel	00-01		
38	Tenderloin Children's Rec. Ctr.	560/570 Ellis Street	00-01		
39	Hamilton Playground, Recreation Center and Pool	Geary/Steiner	00-01		
40	Randall Museum (Corona Hts.)	199 Museum Way	00-01		
41	Margaret Hayward Playground	Laguna, Turk	00-01		
42	James Lang Field (Part of Margaret Hayward Playground)	Gough/Turk	00-01	Completed as part of a Capital project renovation	
43	Saint Mary's Recreation Center	Murray St./JustinDr.	00-01		
44	Fulton Playground	27th Avenue/Fulton	00-01		
45	Bernal Heights Recreation Center and Playground	Moultrie/Jarboe	00-01	No abatement needed	
46	Douglass Playground	Upper/26th Douglass	00-01		
47	Garfield Playground and Pool	25th/Harrison	00-01		
48	Woh Hei Yuen	1213 Powell	00-01		
49	Boeddeker, Fr. A., Neighborhood Park	Ellis/Taylor/Eddy/Jones	00-01		
50	Gilman Playground	Gilman/Griffiths	00-01		
51	Grattan Playground	Stanyan/Alma	00-01	No abatement needed	
52	Hayes Valley Playground	Hayes/Buchanan	00-01		
53	Youngblood Coleman	Galvez/Mendell	00-01		

**Status Report for All Sites**

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
54	Coffman Pool (see Herz Playground)	Visitacion/Hahn	00-01		
55	Rossi Playground and Pool	Arguello Blvd./Anza	00-01		
56	Sava Pool and Larsen Park	19th/Wawona	00-01		
57	Sunnyside Playground	Melrose/Edna	00-01	No abatement needed	
58	Balboa Park Playground & Pool	Ocean/San Jose	00-01		
59	Rolph Playground	Potrero Ave./Army Street	00-01, 02-03	This was originally supposed to be Rolph-Nicol (Eucalyptus) Park in 02-03, but the consultant surveyed the wrong site.	
60	McLaren Park-Louis Sutter Playground	University/Wayland	00-01		
61	Richmond Playground	18th Avenue/Lake Street	00-01		
62	Joseph Lee Rec Center	Oakdale/Mendell	00-01		
63	Chinese RC	Washington/Mason	00-01		
64	McLaren Park	Visitacion Valley	06-07		05-06
65	Mission Dolores Park	18th/Dolores	06-07	No abatement needed	05-06
66	Bernal Heights Park	Bernal Heights Blvd.	01-02	No abatement needed	
67	Cayuga/Lamartine-Mini Park	Cayuga/Lamartine	01-02	No abatement needed	
68	Willie Woo Woo Wong PG	Sacramento/Waverly	01-02	formerly Chinese PG	
69	Harvey Milk Center		01-02		
70	Civic Center Plaza	Grove/Larkin	01-02	No abatement needed	
71	Huntington Park	California/Taylor	01-02		
72	South Park	64 South Park Avenue	01-02		
73	Alta Plaza Park	Jackson/Steiner	01-02		
74	Bayview Playground	3rd/Armstrong	01-02	No abatement needed	
75	Chestnut & Kearny-Mini Park	NW Chestnut/Kearny	01-02	No survey done; structures no longer exist.	
76	Kimbell Playground	Pierce/Ellis	01-02		
77	Michelangelo Playground	Greenwich/Jones	01-02		
78	Peixotto Playground	Beaver/15th Street	01-02	No abatement needed	
79	Peixotto Playground (Corona Hts.)	15th/Roosevelt	01-02	No abatement needed	
80	States St. Playground (Corona Heights)	States St./Museum Way	01-02		
81	Adam Rogers Park	Jennings/Oakdale	01-02	No abatement needed	



## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
82	Alamo Square	Hayes/Steiner	01-02		
83	Alioto Park - Mini Park	20th/Capp	01-02	No abatement needed	
84	Beideman/O'Farrell Park-Mini Park	O'Farrell/Beideman	01-02	No abatement needed	
85	Brooks Property	373 Ramsell	01-02	No abatement needed	
86	Buchanan St. Mall	Buchanan betw. Grove & Turk	01-02	No abatement needed	
87	Buena Vista Park	Buena Vista/Haight	01-02		
88	Bush/Broderick Mini Park	Bush/Broderick	01-02		
89	Cottage Row-Mini Park	Sutter/E. Fillmore	01-02		
90	Franklin Square	16th/Bryant	01-02		
91	Golden Gate Heights (Sunset Hts.)	12th Ave./Rockridge Dr.	01-02		
92	Hilltop Park	La Salle/Whitney Yg. Circle	01-02	No abatement needed	
93	Lafayette Square	Washington/Laguna	01-02		
94	Julius Kahn Playground	Jackson/Spruce	01-02		
95	Jose Coronado (Folsom) Playground	21st/Folsom	02-03	As of 10/10/02 as per Capital Program Director, G. Hoy, there are no current plans for renovation	
96	Golden Gate Park (playgrounds)	Fell/Stanyan	05-06		
97	Washington Sq. & Marini Pl.	Filbert/Stockton	02-03	No abatement needed. Children's play area and bathrooms to be renovated in 3/04.	
98	McCoppin Square	24th Avenue/Taraval	02-03	As of 10/10/02 as per Gary Hoy, no current plans for renovation	
99	Mountain Lake Park	12th Avenue/Lake Sreet	02-03	As of 10/10/02 as per Gary Hoy, no current plans for renovation	
100	Bright & Randolph Mini Park	Randolph/Bright	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
101	Campbell Rutland-Mini Park	Campbell Ave./E.Rutland	02-03	No abatement needed. Renovation scheduled 3/04.	
102	18th & Utah Mini Park	Utah/18th Street	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
103	Palou-Phelps-Mini Park	Palou at Phelps	02-03	No abatement needed. Renovation occurred Summer 2003. Marvin Yee was project mgr. No lead survey/abatement rpt in RPD files.	
104	Coleridge & Esmerelda Mini Park	Coleridge/Esmeralda	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
105	Lincoln Park Golf (includes playground)	34th Avenue/Clement	02-03	Renovation scheduled 9/04	
106	Little Hollywood Park	Lathrop-Tocoloma	02-03	No abatement needed. Renovation scheduled 9/04	
107	McKinley Square	20th/Vermont	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
108	Mission Recreation Center - Harrison St.	2450 Harrison	02-03	No abatement needed. Was completed in 99/00 as part of Treat St. facility (they are the same, but listed as two separate bldgs. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
109	Noe Valley Courts	24th/Douglass	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
110	Parkside Square	26th Avenue/Vicente	02-03	Children's play area and bathrooms to be renovated in 9/03.	
111	Portsmouth Square	Kearny/Washington	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
112	Potrero del Sol	Potrero/Army	02-03	No abatement needed, renovation scheduled 9/04	
113	Potrero Hill-Mini Park	Connecticut/22nd Street	02-03	Renovation scheduled 9/04	
114	Precita Park	Precita/Folsom	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
115	Sgt. John Macaulay-Mini Park	Larkin/O'Farrell	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
116	Stern Grove	19th Avenue/Sloat Blvd.	04-05	As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation. Funding expired; will complete in FY04-05	
117	Twenty-Fourth/York-Mini Park	24th/York/Bryant	02-03	Completed as part of current renovation in December 2002, Renovation scheduled 3/04.	
118	Camp Mather	Mather, Tuolumne County	04-05		
119	Hyde/Vallejo-Mini Park	Hyde/Vallejo	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
120	Juri Commons-Mini Park	San Jose/Guerrero/25th	05-06		
121	Kelloch/Velasco	Kelloch/Velasco	02-03	No abatement needed. Children's play area scheduled for renovation on 9/04	
122	Koshland Park	Page/Buchanan	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
123	Head St. Mini Park	Head/Brotherwood Way	02-03	No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
124	Walter Haas Playground	Addison/Farnum/Beacon	02-03	Capital Projects to renovate in Spring 2003. Mauer is PM	
125	Holly Park	Holly Circle	02-03	Renovation planned to begin 4/03; Judi Mosqueda from DPW is PM	
126	Page-Laguna-Mini Park	Page/Laguna	04-05	No abatement needed	
127	Golden Gate/Steiner-Mini Park	Golden Gate/Steiner		No Facility, benches only	
128	Tank Hill	Clarendon/Twin Peaks	04-05	No abatement needed	
129	Rolph Nicol Park (Eucalyptus Park)	Eucalyptus Dr./25th Avenue	04-05	No abatement needed	
130	Golden Gate Park	Carrousel	05-06		
131	Golden Gate Park	Tennis Court	05-06		

**Status Report for All Sites**

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
132	Washington/Hyde-Mini Park	Washington/Hyde	04-05	No abatement needed	
133	Ridge Top Plaza	Whitney Young Circle	05-06	No abatement needed	
134	Golden Gate Park	Beach Chalet	06-07	No abatement needed	
135	Golden Gate Park	Polo Field	06-07		
136	Sharp Park Golf Course	Pacifica, San Mateo Co.	06-07		
137	Golden Gate Park	Senior Center	06-07		
138	Pine Lake Pk.(adj. to Stern Grove)	Crestlake/Vale/Wawona	07-08		
139	Golden Gate Park	Stow Lake Boathouse	06-07		
140	Golden Gate Park	County Fair Building	06-07	No abatement needed	
141	Golden Gate Park	Sharon Bldg.		Abatement in progress.	
142	Marina Green	Marina Blvd.	06-07		
143	Allyne Park	Gough/Green	06-07	No abatement needed	
144	DuPont Courts	30th Ave./Clement	07-08		
145	Golden Gate Park	Big Rec	07-08		
146	Great Highway	Sloat to Pt. Lobos	07-08		
147	Golden Gate Park	Kezar Pavilion		Abatement begins 7/28/08	
148	King Pool	3rd/Armstrong			
149	Marina Yacht Harbor	Marina			
150	Palace of Fine Arts	3601 Lyon Street			
151	Pioneer Park/Coit Tower	Telegraph Hill			
152	Saint Mary's Square	California Street/Grant			
153	Union Square	Post/Stockton			
154	Gas House Cove	Marina			
155	Golden Gate Park	Angler's Lodge		Abatement in process	
156	Golden Gate Park	Bandstand		No abatement needed	
157	Golden Gate Park	Bowling Green	07-08		
158	Golden Gate Park	Conservatory			
159	Golden Gate Park	Golf Course			
160	Golden Gate Park	Kezar Stadium	07-08		
161	Golden Gate Park	Nursery			
162	Golden Gate Park	Stables			
163	Golden Gate Park	McLaren Lodge	01-02, 02-03	Done out of order. Was in response to release/spill. See File 565.	

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
164	Exploratorium	3602 Lyon Street			
165	Theater	3603 Lyon Street			
166	Broadway Tunnel West-Mini Park	Leavenworth/Broadway			
167	Howard/Langton-Mini Park	Howard/Langton		Community garden now; no play area as per Superintendent 10/15/03.	
168	War Memorial Opera House	Van Ness/McAllister			
169	Hyde St. Reservoir, Russian Hill Pk	Hyde/Bay			
170	Hyde Street Reservoir	Hyde/Francisco			
171	Lake Merced	Skyline/Lake Merced			
172	Lombard Reservoir	SW Hyde/Lombard			
173	Merced Manor Residence	23rd/Sloat			
174	University Reservoir	SE Felton & University Ave. (University/Felton Lawns/Pathways)			
175	Ina Coolbrith Park	Vallejo/Taylor			
176	Parcel Four	Great Highway/Balboa			
177	Justin Herman Plaza	Clay/Embarcadero			
178	Candlestick Park	Jamestown Avenue		No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
179	Golden Gate Park	Maintenance Yard			
180	Bayview Park & Extension	LeConte Avenue			
181	Bernal Heights-Mini Park	Prentiss/Eugenia			
182	Billy Goat Hill	Laidley/30th			
183	Bonview Lots	Bonview/Bocana			
184	Brewster Street	Bernal			
185	Corona Heights	16th/Roosevelt			
186	Coso/Precita-Mini Park	Coso/Precita			
187	Diamond Heights lot 1	200 Berkeley Way			
188	Diamond Heights lot 2	8 Crags			
189	Diamond Heights lot 3	1701 Diamond/29th			
190	Dorothy Erskine Park	Martha/Baden			
191	Duncan & Castro Lots	Diamond Heights			
192	Edgehill Mountain	Edgehill/Kensington Way			
193	Embarcadero Plaza	Market/Steuart			
194	Everson/Digby Lot 1	61 Everson			

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
195	Everson/Digby Lot 2	101 Topaz (Diamond)			
196	Fairmont Plaza	Fairmont/Miguel			
197	Fifteenth Ave. Steps	Kirkham/15th Avenue			
198	Fort Funston	Great Highway			
199	Fuhrman Bequest (Fresno)	Fresno County			
200	Fuhrman Bequest (Kern)	Kern County			
201	Fuhrman Bequest (Monterey)	Monterey County			
202	Geneva Avenue Strip	Geneva/Delano			
203	Glen Park Lot	Diamond/Farnum			
204	Grandview Park & Extension	Moraga/14th Avenue			
205	Grandview Park Open Space	Moraga/15th Avenue			
206	Great Highway	Sloat to Skyline			
207	Hawk Hill	14th Avenue/Rivera			
208	India Basin	E. Hunters Pt. Blvd.			
209	India Basin	Evans			
210	India Basin-Ferrari Shoreline	Griffith betwn.Fairfax/Galve z			
211	Interior Green Belt	Sutro Forest			
212	Japanese Peace Pagoda	Post/Buchanan/Gea ry			
213	Japanese Peace Plaza	Post/Buchanan/Gea ry			
214	Jefferson Square	Eddy/Gough			
215	Joseph Conrad Square-Mini Park	Columbus/Beach			
216	Kite Hill	Yukon/19th			
217	Lakeview-Ashton Mini Park	Lakeview/Ashton			
218	Lessing-Sears-Mini Park	Lessing/Sears			
219	Marini Plaza (Washington Sq.)	Columbus/Union			
220	Maritime Plaza	Battery/Clay			
221	McLaren Park-Golf Course	2100 Sunnydale Avenue			
222	Monterey Conservatory	Monterey Baden			
223	Mount Davidson	Myra Way			
224	Mount Olympus	Upper Terrace			
225	Mullen/Peralta-Mini Park	Mullen/Peralta Mini Park			
226	Noe/Beaver-Mini Park	Noe/Beaver			
227	O'Shaughnessey Hollow	O'Shaughnessey Blvd.			
228	Park Presidio Blvd.	Park Presidio Blvd.			

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
229	Rock Outcropping	Ortega/14th Avenue		Lots 11, 12, 21, 22, 6	
230	Rowing Clubs: Dolphin/South End	Aquatic Park		Land is leased	
231	Russian Hill Park	Hyde/Larkin/Chestnut		Hyde Street Reservoir	
232	Saturn Street Steps	Saturn/Ord			
233	Seward St. Park & Ext.-Mini Park	Seward/Acme Alley			
234	Swimming Pool Site	Geary/32nd Avenue			
235	Twin Peaks Blvd. and Park	Twin Peaks Blvd.			
236	Fleming Golf	Skyline Blvd./Harding			
237	Golden Gate Yacht Club	Marina			
238	Harding Golf	Skyline Blvd./Harding			
239	Soccer Stadium	Ocean/San Jose			
240	St. Francis Yacht Club	Marina			
241	Sunset Boulevard	Sunset Blvd. (right-of-way)			
242	Hallidie Plaza	Market/Eddy			
243	Rincon Pt. Park				
244	South Beach Park & Marina				
245	City Hall Grounds	Van Ness/Grove			
246	Fillmore/Turk Mini Park	Fillmore/Turk			
247	Levi Plaza				
248	Redwood Park (Transamerica)				
249	Sidney Walton Park (Golden Gateway)				
250	Esprit Park	Minnesota Street			
251	Aqua Vista Park	Embarcadero/China Basin			
252	Embarcadero Promenade	Embarcadero			
253	Ferry Bldg. Plaza	Market/Embarcadero			
254	Warm Water Cove				
255	Hall of Justice	850 Bryant Street			
256	Richmond Police Stn.-Mini Park	7th Avenue/Anza			
257	Cole and Carl-Mini Park	Clayton/Frederick			
258	Library-Western Addition	1550 Scott Street			

## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
259	Library-West Portal	190 Lenox Way			
260	Library-Sunset	1305 18th Avenue			
261	Library-Richmond	351 9th Avenue			
262	Library-Presidio	3150 Sacramento			
263	Library-Potrero	20th/Arkansas			
264	Library-Parkside	1200 Taraval			
265	Library-Ortega	3223 Ortega			
266	Library-Noe Valley	451 Jersey			
267	Library-Merced	155 Winston Dr.			
268	Library-Marina	Chestnut/Webster			
269	Library-Main	Civic Center			
270	Library-Excelsior	4400 Mission			
271	Library-Eureka Valley	3555 16th Street			
272	Library-Bernal	500 Cortland			
273	Library-Anza	550 37th Avenue			
274	UN Plaza	Market/Fulton			
275	Traffic Island	S. Laguna & Vasquez			
276	Peru Avenue Walkway	Athens to Valmar Terrace			
277	Kearny Street Steps	Vallejo/Fresno			
278	Joost/Baden-Mini Park	Joost/N of Baden			
279	Esmeralda Corridor/Prospect	Esmeralda/Bernal Hts.			
280	Chester Street Mini Park	Chester St. near Brotherhood Way			
281	Brotherhood Way	Brotherhood Way			
282	Broadway Tunnel East-Mini Park	Broadway/Himmelman			
283	Ferry Plaza	Market/Steuart			
284	India Basin	Hudson Avenue			
285	Twenty-third & Treat				



## Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
<b>New Facilities: These facilities not to be included in CLPP survey as they were built after 1978.</b>					
	Alice Marble Courts	Greenwich/Hyde		Not owned by RPD. PUC demolished in 2003 and all will be rebuilt.	
	Richmond Center	18th Ave./Lake St./Calif.		New facility	
	Visitacion Valley Playground	Cora/Leland/Raymond		Original building clubhouse and PG demolished in 2001. Facility is new.	
<b>Sites not to be included in survey at this time:</b>					
	Alamo School Yard	250 23rd Avenue		Not a RPD owned site	
	Alvarado School Yard	625 Douglass Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	Aptos Playground	Aptos/Ocean Avenue		Was in FLOW program; pulled b/c site was demolished.	
	Argonne School Yard	675 17th Avenue & Cabrillo		Not a RPD owned site	
	Bessie Carmichael School Yard	55 Sherman		Not a RPD owned site	
	Candlestick Point Rec Area	171 Acres			
	Cesar Chavez School Yard	825 Shotwell Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	Ella Hill Hutch Center	1000 McAllister		No abatement needed. As of 10/10/02 Capital Program Director indicates no current plans for renovation	
	Francisco School Yard	2190 Powell Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	GGNRA with Presidio	2,066 Acres			
	Guadalupe School Yard	859 Prague Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	I M Scott School Yard - OS	Tennessee/22nd Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	Jefferson School Yard	1725 Irving Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	Lafayette School Yard	4545 Anza St. near 36th Ave.		Not a RPD owned site	
	Lake Merced Sports Ctr.	Skyline Blvd./Harding		Rod & Gun Club. Known environmental contamination. Leased.	
	Lawton School Yard	1570 31st Avenue		Not a RPD owned site	
	Marshall School Yard	1575 15th Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	Monroe School Yard	260 Madrid Street		Not a RPD owned site	
	Paul Revere School Yard	555 Tompkins Avenue		Not a RPD owned site	
	Peabody School Yard	251 6th Avenue		Not a RPD owned site	
	Phelan (China Beach)	1,309 - leased to USA			
	Redding School Yard	1421 Pine Street		Not a RPD owned site	

### Status Report for All Sites

Priority	Facility Name	Location	Completed	Notes	Retest
	Rosa Parks Senior Center	1111 Buchanan/Golden Gate		Not a RPD owned site	
	South of Market Lot	SE Sherman/Cleveland		No RPD Facilities	
	Starr King School Yard	1215 Carolina		Not a RPD owned site	
	Stern Grove Annex	20th Avenue/Sloat Blvd.		Will be included in Stern Grove Survey	
	Tenth Avenue/Clement-Mini Park	Richmond Library		Not a RPD owned site	
	Wawona Bowling Green&Clubhouse	See Stern Grove		Will be included in Stern Grove Survey	
	Woods Yard Playground	22nd/Indiana		Not a RPD owned site	
	Zoological Gardens	Great Highway/Sloat			
	Hunters Pt. Recreation Center and Gym (Milton Meyer Center)	195 Kiska Road	99-00	No longer owned by RPD. Owned by Housing Authority (we had a lease which expired).	
<b>Notes:</b>					
1	FY03-04 algorithm weights various features of a facility as noted in the algorithm. For instance, a site with a clubhouse noted as present, is weighted by a factor of 5 due to the high likelihood of the presence of children, versus a tennis court, where the likelihood is lower and so get a weighting factor of 1.				
2	Note that algorithms change year to year depending on the need to weight out certain factors. Once all sites are completed, this algorithm will have to be re-examined.				

Dear Gavin Newsom

27

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 28 PM 1:40  
BY [Signature]  
C-1-BOS

Today, August 27, 2008 was one of the worst days of my life and my two-year-old son. Today my son was violated. I am a single low-income mother who has been working hard to obtain a BA degree. Today was my second day at San Francisco State University, which was very long and very busy. Today was a very exhausting day for me. After doing all the miscellaneous things I needed to do at school, I picked up my son from childcare and after that we went to my mother's house. My son seemed anxious to go outside and play, and I thought that it would be good for him since children tend to have a lot of energy and I was too exhausted to entertain him. I also felt that I needed some fresh air, so both of us went outside. My mother stayed inside the house. My mother owns a small Chihuahua which makes her some company while being at home alone. The Chihuahua went outside with us too. I saw my son a few feet across from me while I was standing by the door. All of the sudden I see a guy pass by running in between me and my two year old son. I didn't think too much of it, and I went about cherishing the time with my son. I looked at him and thought "wao, he has grown so much and he is so innocent". Then, I heard a young lady crying who was coming toward us and into the same direction as the young man had gone through. When she was crossing between my two year old, and me she said with a very rude voice "boo" to my child, I dismissed her rude comment to my son because I didn't know her and did not want to make a big deal out of it. She didn't stop walking, she kept on going. Shortly after her rude comment I hurried across to reach out for my son and picked him up and put him in my arms. As I picked up my son, I saw the teenage girl coming back. My mother's Chihuahua was standing inside the house by the door, and began to bark. I was about to walk across back into the house to shut up the Chihuahua and eventually go inside the house, but before I even began to walk across, the teenage girl reached out to grab my son's truck that was on the ground and through it at the small Chihuahua. Fortunately, she missed. I immediately told her "stop! you can't do that!", she then turn to my son and me and started her foul mouth "F@%K YOU!, B!%@H" and she kept on going, and going, and would not stop. While she was saying all these horrible things, my son started to cry. She then came closer and closer to me and got all up in my face still cussing me out. Meanwhile my mom comes out and I tell my mother to take my son inside the house so that I could work this matter out with the teenage girl. As soon as I said that to my mother, the teenage girl spits on my two-year-old son while my son is crying. It was the most humiliating and disrespectful thing that ever happened to my son and me. As my mom took my son away from my arms the young girl threatened my mother verbally telling her that she was going to beat her. The teenage girl was very aggressive and seemed as she wanted to hit my mother but I got in the way and told to stop. She was still all over my face making it seem like she wanted to get into a fight with me. Around the same time my sister who was in the house at the time called the police. My sister came over to give me her phone as the girl walked away talking all the foul language that she knows. I tried following her while the operator being on the phone. I wanted to

27


know where she lived so that the police would come and arrest her. The teenage girl was getting upset that I was following her so she reached down to the ground to get a piece of broken glass, I stopped and hid a bit so that she wouldn't see me anymore. I lost her after that, and shortly there after as I walked over to my mothers house the police shows up. I explained what happened and they were like "ok, will see if we can find her. if we don't find her what do you want us to do?" and then I thought to myself "what the hell do you mean?, what do I want you to do? You are the cops you should know what to do next. I wasn't hired to be the protector of this city, that's why you were hired to protect and to know what is the next thing you need to do." I got upset and continued to describe what she was wearing. Then, I decided to get in my car and look for her, I thought I would have better luck finding her, but I didn't. I came back home and saw the police drive away after doing a couple circles around the area. I was standing outside for about five minutes, when all of the sudden I see the teenage girl up the street. I tell my mother to call the police again and I ran to go meet her and make sure that I didn't lose her this time. I ran up the street and saw clearly that she had gone into 130 Cameron Way. I stood outside letting her know that the cops were on their way and that she needed be punished for her actions. She came out of the house and started her nasty foul mouth again saying that I was a snitch. She started to get all over my face again and tried spitting at me. Another couple of young girls came out of the same house and got her to get back into the house. All of them went back in and shut the door behind them. I waited between ten and fifteen minutes before I could see any cops show up again. Once again I had to explain my story to them. They tried knocking on the door but none of the girls came out. The cops said that they couldn't do anything, I became very upset and just decided to go back down the block to my mothers. I didn't say thank you, I didn't think it was necessary. My mother who had stayed in her house told me that the girls had gone through the back door of the house and ran out to catch the 29-bus line. In hopes that I would find them, I got back inside my car to look for them. I didn't have any luck. I came back home disappointed, angry, sad, and frustrated.

