

10/7

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 SEP 24 PM 1:18

BY SW

48

# City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH:

### Monitoring of A-133 Single Audit Reports for Agencies Awarded Federal Funds by DPH in Fiscal Year 2006-07



Document is available  
at the Clerk's Office  
Room 244, City Hall

September 18, 2008

48



10/7

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Monique Zmuda  
Deputy Controller

September 18, 2008

The Honorable Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco,  
Room 200, City Hall

The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
Room 244, City Hall

Re: Fiscal Year 2007-2008 Surplus Transfers Report

Dear Mayor Newsom, Ladies and Gentlemen:

According to Section 3.18 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, "the Controller shall notify the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of any transfer of funds made pursuant to this section which exceeds 10 percent of the original appropriation to which the transfer is made." With that in mind, I have attached schedules of operating and project transfers that have exceeded 10 percent for fiscal year 2007-08.

Please feel free to contact me at 554-7500 if you would like to discuss this report in further detail.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Attachments

49

BY SM  
C: Bos

2008 SEP 24 PM 2:15

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

49

**Operating Budget - Surplus Transfers in excess of 10% per Admin Code 3.18**

Fiscal Year 2007-08 (July 2007 - June 2008)

Office of the Controller - Data as of Fiscal Month 12 Close September 3, 2008

DEPARTMENT	CHARACTER	BUDGET	TRANSFER (FROM) / TO	REV. BGT.	TFR. %	EXPLANATION
Administrative Services / Central Shops Fund	001 Salaries	8,283,886	(480,025)	7,803,861	-6%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	3,010,348	(195,972)	2,814,376	-7%	
	021 Non-Personnel Services	2,446,490	(80,857)	2,365,633	-3%	
	060 Capital Outlay	170,500	(170,500)	0	-100%	
	040 Materials and Supplies	7,256,396	927,354	8,183,750	13%	Transfer savings from salaries, fringe benefits, non-personnel services, and capital outlay to materials and supplies due to unanticipated rise in fuel cost.
Board of Supervisors / General Fund	021 Non-Personnel Services	3,131,895	(7,320)	3,124,575	0%	
Child Support Services / Child Support Operating Fund	040 Materials and Supplies	23,243	7,320	30,563	31%	Transfer from non-personnel services savings to materials and supplies to replace Board Chambers laptops, computer monitors, and malfunctioning printer.
	001 Salaries	8,851,393	(167,842)	8,683,551	-2%	
	021 Non-Personnel Services	1,467,301	(151,900)	1,315,401	-10%	
	040 Materials and Supplies	254,011	(74,483)	179,528	-29%	
	081 Services of Other Departments	1,180,718	(73,724)	1,106,994	-6%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	3,003,049	467,949	3,470,998	16%	Transfer savings from salaries, non-personnel services, materials and supplies, and services of other departments to fund increased retirement costs.

**Operating Budget - Surplus Transfers in excess of 10% per Admin Code 3.18**

**Fiscal Year 2007-08 (July 2007 - June 2008) Office of the Controller - Data as of Fiscal Month 12 Close September 3, 2008**

DEPARTMENT	CHARACTER	BUDGET	TRANSFER (FROM) / TO	REV. BGT.	TFR. %	EXPLANATION
City Planning / General Fund	060 Capital Outlay	139,268	(39,000)	100,268	-28%	
	040 Materials and Supplies	198,574	39,000	237,574	20%	Transfer from capital outlay savings to materials and supplies to purchase new desktop computers for newly hired planners, replace old computers, and purchase new printers.
Emergency Communications / General Fund	001 Salaries	920,540	(75,643)	844,897	-8%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	221,586	(25,214)	196,372	-11%	
	021 Non-Personnel Services	34,045	61,430	95,475	180%	Transfer savings from salaries and fringe benefits to non-personnel services for relocation costs from 1 South Van Ness to 1011 Turk Street.
	040 Materials and Supplies	12,500	39,427	51,927	315%	Transfer savings from fringe benefits to materials and supplies for computer equipment, office supplies, and relocation costs.
Emergency Communications / 911 Project Fund	040 Materials and Supplies	214,369	(3,000)	211,369	-1%	
	060 Capital Outlay	3,169,845	(4,232,954)	(1,063,109)	-134%	
	081 Services of Other Departments	17,818,456	4,235,954	22,054,410	24%	Transfer savings from materials and supplies and capital outlay to services of other departments for Department of Telecommunications and Information Services to perform Motorola implementation project, for Public Works to provide operations floor reconfiguration and for Central Shops due to unanticipated rise in fuel cost.