Gavin, what am I supposed to do when the values and morals of this city are at stake and are going down the drain and when the only help that is available isn't really there. The neighborhood my mother lives in is Alice Griffith Projects known as "double rock". I saw that recently the police department made a unit available for security and safety purposes, which I thought was great. But it came to my attention that police aren't really there all the time, not even half of the time. It didn't make sense to me and it almost seems illogical to have a police unit empty. I don't get it? Is it supposed to some kind of a ~~factor~~ <sup>factor</sup> for the neighborhood? Problems do arise in this neighborhood quite often and I believe this is a hot spot where the crime rate is sufficiently high. Considering this, I thought, we should have police in the police unit 24 hours a day. I'm pretty sure you wouldn't want San Francisco turning into Oakland, now would you? I know you are proud of your city and I wish I could be right there with you but I'm not. I believe you have great capabilities and I know one of them is making things change for the better not for the worst. I also know that you are very quick in making changes that you know are crucial to our city. I

- know that within your heart is a noble man who puts himself in other people's shoes and tries to understand the issues that surround low-income single mothers like me who only want a better and safer place for their children. I can tell you now that my life may be at stake for trying to make justice.

Please Gavin, help the people that once helped you. I hope to hear from you soon. Don't let your city down.

Sincerely,



Norma Hernandez

August 27, 2008

9/9



jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com

08/07/2008 12:45 PM

Please respond to  
jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com

To Marsha Garland <marsha@sfnorthbeach.org>, Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org, matierandross@sfchronicle.com Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org, Chris.McDaniels@sfdpw.org, cc bill.adams@sfdpw.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, GantnerForSupe08@

bcc

Subject Re: Fwd: Columbus / Broadway - MORE Construction

28

Dear Marsha,

Just yesterday morning, we hosed and swept Columbus Ave. for over an hour after the Synergy group picked up their pipes and moved their equipment that had been staged in front of our restaurant for over six months. Today, we received a note from SFDPW, that the lower 300 block of Columbus will be used again as a staging area for the next 3 months.

I am poised to fight this and be a major thorn in the side of SFDPW and Synergy if this happens. I will call Bill Adams, Supervisor Aaron Peskin, the Mayor's Office, the SFPD, the Chronicle and the Examiner every day and complain to assure that our business is not affected by this project anymore. We have done our part!

As a taxpayer who has had to endure and watch this project every day, I can assure you this project is a discredit to the taxpayers and an embarrassment to the leaders of this city. Our family business, our neighborhood customers, and the residents of North Beach deserve some accountability. (And I know Aaron must see the same problems since he walks past this project daily.)

1) For more than six months, the frontage Giordano Bros. and the New Hong Kong restaurant have served as a staging area for this project. The equipment has been there since the Super Bowl! The equipment has blocked the signage and frontage of the restaurant. We have had to keep our windows and door closed because of noise, dust, and debris. And have had to virtually eliminate our outside seating.

Overall our lunch business is down 35% since this project started - while the rest of our business is up 15%. On some days our lunch business is down more than 80%. This is basically a business stoppage.

2) The equipment has cause this part of the street to not be swept for six months. The garbage is more than an eye sore but also a health and environmental hazard.

3) There are many days in which the sidewalk on the north west side of Columbus at Broadway is completely closed. Therefore, there is no foot traffic on the north side of the 300 block. This has also led to constant dangerous jaywalking.

4) The curb from 301-325 is morning loading zone for all the delivery trucks on the 300 block. Because this loading zone is closed, trucks (UPS, Sysco, Beer, Wine, Milk, Produce, etc.) supporting the 15+ businesses on this block are forced to double-park in the middle of Columbus Ave. thus closing a lane of a very busy street at a dangerous intersection.

5) We have tolerated this without one cent of compensation.

If independent businesses are to survive in these economics times, they need the city's understanding and support. The SFDPW and Synergy need to find ways to not hurt and restrict tax-paying businesses. There are more than 10 empty businesses in this specific area and there will be more if we allow these type of disrespectful, poorly planned and executed projects.

28

We need your support and the assistance of all the neighborhood leaders to help solve this problem - not for us specifically but for the greater good of North Beach.

Jeff and Allison Jordan  
Giordano Bros. All-in-One Sandwiches  
303 Columbus Ave.

--- On **Mon, 8/4/08, Marsha Garland** <[marsha@sfnorthbeach.org](mailto:marsha@sfnorthbeach.org)> wrote:  
From: Marsha Garland <[marsha@sfnorthbeach.org](mailto:marsha@sfnorthbeach.org)>  
Subject: Fwd: Columbus / Broadway Construction Garbage  
To: "Jeff Jordan" <[jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com](mailto:jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com)>  
Date: Monday, August 4, 2008, 4:47 PM

FYI - Marsha

Marsha Garland  
Founder/Executive Director  
North Beach Chamber of Commerce  
556 Columbus Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
Phone: 415-989-2220  
Cell:                       
[www.sfnorthbeach.org](http://www.sfnorthbeach.org)

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Reiskin, Ed" <[Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org](mailto:Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org)>  
**Date:** August 4, 2008 4:45:40 PM PDT  
**To:** Marsha Garland <[marsha@sfnorthbeach.org](mailto:marsha@sfnorthbeach.org)>  
**Cc:** "McDaniels, Chris" <[Chris.McDaniels@sfdpw.org](mailto:Chris.McDaniels@sfdpw.org)>  
**Subject:** **RE: Columbus / Broadway Construction Garbage**

Thanks for the continued feedback. I can understand the frustration. We're working hard with all parties to minimize the adverse impact.

**Edward D. Reiskin**  
Director, Department of Public Works  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 348  
San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5481  
[ed.reiskin@sfgov.org](mailto:ed.reiskin@sfgov.org)  
<http://sfgov.org/dpw>

**From:** Marsha Garland [<mailto:marsha@sfnorthbeach.org>]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 04, 2008 4:39 PM  
**To:** Reiskin, Ed  
**Subject:** Fwd: Columbus / Broadway Construction Garbage

FYI - One very angry businessman. Marsha

Marsha Garland  
Founder/Executive Director  
North Beach Chamber of Commerce  
556 Columbus Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
Phone: 415-989-2220  
Cell: 415-531-2911  
[www.sfnorthbeach.org](http://www.sfnorthbeach.org)

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** [jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com](mailto:jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com)  
**Date:** August 4, 2008 4:35:03 PM PDT  
**To:** Marsha Garland <[marsha@sfnorthbeach.org](mailto:marsha@sfnorthbeach.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: Columbus / Broadway Construction Garbage  
**Reply-To:** [jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com](mailto:jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com)

Captain Dudley helped us get some stuff cleaned the other day. There is still stuff there. 311 needs to come everyday. And sometimes the Golden Gate Disposal crew will just bypass us and the Stinking Rose because their can't get the cans. This happened today.

This whole project has been a joke when you watch it everyday. They only work for 4 hours. And unfortunately, they have decided to park their equipment directly in front of our restaurant for the past 6 months.

--- On Mon, 8/4/08, Marsha Garland <[marsha@sfnorthbeach.org](mailto:marsha@sfnorthbeach.org)> wrote:

From: Marsha Garland <[marsha@sfnorthbeach.org](mailto:marsha@sfnorthbeach.org)>  
Subject: Re: Columbus / Broadway Construction Garbage  
To: "Jeff Jordan" <[jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com](mailto:jeff.jordan@giordanobros.com)>, "Jerry Dal Bozzo" <[jerry@](mailto:jerry@)



Cc: "Brandy Marts" <[brandy@thestinkingrose.com](mailto:brandy@thestinkingrose.com)>

Date: Monday, August 4, 2008, 2:51 PM

I have been in touch with the head of DPW and have received several phone calls from various managers saying they are "on it". Hope it works. Let me know if it doesn't. Marsha Garland

Marsha Garland  
Founder/Executive Director  
North Beach Chamber of Commerce  
556 Columbus Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
Phone: 415-989-2220  
Cell: 415-531-2911  
[www.sfnorthbeach.org](http://www.sfnorthbeach.org)

On Aug 1, 2008, at 2:51 PM, [Jeff.Jordan@giordanobros.com](mailto:Jeff.Jordan@giordanobros.com) wrote:

Hi Marsha,

Giordano Bros., The Stinking Rose, and the New Sun Hong Kong have been cleaning up after these guys since Feb. Today, they again moved a bunch of pipes around and now there is garbage everywhere and they refused to pick it up.

Do you have any connections and/or with the folks who are doing the Columbus / Broadway construction? The company is Synergy.

Thanks.

Jeff



C. Carr

John Arntz  
Director

9/9

29

BY [Signature]

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 11 AM 10:47

Memorandum

To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

From: John Arntz, Director of Elections

Date: August 11, 2008

RE: Deadline: Thursday, August 14 - Disclaimer Requirements for Local Ballot Measures: Endorse, Oppose or Take No Position on a Measure (Municipal Elections Code Section 500(c)(8))

This is a follow-up reminder that the Department of Elections must print a disclaimer in the Voter Information Pamphlet before any opponent, proponent or rebuttal argument that is submitted by the Board of Supervisors or by one or more Members of the Board of Supervisors for or against any measure (Municipal Election Code Section 500 (c) (8)). The disclaimer indicates which Supervisors endorse the measure, oppose the measure, or take no position on the measure.

Each Supervisor must notify the Department of Elections in writing of his or her position on each measure for which the Board or a Member or Members authorized by motion will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument. For the November 4, 2008 election, the notification deadline is 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, August 14.

**Please understand that, if a Supervisor has not submitted his or her position(s) by this deadline, the Department of Elections will be required to print that the Supervisor takes no position on each measure for which the Board or an authorized Member will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument. The Department has no discretion in this matter.**

Enclosed please find a form you may use to indicate your position on a local ballot measure. The form is provided for your convenience. If you prefer, you may submit your written position in another format.

If you have any questions, please contact Barbara Carr at 554-6105.

29



Board Authorization for "Support", "Opposition", or "No Position" for Local Ballot Measures  
 San Francisco Municipal Elections Code §500 (c) (8)

**Deadline: 5 p.m. on Thursday, August 14<sup>th</sup>, 2008**

Please return to: San Francisco Department of Elections - City Hall, Room 48  
 Or via fax to: 415-554-7344 Please call 415-554-4375 to confirm receipt.  
Original must be filed with the Department of Elections within 48 hours of fax.

For the November 4, 2008 election, my position on each local ballot measure for which the Board or a Member or Members authorized by motion will submit a proponent, opponent or rebuttal argument is as follows:

Working title of proposition	Endorse	Oppose	Take No Position
Creating the Office of an Independent Rate Payer Advocate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of Signatures Required to Qualify a Recall Petition for a Member of the Board of Supervisors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Prohibition on City Employees Serving on Charter Boards and Commissions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
City Elections in Even-Numbered Years	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Affordable Housing Set-Aside	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Retirement System Credit for Unpaid Parental Leave	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clean Energy Act	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pier 70 Waterfront District Plan Approval and Financing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Creating an Historic Preservation Commission	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Payroll Expense Tax	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Real Property Transfer Tax	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tenant Harassment Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community Justice Center	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
San Francisco Transportation Authority	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Submitted by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Printed Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

C. Sup. Daly

Cliff Davis

El Cerrito, CA 94530

SUBJECT:  
Letter of Complaint  
(Unfair Practices By An Employee)

30

August 5, 2008

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

To Whom It May Concern,

On December 23, 2007, I arrived at Monster Park and paid my entrance fee to park where the R.V.'s park. I was told by "Bill", the parking attendant receiving the money, to wait a minute for him to contact another attendant to assist me in parking. Bill made three attempts (by radio) to talk to an attendant, later identified as Michael William, employee # 06 (A.K.A. ONYX). Michael didn't answer any attempts made by Bill. Bill told me to go ahead and Michael would instruct me where to park.

After I entered the lot, three R.V.'s followed me to the location I was told to go. I was approximately 40' as to where I was told to park. An attendant who was earlier identified as Michael, stepped in front of my vehicle and made me stop. I told Michael, "I'm going to park in that first space. The three R.V.'ers behind me began to blow their horns and shouting, "What are you waiting for?" I got out of my vehicle and told them, "It's not me, the attendant stepped in front of my vehicle and made me stop." Michael made me stop for approximately five minutes and finally instructed a R.V., that came onto the lot after the four of our R.V.'s were in line, on the lot; to get in front of me and the three R.V.'s behind me, to go ahead of us and park.

I got out of my vehicle and told Michael that I was next in line to park there. Michael told me, "Well, they're parked there now, what do you want me to do?" I asked Michael to tell them to move. Michael said, "I'm not going to tell them to move (Michael walked away). I told the people in the R.V. in front of me, "You guys were wrong to get in front of the four R.V.'s that were waiting to park prior to your arrival". The eight men in the front R.V. were white. I am black and the R.V.'ers behind me were black. Words of profanity were exchanged between the whites and the blacks. One of the white men said, "Go to hell, we park here every game. He (pointing to Michael) save this parking space for us, every game." Tempers (verbally) continued and bystanders, whites and blacks watched to see or possibly to participate in what possibly could have started a "HUGE" riot. I told Bill (gate attendant) what happened and explained the situation that Michael saved the parking space for his personal friends. Bill said, "maybe that's why he didn't answer me on the radio.

I am a retired law enforcement officer, 56 years of age. I feel that the actions taken by Michael were inappropriate. When I was employed, we were told not to accept gratuities because they could cause problems and bite you in the butt. That's what happened. Later, I saw Michael socializing and eating with the R.V.'ers in front of me. Michael was eating, apparently in return for saving a parking space for "EVERY" game. I used my camera phone and took a picture of Michael eating his gratuity. I have not missed a 49er game in San Francisco in Twenty years. I truly feel that Michael should be reassigned to a different part of the park, if he is to remain an employee working the parking lot during the 49er games.

30


Thank you for taking your valuable time to read this letter of complaint, and I pray that Michael, no longer reserve a parking space in an unreserved parking lot. I would appreciate a response.

Sincerely,

Cliff Davis

P.S. The name ONYX doesn't have a meaning to me. That name was given to me by a person that gave me the name for identification purposes only. In no way, the name or nickname (ONYX) was being used to offend, belittle, defame, or slander any person, in any negative manner.

CC: San Francisco Forty - Niners  
San Francisco Chief of Police  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco Parks & Recreation  
San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsom



To: Gavin Newsom, Mayor of San Francisco  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Micki Callahan, Human Resources Department

From: Michael Crandell, Workers Compensation, Human Resources Department

Date: 8/8/08

Re: Severe and Reckless Over-Assignment in the CCSF Workers Compensation Division.

9/9  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 11 PM 1:09  
BY: C. Bos  
31

This is to disclose a practice of severe over-assignment in the Workers Compensation Division of the Department of Human Resources.

Staff... **“should be assigned more work than can be done in a day. That’s how it should be”**. So stated Workers Compensation Manager Robin Masuda at a department meeting in May 2006, speaking for the administration in the presence of DHR Deputy Director Priscilla Morse. While this may appear an irrational statement and expectation, it has in fact represented the literal policy of the Workers Compensation Division of the Department of Human Resources (DHR).

Through repeated audit of daily workloads it was found that, indeed, workloads of approximately 150% or even more were being imposed on staff each day. This, of course, leads to uncompleted work, daily backlogs, compromised services to the public, and even uncompensated overtime, for which administrative staff have acknowledged responsibility through the aforementioned policy declaration. Consultation with multiple staff confirm audit figures (citing workloads of 12 hours a day, backlogs of 3 to 4 months, and pointing to in-boxes overflowing with time-sensitive medical reports, legal documents, and treatment requests) as well as the imposition of uncompensated overtime. One supervisor acknowledged that no one can meet the expectations imposed, while City Attorney staff have even commented on the oppressive workload in Workers Compensation and noted their ill fate. Staff have sometimes been forced to work many additional hours after work, day after day, week after week, and forced to forgo taking earned leave which has attained maximum levels. Administrative staff are aware but only mind if it is documented, as they don’t want to pay for the overtime they have pressed staff to submit to. When someone is absent, others are forced to cover their work, even though they are already overburdened. Staff cannot afford to take leave without facing enormous backlogs upon return. Working at many different City worksites over the past 23 years, this is the only one that has practiced such reckless and severe over-assignment. In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, DHR and Workers Compensation administrative staff have actually created their very own sweatshop.

The work of the Workers Compensation Division is of an extremely demanding nature, requiring knowledge of medicine and law, dealing with injury, medical necessity, case management, negotiation, subrogation, investigation, legal action, representation in court, payroll calculation and maintenance, direct interaction with the injured and their medical, legal, and judicial representatives, and the complexity of state-mandated regulations and procedures, as well as state-mandated annual coursework requirements. Claims adjusters function as quasi-attorneys with assistants as paralegals and payroll staff, all at a rate of pay lower than other City classifications requiring a lower level of responsibility.

However misguided, in pursuing their declared policy of imposing “more work than can be done in a day”, Director Priscilla Morse and fellow administrative staff actively and remorselessly blame,

devalue, criticize, pressure, negatively evaluate, and even threaten staff with termination for not complying with irrational policies. Unable to arrive at positive solutions, they impose accusation, misrepresentation, and manipulation, and target numbers of otherwise competent staff for elimination. The remarkable contributions of highly proficient staff with years of experience are devalued as barely acceptable, while staff of normal speed are disparaged, pressed beyond limits of endurance, and threatened with termination, however well they may have been regarded in other departments. Such deplorable treatment leads to detrimental and unhealthy conditions. Staff exhibit hyper-activity, nervousness (and presumed high blood pressure), stress, discord (as they pressure and play inter-dependent staff against each other), eye-strain, deterioration of vision, hand/wrist problems, and mental and physical exhaustion. Administrative staff have forsaken moral principles in their endeavor to minimize costs by increasing workloads beyond reasonable levels according to their own stated intention, and minimizing and pressuring staff of a satellite division despite the hardship it imposes, mistreating the division like a neglected stepchild in order to compensate for administrative shortcomings, inability to budget, and administrative disinterest, as well as to appease DHR budgeteers and maintain indulgent DHR self-interest and group privilege.

Besides irresponsibly imposing massive workloads and more and more procedures on an already overburdened staff, Workers Compensation administrative staff exhibit an inability or unwillingness to audit and budget workloads and staffing levels, address system deficiencies, and increase efficiency, indicating an administration in need of professional and moral guidance. Due to inattention, large-scale systems implementation, years in planning, preparation, and announcement fail immediately (Medical Provider Network) and languish for over a year, procedures and systems are poorly designed or maintained, resulting in inefficiency and more work. Administrative staff simply pile on additional tasks and procedures (some mandated by the state) without budgeting for them, without maintaining efficient system functions, and without regard for the wellbeing of overburdened staff. When asked about the execution and duration of functions or budgeting of workload which impacts staffing and funding, Director Priscilla Morse states, "I have no idea", and refers to such concerns as "a silly game", disregarding the fact that responsible budgeting should be one of their primary functions. When directing the performance of certain important state-mandated functions another administrative staff acknowledged, "I don't know how to do that". Their reckless practices, professed ignorance, and flippant disregard negatively impacts departmental function, staff wellbeing, the City, and services to the public.

If the problem of over-assignment or other incidents of administrative mispractice or failure are raised, DHR and Workers Compensation administrative staff respond with hostility and retaliation disguised as "investigation", imposing unsupported accusations and utilizing City administrative time to confront and undermine those who bring to light such issues.

These practices represent willful mismanagement and even abuse of human rights. San Francisco citizens disapprove of these unprincipled and reckless sweatshop practices and urge guidance, reform, professionalism, responsibility, and integrity for DHR and Workers Compensation administrative staff. This calls for the establishment of reasonable workloads and staffing within Workers Compensation. DHR staff and funds may be transferred to Workers Compensation to assist. Workers Compensation administrative staff should henceforth forgo unprincipled and misguided practices and cease to **"assign more work than can be done in a day"**, and should adopt moral guidelines and reasonable standards, learn to budget and increase system efficiency, and foster health and professional growth within the division and department. Put a stop to bureaucratic abuses that undermine and disgrace our City.

Thank you for your consideration.





<drummermark@

08/09/2008 02:58 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

File # 080438  
C. Pass

9/9

32

Submitted on: 8/9/2008 2:58:43 PM

name: Mark Glomski

phone: \_\_\_\_\_

comments: I strongly urge each and every one of you to google "smoke, lies and the nanny state " read it all the way thru then stop all this nonsense about a smoke free city. Second hand smoke does not kill. There is absolutely no proof that it kills people. Stop this anti-smoking hysteria now. Let free men smoke in bars and coffee shops. At least let the owners of the shops decide. Again, please read "smoke, lies and the nanny state.

-----  
---

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 70.143.93.149  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

32

file # 080826



<neil\_signo@>  
08/08/2008 02:45 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

33

Submitted on: 8/8/2008 2:45:43 PM

name: neil signo

phone:

comments: Board of Supervisors San Francisco;

I heard about the plan to make food chains produce nutrition informaiton in foods. The law does not cover, the tourist spots, amusement parks, in-door theaters, or other places under 18 year olds would go for entertainment. Flavor instead of sugar taste.

-----  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 71.202.148.13  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

33



"Lee, Frank W"  
 <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>  
 08/08/2008 04:23 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
 "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Mirkarimi, Ross"  
 cc <Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"  
 <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>  
 bcc  
 Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080805-004

34

Dear Clerk of the Board:

I believe that the inquiry below was mistakenly sent to us at DPW. We believe that you may have intended to send this inquiry to Rec & Park.

Sincerely,  
 Frank W. Lee  
 Executive Assistant to the Director  
 Department of Public Works  
 Tel: (415) 554-6993  
 Fax: (415) 522-7727

-----Original Message-----  
 From: Board of Supervisors  
 Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 9:43 AM  
 To: Reiskin, Ed  
 Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
 For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin  
 Public Works  
 FROM: Clerk of the Board  
 DATE: 8/8/2008  
 REFERENCE: 20080805-004  
 FILE NO.