**Operating Budget - Surplus Transfers in excess of 10% per Admin Code 3.18**

Fiscal Year 2007-08 (July 2007 - June 2008)

Office of the Controller - Data as of Fiscal Month 12 Close September 3, 2008

DEPARTMENT	CHARACTER	BUDGET	TRANSFER (FROM) / TO	REV. BGT.	TFR. %	EXPLANATION
Economic and Workforce Development / General Fund	021 Non-Personnel Services	36,050	(43,672)	(7,622)	-121%	
	038 City Grant Programs	1,539,000	(95,000)	1,444,000	-6%	
	081 Services of Other Departments	384,722	138,672	523,394	36%	Transfer savings from non-personnel services carryforward, and city grant programs to services of other departments for Public Works to provide undergrounning for Leland Avenue, transfer to Mayors Office of Community Development to utilize existing contracts and grants rather than create separate contracts with Economic and Workforce Development, and Treasurer's Office for tax incentives to eligible businesses.
Elections / General Fund	001 Salaries	6,071,903	(286,500)	5,785,403	-5%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	903,457	286,500	1,189,957	32%	Transfer from salary savings to fringe benefits due to additional election in April, 2008 causing temporary workers to receive additional unbudgeted benefits.
Fire / General Fund	001 Salaries	180,431,652	(300,000)	180,131,652	0%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	29,734,255	(110,000)	29,624,255	0%	
	040 Materials and Supplies	3,188,029	410,000	3,598,029	13%	Transfer from savings from salaries and fringe benefits to materials and supplies due to high cost in fuel and medical supplies.
Health Service / General Fund	001 Salaries	2,790,051	(166,069)	2,623,982	-6%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	1,024,950	(61,041)	963,909	-6%	
	081 Services of Other Departments	859,420	(10,000)	849,420	-1%	
	021 Non-Personnel Services	1,241,301	237,110	1,478,411	19%	Transfer savings from salaries, fringe benefits, and services of other departments to non-personnel services for additional actuarial and consulting services resulting from lengthened medical rate negotiations for plan year 2008-09.

**Operating Budget - Surplus Transfers in excess of 10% per Admin Code 3.18**

**Fiscal Year 2007-08 (July 2007 - June 2008) Office of the Controller - Data as of Fiscal Month 12 Close September 3, 2008**

DEPARTMENT	CHARACTER	BUDGET	TRANSFER (FROM) / TO	REV. BGT.	TFR. %	EXPLANATION
Human Services / General Fund	038 City Grant Programs	93,312,723	(2,156,349)	91,156,374	-2%	
	021 Non-Personnel Services	17,347,459	2,156,349	19,503,808	12%	Transfer savings from city grant programs to non-personnel services due to contractual services not provided by community based organizations.
Juvenile Probation / General Fund	060 Capital Outlay	224,144	(116,000)	108,144	-52%	Transfer from capital outlay savings to materials and supplies to fund additional food and clothing due to unanticipated increase in population at the Juvenile Hall custody facility.
	040 Materials and Supplies	784,500	116,000	900,500	15%	
Mayor / General Fund	001 Salaries	3,196,611	(24,000)	3,172,611	-1%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	1,167,215	(6,000)	1,161,215	-1%	
	038 City Grant Programs	2,067,255	(57,300)	2,009,955	-3%	
	081 Services of Other Departments	216,749	87,300	304,049	40%	Transfer savings from salaries, fringe benefits, and city grant programs to services of other departments for the Controller's Office Financial Accounting Services Team (FAST) to provide financial processing and reporting needs, and for the Department of Telecommunications and Information Services for increases in telephone and project costs.