Due Date: 9/7/2008

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 8/5/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of repaving basketball and tennis courts at Grattan Park at Stanyan/Rivoli/Alma and Shrader Streets.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

34

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 9/7/2008



"Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>

08/09/2008 03:11 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
cc "Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"  
<Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY # 20080722-004

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

35

- Phone Switch Boxes:
  - NWC Frederick and Shrader SR# 826810 (Abated 8-6-08)
  - NWC Hermann and Fillmore SR# 826811 (Abated 8-6-08)
  - SEC Oak and Fillmore SR# 826812 (Abated 8-6-08)
  - SEC Linden and Buchanan SR# 826813 (Abated 8-6-08)
  - NWC McAllister and Webster SR# 826814 (Abated 8-6-08)
  - NWC Golden Gate and Webster SR# 826815 (Nothing found 8-6-08)
- Garbage Cans:
  - SEC Scott and Hayes SR# 826816 (Nothing found 8-6-08)
- Bus Shelters:
  - SEC Pierce and Hayes SR# 826818 (Nothing found 8-6-08)
- Wood Poles:
  - SEC Fillmore and Hermann SR# 826819 (Nothing found 8-6-08)
- Firebox:
  - NWC Pierce and Fell SR# 826820 (Abated 8-6-08)
  - 1210 Scott Street (fencing around property) SR# 820909 (Nothing found)

Jonathan C. Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Unit  
Operation Supervisor  
415-695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W  
Sent: Tuesday, August 05, 2008 3:30 PM  
To: Vaing, Jonathan  
Cc: Rodis, Nathan  
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Clerk of the Board and Supe. Mirkarimi. When you do, please use the above subject, and copy Nathan and me so that we can close this out.

Thanks,  
Frank W. Lee  
Executive Assitant to the Director  
Department of Public Works  
Tel: (415) 554-6993

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2008 3:59 PM

35

To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 7/24/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080722-004  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 8/23/2008

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 7/22/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes

Northwest corner of Frederick and Shrader

Northwest corner of Hermann and Fillmore

Southeast corner of Oak and Fillmore

Southeast corner of Linden and Buchanan

Northwest corner of McAllister and Webster

Northwest corner Golden Gate and Webster

Garbage Cans

Southeast corner of Scott and Hayes

Bus Shelters

Southeast corner of Pierce and Hayes

Wood Poles

Southeast corner of Fillmore and Hermann

Firebox

Northwest corner of Pierce and Fell

1210 Scott Street (fencing around property)

---

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org) and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 8/23/2008

9/9



"Lee, Frank W"  
<Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>  
08/08/2008 04:54 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan"  
cc <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
#20080626-005

36

Dear Board of Supervisors:

We responded to this inquiry on July 5, 2008. Please see attached e-mail.  
The original reference number provided was 20080624-005.

Sincerely,  
Frank W. Lee  
Executive Assistant to the Director  
Department of Public Works  
Tel: (415) 554-6993  
Fax: (415) 522-7727

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 9:43 AM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.  
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works  
  
FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 8/8/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080626-005  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 7/26/2008:  
Reminder Sent: 7/24/2008

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Mirkarimi was made at the Board meeting on 6/24/2008 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of

36

removing graffiti from the following locations:  
Phone Switch Boxes  
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Oak  
Northwest corner of Hermann and Fillmore

----- Message from "Vaing, Jonathan" <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org> on Sat, 5 Jul 2008 13:35:44 -0700  
-----

**To:** Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Board of Supervisors (E-mail)" <IMCEAUNKNOWN-Unknown@sfdpw.org>, "Delepine, Boris"  
<IMCEAEX-\_O=DPW-EXCHANGE\_OU=First+20Administrative+20Group\_cn=Recipients\_cn=1bf2dda3-699994b-88256f81-650e58@sfdpw.org>, "Galli, Phil"  
**cc:** <Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Jurosek, Marla" <mjurosek@sfgov.org>, "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Nuru, Mohammed" <Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Pearman, Rick" <Rick.Pearman@sfdpw.org>, "Reilly, John" <JohnReilly@sfdpw.org>, "Reiskin, Ed" <Ed.Reiskin@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>

**Subject:** RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080624-005

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes:  
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Oak SR#815623 (Abated 6-28-08)  
Northwest corner of Hermann and Fillmore SR#815624 (Abated 6-08-08)

Jonathan C. Vaing  
SF DPW Graffiti Operation  
Act. Supervisor II  
415-695-2181

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 6:07 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

---

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

**TO:** Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

**FROM:** Clerk of the Board  
**DATE:** 6/26/2008  
**REFERENCE:** 20080624-005  
**FILE NO.**

Due Date: 7/26/2008



This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 6/24/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting that the Department of Public Works report on the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

Phone Switch Boxes

Southeast corner of Fillmore and Oak

Northwest corner of Hermann and Fillmore

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org) and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 7/26/2008

C. Boz



<michael@>  
08/07/2008 08:37 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

37

Submitted on: 8/7/2008 8:37:50 PM

name: Michael Piacentini

phone: \_\_\_\_\_

comments: I totally disagree with the mayor's proposed garbage program. I cannot have a green can at my house, we put all food scraps we can down the disposal, what we can't we put in the black trash can (gosh--we must be the devil incarnate), we live in a tiny house with no yard at all (our home is on the lot line on all 4 sides). trash cans have to go in the garage so that we don't offend the neighbors and make the whole look junky--we already have no room for the 2 bins we have (black and blue)--but we force them into our 1 car garage--we have to move the cans out each time we want to get into the car.) Our neighborhood and especially our garage have an on-going rat problem and putting more food scraps into the garage with a required green bin will be so unhealthy it would be something I simply would never, ever do--I literally would take the trash down and throw it in the park before I would leave it in my garage in a green bin. I would put the trash in other people's bins or just throw it in the street--i don't care, but it is NOT going in a green can in my garage. The mayor's garbage proposal is stupid and does not give any thought to those of us who live in small homes without the space and/or maids and butlers that the mayor lives with. Pls do not support such a silly, stupid idea.

-----

---  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 64.142.30.40  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

9/9

cc: BOS



Tom Hunt  
<h-2660@\_\_\_\_\_>

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

08/08/2008 02:44 PM

cc

Please respond to  
h-2660@\_\_\_\_\_

bcc

Subject compost bins

To Whom It May Concern:

I have concerns about the proposal to force every residence to have green compost bins. As a resident of a multiple dwelling building my concerns have to do with health issues. Who is going to clean these bins? Who is going to keep them rat and insect free? Who is going to monitor every resident of an apartment building or condo to see who is putting appropriate materials in the green bin?

I support recycling and sort my trash, but would be unable to take care of green bins which would have food in them.

I am strongly against this proposal which requires people to be responsible for something they may have no control over.

Phyllis Hunt  
District 3



<Lgoldbergsf@>  
08/11/2008 10:57 AM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

C: Bos 9/9

30

Submitted on: 8/11/2008 10:57:06 AM

name: Lauren E. Goldberg

phone: \_\_\_\_\_

comments: Hello,

I watched the public safety meeting today, 8/11, and have a few comments I would like to make. Yes, the homicide rate is high and that is a cause for concern, but I think if the emphasis were to control the minor infractions and misdemeanors it would have a greater effect on the homicide rate than police presence. Who murders people? Most murderers are involved in drugs. Supervisor Mikrami mentioned that it wasn't only the police that need to work on the the homicide rate but also violence prevention. I think he forgot about the District Attorney's office. If Kamala's office actually prosecuted drug related crimes... there probably wouldn't be a lot of homicides. Also, if the criminals knew that we were serious about the "small" stuff they probably wouldn't feel like they could get away with the "big" stuff. It's disgusting to me that we turn our head to these types of crimes. I know all of you are aware that drug dealers make a point to step in the county boarder vs. being in San Mateo County, because we are lax, and they will get off.

Supervisors, I implore you to lean on the District Attorney to start prosecuting drug offenses. If the District Attorney, laments that her staff are overworked maybe we should look padding the budget for a few more A.D.A.'s. Also, if she weren't' so concerned with all of her press conferences more people might be prosecuted. She brings attention to the fact that she's GOING to do it. Bring attention to the fact that it's DONE.

Thank you,

Lauren E. Goldberg

---  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 67.161.5.229  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

30

9/9



Janette Barroca  
<jbb3252@>

08/08/2008 04:40 PM

Please respond to  
jbb3252@

To Barroca Yvonne & Gio <toracca5@>  
Fred Biagini <fredbiagini@>, Diane McGrath  
cc Biagini <dianebiagini@>, Ken Garcia Examiner  
<kgarcia@examiner.com>, Fior <bob@'>, Marge  
bcc

Subject Fw: Come to a Presidio Walk Before Aug. 27!

39

THEY SHOULD CHOOSE A DIFFERENT LOCATION FOR THE ART MUSEUM ~~  
EITHER WITHIN THE PRESIDIO ON THE FAR WEST SIDE OR AT TREASURE  
ISLAND. . . .

--- On Fri, 8/8/08, Presidio Historical Assoc. <presidio-assoc@att.net> wrote:  
Dear Presidio Historical Association Member,

I want to encourage you, if you haven't done so already, to attend one of the organized Presidio walks conducted by the Presidio Trust. It would be a great contribution to our efforts to preserve the historic Presidio. The walks are at 2 pm on Wednesdays and Sundays through August 27, starting at the Officer's Club. No reservations needed. For more information and directions, follow this link:

<http://www.presidio.gov/calendar/walkingtours.htm> (click on the address for directions )

Please be forthright with your questions on the tour. Some examples:

**Just how high is the proposed contemporary art museum at its highest point? Is that taller than any building on Main Post?** (At around 62 feet, it is several feet higher than the historic barracks. The argument is that the midpoint height is lower because of the slope of the ground. Nevertheless, the face toward the parade ground will be 62 feet.)

**How big is it?** I understand that the red brick barracks on Montgomery Street nearby are about 43,000 square feet and that the **Contemporary Art Museum at the Presidio (CAMP)** will be 100,000 square feet, with higher ceilings. That results in CAMP being three times bigger than the nearby historic buildings. (CAMP works out to be three times more massive than the a Montgomery Street barracks.)

**If CAMP is moved from the bowling alley location to across Moraga Street, as is proposed in an alternative, won't it be even higher above the parade ground and have an even more massive presence on Main Post than at the bowling alley location?** The answer is yes because of the steep uphill slope as one moves across Moraga from the bowling alley.

**No other national park has a contemporary art museum. What makes the Presidio an exception to national park policies?** (Though administered by the Park Service, the Washington Mall is not a National Historic Landmark District, and it was always designed as a public place to house institutional buildings of a massive scale. Park Service policies require that new construction be related to the resources and values of a specific park, not introduce a new

37

element to the park.)

**What about this hotel? Just exactly how far will it extend toward the flagpole and how wide will it be? Won't it cut off Bay views from in front of the Officer's Club? (It will extend almost to the small building, the first American structure, a powder magazine, located to the north of the flagpole. Views will be seriously affected.)**

**The Trust publications talk about bringing back history by these new buildings. How does that make sense? (you may be able to follow the logic of this argument: I cannot.)**

Well, you get the idea. The Trust walks are designed to prepare people to support the art museum and hotel that the Trust prefers. Please attend one of these walks and keep the discussion balanced with your insight and comments.

Whitney Hall  
Past President

---



ahimsa sumchai  
<asumchai@>  
08/09/2008 04:31 PM

To <rolandgarret@>  
<board\_of\_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Congressional Investigation of CDC Coverup Of Toxic Dust  
Exposure in Ohio and Bayview Hunters Point, San  
Francisco, California

40

*Dr. Ahimsa Porter Sumchai NSCA-CPT*

Date: Sat, 9 Aug 2008 14:05:32 -0600  
Subject:  
From: asumchai@sfbayview.com  
To: ahimsa.sumchai@ucsf.edu; asumchai@ ; asumchai@sfbayview.com

--Forwarded Message Attachment--

Date: Wed, 28 May 2008 13:28:46 -0600  
Subject: To Congressman Brad Miller - CDC coverup of Toxic Dust Exposure in Ohio and Bayview Hunters Point San Francisco  
From: asumchai@sfbayview.com  
To: luann.canipe@gmail.house.gov  
CC: board\_of\_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us; communityfirstcoalition@yahoogroups.com; gavin.newsom@sfgov.org; chris.daly@sfgov.org; michael.cohen@sfgov.org

I am formally submitting this as written testimony to Congressman Brad Miller's investigation of the CDC coverup of toxic dust exposure in Elmore, Ohio led by Dr. Thomas Sinks who led a similar coverup in San Francisco's Bayview Hunters Point District.

--Forwarded Message Attachment--

From: Rolandgarret@  
Date: Sun, 18 May 2008 11:45:46 -0400  
Subject: FYI: Did CDC conceal toxic dust threat in Hunters Point as it did in Ohio?  
To: Muza.susan@epamail.epa.gov; Mitch.katz@sfdph.org; Rajiv.bhatia@sfdph.org; Jbroadbent@baaqmd.gov; Jkay@sfchronicle.com; Beslinger@examiner.com; asumchai@sfbayview.com; Tsinks@cdc.gov; corrections@sfchronicle.com; letters@sfchronicle.com; readerrep@sfchronicle.com; knash@igc.org; phlegar@masryvitoe.com; levinson@masryvitoe.com; cwyle@ccwlawyers.com; editor@fogcityjournal.com; editor@sfbayview.com; info@eastbayexpress.com; news@berkeleydailyplanet.com; paul@beyondchron.org; sarah@sfbg.com; tredmond@sfbg.com; siobal@ ; rj.siegel@

<http://www.sfbayview.com/News/Bay Area/Did CDC conceal toxic dust threat in Hunters Point as it did in Ohio .html>

## Did CDC conceal toxic dust threat in Hunters Point as it did in Ohio?

by: Ahimsa Porter Sumchai, M.D.

Wednesday, 23 April 2008

"The exposures did result in some increased risk for community residents, although it is not possible to quantify this risk." – Thomas Sinks, Ph.D., deputy director, Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry

Learn the latest developments in the fight against Lennar's toxic dust and get involved in the movement for environmental and economic justice – and the passage of Proposition F – at the town hall meetings every Thursday, 6 p.m., at Ground Zero in Hunters Point, Grace Tabernacle Community Church, 1121 Oakdale at Ingalls. These San Francisco State University students were inspired by last week's meeting. Movement leader Minister Christopher Muhammad is in the background.

"Prior to the ATSDR cover letter coming out, there seemed to be an indication that due to political realities, ATSDR would not be able to help much with the asbestos issue. Lennar was on a fast track." – Agenda topic at a meeting of ATSDR, CDPH (California Department of Public Health), EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) and community coalition members at the EPA Region 9 Conference Center on Nov. 13, 2007

Thomas Sinks, Ph.D., is the deputy director of the National Center for Environmental Health, a division of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sinks is the subject of a congressional investigation launched last month after an investigation by CBS News revealed he may have bowed to corporate and political pressure to conceal the hazardous effects of toxic beryllium dust exposure on residents of a small town in Ohio.

---

Many of you will remember Dr. Sinks. He led the sloppy investigation into the community exposures to toxic dust which occurred at Lennar Corp.'s grading and excavation operations at Hunters Point Shipyard Parcel A in San Francisco last fall. Not a single Hunters Point resident who had been exposed to the dust and was suffering symptoms that could be caused by the exposure was medically evaluated or interviewed for the ATSDR report.



Did Dr. Sinks bow to corporate and political pressure to conceal the hazardous effects of toxic asbestos-containing dust on residents of the Bayview Hunters Point District in San Francisco? In Elmore, Ohio, pressure had apparently come from the material engineering company Brush Wellman, operator of the world's largest beryllium manufacturing plant, which generates toxic beryllium dust. In Hunters Point, pressure apparently comes from the Lennar Corp., one of the nation's largest homebuilders. Its grading and excavation activities generate toxic asbestos dust.

According to a San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) memorandum dated June 2007, there were complaints about dust from the very beginning of Lennar's grading activities in April of 2006. The California Department of Public Health (CDPH) reviewed asbestos monitoring data collected between Aug. 3, 2006, and Aug. 19, 2007. No asbestos monitoring data was available from April 25, 2006, through Aug. 2, 2006. In 2006, SFDPH issued three Notices of Violation to the developer concerning the generation of visible dust.

On July 17, 2007, Dr. Rajiv Bhatia, director of Occupational and Environmental Health for the San Francisco Department of Public Health, requested that ATSDR review and interpret the incomplete logs of air monitoring data, analyze data gaps and evaluate judgments made by SFDPH about the health impacts and significance of exposure to naturally occurring asbestos in the community.

The analysis was completed by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) on Sept. 10, 2007, and directed to Capt. Susan L. Muza, regional head of ATSDR. Capt. Muza, who met with community leaders in August of 2007, was asked by Minister Christopher Muhammad to recommend a temporary halt to Lennar's construction activities while the ATSDR investigation was underway. Muza made an off-line comment suggesting that the agency had to accept "political realities" in dealing with "political monsters."

---

The report conducted by the Site Assessment Section of the CDPH for ATSDR reports that "the contractor exceeded the Bay Area Air Quality Management District asbestos action level that triggers work stoppage on 13 percent of excavation days, and because there have been complaints about dust which may cause health concerns, SFDPH should assign a person to continuously monitor dust production and dust abatement during working hours."

Mayor Gavin Newsom, whose conflict of interest relationships with Lennar have now been reported to the Ethics Commission, the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's office, trumpeted his gratitude to the CDC and the ATSDR for their report and attention to the matter: "We are heartened by the fact that the CDC and the California Department of Public Health agree with the San Francisco Department of Public Health [that] there was no significant health risk created by the grading

activities at the Shipyard."

Dr. Sinks, in fact, concluded in his cover letter to the SFDPH that "there was clear evidence that levels of asbestos exceeded the mandated thresholds at both the fence line and in the community. The concentrations of dust could not be interpreted because of the sampling methods and ... the exposures did result in some increased risk for community residents, although it is not possible to quantify this risk."

In a Community Health Update flyer funded by Lennar Urban and widely distributed to a hearing before the San Francisco School Board in October of 2007 – where dozens of parents, teachers, administrators and buildings and grounds supervisors testified that toxic dust from the grading activities on Parcel A was causing headaches, nosebleeds, asthma, bronchitis and declining school performance – the African American Community Revitalization Consortium parroted Gavin Newsom in concluding: "The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, a division of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, recently concluded that grading operations at the Hunters Point Shipyard pose no significant health risk to residents in Bayview Hunters Point."

### **Particle pollution**

San Francisco Department of Public Health programs in Bayview Hunters Point identified on Sept. 19, 2006, by city Health Director Mitchell H. Katz, M.D., include "DPH activities to improve environmental quality in Bayview Hunters Point; Mapping noise and air quality for better land use; and Support citywide project to safely locate new electricity generating units."

In an undated "Fact Sheet" from Dr. Katz mailed to residents of Bayview Hunters Point in 2007, Katz' statement begins: "You may have heard there are reasons to worry about your health because of the construction dust generated by the redevelopment of Parcel A of the Hunters Point Shipyard. That is not true."

"Dust does not cause asthma," Dr. Katz declared during a power point presentation to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors opposing a resolution to halt Lennar's grading and construction activities in October 2007.

According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air and Radiation, airborne

particles, the main ingredient of haze, smoke and airborne dust, presents serious air quality problems in many areas of the United States. This particle pollution can occur year round and can cause a number of serious health problems, even at concentrations found in many major cities.

In a new air-quality report card entitled "State of the Air 2007," San Francisco received a "big fat F" for particulate pollution, according to Linda Weiner, director of research for the American Lung Association.

Particle pollution is a mixture of microscopic solids and liquid droplets suspended in air. This pollution, also known as particulate matter, is made up of a number of components, including acids, organic chemicals, metals, soil or dust particles and fragments of pollen or mold spores.

The size of particles is directly linked to their potential for causing health problems. Small particles less than 10 micrometers in diameter pose the greatest problems because they can get deep into your lungs and into your bloodstream. Exposure to such particles can affect both your lungs and your heart. Larger particles are of less concern, although they can irritate your eyes, nose and throat.

Small particles, called fine particulates, are 2.5 micrometers in diameter, and coarse particles, such as those found in windblown dust, have diameters between 2.5 and 10 micrometers.

People with heart or lung disease, older adults and children are considered at greater risk from particles, especially when they are physically active. Exercise and physical activity cause people to breathe faster and more deeply and take more particles into their lungs.

---

Short term exposures to particles can, within hours or days, aggravate lung disease, cause asthma attacks and acute bronchitis and increase susceptibility to respiratory infections. In people with heart disease, short term exposures have been linked to heart attacks and arrhythmias.

People with heart or lung disease such as coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, asthma or COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease) are at increased risk because particles can aggravate these diseases.

Scientists are evaluating new studies that suggest exposure to high particle levels may be associated with low birth weight infants, pre-term deliveries and fetal and infant deaths.

Particle pollution is a toxic air contaminant of major concern these days. The three combustion turbine "peaker" power plants the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission is aggressively maneuvering to position in southeast San Francisco north of Islais Creek with the bold assistance of Health Director Mitch Katz and Supervisor Sophie Maxwell, emit greater levels of fine particulates than does the existing Mirant power plant.

Over 600 people have been documented to have experienced respiratory symptoms following the spraying of a pheromone compound encapsulated in a plastic coarse particulate in Monterey and Santa Cruz regions.

Officials in Beijing, China, have ordered a halt to construction and industrial activities in the city to improve the air quality and reduce particulate emissions for endurance athletes expected to compete in the Olympics this August.

In the days following the Sept. 11, 2001, destruction of the twin towers of the World Trade Center, Lower Manhattan was enveloped in dust clouds rising over 1,000 feet. Rescue workers and residents were subjected to a cocktail of gases and airborne particulates that led to a public health catastrophe the EPA, with help from FEMA and OSHA, attempted to conceal.

At that time, EPA assured New Yorkers the dust and smoke emanating from Ground Zero posed no health risk. EPA issued five press releases within 10 days of the attack assuring the public the air was safe to breathe.

---

On March 10, 2004, a class action lawsuit was filed against the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on behalf of residents, students and workers exposed to post 9/11 airborne toxins demanding that defendants fund "a medical monitoring program which includes testing and preventive screening for conditions resulting from exposure to World Trade Center toxic dust."

What you can do

If you suspect a similar coverup in Hunters Point, write to the following government officials and journalists: Capt. Susan L. Muza, regional head of the Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry, at [Muza.susan@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Muza.susan@epamail.epa.gov) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Thomas Sinks, Ph.D., deputy director of the ATSDR at [Tsinks@cdc.gov](mailto:Tsinks@cdc.gov) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Mitchell H. Katz, M.D., director of the San Francisco Department of Public Health at [Mitch.katz@sfdph.org](mailto:Mitch.katz@sfdph.org) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Rajiv Bhatia, M.D., director of Occupational and Environmental Health for the San Francisco DPH at [Rajiv.bhatia@sfdph.org](mailto:Rajiv.bhatia@sfdph.org) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Jack Broadbent, CEO of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District at [Jbroadbent@baaqmd.gov](mailto:Jbroadbent@baaqmd.gov) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; San Francisco Chronicle writer Jane Kay at [Jkay@sfchronicle.com](mailto:Jkay@sfchronicle.com) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; and San Francisco Examiner writer Bonnie Eslinger at [Beslinger@examiner.com](mailto:Beslinger@examiner.com) . This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it

Contact Dr. Sumchai at (415) 835-4763 or [asumchai@sfbayview.com](mailto:asumchai@sfbayview.com).