**Operating Budget - Surplus Transfers in excess of 10% per Admin Code 3.18**

Fiscal Year 2007-08 (July 2007 - June 2008)

Office of the Controller - Data as of Fiscal Month 12 Close September 3, 2008

DEPARTMENT	CHARACTER	BUDGET	TRANSFER (FROM) / TO	REV. BGT.	TFR. %	EXPLANATION
Municipal Transportation Agency / Municipal Railway Operating Fund	021 Non-Personnel Services	92,070,439	(1,055,000)	91,015,439	0%	
Municipal Transportation Agency / Municipal Railway Overhead Fund	021 Non-Personnel Services	7,446,594	1,055,000	8,501,594	14%	Transfer savings of non-personnel services from operating fund to overhead fund for tenant improvement work at One South Van Ness Avenue.
Municipal Transportation Agency / Parking and Traffic Operating Fund	021 Non-Personnel Services	9,999,049	(12,000)	9,987,049	0%	
	060 Capital Outlay	1,114,249	(32,000)	1,082,249	-3%	
	040 Materials and Supplies	239,838	44,000	283,838	18%	Transfer from non-personnel services to fund materials and supplies for new programs in the Citation division, and for items that should have been budgeted as materials and supplies instead of capital outlay.
Public Defender / General Fund	001 Salaries	16,977,191	(76,618)	16,900,573	0%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	4,095,958	(151,271)	3,944,687	-4%	
	021 Non-Personnel Services	979,085	227,889	1,206,974	23%	Transfer savings from salaries and fringe benefits to non-personnel services for contracting professional services in large capital cases.
Public Works / Gas Tax Fund	001 Salaries	13,612,682	(141,611)	13,471,071	-1%	
	081 Services of Other Departments	321,044	141,611	462,655	44%	Transfer from salary savings to services of other department for Children, Youth and Families to assist in greening programs and cleaning public rights of way.

**Operating Budget - Surplus Transfers in excess of 10% per Admin Code 3.18**

Fiscal Year 2007-08 (July 2007 - June 2008)

Office of the Controller - Data as of Fiscal Month 12 Close September 3, 2008

DEPARTMENT	CHARACTER	BUDGET	TRANSFER (FROM) / TO	REV. BGT.	TFR. %	EXPLANATION
Rec & Park / Marina Yacht Harbor Fund	001 Salaries	686,782	(97,398)	589,384	-14%	
	013 Mandatory Fringe Benefits	236,950	(32,466)	204,484	-14%	
	040 Materials and Supplies	98,311	(3,000)	95,311	-3%	
	081 Services of Other Departments	8,222	132,864	141,086	1616%	Transfer savings from salaries, fringe benefits, and materials and supplies to services of other departments for Public Works to study and determine level of contamination at the East Harbor basin and to provide lock repairs at the Marina.
Taxi Commission / Taxicab Enforcement Fund	001 Salaries	425,411	(10,000)	415,411	-2%	
	021 Non-Personnel Services	20,875	10,000	30,875	48%	Transfer from salary savings to non-personnel services for increased costs due to additional taxi medallions and moving expenses to new office space.



10/7



<h...>  
09/24/2008 03:14 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

50

Submitted on: 9/24/2008 3:14:33 PM

name: loren hammer

phone:

comments: The \$60 parking violation is out of proportion and an unjust taxation in an urban environment where the city doesn't provide alternatives to businesses that relay on workers and customers who must park to work or shop. It victimizes the poor and the working poor. Between the \$6 GGB toll, the gas, and the \$60 parking fine, I took home very little money to feed myself and my family, and it gave me much to think about as I passed back into poverty.

-----

---  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 99.135.69.222  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

50



"Kimo Crossman"

09/23/2008 09:26 PM

To: [Redacted]

bcc: [Redacted]  
Subject: CA.FPPC Advice: NO Redactions of scanned documents posted online

(Recent advice letter from California Fair Political Practices)  
<http://www.fppc.ca.gov/>

51

August 8<sup>th</sup>, 2008

Tony Miller, Chief  
Political Reform Division  
Office of the Secretary of State  
1500 11<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 495  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Your Request for Advice  
**Our File No. A-08-121**

Dear Mr. Miller:

This letter responds to your request for advice regarding the campaign reporting provisions of the Political Reform Act (the "Act").<sup>iii</sup> Please note that we base our advice solely on the provisions of the Act.

**QUESTION**

Does Section 84602(d), or any other provision of the Act, require the Secretary of State to redact any information in a scanned copy of a Statement of Organization (the "Form 410") before posting it on her website?