\*\*\*\*\*

Wondering what's for Dinner Tonight? Get new twists on family favorites at AOL Food.  
(<http://food.aol.com/dinner-tonight?NCID=aolfod00030000000001>)

---

Got Game? Win Prizes in the Windows Live Hotmail Mobile Summer Games Trivia Contest [Find out how.](#)  
----- Message from Rolandgarret@aol.com on Sun, 18 May 2008 11:45:46 EDT -----

Muza.susan@epamail.epa.gov, Mitch.katz@sfdph.org, Rajiv.bhatia@sfdph.org,  
Jbroadbent@baaqmd.gov, Jkay@sfchronicle.com, Beslinger@examiner.com,  
asumchai@sfbayview.com, Tsinks@cdc.gov, corrections@sfchronicle.com,  
**To:** letters@sfchronicle.com, readerrep@sfchronicle.com, knash@igc.org,  
phlegar@masryvititoe.com, levinson@masryvititoe.com, cwyle@ccwlawyers.com,  
editor@fogcityjournal.com, editor@sfbayview.com, info@eastbayexpress.com,  
news@berkeleydailyplanet.com, paul@beyondchron.org, sarah@sfbg.com,  
tredmond@sfbg.com, siobal@mindspring.com, rj.siegel@earthlink.net

---

**Subject:** FYI: Did CDC conceal toxic dust threat in Hunters Point as it did in Ohio?

[http://www.sfbayview.com/News/Bay\\_Area/Did\\_CDC\\_conceal\\_toxic\\_dust\\_threat\\_in\\_Hunters\\_Point\\_as\\_it\\_did\\_in\\_Ohio.html](http://www.sfbayview.com/News/Bay_Area/Did_CDC_conceal_toxic_dust_threat_in_Hunters_Point_as_it_did_in_Ohio.html)

**Did CDC conceal toxic dust threat in Hunters Point as it did in Ohio?**

by: Ahimsa Porter Sumchai, M.D.

Wednesday, 23 April 2008

"The exposures did result in some increased risk for community residents, although it is not possible to quantify this risk." – Thomas Sinks, Ph.D., deputy director, Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry

Learn the latest developments in the fight against Lennar's toxic dust and get involved in the movement for environmental and economic justice – and the passage of Proposition F – at the town hall meetings every Thursday, 6 p.m., at Ground Zero in Hunters Point, Grace Tabernacle Community Church, 1121 Oakdale at Ingalls. These San Francisco State University students were inspired by last week's meeting. Movement leader Minister Christopher Muhammad is in the background.

"Prior to the ATSDR cover letter coming out, there seemed to be an indication that due to political realities, ATSDR would not be able to help much with the asbestos issue. Lennar was on a fast track." – Agenda topic at a meeting of ATSDR, CDPH (California Department of Public Health), EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) and community coalition members at the EPA Region 9 Conference Center on Nov. 13, 2007

Thomas Sinks, Ph.D., is the deputy director of the National Center for Environmental Health, a division of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sinks is the subject of a congressional investigation launched last month after an investigation by CBS News revealed he may have bowed to corporate and political pressure to conceal the hazardous effects of toxic beryllium dust exposure on residents of a small town in Ohio.

Many of you will remember Dr. Sinks. He led the sloppy investigation into the community exposures to toxic dust which occurred at Lennar Corp.'s grading and excavation operations at Hunters Point Shipyard Parcel A in San Francisco last fall. Not a single Hunters Point resident who had been exposed to the dust and was suffering symptoms that could be caused by the exposure was medically evaluated or interviewed for the ATSDR report.

Did Dr. Sinks bow to corporate and political pressure to conceal the hazardous effects of toxic asbestos-containing dust on residents of the Bayview Hunters Point District in San Francisco? In Elmore, Ohio, pressure had apparently come from the material engineering company Brush Wellman, operator of the world's largest beryllium manufacturing plant, which generates toxic beryllium dust. In Hunters Point, pressure apparently comes from the Lennar Corp., one of the nation's largest homebuilders. Its grading and excavation activities generate toxic asbestos dust.

According to a San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) memorandum dated June 2007, there were complaints about dust from the very beginning of Lennar's grading activities in April of 2006. The California Department of Public Health (CDPH) reviewed asbestos monitoring data collected between Aug. 3, 2006, and Aug. 19, 2007. No asbestos monitoring data was available from April 25, 2006, through Aug. 2, 2006. In 2006, SFDPH issued three Notices of Violation to the developer concerning the generation of visible dust.

On July 17, 2007, Dr. Rajiv Bhatia, director of Occupational and Environmental Health for the San Francisco Department of Public Health, requested that ATSDR review and interpret the incomplete

logs of air monitoring data, analyze data gaps and evaluate judgments made by SFDPH about the health impacts and significance of exposure to naturally occurring asbestos in the community.

The analysis was completed by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) on Sept. 10, 2007, and directed to Capt. Susan L. Muza, regional head of ATSDR. Capt. Muza, who met with community leaders in August of 2007, was asked by Minister Christopher Muhammad to recommend a temporary halt to Lennar's construction activities while the ATSDR investigation was underway. Muza made an off-line comment suggesting that the agency had to accept "political realities" in dealing with "political monsters."

The report conducted by the Site Assessment Section of the CDPH for ATSDR reports that "the contractor exceeded the Bay Area Air Quality Management District asbestos action level that triggers work stoppage on 13 percent of excavation days, and because there have been complaints about dust which may cause health concerns, SFDPH should assign a person to continuously monitor dust production and dust abatement during working hours."

Mayor Gavin Newsom, whose conflict of interest relationships with Lennar have now been reported to the Ethics Commission, the FBI and the U.S. Attorney's office, trumpeted his gratitude to the CDC and the ATSDR for their report and attention to the matter: "We are heartened by the fact that the CDC and the California Department of Public Health agree with the San Francisco Department of Public Health [that] there was no significant health risk created by the grading activities at the Shipyard."

Dr. Sinks, in fact, concluded in his cover letter to the SFDPH that "there was clear evidence that levels of asbestos exceeded the mandated thresholds at both the fence line and in the community. The concentrations of dust could not be interpreted because of the sampling methods and ... the exposures did result in some increased risk for community residents, although it is not possible to quantify this risk."

In a Community Health Update flyer funded by Lennar Urban and widely distributed to a hearing before the San Francisco School Board in October of 2007 – where dozens of parents, teachers, administrators and buildings and grounds supervisors testified that toxic dust from the grading activities on Parcel A was causing headaches, nosebleeds, asthma, bronchitis and declining school performance – the African American Community Revitalization Consortium parroted Gavin Newsom in concluding: "The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, a division of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, recently concluded that grading operations at the Hunters Point Shipyard pose no significant health risk to residents in Bayview Hunters Point."

---

### **Particle pollution**

San Francisco Department of Public Health programs in Bayview Hunters Point identified on Sept. 19, 2006, by city Health Director Mitchell H. Katz, M.D., include "DPH activities to improve environmental quality in Bayview Hunters Point; Mapping noise and air quality for better land use; and Support citywide project to safely locate new electricity generating units."

In an undated "Fact Sheet" from Dr. Katz mailed to residents of Bayview Hunters Point in 2007,

Katz' statement begins: "You may have heard there are reasons to worry about your health because of the construction dust generated by the redevelopment of Parcel A of the Hunters Point Shipyard. That is not true."

"Dust does not cause asthma," Dr. Katz declared during a power point presentation to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors opposing a resolution to halt Lennar's grading and construction activities in October 2007.

According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air and Radiation, airborne particles, the main ingredient of haze, smoke and airborne dust, presents serious air quality problems in many areas of the United States. This particle pollution can occur year round and can cause a number of serious health problems, even at concentrations found in many major cities.

In a new air-quality report card entitled "State of the Air 2007," San Francisco received a "big fat F" for particulate pollution, according to Linda Weiner, director of research for the American Lung Association.

Particle pollution is a mixture of microscopic solids and liquid droplets suspended in air. This pollution, also known as particulate matter, is made up of a number of components, including acids, organic chemicals, metals, soil or dust particles and fragments of pollen or mold spores.

The size of particles is directly linked to their potential for causing health problems. Small particles less than 10 micrometers in diameter pose the greatest problems because they can get deep into your lungs and into your bloodstream. Exposure to such particles can affect both your lungs and your heart. Larger particles are of less concern, although they can irritate your eyes, nose and throat.

Small particles, called fine particulates, are 2.5 micrometers in diameter, and coarse particles, such as those found in windblown dust, have diameters between 2.5 and 10 micrometers.

People with heart or lung disease, older adults and children are considered at greater risk from particles, especially when they are physically active. Exercise and physical activity cause people to breathe faster and more deeply and take more particles into their lungs.

Short term exposures to particles can, within hours or days, aggravate lung disease, cause asthma attacks and acute bronchitis and increase susceptibility to respiratory infections. In people with heart disease, short term exposures have been linked to heart attacks and arrhythmias.

---

People with heart or lung disease such as coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, asthma or COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease) are at increased risk because particles can aggravate these diseases.

Scientists are evaluating new studies that suggest exposure to high particle levels may be associated with low birth weight infants, pre-term deliveries and fetal and infant deaths.

Particle pollution is a toxic air contaminant of major concern these days. The three combustion turbine "peaker" power plants the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission is aggressively maneuvering to position in southeast San Francisco north of Islais Creek with the bold assistance of Health Director Mitch Katz and Supervisor Sophie Maxwell, emit greater levels of fine particulates



than does the existing Mirant power plant.

Over 600 people have been documented to have experienced respiratory symptoms following the spraying of a pheromone compound encapsulated in a plastic coarse particulate in Monterey and Santa Cruz regions.

Officials in Beijing, China, have ordered a halt to construction and industrial activities in the city to improve the air quality and reduce particulate emissions for endurance athletes expected to compete in the Olympics this August.

In the days following the Sept. 11, 2001, destruction of the twin towers of the World Trade Center, Lower Manhattan was enveloped in dust clouds rising over 1,000 feet. Rescue workers and residents were subjected to a cocktail of gases and airborne particulates that led to a public health catastrophe the EPA, with help from FEMA and OSHA, attempted to conceal.

At that time, EPA assured New Yorkers the dust and smoke emanating from Ground Zero posed no health risk. EPA issued five press releases within 10 days of the attack assuring the public the air was safe to breathe.

On March 10, 2004, a class action lawsuit was filed against the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on behalf of residents, students and workers exposed to post 9/11 airborne toxins demanding that defendants fund "a medical monitoring program which includes testing and preventive screening for conditions resulting from exposure to World Trade Center toxic dust."

What you can do

If you suspect a similar coverup in Hunters Point, write to the following government officials and journalists: Capt. Susan L. Muza, regional head of the Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry, at [Muza.susan@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Muza.susan@epamail.epa.gov) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Thomas Sinks, Ph.D., deputy director of the ATSDR at [Tsinks@cdc.gov](mailto:Tsinks@cdc.gov) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Mitchell H. Katz, M.D., director of the San Francisco Department of Public Health at [Mitch.katz@sfdph.org](mailto:Mitch.katz@sfdph.org) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Rajiv Bhatia, M.D., director of Occupational and Environmental Health for the San Francisco DPH at [Rajiv.bhatia@sfdph.org](mailto:Rajiv.bhatia@sfdph.org) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; Jack Broadbent, CEO of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District at [Jbroadbent@baaqmd.gov](mailto:Jbroadbent@baaqmd.gov) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; San Francisco Chronicle writer Jane Kay at [jkay@sfnchronicle.com](mailto:jkay@sfnchronicle.com) This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it ; and San Francisco Examiner writer Bonnie Eslinger at [Beslinger@examiner.com](mailto:Beslinger@examiner.com) .This e-mail address is being protected from spam bots, you need JavaScript enabled to view it

Contact Dr. Sumchai at \_\_\_\_\_ or [asumchai@sfbayview.com](mailto:asumchai@sfbayview.com).

\*\*\*\*\*

Wondering what's for Dinner Tonight? Get new twists on family favorites at AOL Food.  
(<http://food.aol.com/dinner-tonight?NCID=aolfod00030000000001>)

----- Message from asumchai@sfbayview.com on Wed, 28 May 2008 13:28:46 -0600 (MDT) -----

**To:** luann.canipe@gmail.house.gov

**cc:** board\_of\_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us, communityfirstcoalition@yahoogroups.com,  
gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, chris.daly@sfgov.org, michael.cohen@sfgov.org

**Subject:** To Congressman Brad Miller - CDC coverup of Toxic Dust Exposure in Ohio and Bayview  
**t:** Hunters Point San Francisco

I am formally submitting this as written testimony to Congressman Brad Miller's investigation of the CDC coverup of toxic dust exposure in Elmore, Ohio led by Dr. Thomas Sinks who led a similar coverup in San Francisco's Bayview Hunters Point District. A MIME attachment of type <message/rfc822> was removed here  
by a drop-attachments-by-name filter rule on the host <ironport.sfgov.org>.

9/9

C: BOS

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
08/11/2008 01:32 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc kimo@ \_\_\_\_\_  
bcc  
Subject Fw: (video) Daly has 1 min Public Comment Zoo hearing - is that legal? Cheryl Adams allowed it.

41

----- Forwarded by Mary Red/BOS/SFGOV on 08/11/2008 09:23 AM -----



"Kimo Crossman"  
<kimo@ \_\_\_\_\_>  
08/09/2008 02:11 PM

To "Kimo Crossman" <kimo@ \_\_\_\_\_>  
cc  
Subject (video) Daly has 1 min Public Comment Zoo hearing - is that legal? Cheryl Adams allowed it.

Clerk of the Board and Rules Clerk:

I request that this communication be made part of the policy body and that it be sent out in real-time to each Supervisor as well as the City Attorney who advises the Rules and Board as a whole.

Please include me on the communication so that I may confirm that this request was processed.

I have just heard and watched that Supervisor Daly limited Public Comment to one minute recently at the Rules committee on a Zoo matter.

The City Attorney needs to put a stop to this.

**I actually strongly support Supervisor Daly's proposal on the Zoo and know the modus operandi of these "Friends" groups with their happy talk which live off city operations. But I am very disappointed to see abuse of Public Comment to one minute.**

**Cheryl Adams – City Attorney allowed it to occur.**

The Chronicle story is unfairly one sided and inflammatory against Daly you can watch the video yourself. (notice they didn't link to the video)  
<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/08/09/BAMG127PUA.DTL>

**Video here, select Item 10**

44

[http://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view\\_id=13&clip\\_id=6006&publish\\_id=&event\\_id=](http://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=13&clip_id=6006&publish_id=&event_id=)

Please be notified that Paul Zarefsky, the City Attorney expert on Sunshine has stated in the 2006 Sunshine training video that 1 minute is generally not enough time for Public Comment.

Zarefsky:

**"I WOULD BE SUSPICIOUS OF ONE MINUTE COMMENT PERIODS. SOMETIMES ITS OKAY, BUT ITS IMPORTANT THEY BE USED WITH WR THE MATTER IS NOT THAT COMPLICATED, FOR EXAMPLE. THERE IS A DANGER THAT ONE MINUTE MAY NOT BE ENOUGH. I DONT WANT TO SET ANY HARD FAST RULES, BUT THE MORE TIME A SPEAKER HAS THATS CLOSER TO THREE MINUTES, THE BETTER. THERE CAN BE A REASONABLE LIMIT ON A TOTAL COMMENT TIME ON A PARTICULAR ITEM.**

**ITS IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THIS PRINCIPLE CANT TRUMP THE PRINCIPLE THAT EVERYONE WHO WANTS TO SPEAK HAS A RIGHT TO SPEAK SO IF YOU HAVE 15 MINUTES ALLOCATED FOR A PARTICULAR TIME AND 30 SPEAKERS ON THAT PARTICULAR AGENDA ITEM, THATS NOT GOING TO CUT IT BECAUSE YOURE DOWN TO 30 SECONDS FOR EACH PERSON BEING ABLE TO SPEAK. YOU PROBABLY HAVE TO ADJUST YOUR CONCEPT OF THE AMOUNT OF TIME GOING TO BE SPENT ON THAT AGENDA ITEM.**

---



ahimsa sumchai  
<asumchai@>  
08/09/2008 12:59 PM

To: <board\_of\_supervisors@ci.sf.ca.us>,  
<communityfirstcoalition@yahogroups.com>,  
<chris.daly@sfgov.org>, <rolandgarret@>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject: Deja Vu - Past statements on Exceedences at Lennar  
Shipyard site relevant today!

42

*Dr. Ahimsa Porter Sumchai NSCA-CPT*

From: Rolandgarret@>  
Date: Thu, 23 Aug 2007 18:45:44 -0400  
Subject: FYI : Lennar Found in Violation for the 4th Time!  
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org; Ed.Jew@sfgov.org; gavin.newsom@sfgov.org;  
Gerardo.Sandoval@sfgov.org; Jake.McGoldrick@sfgov.org; Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org;  
Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org; Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org; Sophie.Maxwell@sfgov.org;  
Tom.Ammiano@sfgov.org; editor@fogcityjournal.com; editor@sfbayview.com; info@eastbayexpress.com;  
news@berkeleydailyplanet.com; paul@beyondchron.org; sarah@sfbg.com; tredmond@sfbg.com;  
ADuhan@>, ANCAM1945@>; barry.castleman@>; billmeyer@chelsiegrouop.org;  
crdorsey@uiuc.edu; editor@hazards.org; fschreiberg@kazanlaw.com; gfr15@>  
gngreenberg@>; info@ciaw.org; jaws@ntl.sympatico.ca; jbarab@>  
jimbrophy@sympatico.ca; jms13@columbia.edu; killedonthejob@usmwf.org; lpepper@bu.edu;  
lvpsf@igc.org; mkeith@ohcow.on.ca; mpwilson@uclink.berkeley.edu; nycosh@nycosh.org;  
rclapp@bu.edu; SafeInHeaven2002@>, safety.standards@amfa9.org; sds91@columbia.edu;  
webmanager@nycosh.org; yoosong@uiuc.edu

According to OOHS science, not OOHS regulations, there are no safe levels of exposure to asbestos.

In April 1980. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NOSH) -Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OOHS) *Asbestos Work Group* Wrote a paper titled: *WORKPLACE EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS Review and Recommendations*, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 81-103. On page 25 in this report it states:

"Second, all levels of asbestos exposure studied to date have demonstrated asbestos related disease, and a linear relationship appears to best describe the shape of the dose-response curve. These considerations led the committee to conclude that there is no level of exposure below which clinical effects do not occur. Third, the absence of a threshold is further indicated by the dramatic evidence of asbestos-related disease in members of asbestos worker households and in persons living near asbestos-contaminated areas. These household and community contacts involved low level and/or intermittent casual exposure to asbestos. Studies of duration of exposure suggest that even at very short exposure periods (1 day to 3 months) significant disease can occur."  
<http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/pdfs/97-162-b.pdf>

Under the Precautionary Principle Ordinance,

[www.sfenvironment.com/aboutus/innovative/pp/sfpp.htm](http://www.sfenvironment.com/aboutus/innovative/pp/sfpp.htm), the Board of Health is required to abide by OSHA science -- not the OSHA regulations, which are always a compromise between business and science. The City and Country of San Francisco and the San Francisco Health Department's plan, for asbestos removal at the Lennar construction site, has been in continuous and ongoing violation of this San Francisco law. Lennar Corporation has now been found in violation of even the San Francisco Health Department's non safe-level safety requirements for the fourth time!

Lennar has knowingly put citizens of Hunters Point and the citizens of San Francisco at risk, which is a felony.

How come they do not get a 'three strikes and your out' does not apply to Lennar?

**San Francisco City and County  
Public Health**

Gavin Newsom, *Mayor* Department of  
Mitchell H. Katz, *Director of Health*

**Environmental Health Section**  
1390 Market Street, Suite 210  
San Francisco, Ca 94102  
Phone (415) 252-3800  
Fax (415) 252-3875

Rajiv Bhatia, *M.D., M.P.H.*  
*Director of Environmental Health*

**ARTICLE 31  
NOTICE OF VIOLATION  
for August 17, 2007**

August 20, 2007  
Kofi Bonner  
Lennar/BVHP, L.L.C.  
49 Stevenson St., Suite 600  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Dear Mr. Bonner:

Based on inspections conducted on Friday, August 17, 2007, the San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) is issuing a Notice of Violation to Lennar/BVHP, LLC.

SFDPH staff conducted the inspection between 2:45 and 4:30 pm on August 17th, 2007 at the Hunters Point Shipyard Parcel A to verify compliance with your approved Article 31 Dust Control Plan. The area of the violation was the soil storage area behind Building 808 and the haul roads going to and from that soil storage area. The inspector observed trucks and other equipment dumping and spreading soil behind Building 808 on the hilltop portion of Parcel A.

The inspector observed two conditions that constitute independent violations of the approved Dust Control Plan: (1) dust crossing the property boundary to Navy property without effective measures of control (Section 6.2.1) and (2) visible dust for over 90 minutes without effective measures of control (Section 6.2.2). According to Section 6.2.1., dust crossing the property boundary without immediately implemented effective measure of control constitutes a violation of your Dust Control Plan. The inspector observed visible dust crossing the property boundary between Parcel A and Navy Property and the emissions source (dusty roads and trucks driving on dusty roads).

Section 6.2.2., requires visible dust from soil disturbance or excavation to be effectively controlled within 90 minutes. The inspector observed the site for over 90 minutes. Although limited efforts to control dust, including watering, occurred in the area of the violation, these efforts were not successful in controlling the visible dust within the time required period required by the Plan. According to the Plan; the actions resulting in dust emissions should have been stopped within this time period until effective control practices were implemented.

### **Immediate Action Required**

Although Lennar/BVHP LLC has been in compliance with the Article 31 dust control plan over the last seven months, the findings of the August 17th inspection result in the fourth Notice of Violation on the Dust Control Problems for Lennar/BVHP LLC since the mass grading began in April 2006.

**We are therefore requiring that Lennar / BVHP LLC shall suspend all dust generating activities at the project for at least forty-eight hours in order that you can establish work practices that will prevent future recurrences.**


Your efforts may include a review of the incident for the causes of compliance failure and training of all relevant employees and subcontractors on the requirements of the Dust Control Plan. Lennar shall notify SFDPH when they will be restarting the work effort and describe actions they are taking to prevent future violations. We ask that Lennar send a letter no later than the end of the work suspension period (a minimum offorty-eight hours, or longer, if Lennar so chooses) of the actions that were taken during this review period including at a minimum:

1. Results from the review of incident;
2. Any new work practice procedures implemented by Lennar or its contractors.

In addition, SFDPH intends to use this forty-eight hour time period to establish an SFDPH Dust Control Plan monitor to provide enhanced and ongoing surveillance of the project. The monitor shall be supervised by SFDPH staff and the costs for this monitor shall be billed to Lennar based on the mechanism established in the Article 31. Through this monitor, SFDPH will independently verify that the dust control is meeting all DustControl Plan requirements and assist Lennar in adhering to Plan requirements. This monitor will continue to function in this role until such time as SFDPH determines that the role is no longer needed.

In a few days, we will document and send to you further details of the inspection, including the time periods, the exact area of the violation and photos of the visible dust. DPH also has the authority to assess penalties for violations of Article 31. We are determining whether a monetary penalty is indicated for this violation.

Sincerely,



Rajiv Bhatia, MD, MPH.  
Director of Occupational and Environmental Health  
cc: Jeff Austin, Lennar  
Dave Wilkins, Lennar  
Paul Menaker, Lennar  
Michael Cohen, MOEWD  
Nicole Franklin, SFRA  
Marcia Rosen, SFRA  
Amy Brownell, SFDPH  
David Rizzolo, SFDPH  
Mitch Katz, SFDPH  
Wayne Lee, BAAQMD  
Thomas Theriault, DBI  
Bernie Tse, SFDPW  
Vicki Dvorak, BAAQMD  
Hunters Point Task Force  
Saul Bloom, ARC/Ecology

## References

This inspection report documents an onsite visit and identifies violations of Article 31 of the San Francisco Health Code. The full text of Article 31 can be found at <http://www.municode.com/Resources/gateway.asp?pid=14136&sid=5>.

The implementing regulations for Article 31 of the Health Code can be found at <http://www.sfdph.org/eh/article31/index.htm>

This Notice of Violation is issued pursuant to Article 31, Section 3110 Enforcement Actions, which references Article 21, Section 1133 which can be found at <http://www.municode.com/Resources/gateway.asp?pid=14136&sid=5>.

Sections of the Dust Control Plan relevant to this violation include:

---

### 6.2.1 Visible Dust Crossing the Property Boundary

In the event visible dust from soil disturbance or excavation is observed crossing the property boundary, the following procedures will be followed to ensure adequate mitigation measures are in place to address the dust:

- The specific source of the emissions will be immediately shut down and a more aggressive application of the existing mitigation measures described in Section 4.0 will be directed.
- Once the mitigation measures have been applied, the source of emissions will resume and observations will be conducted to verify that the mitigation measures were



successful.

### 6.2.2 On-Site Visible Dust

In the event visible dust from soil disturbance or excavation is observed on-site, but does not cross the property boundary, the following procedures will be followed to ensure adequate mitigation measures are in place to address the dust:

- A more aggressive application of the existing mitigation measures described in Section 4.0 or additional methods of dust suppression will be directed to the specific source of emissions within 60 minutes of the initial observation.

- If despite these more aggressive and/or additional measures the visible dust emissions continue for 90 minutes from the time of the initial observation, the specific source of emissions will be temporarily shut down until the implemented dust control mitigation is effective or, due to changed conditions, no longer necessary.

\*\*\*\*\*

Get a sneak peek of the all-new AOL at <http://discover.aol.com/memed/aolcom30tour>

---

Reveal your inner athlete and share it with friends on Windows Live. [Share now!](#)

9/9



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 14 PM 4:42

BY SW

August 6, 2008

43

**TO:** MEMBERS, PORT COMMISSION  
Hon. Kimberly Brandon, President  
Hon. Rodney Fong, Vice President  
Hon. Ann Lazarus  
Hon. Michael Hardeman  
Hon. Stephanie Shakofsky

**FROM:** Monique Moyer  
Executive Director

**SUBJECT:** Annual Contracting Activity Quarterly Report – Fiscal Year 2007/08;  
4<sup>th</sup> Quarter Period April 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008

**DIRECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION:** Informational Item – No Action Required

**BACKGROUND**

The purpose of this report is to comply with legal and policy mandates for the City and County of San Francisco and Port Commission. These legal and policy requirements are primarily based upon the following:

1. "As-Needed" contracting requirements as promulgated by Section 6.64 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, Port Commission Resolution 03-50 and a Letter of Agreement with Local 21 International Federation of Professional and Technical Employees Association (IFPTE). (Effective April 2005, a \$200,000 limit was imposed via City ordinance for use of as-needed contract services per each single public works project; not including general planning or non-construction related professional services such as real estate economics as-needed contracts.)
2. Local 21 Union for the IFPTE and the City and County of San Francisco Department of Public Works requested that the Port include the following additional information in the subject quarterly reports, as it applies to the use of as-needed professional service contracts:
  - Contracting activity for the current reporting period
  - Anticipated contracting activity for the upcoming quarter
  - Estimated staffing numbers and projects related to the as-needed contract services.

**THIS PRINT COVERS CALENDAR ITEM NO. 7B**

43

3. San Francisco Administrative Code Section 14(b) requires all departments and contract awarding authorities to report to the Mayor on their progress in the preceding fiscal year toward the achievement of the LBE goals and their steps to ensure non-discrimination against MBEs (Minority Business Enterprises), WBEs (Women Business Enterprises) and OBEs (Local businesses other than MBE or WBE).

The Port of San Francisco has been assigned by the San Francisco Human Rights Commission (HRC) an overall Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) or Local Business Enterprise (LBE) subcontracting participation goal of 20%. This means that 20% of all of contracted work procured by the Port of San Francisco must be awarded to Local Business Enterprises or the contractor must have demonstrated a good faith effort to do so.

In the award of leases, franchises, concessions, and other contracts not subject to the discount provisions of Administrative Code Section 14(b), contract awarding authorities, such as the Port, shall take specified steps in utilizing the good faith efforts to maximize opportunities for LBE participation, as deemed practicable to do so. At the minimum, contract awarding authorities should notify LBEs that are certified to perform the work contemplated in a contract and solicit their interest in the contract. These steps of good faith are described in each solicitation for a Port lease, franchise, concession and other contracts such as development agreements.

#### Definitions

1. *As-needed Professional Service Contracts* include professional service contracts procured on a request for qualifications basis to establish a pool of Master Agreements in which work is contracted under task orders or Contract Service Orders (CSOs), as needed to complete work required on an immediate basis that can not otherwise be performed by existing City and County of San Francisco staff. The Port has twelve as-needed contracts that have a total authorized contracting capacity of \$8,150,000.
2. *Professional Service Contracts* procured through a *formal* contracting process  
-contracts valued greater than \$29,000
3. *Professional Service Contracts* procured through an *informal* contracting process  
- contracts valued at less than \$29,000
4. *Construction Service Contracts*  
-public works/construction contract means a contract for the erection, construction, renovation, alteration, improvement, demolition, excavation, installation, or repair of any public building, structure, infrastructure, bridge, road, street, park, dam, tunnel, utility or similar public facility that is performed by or for the City
5. *Information Technology Contracts*  
- acquisition of computer hardware, software, peripherals and appropriate network, consulting, maintenance, training and support services, as well as any successor contracts
6. *General Services Contracts*  
- an agreement for those services that are not professional services. Examples of "general services" include: janitorial, security guard, pest control, parking lot attendants and landscaping services

Based upon the above information, this report is divided into two sections to cover each of the legally mandated reporting requirements consisting of: 1) Administrative Code Section 6.64 - As-Needed Contracting Activity; and 2) Administrative Code Section 14(b) - All other Contracting Activity.

## SUMMARY

### Disadvantaged/Local Business Enterprise Participation

As illustrated below, the Port has exceeded its overall D/LBE subcontracting goal of 20% for Fiscal Year (FY) 07/08 on all contracts required to be monitored.

The following table summarizes the Port's Annual Contracting Activity for FY 07/08.

Type of Contract	Amount Awarded	D/LBE Amount	D/LBE %
As-Needed Professional Services	\$1,901,058	\$ 551,219	21.76%
General Services (Contracts Only*)	\$ 630,955	\$ 126,191	20%
Formal Professional Services	\$ 249,341	\$ 57,348	23%
Informal Professional Services	\$ 117,425	\$ 50,000	46.54%
Construction Services	\$6,471,951	\$1,232,232	19.04%
Information Technology (General Services)	\$ 401,000	\$ 388,200	96.8%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$9,771,730</b>	<b>\$2,405,190</b>	<b>24.6 %</b>

\*Includes all active contracts.

The following table summarizes the Port's Quarterly Contracting Activity by Master Agreement discipline of professional services for the 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter FY 07/08.

Type of As-Needed Professional Services Contract	Amount Awarded	D/LBE Amount	D/LBE %
Architectural & Engineering	\$252,795	\$76,913	30.4%
Environmental	\$250,576	\$94,507	37.7%
Real Estate Economics	\$ 96,514	\$16,920	17.5%
Construction Support Services	\$0	\$0	0%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$599,885</b>	<b>\$188,340</b>	<b>31.40%</b>

The following table summarizes the Port's Contract DBE participation for FY 07/08 as compared to the previous FY 06/07 and cumulative amounts for both years.

Type of As-Needed Professional Services Contract	FY 06/07 DBE	FY 07/08 DBE	Cumulative DBE
Architectural & Engineering	17.3%	25.6%	21%
	\$165,604	\$193,781	\$359,385
Environmental	21.4%	30%	25.1%
	\$116,539	\$141,986	\$285,590
Real Estate Economics	5%	33.96%	30.5%
	\$19,089	\$213,452	\$232,541
Construction Support Services	0%	4.3%	.09%
	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$301,232</b>	<b>\$551,219</b>	<b>21.76% or \$852,451</b>

Staff have requested that the HRC provide a review of the Port's contracting activity to assure that the subcontracting goals required on Port contracts are reasonable with respect to the type of work required and the availability of qualified LBE firms that can and are willing to perform the work. Staff anticipates that such a report will be completed by the HRC by no later than the first quarter of FY 08/09. When that HRC report is made available to staff, it will be included as a part of the quarterly contracting activity report for the upcoming Port Commission meeting.

#### \$200,000 As-Needed Contracting Authorization

During FY 07/08, the Port Commission was asked to approve two projects in which the use of as-needed contract services exceeded the approved \$200,000 threshold. These projects were as follows:

1. Pier 70 Master Plan Project increase from \$200,000 to \$500,000; and
2. Pier 45 Drainage Improvement Project increase from \$200,000 to \$250,586

To date there have been a total of three (3) Contract Service Orders that have exceeded the \$200,000 threshold for Port Commission approval. The previous project requiring project as-needed consulting fees in excess of \$200,000 was the Pier 52 Boat Launch Project that exceeded the threshold by \$30,000. The Port Commission granted approval at its November 14, 2006 meeting.

#### Other Contracting Activity

In addition to the above contracting activity, the Port has been engaged in number of leasing evaluations, renewals, and new leases. The Real Estate Division reports that all leases are conducted on a first come, first served basis. There is no tracking by ethnicity, gender nor location of corporate headquarters for the tenants granted leases on Port property. However, the majority of the lease transactions are with local small businesses.

The Maritime Division contract for shoreside power equipment at Piers 27 and 29 has been approved by the Board of Supervisors as a sole source contract to a firm based in Seattle, Washington as selected by the primary end user, Princess Cruise Lines, Ltd. Princess Cruise Lines, Ltd is a co-applicant with the Port for the project funding. In addition, Port staff presented a report to the Port Commission at its May 13, 2008 meeting "regarding options for a Request for Proposals for Concrete and/or Asphalt Batching Plant at Seawall Lot 352 with a Bulk Maritime Terminal at Pier 94". At its May 27, 2008 meeting, the Port Commission authorized staff to issue a RFP (not yet issued) extending the opportunity for the lease and operation of a Concrete and/or Asphalt Batching Plant at Seawall Lot 352 with a Bulk Maritime Terminal at Pier 94.

Development contracting transactions are highly specialized and market driven by the private investment entities pursuing such projects on Port property. However, the Port still adheres to the Port's policy of encouraging participation of all local small businesses on its development projects without regards to race or gender. The Port has been engaged in two development projects that include the Piers 27-31 Mixed Use Recreation project and the Seawall Lot 337

project. It is anticipated that a Seawall Lot 351 RFP will be issued during the first quarter of FY 08/09. In all instances, the Port includes the following statement:

**“V. OTHER PROJECT REQUIREMENTS**

**A. Equal Opportunity**

*The Port Commission encourages the participation of disadvantaged local business enterprises in this RFP opportunity. The selected Respondent will be encouraged to consult with the City’s Human Rights Commission to determine appropriate methods for promoting participation by disadvantaged business enterprises in this opportunity. The City’s list of certified Local Business Enterprises may be accessed through the following URL: ([http://sfgov.org/site/uploadedfiles/sfhumanrights/directory/vlist\\_1.htm](http://sfgov.org/site/uploadedfiles/sfhumanrights/directory/vlist_1.htm)).*”

Specific outreach to small businesses included the following sources:

- Small Business Exchange (statewide minority contractor trade publication)
- Daily Pacific Builder (northern California construction trade publication)
- Asian Week (San Francisco community paper)
- El Mensajero (Latino San Francisco community paper)
- The Sun Reporter\* (African American San Francisco community paper)

\*After further verification, it was determined that the ad for this publication was never made due to a reported “production run error” on the part of the publication firm.

Local 21 Staffing Activity

LOCAL 21 STAFFING ACTIVITY FY 07/08								
DISCIPLINE	1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter	
	Separation	Appointment	Separation	Appointment	Separation	Appointment	Separation	Appointment
Engineering Planning			5207* (civil)	5207 (Electrical)				
IT	1053					1042 (2)		1053
Administrative			1824 (1)				1652	1824
			9395 (2)			9395 (2)		

\*Temporary leave

**CONCLUSION**

Additional details on the Port’s contracting activities (including upcoming contracting activities) are included in the discussion portion of this report. Port staff request acceptance of this informational report with comments and further direction to staff as deemed appropriate.

## **DISCUSSION DETAIL**

Based upon the background and summary information provided, the remainder of this report is divided into two sections to cover each of the legally mandated reporting requirements consisting of report details covering: 1) Administrative Code Section 6.64 – As-Needed Contracting Activity; and 2) Administrative Code Section 14(b) - All other Contracting Activity.

### **PART I. As-Needed Professional Services Contracting Activity Administrative Code Section 6.64**

This as-needed contracting activity report covers the 4th Quarter (April 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008) period for Fiscal Year 2007/08. One (1) as-needed construction-related professional service Contract Service Order exceeded the \$200,000 Administrative Code limit for a public works project during this quarter. That Contract Service Order included an excess increase of \$50,586 for the Pier 45 Drainage Improvement Project that is currently in the design phase, as approved at the May 13, 2008 Port Commission meeting.

The detailed achievement (dollars and percentages) for each of the twelve as-needed consultant contracts is included in Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 to this report. The chart illustrates subcontract participation rates for the two types of as-needed contracts used at the Port. The Construction-related Professional Services Contracts (Engineering, Environmental & Construction Support Services) are used primarily by the Engineering Division. The Non-Construction-related Professional Services Contracts (Real Estate Economics and Related Consulting Services) are used primarily by the Planning Division.

#### **Anticipated Formal Contracts issued through New Request for Proposals:**

- Brannan Street Wharf Project Engineering Design
- Youth Employment Program – Environmental Maintenance of Port Infrastructure
- Financial Advisory Services
- Pier 70 Brownsfield Environmental
- Unarmed Guard Security Services
- CCTV Security Systems
- Elevator/Escalator Maintenance
- Pier 43.5 Design Services
- Facility Maintenance Data System
- As-Needed Engineering Services
- Bayfront Park Design
- SWL 351 (Developer)
- SWL 337 (Developer)

#### **Anticipated Construction Services Contracts to be Bid through Engineering**

- Pier 80 Fencing (Est. \$200,000)
- 401 Terry Francois ADA Accessibility Upgrades (Est. \$500,000)

- Pier 45 Drainage Improvements (Est. \$1.85 million)
- Pier 9 Fire Protection (Est. \$60,000)
- SFPUC Efficient Lighting (Est \$650,000)

Shoreside Power Contract is to be awarded as a sole source with estimated cost of \$3.2 million.

**Anticipated As-Needed Contracting Activity for the Upcoming Quarter**  
(July 1, 2008 – September 30, 2008)

**Construction-related Professional Services**

Description of Work	Estimated Dollar Amount	Project
Environmental Education and Outreach (As-Needed Environmental)	\$30,000	Fisherman's Wharf Public Outreach
Design for repair of existing substructure of bridge leading to Sinbad Restaurant and Agriculture building east apron repair	\$50,000	Sinbad Bridge & Ag Bldg Walkway Repair
401 Terry Francois (Pier 50 Admin Bldg)	\$50,000	Design Services
Environmental Investigation Work	\$25,000	Pier 90
Conceptual Design for Bay Trail Link	\$200,000	Pier 43.5
Engineering Design Services	\$200,000	Hyde Street Harbor Command Center
Roof Truss End Connections Engineering	\$12,000	Pier 35
Railroad Tunnel Modification	\$200,000	Pier 80
Pier 43.5 Condition Survey	\$200,000	Bond funded Project Bay
Design Development for automated bilge treatment system	\$15,000	SWL 303; Hyde Street
Pier 50 Valley Substructure Condition Survey	\$175,000	Bond funded Project
Pier 27 Seismic Evaluation Workshop Input	\$10,000	Cruise Terminal Study
Pier 35 Superstructure Condition Survey	\$200,000	Pier 35 Cruise Terminal
Portwide Cargo Study	\$150,000	Portwide Marketing
Wharf J-10 Additional Services	\$100,000	Exxon/Mobile
Dredging Inspections	\$50,000	Piers
CCTV Specification Development	\$50,000	Homeland Security
Stormwater Program Compliance	\$105,000	Portwide
Bollards Condition Survey	\$25,000	Pier 80
Rapid/Detailed Structural Assessment Standards	\$50,000	Portwide
Blanket CSO for Project Scoping Services	\$75,000	Engineering Support
Elevator/Escalator Maintenance Specification	\$5,000	Maintenance Support
Design Services for Aprons 19,26,28 and 33 (separate projects)	\$400,000	Portwide Apron Repair
Transformer Evaluation & Recommendations	\$70,000	Piers 68/70
Maher Analysis and Water Quality	\$40,000	Pier 45 Environmental
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED AMOUNT</b>	<b>\$2,057,000</b>	



## Real Estate and Related Consulting Services

Description of Work	Estimated Dollar Amount	Project
Economic/development feasibility analysis of the Agriculture Building, the Ferry Building Parking Structure, and related Design Plans for nearby open space areas.	\$100,000	Ferry Building Area Planning
Transportation demand management strategies	\$10,000	Seawall Lot 337
Traffic Flow Analysis	\$80,000	Pier 27
Data compilation of hazardous building materials	\$30,000	Pier 70 Environmental
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED AMOUNT</b>	<b>\$220,000</b>	

### Staffing Numbers

#### PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO

Local 21 Staffing Activity for Fiscal Year 2007/08

4th Quarter, 4/1/08 – 6/30/08

Class/Title	Activities
1053 Business Analyst-Senior	Vacant on 8/4/07 due to retirement; filled by reappointment eff. 6/16/08 of former City employee who resigned from another City agency.
1824 Prin. Admin. Analyst (Financial Analysis)	Vacant on 12/2/07 due to promotion with another city agency; permanent appointment made from employment registry eff. 4/21/08.
1652 Senior Accountant	Vacant on 5/19/08 due to promotion; will be used for a permanent transfer from another City agency pending requisition approval.

**PART II. All Other Contracting Activity  
San Francisco Administrative Code Section 14(b)**

This section of the report covers the Port Commission's request to expand reporting of contracting activity to include all Port contracts. This section of the report also addresses the new San Francisco Administrative Code Section 14(b) requirement to report activities to assure nondiscrimination in contracting to MBEs, WBEs and OBEs.

The San Francisco Human Rights Commission (HRC) has determined that the Port's contracting activities and the prime contractors/consultants have made a good faith effort to comply with the City and County of San Francisco Local Business Enterprise program.

Port Contracting Activity (Non As-Needed Contracts) 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter FY 2007/08

<b>General Service Active Contracts</b>					
<b>Contract Date</b>	<b>Contractor Name</b>	<b>Contract Amount</b>	<b>LBE Amount</b>	<b>LBE % (subcontracting)</b>	<b>Project Description</b>
NONE					
<b>Formal Professional Service Contracts</b>					
<b>Contract Date</b>	<b>Contractor Name</b>	<b>Contract Amount</b>	<b>LBE Amount</b>	<b>LBE % (subcontracting)</b>	<b>Project Description</b>
NONE					
<b>Informal Professional Service Contracts</b>					
<b>Contract Date</b>	<b>Contractor Name</b>	<b>Contract Amount</b>	<b>LBE Amount</b>	<b>LBE %</b>	<b>Project Description</b>
6/18/08	URS Corporation	9,800	0	0	Emergency Training
<b>Construction Services Contracts</b>					
<b>Contract Date</b>	<b>Contractor Name</b>	<b>Contract Amount</b>	<b>LBE Amount</b>	<b>LBE % (subcontracting)</b>	<b>Project Description</b>
NONE					
<b>As-Needed Construction Services Contracts (Fire Protection &amp; Security Systems)</b>					
<b>Contract Date</b>	<b>Contractor Name</b>	<b>Contract Amount</b>	<b>LBE Amount</b>	<b>LBE % (subcontracting)</b>	<b>Project Description</b>
NONE					

Detailed information summarizing contracting activity for the year is included as Exhibit 3. However, several information technology contracts ranging from \$10,000 to \$82,050 were awarded to Xtech Consulting (a local business enterprise) totaling \$388,200 for FY 07/08. These services were delivered for the Oracle System Upgrade project. Another information technology contract in the amount of \$12,800 was awarded to Ciber Consulting bringing the total Information Technology contracts awarded through the City's Office of Contract Administration to \$401,000.

**Steps to Assure Non-Discrimination against MBEs, WBEs and OBEs**

To assure that MBEs, WBEs and OBEs are not discriminated against in Port contracting opportunities, the Port has implemented the following standard procedures:

- Request information from the San Francisco Human Rights Commission as to the availability of MBEs, WBEs and OBEs certified as offering services required on Port projects. Such information includes availability statistics in percentages for MBEs, WBEs and OBEs. In addition, the Port has requested the MS Excel database of such certified firms to assure inclusion as project opportunities become available.
- Availability statistics in percentages are included in advertising for all formally procured contracts.
- Outreach through Minority, Women and Local media
- Direct mailing, faxing and e-mailing of procurement opportunity notices
- Identifying set-aside opportunities exclusively for Micro-LBE firms
- Working with Port staff to eliminate barriers to MBEs, WBEs and OBEs gaining access to Port contracting opportunities. Such barriers include qualifications based upon prior knowledge/experience on the project or past work with existing consultants.
- Hold prime consultants accountable for actions that impede the success of MBE, WBE and OBE firm's success on contracts such as the withholding of essential information required to perform subcontracted work by notifying the San Francisco Human Rights Commission to perform investigations, when deemed appropriate.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The attached report is submitted to meet the requirements stated in the report Background. In closing, staff requests the Port Commission's acceptance of this report.

---

Prepared by: Norma Nelson, Contract Administrator

For: Tina Olson, Deputy Director of Finance & Administration

cc: ✓ Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
Local 21, IFPTE Representative Michael Seville  
Department of Public Works, James Chia  
Human Rights Commission, Selormey Dzikunu

Exhibits:

- 1) As-Needed Master Agreement Contracting Capacity Status Report as of June 30, 2008
- 2) FY 2007-08 4th Qtr (April 1, 2008 – June 30, 2008): Contract Service Orders Awarded and DBE Participation
- 3) Cumulative and Year to date Detailed Summary of DBE Participation (Cumulative is based upon from the contract inception period July 2006 (Beginning) to current reporting period (Ending)
- 4) Summary of All Contracting Activity For FY 2007-08

**EXHIBIT 1: As-Needed Master Agreement Contracting Capacity Status Report as of June 30, 2008**

**As-Needed Master Agreement Summary Status Report (As of June 30, 2008)**

Consultant Name	Abbrev.	Title of Master Agreement	NTE Contract Amount	CSO Issued to Date	Contract Balance
Creegan & D'Angelo	CD	Architectural & Engineering As-Needed Contract	\$1,500,000.00	\$1,229,523.39	\$ 270,476.61
Tom Eliot Fisch/Winzler & Kelly	TW	Architectural & Engineering As-Needed Contract	\$1,500,000.00	\$ 477,959.40	\$ 1,022,040.60
Treadwell & Rollo/Hydroconsult	TH	Environmental As-Needed Contract	\$ 650,000.00	\$ 448,099.32	\$ 201,900.68
Weiss Associates	WA	Environmental As-Needed Contract	\$ 650,000.00	\$ 325,783.59	\$ 324,216.41
URS/Avila	URS	Environmental As-Needed Contract	\$ 650,000.00	\$ 242,021.48	\$ 407,978.52
Bay Area Economics	BAE	Real Estate As-Needed Contract	\$ 550,000.00	\$ 251,574.87	\$ 298,425.13
CBRE Consulting	CBRE	Real Estate As-Needed Contract	\$ 550,000.00	\$ 232,024.93	\$ 317,975.07
Economic Planning Systems	EPS	Real Estate As-Needed Contract	\$ 550,000.00	\$ 499,834.00	\$ 50,166.00
Keyser Marston Associates	KMA	Real Estate As-Needed Contract	\$ 550,000.00	\$ 23,423.00	\$ 526,577.00
BDI/ECS	EB	Construction As-Needed Original Contract	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 125,192.40	\$ 374,807.60
Maritime Construction Coalition	MC2	Construction As-Needed Original Contract	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 62,865.00	\$ 437,135.00
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$8,150,000.00</b>	<b>\$3,918,301.38</b>	<b>\$ 4,231,698.62</b>

\*One remaining as-need Construction Support Services contract in the amount of \$500,000 was not executed due to the lack of available work and the ability for this LBE prime consultant to receive more work as a subcontractor under the Creegan & D'Angelo Master Agreement.

**EXHIBIT 2:**

**FY 07-08 4<sup>th</sup> QTR (April 1, 2008 - June 30, 2008): Total Contract Service Orders Awarded**

Consultant	CSO #	CSO Date	Description	CSO Amount	DBE Amount	DBE %
Bay Area Economics	BAE-03	6/9/2008	Pier 27 Cruise Terminal Concept Site	\$ 96,514.87	\$ 16,920.40	17.53%
Creegan + D'Angelo	CD-10	5/30/2008	Pier 0.5 Substructure Assessments/Est Mod #1	\$ 25,961.00	\$ -	0.00%
Creegan + D'Angelo	CD-12	5/30/2008	Rapid Structural Assessments Mod 2	\$ 3,384.04	\$ -	0.00%
Creegan + D'Angelo	CD-17	5/30/2008	Wharf J9 Retaining Wall and Deck Project	\$ 156,589.38	\$ 74,036.00	47.28%
Tom Elliot/Winzler	TW-04	4/25/2008	Piers 27-29 Seismic Analysis Review Mod 1	\$ 1,368.77	\$ -	0.00%
Tom Elliot/Winzler	TW-10	5/14/2008	Structural Assessment of Port Mooring Mod #2	\$ 16,746.37	\$ 2,877.00	17.18%
Tom Elliot/Winzler	TW-13	6/16/2008	2008 Dredging Inspection Services	\$ 42,945.77	\$ -	0.00%
Tom Elliot/Winzler	TW-14	6/24/2008	Elevator/Escalator Bid Specification Development	\$ 5,799.96	\$ -	0.00%
Treadwell Rollo/Hyd	TH-02	5/30/2008	Pier 70 Env Investigations, Risk Mod # 3	\$ 14,659.99	\$ 7,962.80	54.32%
Treadwell Rollo/Hyd	TH-04	4/16/2008	Pier 90 Site Assessment of Diesel Contamination	\$ 24,807.81	\$ 20,607.00	83.07%
URS/Avila	URS-03	5/8/2008	Sewer System MGMT Plan	\$ 160,050.26	\$ 32,970.30	20.60%
Weiss Associates	WA-05	4/4/2008	Pier 80 Transit Shed Roofing - Migratory Bird	\$ 51,057.59	\$ 32,967.00	64.57%
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>\$ 599,885.81</b>	<b>\$ 188,340.50</b>	<b>31.40%</b>

**EXHIBIT 3:**

**FY 2007-08 (July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008)**

**Total Summary for As-Needed Contracts by Year to Year Comparison and Cumulative (Combined)**

Consultant	FY 06-07 Total		FY 07-08 YTD Previous Qtr		FY 07-08 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter		FY 07-08 Total		Ending Cumulative to Date			
	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %
Creegan & D'Angelo	\$ 686,431	\$117,803	\$ 357,158	\$ 90,630	17.16%	\$ 74,036	39.82%	\$ 543,093	\$164,666	\$1,229,523	\$282,469	22.97%
TEF/Winzler Kelly JV	\$ 266,369	\$ 47,801	\$ 144,729	\$ 26,238	17.95%	\$ 2,877	4.30%	\$ 211,590	\$ 29,115	\$ 477,959	\$ 76,916	16.09%
Treadwell/HydroCon	\$ 376,553	\$ 67,581	\$ 32,079	\$ 2,988	17.95%	\$ 28,570	72.39%	\$ 71,547	\$ 31,568	\$ 448,099	\$ 99,149	22.13%
Weiss Associates	\$ 146,002	\$ 46,958	\$ 128,724	\$ 24,914	33.53%	\$ 32,967	19.35%	\$ 179,782	\$ 57,881	\$ 325,784	\$106,839	32.79%
URS/Avila JV	\$ 21,477	\$ -	\$ 60,494	\$ 19,567	0.00%	\$ 32,970	20.60%	\$ 220,545	\$ 52,537	\$ 242,021	\$ 52,537	21.71%
Bay Area Economics	\$ 155,060	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 16,920	17.53%	\$ 96,515	\$ 16,920	\$ 251,575	\$ 16,920	6.73%
CBRE Consulting	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 232,025	\$ 88,542	0.00%	\$ -	42.47%	\$ 232,025	\$ 88,542	\$ 232,025	\$ 88,542	42.47%
Economic Planning Sys.	\$ 199,834	\$ 19,089	\$ 309,000	\$ 87,990	9.55%	\$ -	32.66%	\$ 300,000	\$ 97,990	\$ 499,834	\$117,079	23.42%
Keyser Marston Assoc.	\$ 23,423	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,423	\$ -	0.00%
BD/ECS JV	\$ 115,192	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,000	0.00%	\$ -	20.00%	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 125,192	\$ 2,000	1.60%
MCC2 JV	\$ 26,903	\$ -	\$ 35,962	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 35,962	\$ -	\$ 62,865	\$ -	0.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,017,244</b>	<b>\$301,232</b>	<b>\$1,301,172</b>	<b>\$362,878</b>	<b>14.96%</b>	<b>\$186,341</b>	<b>31.40%</b>	<b>\$1,901,058</b>	<b>\$551,219</b>	<b>\$3,918,301</b>	<b>\$852,451</b>	<b>21.76%</b>

Exhibit 3 continued

**Total Summary for Construction-related Professional Services Only**

Consultant	FY 06-07 Total			FY 07-08 YTD Previous Qtr			FY 07-08 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter			FY 07-08 Total			Ending Cumulative to Date		
	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %
Creegan & D'Angelo	\$ 686,431	\$ 117,803	17.16%	\$ 357,158	\$ 90,630	25.36%	\$ 185,934	\$ 74,036	39.82%	\$ 543,093	\$ 164,666	30.32%	\$ 1,229,923	\$ 282,469	22.97%
Treadwell/HydroC JV	\$ 376,553	\$ 67,581	17.95%	\$ 32,079	\$ 2,998	9.35%	\$ 39,468	\$ 28,570	72.39%	\$ 71,547	\$ 31,568	44.12%	\$ 449,099	\$ 99,149	22.13%
Weiss Associates	\$ 146,002	\$ 48,958	33.53%	\$ 128,724	\$ 24,914	19.35%	\$ 51,058	\$ 32,967	64.57%	\$ 179,782	\$ 57,881	32.20%	\$ 106,839	\$ 106,839	32.79%
BD/VECS JV	\$ 115,192	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,000	20.00%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 10,000	\$ 2,000	20.00%	\$ 125,192	\$ 2,000	1.60%
MCC2 JV	\$ 26,903	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 35,962	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 35,962	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 62,865	\$ -	0.00%
TEF/Winzler Kelly JV	\$ 266,369	\$ 47,801	17.95%	\$ 144,729	\$ 26,238	18.13%	\$ 86,861	\$ 2,877	4.30%	\$ 211,590	\$ 29,115	13.76%	\$ 477,959	\$ 76,916	16.09%
URSI/Avila JV	\$ 21,477	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 60,494	\$ 19,587	32.35%	\$ 160,050	\$ 32,970	20.60%	\$ 220,545	\$ 52,537	23.82%	\$ 242,021	\$ 52,537	21.71%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,638,927</b>	<b>\$282,143</b>	<b>17.22%</b>	<b>\$ 769,147</b>	<b>\$166,347</b>	<b>21.63%</b>	<b>\$503,371</b>	<b>\$171,420</b>	<b>34.05%</b>	<b>\$1,272,518</b>	<b>\$337,767</b>	<b>26.54%</b>	<b>\$2,911,445</b>	<b>\$619,910</b>	<b>21.29%</b>

**Total Summary for Non-Construction-related Professional Services Only (Real Estate Economics & Related Services)**

Consultant	FY 06-07 Total			FY 07-08 YTD Previous Qtr			FY 07-08 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter			FY 07-08 Total			Ending Cumulative to Date		
	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %	CSO Amt	DBE Amt	DBE %
Bay Area Economics	\$ 155,080	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 96,515	\$ 16,920	17.53%	\$ 96,515	\$ 16,920	17.53%	\$ 251,575	\$ 16,920	6.73%
Economic Planning Sys.	\$ 199,834	\$ 19,089	9.55%	\$ 300,000	\$ 97,990	32.66%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 300,000	\$ 97,990	32.66%	\$ 499,834	\$ 117,079	23.42%
Keyser Marston Assoc.	\$ 23,423	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 23,423	\$ -	0.00%
CBRE Consulting	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 232,025	\$ 98,542	42.47%	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ 232,025	\$ 98,542	42.47%	\$ 232,025	\$ 98,542	42.47%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 378,317</b>	<b>\$ 19,089</b>	<b>5.05%</b>	<b>\$ 532,025</b>	<b>\$196,532</b>	<b>36.94%</b>	<b>\$96,515</b>	<b>\$ 16,920</b>	<b>17.53%</b>	<b>\$ 628,540</b>	<b>\$213,452</b>	<b>33.96%</b>	<b>\$1,006,857</b>	<b>\$232,541</b>	<b>23.10%</b>



# EXHIBIT 4 – SUMMARY OF ALL CONTRACTING ACTIVITY FOR FY 2007/08

As-Needed Professional Services	\$1,901,058	\$551,219	21.76%
General Services (Contracts Only*)	\$630,955	\$126,191	20%
Formal Professional Services	\$249,341	\$57,348	23%
Informal Professional Services	\$117,425	\$50,000	42.58%
Construction Services	\$6,471,951	\$1,232,232	19.04%
IT	\$401,000	\$388,200	96.81%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$9,771,730</b>	<b>\$2,405,190</b>	<b>24.6%</b>

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS				
Contractor	Contract Amt.	DBE \$	DBE %	Project
Dutra Construction	\$2,278,700	\$5,000	.21%	Pier 52 Boat Launch
Fine Line Construction	\$1,407,496	\$522,573	37%	Pier 35 Improvements
Best Contracting Services, Inc.	\$2,716,055	\$696,531	15%	Pier 33 Roofing
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$6,402,251</b>	<b>\$1,224,104</b>	<b>19.12%</b>	<b>Goal: 20%</b>

## Informal Professional Service Contracts

Consultant	Issued Date	Project Description	Contract Amount	LBE Amount	LBE Goal	LBE %
Accredited Certification Associates, LLC	08/30/2007	Marine Engineering Services	\$ 29,000	\$ -	0%	0%
Anne Godfrey	09/06/2007	Meeting Facilitation Services	\$ 1,000	\$ -	0%	0%
Red Consulting	09/21/2007	OSHA Lock-Out/Tag Out	\$ 10,000	\$ -	0%	0%
SOHA Engineers	10/23/2007	Pier 35 Improvement Project	\$ 13,000	\$ -	0%	0%
Corey, Canapany & Galanis Research	01/11/2008	Parking Regulation Focus	\$ 4,425	\$ -	0%	0%
Scott Consulting Team	02/06/2008	Strategic Planning Facilitation	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	0%	0%
URS Corporation	06/18/2008	Emergency Operations Training	\$ 9,800	\$ -	0%	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$ 117,225</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>

## Formal Professional Service Contracts

Consultant	Issued Date	Project Description	Contract Amount	LBE Amount	LBE Goal	LBE %
Forell/Eisesser Engineers Inc	02/11/2008	Pier 35 Substructure Repair Project	\$ 249,341	\$ 71,274.00	20%	28.58%
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$ 249,341</b>	<b>\$ 71,274.00</b>		<b>28.58%</b>

## As-Needed Construction Professional Service Contracts

Consultant	Issued Date	Project Description	Contract Amount	LBE Amount	LBE Goal	LBE %
Ayoub & Perry Plumbing Co.	08/10/2007	Fire Protection Systems 5-YR Certification	\$ 53,500	\$ -	15%	0%
Kitech Security Systems, INC	09/11/2007	Pier 33 Temporary Removal of Roof Security Cam	\$ 3,125	\$ -	0%	0%
Kitech Security Systems, INC	01/09/2008	Pier 26 Fire Protection Monitoring Emergency Instal	\$ 13,074	\$ 8,128	0%	62.17%
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$ 69,700</b>	<b>\$ 8,128</b>		<b>11.66%</b>

9/9

File # 080594  
C. Bur



"John H. Super"  
<johnsuper>

08/07/2008 12:28 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Cigarettes and etc.

44

Ahoy Board of Supervisors,

I am a voting citizen of the Sunset District.

Please forget making Rite Aid and Walgreens stop selling cigs. Let the citizens have a little say in their own lives. Frankly, I wish you would stick to the basics and leave our lives to us. Why not put some real energy into fixing the pot holes, making MUNI run on time and that sort of thing that you were elected to do?

Oh yeah, you want me to trust you to also run our power structure? Take over PGE is a really dumb idea.

Thanks.

John H. Super

Cal/North Boating, Fishing, Diving Directory  
PO Box 410483  
San Francisco, CA 94141-0483  
(415)564-4779  
www.cal-northdir.com

44

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
 DISTRICT 4  
 P. O. BOX 23440 – MS 11A  
 OAKLAND, CA 94623-0440  
 PHONE (510) 286-5400  
 FAX (510) 286-5482

RECEIVED  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 SAN FRANCISCO

2008 JUL 22 AM 11:05

BY SW



Flex your power!  
 Be energy efficient!

July 17, 2008

45

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
 Clerk of the Board  
 San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
 Room 244, City Hall  
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
 San Francisco, California 94102

Re: Proposed Yerba Buena Community Benefit District  
 Assessments Against State Property  
 Parcel 3761-063 (Harrison between 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Streets)

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

The California Department of Transportation (Department) respectfully requests that it be removed from the City of San Francisco's (City) assessment rolls for the proposed Yerba Buena Community Benefit District. The Department is the owner of the property described as Parcel 3761-063, located on Harrison Street, between 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> Streets. As State property, that parcel is exempt from fees and charges for official services contemplated by the formulation of the District. Moreover, the property is used and maintained for State highway purposes and would receive no special benefit from the assessment district.

The State and its agencies have broad exemptions from local governmental taxes, assessments, charges or fees for services. California Government Code section 6103 states:

Neither the state nor any county, city, district, or other political subdivision, nor any public officer or body, acting in his official capacity on behalf of the state, or any county, city, district or other political subdivision, shall pay or deposit any fee for the filing of any document or paper, [or] for the performance of any official service....

Express legislative authority is required in order for a local agency to impose a tax, assessment, fee, or charge on State-owned land. (Cal. Const. Art. XIII, Sec. 3; *Inglewood v. County of Los Angeles* (1929) 207 Cal. 697, 703-704; *San Marcos Water Dist. v. San Marcos Unified School*.)

*Dist.* (1986) 42 Cal.3d 154, 161.)<sup>1</sup> The section 6103 statutory scheme provides examples of express exclusions from the State's exemption: services required by the Water Code (section 6103.1); official services rendered by sheriffs or marshals (section 6103.2); services required by the Health and Safety Code (section 6103.4); building construction inspections (section 6103.7); hazardous waste control (section 6103.10); etc. It is well established that specific legislation must provide the underpinnings for local assessments. (*Anaheim City School Dist. v. County of Orange* (1985) 164 Cal.App.3d 697 (solid waste management fees may be collected under Gov. Code sec. 6103.11); *Garden Grove Sanitary Dist. v. County of Orange* (1984) 162 Cal.App.3d 842.)

The City has not met the foregoing threshold requirement. Nowhere does the City cite express legislative authority for imposing an assessment on the Department's property within the proposed district. Notably, the enabling code section relied upon by the City, Streets and Highways Code section 36600 *et seq.*, is stunningly silent on the matter.

Certainly, the exceptions to the State's exemption from fees does not include official services under the "Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994", which addresses economic revitalization of disadvantaged and underutilized business districts. (Streets and Highways Code section 36600.) The Department's "business" in this case is the operation and maintenance of a highway system, for which it provides its own maintenance. Accordingly, even if some argument can be made that legislative basis exists for allowing service charges on the Department, the additional element of "special benefit" cannot be found.

Based on the foregoing, the Department requests that it be removed from the assessment rolls for the proposed Yerba Buena Community Benefit District.

---

<sup>1</sup> In 1996, Proposition 218 added Article XIII D, section 4, subdivision (a) to the California Constitution, which in pertinent part reads:

... Parcels within a district that are owned or used by any agency, the State of California or the United States shall not be exempt from assessment unless the agency can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that those publicly owned parcels in fact receive no special benefit.

Proposition 218 was a codification of existing law, and the existence of enabling legislation allowing for assessments must still be established before any analysis of special benefit. This is evident by the language of Article XIII C, preceding: "Nothing in this article or in Article XIII C shall be construed to: (a) Provide any new authority to any agency to impose a tax, assessment, fee or charge."

Ms. Angela Calvillo

July 17, 2008

Page 3

Without waiving any objections or admitting to the propriety of the assessment process against State property, the Department will deliver in a timely manner its Ballot in this matter directly to the Department of Elections. Obviously, if the City removes the Department from the proposed assessment rolls, then the Ballot should be withdrawn.

Please call if you have any questions whatsoever. Thank you.

Sincerely,



R. A. MACPHERSON  
Deputy District Director  
Right of Way

cc: Lisa Pagan  
Project Manager  
Office of Economic and Workforce Development  
Room 448, City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Cuong Quach  
Department of Elections  
Room 48, City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Mark Shindler, Right of Way  
Suzann Goodhue, Right of Way



# Ballot on Assessment for Establishment of the Yerba Buena Community Benefit District (CBD)



Assessor's Parcel Number: 3761-063      Address of Parcel: Harrison St *btw. 4TH & 5TH STREETS*

Property Owner's Name: STATE PROPERTY *STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Department of Transportation*

Property Owner's Address: *111 GRANT AVENUE, OAKLAND, CA. P.O. Box 23440-MS 11A OAKLAND, CA 94623-0440*

Proposed Assessment for this Parcel Beginning 2008-2009 Fiscal Year: \$3,357.80 (0.14%) of Total: \$2,384,045.02.

Proposed Range or Inflation Adjustment Formula: Year 2 through 7 assessments may be subject to annual adjustments based upon changes in the Bay Area Consumer Price Index (CPI) for all urban consumers, not to exceed 5% per year.

### Instructions for Completing and Delivering this Ballot

\*To express your view on the proposed assessment and the proposed range or inflation adjustment, check above the line before the word "YES" or "NO" below, then sign and date the ballot.

Yes, I approve the proposed annual assessment described above on the parcel identified in this ballot, and I understand that my assessment could be subject to the inflation adjustment formula.

No, I do not approve the proposed annual assessment, nor the inflation adjustment formula described above on the parcel identified in this ballot.

I hereby declare by penalty of perjury that I am a record owner or authorized agent for the record owner of the parcel listed above.

*7/13/08*

Signature of Record Owner or Authorized Agent

*R.A. Macpherson, Deputy District Director*

Date

\*After completing your ballot, please mail to:

Director  
Department of Elections  
P.O. Box 424369  
San Francisco, CA 94142-2189

To hand deliver, please use the following address:

Director  
Department of Elections  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Ballots may also be delivered to the Director at the Public Hearing prior to the close of public testimony.

\*Ballots may be sent or delivered to the Director at any time, but MUST be received in the mail not later than 12 P.M. (noontime) on the day of the public hearing or in person before the conclusion of the public testimony portion of the public hearing on the proposed assessment and assessment range. That hearing is set for 3:00 p.m. on July 29, 2008. Ballots received after that time will only be counted if the Board elects to continue public comment until a later date.




**AFFIDAVIT of Identification (Property Owner)**

I, R.A. Macpherson, the undersigned, declare that I am authorized to cast a ballot for the following parcel identified as: APN 3761-0L3, as either

(1) the sole owner or agent, or (2) co-owner or agent to payment of the assessment which will be levied for the proposed Property and Business Improvement District known as the "Yerba Buena Community Benefit District (CBD)."

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that this declaration made this 18<sup>th</sup> the day of July, 2008, in the City of and County of San Francisco, is true and correct.  
OAKLAND

Property Owner/Co-Property Owner/Tenant/Authorized Agent



**R. A. Macpherson**  
Deputy District Director  
Right of Way  
Department of Transportation  
District 4, MS 1-H  
111 Grand Avenue  
P.O. Box 23440  
Oakland, CA 94623-0440  
(510) 286-5400  
(510) 286-5482 Fax  
8-541-5400 CALNET  
robert\_macpherson@dot.ca.gov



John Arntz  
Director

46

Memorandum

To: Honorable Gavin Newsom, Mayor  
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
From: John Arntz, Director of Elections  
Date: August 15, 2008  
RE: Ranked-Choice Voting Update: November 4, 2008 Election

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 AUG 15 PM 3:19  
BY [Signature]

On Monday, August 18, the Secretary of State's office will begin its review and testing of the ranked-choice voting (RCV) capabilities of Sequoia Voting Systems' (Sequoia) equipment and software. The RCV component of the Sequoia system has successfully completed review by an independent testing laboratory that is regulated by the Federal Elections Commission.

The projected time frame is one week to complete the review and testing. Afterwards, the Secretary of State's office will draft staff reports, issue a notice of a hearing not less than 30-days prior to the hearing date, and then hold that hearing on whether the system warrants certification for use in San Francisco for the November election. After the hearing, the Secretary has a minimum of seven days to consider the staff reports and the testimony from the hearing, as well as other public comment submitted to her office to determine whether to grant approval to use the system in November.

The earliest the system could be certified is the first full week of October. Early voting in San Francisco begins on October 6. Thus, the touch-screen machines may not be certified for use before early voting begins.

I will be glad to provide answers to any questions you might have on these matters.

- cc: Dennis Herrera, City Attorney
- Ben Rosenfield, Controller
- Edwin Lee, City Administrator
- Phil Ginsburg, Chief of Staff, Mayor's Office
- Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
- Greg Wagner, Budget Analyst, Mayor's Office
- Mollie Lee, Deputy City Attorney
- Elections Commission

46



# EL DORADO LAFCO

## LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

550 Main Street Suite E • Placerville, CA 95667

Phone: (530) 295-2707 • Fax: (530) 295-1208

lafco@co.el-dorado.ca.us

www.co.el-dorado.ca.us/lafco

47

August 13, 2008

Subject: Ted Long for CALAFCO City Member

Dear CALAFCO Voting Member,

El Dorado LAFCO is pleased to announce our nomination of Ted Long for a City Member position on the CALAFCO Board. As you can see from his résumé, Ted is very active in local and statewide organizations. However, there are three traits that are not reflected on his résumé: his knowledge, enthusiasm and advocacy for El Dorado LAFCO. I know Ted looks forward to channeling his energy to work on matters of concern to all LAFCOs. El Dorado LAFCO requests your serious consideration and vote for Ted Long.

Sincerely,

Francesca Loftis  
Chair and Public Member  
El Dorado LAFCO

### COMMISSIONERS

Public Member: Francesca Loftis • Alternate Public Member: Norm Rowett

City Members: Carl Hagen, Ted Long • Alternate City Member: Roberta Colvin

County Members: Ron Briggs, James R. Sweeney • Alternate County Member: Helen Baumann

Special District Members: Ken Humphreys, Harry J. Norris • Alternate Special District Member: Michael Cooper

### STAFF

José C. Henríquez, Executive Officer • Erica Sanchez, Policy Analyst

Denise Tebaldí, Interim Commission Clerk • Andrew Morris, Commission Counsel

47

## **Ted Long – El Dorado LAFCO**

### **Candidate for a City Member position on the CALAFCO Board**

#### Personal Information:

Ted has been active in the South Lake Tahoe region since 1967, first as a sportsman, then as a property owner. His family includes his wife Natasha, three adult children, one dog and two cats. Ted is a retired land use attorney who has dedicated a large portion of his life to public service. He served for three years in the Peace Corps as a professor of economics and law in Eastern Europe and currently serves on the El Dorado County Council on Aging and the El Dorado County Food Bank Board of Directors. Ted enthusiastically supports local sports programs, work force housing and expanded economic opportunities in the Lake Tahoe region. He also enjoys golf and vintage car restoration.

#### LAFCO Experience:

Ted was appointed to El Dorado LAFCO in 2004 and has served as its chairman for two one-year terms. Some of the notable projects he has worked on during his tenure include the El Dorado Hills Incorporation; Bell Woods and Marble Valley reorganizations, which were two proposals that resolved fire protection district boundary issues; and overseeing the completion of El Dorado LAFCO's municipal service reviews and sphere of influence updates. Recently Ted has delved into tribal land use issues.

#### Other Activities:

Since 1969, Ted has been involved in local, regional, environmental and land use issues. Ted currently sits on the City of South Lake Tahoe city council and is the president of the Sacramento Valley Division of the League of California Cities, a body representing 59 Northern California cities. He maintains the South Lake Tahoe "Office of Community Involvement" and encourages all residents to get involved in community activities by offering ideas and volunteering their services. He also sits on numerous state and local boards and commissions.

In the past, Ted has served as South Lake Tahoe Planning Commission chairman; as a member of the Association of Bay Area Governments; Bay Area Transportation Commission and the San Mateo County Council of Mayors. He is a former fellow of the Eisenhower Foundation.

9/9



# CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

48

BY *[Signature]*

2008 AUG 13 AM 9:52

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

August 11, 2008

## NOTICE OF CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ACTION

- DONALD A. CASPER  
PRESIDENT
- MORGAN R. GORRONO  
VICE PRESIDENT
- MARY Y. JUNG  
COMMISSIONER
- YU-YEE WU SHERIDAN  
COMMISSIONER
- ANITA SANCHEZ  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

**SUBJECT: SURVEY OF MONTHLY RATES PAID TO POLICE OFFICERS AND FIREFIGHTERS IN ALL CITIES OF 350,000 OR MORE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.**

At its meeting of August 4, 2008 the Civil Service Commission had for its consideration the above matter.

It was the decision of the Commission to adopt the report. Transmit rates to the Retirement System in accordance with Charter Section A8.590.1 through A8.590-7; Provide report to the Board of Supervisors.

If this matter is subject to Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) Section 1094.5, the time within which judicial review must be sought is set forth in CCP Section 1094.6.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

*Anita Sanchez*

ANITA SANCHEZ  
Executive Officer

### Attachment

- c: Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director
- Angela Calvillo, Clerk, Board of Supervisors *(with report copy)*
- Rich David, Department of Human Resources
- Gary Delagnes, President, SFPOA, 510 - 7<sup>th</sup> Street, S.F., CA 94103
- Chief Heather Fong, San Francisco Police Department
- Martin Gran, Department of Human Resources
- John Hanley, President, Firefighters Local 798, 1139 Mission Street, S.F., CA 94103
- Chief Joanne Hayes-White, San Francisco Fire Department
- Jennifer Johnston, Department of Human Resources
- Patti Martin, Department of Human Resources
- Clare Murphy, San Francisco Employee Retirement System *(with report copy)*
- Steven Ponder, Department of Human Resources
- Rebecca Rhine, Municipal Executives Association, 870 Market Street, Room 450, S.F., CA 94147-4146
- Commission File
- Chron

48


**CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

1. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION REGISTER NUMBER: 0337-08-3
2. FOR CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION MEETING OF: August 4, 2008
3. CHECK ONE: CONSENT AGENDA   
REGULAR AGENDA
4. SUBJECT: SURVEY OF MONTHLY RATES PAID TO POLICE OFFICERS AND FIREFIGHTERS IN ALL CITIES OF 350,000 OR MORE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5. RECOMMENDATION: ADOPT REPORT; TRANSMIT RATES TO THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHARTER SECTION A8.590.1-A8.590-7; PROVIDE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
6. REPORT PREPARED BY: Rich David TELEPHONE NUMBER: 557-4965
7. NOTIFICATIONS: SEE ATTACHED
8. REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR CIVIL SERVICE AGENDA:  
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR: *On You - yv*  
DATE: 7/21/08
9. SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL TIME-STAMPED COPY OF THIS FORM AND PERSONS TO BE NOTIFIED (SEE ITEM 7 ABOVE) ALONG WITH THE REQUIRED COPIES OF THE REPORT TO:

EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION  
25 VAN NESS, ROOM 720  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

10. RECEIPT-STAMP THIS FORM IN THE "CSC RECEIPT STAMP" BOX TO THE RIGHT USING THE TIME-STAMP IN THE CSC OFFICE.

ATTACHMENT



NOTIFICATIONS:

Chief Joanne Hayes-White  
San Francisco Fire Department  
698 2<sup>nd</sup> Street  
San Francisco, CA 94107

Chief Heather Fong  
San Francisco Police Department  
850 Bryant Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Gary Delagnes, President  
San Francisco Police Officers  
Association  
510 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

John Hanley, President  
San Francisco Firefighters, Local 798  
1139 Mission Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Steven Ponder  
Compensation Manager  
Department of Human Resources  
44 Gough Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Martin Gran  
Employee Relations Director  
Department of Human Resources  
44 Gough Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Rebecca Rhine  
Executive Director  
S.F. Municipal Executives Association  
870 Market Street, Rm. 450  
San Francisco, CA 94147-4146

Patti Martin  
Departmental Personnel Officer  
Department of Human Resources  
44 Gough Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

---

Clare Murphy  
General Manager  
Employee Retirement System  
30 Van Ness Avenue, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Rich David  
Sr. Administrative Analyst  
Department of Human Resources  
44 Gough Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103



Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

Micki Callahan  
Human Resources Director

DATE: August 04, 2008

TO: The Honorable Civil Service Commission

FROM: Micki Callahan, Human Resources Director *MC*

SUBJECT: SURVEY OF MONTHLY RATES PAID TO POLICE OFFICERS AND FIREFIGHTERS IN ALL CITIES OF 350,000 OR MORE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

RECOMMENDATION: ADOPT REPORT; TRANSMIT RATES TO THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHARTER SECTION A8.590-1 THROUGH A8.590-7. PROVIDE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

### BACKGROUND AND ISSUES

In November 1990, the electorate passed Proposition D, which allows for collective bargaining to set wages and working conditions of the uniformed force of the Police and Fire Departments. Charter Sections A8.590-1 through A8.590-7 require that the rates of pay for retired Police Officers and Firefighters shall be based on rates that are not lower than the rates that would be established if Charter Section A8.405 were still in effect.

Per Section A8.405 of the Charter, the staff has surveyed rates of compensation paid Police Officers and Firefighters in all cities of 350,000 population or more in the State of California based on the 2000 federal decennial census. The cities used in the survey are Fresno, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Oakland, Sacramento, San Diego, and San Jose. Staff also surveyed compensation paid for police two-wheeled motorcycle duty in these same cities. The results of our survey are attached.

### THE FINDINGS

As of July 1, 2008, the average maximum monthly wage for Police Officers in our survey is \$6,507 per month. This is \$1,475 less than the maximum monthly wage currently paid to San Francisco Police Officers. This average maximum monthly rate of \$6,507 is 22.68% below the \$7,982 maximum monthly rate for San Francisco Police Officers. The rates of pay for Police Department classes, if A8.405 were in effect, are shown in the tables following the survey results.

For Firefighters, the average maximum monthly rate in our survey, as of July 1, 2008, is \$6,505, or \$1,477 less than the maximum monthly rate paid to San Francisco Firefighters. Since the maximum monthly rate paid to San Francisco Firefighters has long been above the average of the surveyed cities, the A8.405 rates for Firefighters are based on the increase provided to the Police classes, according to A8.405(d). The A8.405 rates of pay for Firefighter classes are shown in the tables following the survey results.

Since the Bureau of Labor Statistics changed the reporting period for the San Francisco metropolitan area Consumer Price Index from monthly to bimonthly, we can no longer report the March to March change as provided in the Charter. Therefore, the February CPI rates for San Francisco and the other surveyed cities are indicated in this report. The cost of living for San Francisco increased by 2.9% and the average increase in cost of living for cities surveyed was 3.1%. There is a 0.2% difference between the cost of living increase for San Francisco and the cost of living for the cities surveyed.


Section 4 E of the 2007-2011 Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Officers' Association provides that "members assigned to the Motorcycle and Honda units shall continue to receive a premium in an amount in accord with current practice pursuant to Charter Section A8.405(b)." The survey results show the average monthly Motorcycle Pay for two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty is \$393 per month. The current rate being paid to San Francisco Police Officers is \$386 per month.

In conclusion, the collectively bargained monthly rates for the Police Officers and Firefighters exceed the maximum monthly rates if Charter Section A8.405 were still in effect.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Civil Service Commission approve and transmit to the Retirement System and to the Board of Supervisors this survey of rates certified in the attached report in accordance with Charter Sections A8.405 and A8.590-1 through A8.590-7.

Respectfully Submitted,



Martin Gran  
Employee Relations Director

**City and County of San Francisco  
POLICE OFFICER SALARY SURVEY**

**Rates of Pay Effective July 1, 2008**

City	Class Title	MC Pay*	Number of Positions	Monthly Salary	
				Minimum	Maximum
San Francisco	Police Officer	\$386	1,868	\$6,322	\$7,982
Fresno	Police Officer	\$275	710	\$4,779	\$6,101
Long Beach	Police Officer	\$350	773	\$4,857	\$6,014
Los Angeles	Police Officer	\$771	3,399	\$5,091	\$6,673
Oakland	Police Officer	\$363	639	\$5,764	\$7,264
Sacramento	Police Officer	—	591	\$4,648	\$5,650
San Diego	Police Officer	\$219	1,339	\$5,180	\$6,260
San Jose	Police Officer	\$379	1,075	\$5,663	\$7,583
Average of Other Cities		\$393		\$5,140	\$6,507
* Two-Wheeled Motorcycle Pay					
San Francisco maximum rate exceeds the Average of Other Cities by:					22.68%



**City and County of San Francisco  
FIREFIGHTER SALARY SURVEY**

**Rates of Pay Effective July 1, 2008**

City	Class Title	Number of Positions	Monthly Salary	
			Minimum	Maximum
San Francisco	Firefighter	1,043	\$5,703	\$7,982
Fresno	Firefighter	139	\$4,556	\$5,811
Long Beach	Firefighter	224	\$4,792	\$5,885
Los Angeles	Firefighter	1,739	\$5,537	\$6,901
Oakland	Firefighter	186	\$6,396	\$8,416
Sacramento	Firefighter	70	\$4,461	\$5,423
San Diego	Firefighter	348	\$4,305	\$5,195
San Jose	Firefighter	293	\$5,995	\$7,902
Average of Other Cities:			\$5,149	\$6,505
San Francisco maximum rate exceeds Average of Other Cities by:			22.71%	

**CONSUMER PRICE INDEX  
Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers**

City	February 2007*	February 2008*	Percent Increase
San Francisco	208.8	214.9	2.9%
Long Beach	206.6	214.2	3.7%
Los Angeles	206.6	214.2	3.7%
Oakland	208.8	214.9	2.9%
**San Diego	214.8	219.6	2.2%
San Jose	208.8	214.9	2.9%
The average cost of living in all other cities increased by:			3.1%
The cost of living in San Francisco increased by:			2.9%

**Note:**

The Bureau of Labor Statistics does not compile cost-of-living indexes for Sacramento and Fresno.

\* The reporting period for the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose metropolitan area changed several years ago from monthly to bi-monthly. The rates shown reflect the CPI in February of each year. To maintain consistency, the February CPI rates are indicated for Los Angeles and Long Beach.

\*\* The Bureau of Labor Statistics publishes only a semi-annual rate for San Diego. As such, the CPI data listed for San Diego is the 2nd half of 2006 and the 2nd half of 2007, using Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers

## A8.405 Rates of Pay for Police Classes

Effective Date	Percent Increase	July 1, 2008	
		2.49%	
		Biweekly	Monthly
0390	Chief of Police	\$8,043	\$17,493
0395	Assistant Chief	\$7,141	\$15,531
0380	Inspector	\$3,470	\$7,547
0381	Inspector II	\$3,607	\$7,845
0382	Inspector III	\$3,678	\$7,999
0400	Deputy Chief	\$6,770	\$14,724
0401	Deputy Chief II	\$7,040	\$15,312
0402	Deputy Chief III	\$7,177	\$15,610
0488	Commander	\$5,503	\$11,969
0489	Commander II	\$5,723	\$12,447
0490	Commander III	\$5,833	\$12,687
Q 35	Assistant Inspector	\$3,206	\$6,973
Q 35	Assistant Inspector (with 2 years svc)	\$3,470	\$7,547
Q 36	Assistant Inspector II	\$3,334	\$7,252
Q 36	Assistant Inspector II (with 2 years svc)	\$3,607	\$7,845
Q 37	Assistant Inspector III	\$3,400	\$7,395
Q 37	Assistant Inspector III (with 2 years svc)	\$3,678	\$7,999
Q 50	Sergeant	\$3,470	\$7,547
Q 51	Sergeant II	\$3,607	\$7,845
Q 52	Sergeant III	\$3,678	\$7,999
Q 60	Lieutenant	\$3,961	\$8,616
Q 61	Lieutenant II	\$4,121	\$8,964
Q 62	Lieutenant III	\$4,200	\$9,135
Q 63	Criminologist	\$4,654	\$10,122
Q 80	Captain	\$4,654	\$10,122
Q 81	Captain II	\$4,839	\$10,525
Q 82	Captain III	\$4,934	\$10,731
Q 90	Dir, Police Psych	\$4,864	\$10,579
Q 2	Police Officer	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u> -- 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year	7/1/96 to <u>present</u> 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5th year
		\$2,278	\$4,955
		\$2,586	\$5,624
		\$2,713	\$5,901
		\$2,847	\$6,192
		\$2,992	\$6,507
Q 3	Police Officer II	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u> -- 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year	7/1/96 to <u>present</u> 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5th year
		\$2,370	\$5,154
		\$2,687	\$5,845
		\$2,821	\$6,136
		\$2,961	\$6,440
		\$3,110	\$6,765
Q 4	Police Officer III	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u> -- 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year	7/1/96 to <u>present</u> 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5th year
		\$2,415	\$5,252
		\$2,739	\$5,957
		\$2,877	\$6,257
		\$3,017	\$6,562
		\$3,171	\$6,897

## A8.405 Rates of Pay for Fire Classes

Effective Date	July 1, 2008																									
Percent Increase	2.49%																									
	Biweekly	Monthly																								
0140 Chief of Department	\$8,043	\$17,493																								
0150 Deputy Chief of Department	\$6,770	\$14,724																								
H 51 Assistant Deputy Chief II	\$5,504	\$11,971																								
H 53 Emergency Medical Services Chief	\$5,504	\$11,971																								
H 4 Inspector, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	\$3,577	\$7,781																								
H 6 Investigator, Bureau of Fire Investigation	\$3,577	\$7,781																								
H 10 Chief's Operator	\$3,257	\$7,083																								
H 16 Technical Training Specialist	\$3,467	\$7,541																								
H 18 Coordinator of Community Service	\$3,467	\$7,541																								
H 19 Operations-Training Supervisor, Airport	\$3,467	\$7,541																								
H 20 Lieutenant	\$3,471	\$7,549																								
H 22 Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	\$3,920	\$8,526																								
H 24 Lieutenant, Bureau of Fire Investigation	\$3,920	\$8,526																								
H 28 Lieutenant, Division of Training	\$3,961	\$8,616																								
H 30 Captain	\$3,962	\$8,618																								
H 32 Captain, Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety	\$4,481	\$9,746																								
H 33 EMS Captain	\$3,962	\$8,618																								
H 39 Captain, Division of Training	\$4,758	\$10,349																								
H 40 Battalion Chief	\$4,760	\$10,353																								
H 43 EMS Section Chief	\$4,760	\$10,353																								
H 50 Assistant Chief of Department	\$5,503	\$11,969																								
H110 Marine Engineer of Fire Boats	\$3,961	\$8,616																								
H120 Pilot of Fire Boats	\$3,961	\$8,616																								
H 2 Firefighter	<table border="0" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">7/1/96 to <u>present</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1st year</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$2,278</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$4,955</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1st year</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2nd year</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$2,586</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$5,624</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2nd year</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3rd year</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$2,713</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$5,901</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3rd year</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4th year</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$2,847</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,192</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">4th year</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5th year</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$2,992</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$6,507</td> </tr> </table>	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>			--	1st year	\$2,278	\$4,955	1st year	2nd year	\$2,586	\$5,624	2nd year	3rd year	\$2,713	\$5,901	3rd year	4th year	\$2,847	\$6,192	4th year	5th year	\$2,992	\$6,507	
Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>																									
--	1st year	\$2,278	\$4,955																							
1st year	2nd year	\$2,586	\$5,624																							
2nd year	3rd year	\$2,713	\$5,901																							
3rd year	4th year	\$2,847	\$6,192																							
4th year	5th year	\$2,992	\$6,507																							
H 3 Firefighter/Paramedic	\$3,456	\$7,517																								

## A8.405 Rates of Pay for Abolished Police and Fire Classes

Effective Date	July 1, 2008	
Percent Increase	2.49%	
	Biweekly	Monthly
 <b>Police Department</b>		
0360 Chief of Inspectors	\$6,770	\$14,724
0420 Department Secretary	\$5,503	\$11,969
0460 Secretary, Police Commission	\$3,961	\$8,616
0470 Supervising Captain	\$5,503	\$11,969
0480 Director of Traffic	\$6,770	\$14,724
0485 Supervising Captain of Patrol	\$5,503	\$11,969
0490 Captain of Traffic	\$5,000	\$10,875
0520 Police Surgeon	\$2,992	\$6,507
Q20 Police Woman		
	Pre- <u>7/1/1996</u>	7/1/96 to <u>present</u>
	--	1st year
	1st year	2nd year
	2nd year	3rd year
	3rd year	4th year
	4th year	5th year
	\$2,278	\$4,955
	\$2,586	\$5,624
	\$2,713	\$5,901
	\$2,847	\$6,192
	\$2,992	\$6,507
 <b>Fire Department</b>		
0145 Assistant Deputy Chief	\$6,769	\$14,722
0155 Secretary to the Chief of Department	\$5,326	\$11,585
H 17 Medical Coordinator	\$3,467	\$7,541
H 29 Special Svcs. Officer	\$3,961	\$8,616

9/9



Santa Cruz Local Agency  
Formation Commission  
701 Ocean St. Room 318-D  
Santa Cruz, California 95060  
Phone: (831) 454-2055 Fax 454-2058

Email: [info@santacruzlafco.org](mailto:info@santacruzlafco.org)  
website: [www.santacruzlafco.org](http://www.santacruzlafco.org)

49

August 6, 2008

Subject: Roger Anderson for CALAFCO Public Member

Dear Voting Member at CALAFCO Business Meeting:

Santa Cruz LAFCO has nominated Roger Anderson for a Public Member position on the CALAFCO Board. As shown on the attached resume, Roger has significant LAFCO and CALAFCO experience, and is currently serving as Vice-Chair of the CALAFCO Board. Santa Cruz LAFCO encourages your vote for Roger Anderson.

Very truly yours,

James W. Rapoza  
Chairperson  
Santa Cruz LAFCO

Attachment

49

**Roger Anderson, Santa Cruz LAFCO:  
Candidate for the Public Member position on CALAFCO Board**

The Santa Cruz LAFCO has nominated Roger Anderson for re-election to the public member seat on CALAFCO.

Roger is a 39-year resident of Santa Cruz County and California. He came to California with a Ph.D. from Harvard to become a Chemistry Professor at the University of California, Santa Cruz, where he continues to teach and conduct research in semiconductor materials, design and implementation of achromatic lenses for molecules, and theoretical chemistry. He is married and has two children.

**Experience:** Roger has been the public member of Santa Cruz LAFCO since 1994, and he has served for three years as Vice Chair and four years as Chair. He has actively participated as Santa Cruz County has grappled with recurring issues such as the preservation of prime agricultural land and assurance of adequate water supplies while considering the needs of the county for housing and economic development. He has extensive additional experience as a member of the Scotts Valley City Council (1984-88) and as a member of the Monterey Bay Air Pollution Control District advisory committee (1989-1999). He served as the Chair of the UCSC planning and budget committee (1994-95), Chair of the systemwide University of California planning and budget committee (1995-96), and Chair of the UCSC Academic Senate (1999-2001). In May of 2008 he received the Dean McHenry award for Distinguished Leadership in the UCSC Academic Senate.

**CALAFCO Participation:** As a CALAFCO Board Member, Roger has actively participated as the Secretary and Treasurer, Legislative Committee member, Program Committee member for the 2005 Conference in Monterey, and speaker at a Local Government Commission workshop. Roger is the current Vice Chair of the CALAFCO Board.

---

**Openness and Constructive Attitude:** In all of Roger's work with LAFCO, city government, and the University of California, the common theme is that he is open and able to communicate with people with different viewpoints. Also his participation leads to constructive action, because he actively seeks solutions and sensible compromises.

Roger Anderson would like the opportunity to continue serving as a member of the CALAFCO board. He believes that he can help solve some of the problems that will confront California communities in this era of growth.



Ms. Lenore Sivulich

ASPCA

File # 080818  
C: PWS

50

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG -7 AM 11:02

BY

9/19


Dear board ~

On light of last years  
tragedy re: Tatianna, the  
Siberian tiger, I urge you  
to do all you can to im-  
prove the zoo by redefining  
the mission of the zoo --  
focus on rescue & rehabilitation  
and not exploitation & "enter-  
tainment." S.F. zoo has a  
horrid reputation -- the  
management, at a minimum,  
should be replaced and  
animal needs should come first.  
Sincerely,

Lenore Sivulich



9/9



Mercy  
Lacanglacang/SFPD/SFGOV  
08/15/2008 02:26 PM

To Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV  
cc Tom Ammiano/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV  
bcc  
Subject Response to Board of Supervisors Inquiry

51

Ms Calvillo:

**REFERENCE: 20080716-002**

Attached as a pdf file is the response to Supervisor Ammiano's inquiry related to the concept of a "Violent Crime Task Force". Original hard copy of the letter will be mailed

thank you,

Mercy Lacanglacang  
San Francisco Police Department  
Office of Police Chief Heather Fong  
850 Bryant Street, Room 525  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
Tel: (415) 553-1602  
Fax: (415) 553-1554  
E-mail: mercy.lacanglacang@sfgov.org



20080716-002.pdf

51



GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

THOMAS J. CAHILL HALL OF JUSTICE  
850 BRYANT STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103-4603



HEATHER J. FONG  
CHIEF OF POLICE

August 11, 2008

Supervisor Tom Ammiano  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

**REFERENCE: 20080716-002**

Dear Supervisor Ammiano:

Your inquiry made at the Board of Supervisors' meeting of July 16, 2008, has been referred to me for response. Specifically, your request related to the concept of a "Violent Crime Task Force" and whether the Department has considered implementation of such a "task force."

The answer to this question is, yes the Department has considered implementing such a "task force" to combat violent crime and reduce the number of homicides. Most recently, the Department considered this option at the beginning of 2008. After thorough evaluation, it was decided that creation of a specific task force would not be an efficient and effective alternative. Factors that influenced that decision include, but are not limited to:

- Diversion of district resources to a centralized unit;
- Alienation of the task force from the rest of the Department; and the
- Logistical support needs of a newly created task force

Although the Department chose not to create a new task force, it did decide to implement a comprehensive plan that utilizes some of the positive aspects of a task force. In summary, the Department has specialized units that already are in existence. These units include, but are not limited to the:

- Gang Task Force;
- Narcotics Unit;
- Tactical Company; and the
- Traffic Company

These specialized units have specific skills and knowledge that are essential in establishing a comprehensive plan to address and reduce homicides and violent crime in the community. These units work in conjunction with the Homicide Unit, to share information and to deploy resources to help solve crimes, mitigate the potential for continued or related violence, and to deploy uniformed resources to deter further criminal activity.


Since February of 2008, the Department has implemented an integrated strategy to deploy these resources, on a daily basis, under the direction of a unified command structure. The deployment of resources is scheduled on a weekly basis and is developed through a collaboration of commanding officers of the Field Operations and Investigations Bureaus. These resources are deployed on a rotational basis through five (5) specific zones that have historically been identified as having the highest criminal activity in the City. It should be noted that these weekly deployment schedules are flexible and on-duty commanding officers (such as night supervising captains) have the authority to re-deploy these resources in order to address specific violent crimes and to address the potential for gang retaliation, the apprehension of a suspect(s), or to prevent the escalation of the incident. In addition to centralized specialized units within the Department, we also partner with allied City, State and Federal law enforcement agencies to augment our efforts.

The key to success is to ensure that district station commanding officers are included in the development of these strategies and that they are fully staffing their sectors and beats. These commanding officers, utilizing the Department's community policing model, are directed to utilize a decentralized version of this model in the deployment of their district wide resources such as undercover and traffic units.

The Department is conducting a 6-month analysis of violent crime and homicides, in order to determine if this strategy has been effective in reducing violent crime and homicides. Preliminary data indicates that this strategy has had a positive impact. It is anticipated that the success of this program can be largely attributed to its flexibility and continued adaptability. As an example, the Department has recently re-defined its zone strategy to include the Excelsior District, based on the analysis of criminal activity in that area of the City.

Hopefully, this response adequately addresses your inquiry. Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

  
JAMES M. LYNCH  
Assistant Chief of Police

cc: Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

9/9

52

8/2/08

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation.

Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thank you,

Rich Higgins  
SF, CA 94114

Phoebe Higgins  
SF, CA 94116

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG 28 PM 3:19

BY

*[Signature]*

52

9/9


File # 080523  
Approx. 100 letters  
received  
C: CONS members

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2009 AUG - 7 PM 3:04

Dear Board of Supervisors

Please support Community  
Choice Energy Proposals to help  
the city reduce its energy  
religance and become more  
self sustaining. Its past  
time!



Yes  
for  
me!

Pam Montgomery

To the Board,  
Get the CCA going.  
Joe Bires SF, CA 94133

Dear Board of Supervisors: please continue to support  
the CCA we are in much need to save our earth.

Aimee ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ ay SF, CA 94133

To the Board of Supervisors  
Please support & implement CCA!

Thanks,

DAN Newman  
94109



Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am urging you to take action and  
proper care of CCA and that it has adequate  
resources.

Sincerely,

Henry Arayasmil

To the need of supervisors:

I support CCAD - my husband

Solar Power Now... please. Todd J. [Signature]


Solar Power Now ~~—————~~


SOLAR POWER on City Buildings, - Dept of ~~—————~~  
Now

Sola Power non Jellison

Solar Panels Now!

Mike Togo

SOLAR POWER ON ALL BUILDINGS! 

Solar Power on Building 

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing in support of

Solar power for public buildings  
in San Francisco. This is a  
highly important development!

Jessica Brown



Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support the Sierra Club's support of the Community Choice Agency. Please ensure that CCA has adequate support & resources.

Sincerely,

Mike Armstrong



\_\_\_\_\_  
2008 08 27

Solar Power Now Anne Kaplan SF

Planet Nibbles ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Solar Power Everywhere ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~



MATTHEW PURCELL

SOLD. PURC NOW

Get solar power up now!

Frank D. Honan

7/26/08

DEAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

I'M WRITING TO URGE YOU TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT AND IMPLEMENT COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY AGGREGATION. THIS WILL CREATE THE LARGEST URBAN SOLAR FACILITY IN THE WORLD RIGHT HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO. PLEASE TAKE LEADERSHIP TO ENSURE CCA HAS ADEQUATE RESOURCES AND HAS PROFESSIONAL, INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT.

THANKS SO MUCH!

SARAH KOSS  
04/102

I strongly support Community Choice Energy!  
Steve Block

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Solar energy is a long term solution,  
a candle can only burn for so long,  
our fossil fuels are like that,

- Ryan Hueter

9/4/22



Dear board of supervisors,

I'm writing to encourage you to support & implement  
Community Choice Energy Aggregation.

Nicole Warsawski 94133

Dear board of Supervisors:  
I'm writing to urge you to continue to support  
and implement community choice energy.

*My Olin*

94105

Dear Board of Supervisors

Please move forward with Community  
Choice Energy. Make ~~energy~~ San Francisco  
a leader in solar energy.

- Cristina  
94134

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support  
and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation.

Minda Louie ~~85209~~ 94109

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm urging you to continue to support & implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation!!! It would be great.

Thanks  
Daisy Macedo

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm writing to urge you to  
continue to support and  
implement Community Choice  
Energy Aggregation. This will  
create the largest urban solar  
facility in the world right here  
in San Francisco.

Thanks so much

Linda Ekström

---

111 60 Stockholm

SWEDEN

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the World right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Michael Persson

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support & implement  
Community Choice Energy Aggression?

— Jasmin Ghattaman  
94109



Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue  
to support and implement  
Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation.

Lesley 94133

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Nicole Romero 94114

DEAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

I'm writing to urge you TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT & IMPLEMENT  
COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY ACCELERATION

THANK YOU!

MICHAEL GELLS  
94153

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.1

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG 27 PM 4:16

BY SW

8/2/08

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support  
and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation.

Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate  
resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thank you,

Rich Higgins  
SF, CA 94114

Phoebe Higgins  
SF, CA 94116

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.2

To the Board,  
Get the CCA going.  
Joe Bires SF, CA 94133

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 3

Dear Board of Supervisors: please continue to support  
the CCA we are in much need to save our earth.

Aimee \_\_\_\_\_, SF, CA 94133

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 4

To the Board of Supervisors  
Please support & implement CCA!

Thanks,

DAN Newman  
94109

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am urging you to take action and  
proper care of CCA and that it has adequate  
resources.

Sincerely,

Henry Aragon III



TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS :

I SUPPORT CCAP - my husband

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.7

Solar Power Now... please. *Todd J. [Signature]*

Solar Power Now ~~—————~~ ~~—————~~

SOLAR POWER on City Buildings, - De-1 G ~~—————~~  
Now

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 9


Sola Power now *Green*


Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.10

Solar Panels Now!

Mike Tapp

SOLAR POWER ON ALL BUILDINGS! 

Solar Power on Building 

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 12

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing in support of

50th power for public buildings  
in San Francisco. This is a  
highly important development!

Jessica Brown

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383


p.13

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support the Sierra Club's support of the Community Choice Agency.  
Please ensure that CCA has adequate support & resources.

Sincerely,

Mike Armstrong



---



Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 14

Solar Power Now Anne Kaplan SF

Flora Nelson ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Solar Power Everywhere \_\_\_\_\_



MATTHEW PURCELL

SOLD. PURC NOW

Get solar power up now!  
Frank D. Honan

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.18

7/26/08

DEAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

I'M WRITING TO URGE YOU TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT AND IMPLEMENT COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY AGGREGATION. THIS WILL CREATE THE LARGEST URBAN SOLAR FACILITY IN THE WORLD RIGHT HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO. PLEASE TAKE LEADERSHIP TO ENSURE CCA HAS ADEQUATE RESOURCES AND HAS PROFESSIONAL, INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT.

THANKS SO MUCH!

SARAH KOSS  
04102

I strongly support Community Choice Energy!  
Steve Brook

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 20

Dear Board of Supervisors:  
Solar energy is a long term solution,  
a candle can only burn for so long,  
our fossil fuels are like that,

- Ryan Hueter

9/4/22

Dear board of supervisors,

I'm writing to encourage you to support & implement  
Community Choice Energy Aggregation.

Nicole Warsawski 94133



Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.22

Dear board of Supervisors:  
I'm writing to urge you to continue to support  
and implement community choice energy.

John Olin

94105

Dear Board of Supervisors  
Please move forward with Community  
Choice Energy. Make ~~energy~~ San Francisco  
a leader in solar energy.  
- Cristina  
94134

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.24

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support  
and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation.

Minda Loui ~~85209~~ 94109

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.25

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm urging you to continue to support &  
implement Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation!!! It would be great.

Thanks  
Daisy Macedo

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco.

Thanks so much

Linda Ekström

~~111 60 Stockholm~~  
111 60 Stockholm  
SWEDEN

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the World right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Michael Persson

Aug 27 2008 4:12PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.28

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support & implement  
Community Choice Energy Aggression?

— Jasmin Ghattarian  
94109

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue  
to support and implement  
Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation.

Lesley 94133



Aug 27 2008 4:12PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.30

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Nicole Romero 94114

DEAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
I'm writing to urge you to continue to support & implement  
community choice energy acceleration.


Thank you!

Michael Wells  
94133

DEAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

I'M WRITING THIS LETTER TO URGE YOU TO SUPPORT AND  
IMPLEMENT COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY AGGREGATION. FREE ENERGY IS  
HEALTHY FOR ALL

Sincerely



Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to show my support for implementing the Community Choice Energy Aggregation. We'd like an urban solar facility in SF. Please make sure CCA has adequate resource + independent oversight of the project.

All the best,

Lauren Dresnick

~~415 441 108~~ 94108

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 AUG 22 AM 11:04

BY SW

To the board of supervisors  
I support the CCEA

Sincerely

Alti and Just

95073

To The board of Supervisors. Support CCEA

Ryan McWilliams  
94706

To the BOARD of SUPERVISORS  
I support CCEA.

Sincerely

Drew Blyden

94133

---

7/27/08

To the Board of Supervisors:

I ~~accept~~ support CCEA.

Maureen O'Neal  
94133

---



To the BoS - I WANT OCEA  
Zac Butko 3/1/08

1 Solar Power ☀️ in City of San Francisco! ~~TARA GERLIT~~ ☀️

To Supply Solar Energy AND RADIANT  
BARRIER FOR HOME ROOFS. THE CITY SHOULD  
INVEST IN GREEN ENERGY AND GIVE THE  
PLANET A CHANCE TO HEAL.

AL FJ

Board of Supervisors, please look  
into alternate energy like solar  
panels.

- Ranjan Waterajan, 94109, Russian Hill

Rick Lim 20703 55th Ave WA 98036

Community Choice now!

Dear Supervisors:

As a citizen of SF and California I  
~~wish to~~ would like to encourage governmental  
support of community choice energy  
aggregation. Lets get our rooftops full  
of panels ASAP to lower energy costs  
for everyone with sustainability to boot.


Thanks

Jeremy Dahl

PO

94083 SF, CA

Please support community choice energy.

Eric Danch  


Outer Richmond, 94121 S.F.

Dear S.F. Board of Sups.

Please continue to  
support solar energy

Thanks  
Ch. Fuchs



Please Support Community Choice Energy  
this year

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar factory in the world.

Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources + has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks!

Lisa Eber

SE, CA 94115

I support community choice energy  
aggregation Amy M. Mank 94109

PLEASE SUPPORT SOLAR ENERGY FOR OUR  
CITY AND LET'S BE THE FIRST TO INSPIRE  
OTHERS TO FOLLOW:  
R-M FROJD, 94133, NORTH BEACH

Please support Solar energy in  
San Francisco

Lana de Joz / Autos

~~-----~~  
~~-----~~  
~~-----~~

Support Community Choice Energy,  
solar panels are good for the  
soul.

Jordan Perkins-Lewis

94192

Rec. Board of Supervisors:


Member request special meeting - community charmed  
now!

W. J. W.  
7/27/08

I support community choice energy  
Hence. *John Deere*



Please SUPPORT community  
choice energy HELP ST  
30 SALAR

A large, stylized handwritten signature consisting of several overlapping loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

9/1/22

Board of Supervisors please support Community Choice  
energy  
Kattler - foto

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest solar facility in the world right here in SF. Please take leadership. BERSURELLS has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Maurice O'Byrne

~~-----~~

No solar, support community above solar  
is a good thing.  
Edward Bercey 94117

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in SF. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks!

Vanessa Greenfield

94118

Ryan Boock  
WE SUPPORT COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY SOLAR.

Dear Board supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement community choice Energy Aggregation  
Jean-Baptiste Duret.

Jan McCulloch

Daley City - CA 94015

I support solar energy  
and urge you to do the  
same. Do the right thing!



Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to support & implement  
Community Choice Energy Aggregation  
Please take leadership to ensure CCA has  
adequate resources & has professional, independent  
oversight.

Sincerely,

Marianne Lam, 94105

~~2/20/08~~ 2/21/08

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Violet Souksavath, 94114

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to ~~create~~ continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Carin Bengt

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support & implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world. Please take leadership to ensure CEA has adequate resources & has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much,

Sarah Mooney, 9/1/09

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
Please please implement the Community Choice  
Energy Aggregation. Now is the perfect time to  
make ~~it~~ a leader in solar energy.

Wesley Myzalis  
94103


Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I'm writing to show my support to  
implement community choice energy  
Aggregation.

Thanks,  
Tara Keir 94110

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to  
continue to support and implement  
Community Choice Energy.

Thank so much,

  
Heather White

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will be the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

THANKS SO MUCH,

Kate Sherwood  
San Francisco  
94114



I'M WRITING TO URGE YOU TO CONTINUE  
TO SUPPORT + IMPLEMENT COMMUNITY  
CHOICE ENERGY REGULATION

TAMMY HOWE  
OHIO2

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I support the implementation of the Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation.  
Please consider!  
- Abigail Noyes  
94114

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I respectfully request  
creating an urban solar  
facilities: Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation.

Gru Sm 94123

Dear Board of Supervisors;

I'm writing to urge you to continue support + implement Community


Choice Energy <sup>Integration</sup>

Ben Jacoby 94110

To Whom It May Concern,

I have a new baby coming into this already-polluted world. Cigarettes are among the worst offenders, but I moved to SF because of our environmental policies of which I could be proud (and was healthy for my new family).

I will following up on your vote with regard to Community Choice Energy. Please do the right thing and earn my next vote!



94114

(Joel Grossman)

Steve Sheveland

July 27, 2008

Dear Board of Supervisors

I am writing in regard to urge you in your disson in the "Community Choice Energy Aggregation". From the understanding I have, this initiative has been passed with no follow-up leadership. "What the" this is a no brainer when revenue has been ok'd to implement this plan, to backfeed the grid to continue a self propelled system to expand this great Idiom which is dead block thru bureaucracy. Let's get to work, do your job and show concalladenty for the greater benefit.

Thank,

Steve Sheveland

SF CA 94133

Solar Energy is imperative  
to the survival of the planet.  
I Support Community Choice  
Energy Aggregation.

Kathleen Gordon  
85015

Dear Supervisors,

Please support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. I support this because it will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in SF! Can you imagine? Please, I urge you to take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

I am very excited about this program!  
Thank you for your attention.

Best  
Ann Malley  
SF, CA 94110



Please Support Community Choice  
Energy!

SoHoPBC


Scott LeBel

96161

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I really love the CCA and I am supporting it with all of my will.

Sincerely,



Josiah Rosales

94303

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support the CCEA.

Sincerely

Lance Tomasz

94107

To the board of supervisors  
I support the CCEA

Sincerely  
Marianne  
95073

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 2

To The board of Supervisors, Support CCEA

Ryan McWilliams  
94706

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 3

To The BOARD of SUPERVISORS  
I support CCEA.

Sincerely

Draw Bygoneson

94133

7/27/08

To the Board of Supervisors!

I ~~accept~~ support CCEA.

Maura O'Neal  
94133

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.5

To the BOS - I support OCEA  
Ear Butko 3/2008



Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.6

1 Solar Power ☀ in City of San Francisco! TARA GERBER ☀

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.7

To supply solar energy and radiant  
barrier for home roofs. The city should  
invest in green energy and give the  
planet a chance to heal.

Al Felt

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 8

Board of Supervisors, please look  
into alternate energy like solar  
panels.

- Ranjan Waterajan, 94109, Russian Hill

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.9

Red Line

Community Choice now!

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.10

Dear Supervisors:

As a citizen of SF and California I  
~~wish to~~ would like to encourage governmental  
support of community choice energy  
aggregation. Lets get our rooftops full  
of panels ASAP to lower energy costs  
for everyone with sustainability to boot.

Thanks

Jeremy Dahl

PO

94083 SF, CA

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.11

Please support community choice energy.

Eric Danch

Outer Richmond, 94121 S.F.

Dear S.F. Board of Sups.

Please continue to  
support solar energy

Thanks  
Alli Fuster

Aug 21 2008 3:38PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.13

please support community choice energy  
Karin Meyer



Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar factory in the world.

Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources + has profession, independent over.

Thanks!

Lisa Eiben

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

Aug 21 2008 3:39PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.15

I support community choice energy aggregation  
Amy M. Mank 94109

PLEASE SUPPORT SOLAR ENERGY FOR OUR  
CITY AND LET'S BE THE FIRST TO INSPIRE  
OTHERS TO FOLLOW:  
R.M. FROJO, 94133, NORTH BEACH

Aug 21 2008 3:39PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.17

Please support Solar energy in  
San Francisco

Lana de Jaz / ~~Autos~~

~~1234567890~~  
~~1234567890~~

Support Community choice energy,  
solar panels are good for the  
soul

Jordan Pickens-Lewis

94132

Aug 21 2008 3:39PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.19

Rec. Board of Supervisors:  
Please accept our sincere - genuine thanks  
now!  
W. J. W.  
7/27/08

Aug 21 2008 3:39PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383


p.20

I support community choice energy  
Merrill *[Signature]*

Aug 21 2008 3:39PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.21

PLEASE SUPPORT COMMUNITY  
CHOICE ENERGY HELP SF  
30 SOLAR



94122



Board of Supervisors please support Community Choice  
energy  
Kathleen Aoto

Aug 21 2008 3:39PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.23

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest solar facility in the world right here in SF. Please take leadership to ensure CCS has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Maura O'Neil

5 \_\_\_\_\_, 34117

No solar, support community choice. Solar  
is a good thing.  
Edward Gorey 94117

Aug 21 2008 3:40PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.25

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in SF. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks!

Vivessa Greenfield

94118

Aug 21 2008 3:40PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.26

RYAN Co.

WE SUPPORT COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY SOLAR.

Dear Board supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement community choice Energy Aggregation year. Baptiste Duret.

Aug 21 2008 3:40PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.28

Jan McCulloch

Daley City-CA 94015

I support solar energy  
and urge you to do the  
same. Do the right thing!

Aug 21 2008 3:40PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.29

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to support & implement  
Community Choice Energy Aggregation  
Please take leadership to ensure CCA has  
adequate resources & has professional, independent  
oversight.

Sincerely,

Marianne Lam, 94105

~~Marianne Lam~~



Aug 21 2008 3:40PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.30

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Violet Souksavath, 94114

Dear Board of Supervisors :

I'm writing to urge you to ~~create~~ continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Carin Bengt

Aug 21 2008 3:41PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 32

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support & implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources & has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much,

Sarah Mooney, 94179

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
Please please implement the Community Choice  
Energy Aggregation. Now is the perfect time to  
make ~~it~~ <sup>us</sup> a leader in solar energy.

Wesley Miyazaki  
94107

Aug 21 2008 3:41PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.34


Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I'm writing to show my support to  
implement community choice energy  
Aggregation.

Thanks,  
Tara Keir 94110

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to  
continue to support and implement  
Community Choice Energy.

Thank so much,

  
Heather White

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will be the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

THANKS SO MUCH,

Kate Sherwood  
 San Francisco  
 94114

Aug 21 2008 3:42PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.37

IM WRITING TO URGE YOU TO CONTINUE  
TO SUPPORT & IMPLEMENT COMMUNITY  
CHOICE ENERGY REGULATION.

TAMMY HOWE  
94102



Aug 21 2008 3:42PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.38

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I support the implementation of the Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation.  
Please consider!  
- Abigail Noyes  
94114

Aug 21 2008 3:42PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.39

Dear Board of Supervisors,  
I respectfully request  
that an urban solar  
facility: Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation.

Jim Sm 94123

Dear Board & Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support & implement Community

Choice Energy Agreements

Don Jacoby 94610


Aug 21 2008 3:42PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 41

To Wren & May Carson,

I have a new baby coming into this already-polluted world. Citrus Grove among the worst offenders, but I moved to SF because of civic environmental policies of which I could be proud (and was healthy for my new family).

I will follow up on your vote with regard to Community Choice Energy... Please do the right thing and earn my next vote!

  
94114  
(Joel Grossman)

Aug 21 2008 3:42PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 42

Steve Sheveland

July 27, 2008

Dear Board of Supervisors

I am writing in regard to urge you in your decision in the "Community Choice Energy Aggregation". From the understanding I have, this initiative has been passed with no follow-up leadership... "What the" this is a no brainer when revenue has been ok'd to implement this plan, that's backfeed the grid to continue a self propelled system to expand this great idea which is dead block thru bureaucracy. Let's get to work, do your job and show accountability for the greater benefit.

Thank,

Steve Sheveland

11.11.11

SF CA 94133

Solar Energy is imperative  
to the survival of the planet.  
I Support Community Choice  
Energy Aggregation.

Matthew Gordon  
85015

Aug 21 2008 3:42PM

Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p. 44

Dear Supervisors,

Please support and implement Community  
Choice Energy Aggregation. I support this because  
it will create the largest urban solar facility  
in the world right here in SF! Can you  
imagine? PLEASE, I urge you to take  
leadership to ensure CCA has adequate  
resources and has professional, independent  
oversight.

I am very excited about this program!  
Thank you for your attention.

Best  
Ann Maley  
SF, CA 94110

Please support Community Choice  
Energy!

SoHoPBA

Scott Le Bell


96161



Dear Board of Supervisors,

I really love the CCA and I am supporting it with all of my will.

Sincerely,



Joseph Rosales

44303

Aug 21 2008 3:43PM Sierra Club SFBay Chapter 5108483383

p.47

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support the CCEA.

Sincerely

Lance Tomash

94107