**CONCLUSION**

Section 84602(d) applies to documents that are required to be electronically filed under the Act's online disclosure requirements in Chapter 4.6 (commencing with Section 84600) of the Act. Since the Secretary of State's proposed website posting concerns paper documents filed under other provisions of the Act, Section 84602(d) is not applicable to those documents and no other provision of the Act requires that information from those documents be redacted. We offer no comment on the requirements, if any, of other laws outside the Act.

**FACTS**

You are the Chief of the Political Reform Division within the Office of the Secretary of State and request advice in that capacity. Under Section 81008(a), all data filed with the Secretary of State pursuant to the Act is available for viewing and copying in the Office of the Secretary of State.

51

This includes addresses of all contributors, treasurers and committees, as well as bank account numbers. The information is available in the Sacramento Office of the Secretary of State on paper documents filed with the office and on computer terminals that access a non-internet database. Copies of the information are provided upon request.

Section 84605 defines who files their campaign reports electronically with the Secretary of State. Specifically, those candidates or committees who have received contributions or loans or made expenditures or loans of at least \$50,000 are subject to electronic filing. Thus, if a candidate or committee filed a Form 410 and initially did not have contributions or expenditures at the qualifying amount, they would not file the Form 410 electronically. If a candidate or committee has initial contributions or expenditures of at least \$50,000, they would file their Form 410 online in the first instance. If, however, they became eligible for electronic filing later on (by reaching that \$50,000 threshold), they would amend their Form 410's at that time, and would file electronically.

Section 84602 requires the Secretary of State to make all data filed available on the internet for public access as soon as possible after receipt. Currently, Form 410 is available online only if the filer is subject to electronic filing. You plan to make scanned images of completed Form 410's available on the Secretary of State's website whether the filer filed the form electronically or not. You plan to redact bank account information as well as street address and phone number information of committee treasurers.

#### ANALYSIS

One of the main purposes of the Political Reform Act is to ensure that campaign finances are "fully and truthfully disclosed so that the voters may be fully informed." (Section 81002(a) and (b).) Section 81008 provides the public broad access to reports filed under the Act:

"(a) Every report and statement filed pursuant to this title is a public record open for public inspection and reproduction during regular business hours, commencing as soon as practicable, but in any event not later than the second business day following the day on which it was received . . ."

Your office plans to post on its website scanned images of completed Forms 410 that have been filed in paper form but that are not currently required to be filed with your office electronically. You have asked whether you must redact any information from those forms before you scan a copy and post it on the website. You have stated that you plan to redact, as a matter of policy, bank account number information and street address and telephone information of committee treasurers before posting the scanned images.

Section 84602(d) only applies to documents filed electronically under the Act's online disclosure requirements in Chapter 4.6 (commencing with Section 84600) of the Act. It does not apply to paper documents, including the Form 410, filed with the Secretary of State under other provisions of the Act (see Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 84100) of the Act). Therefore, Section 84602(d) does not require the Secretary of State to redact any information from these documents if she chooses to post them on her official website. Furthermore, no other provision of the Act requires redaction of information from these paper documents. Finally, please note that we offer no advice on provisions outside the Act that may apply to your question. If you have other questions on this matter, please contact me at (916) 322-5660.

Sincerely,

Scott Hallabrin



## General Counsel

---

By: Heather M. Rowan  
Counsel, Legal Division

HMR:jgl

---

<sup>[1]</sup> The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code Sections 81000 through 91014. All statutory references are to the Government Code, unless otherwise indicated. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations. All regulatory references are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations, unless otherwise indicated.



"Christian Holmer"

09/25/2008 12:16 PM

Please respond to

To [Redacted]

Subject: BOS Candidates (Bonus!) MAYORS OFFICE NATIONAL Press List: Revised SFSM 2008 District BOS Candidates Government Transparency Questionnaire

SFSM Government Transparency Questionnaire: 2008 District Board Of Supervisors Board of Education Races: CityWide

52

- 1. Will Your Office Comply With the Both Spirit and Intent of San Francisco's Open Government Sunshine Ordinance.
- 2. Will you post online your detailed two week future calendar listing the names of individuals and entities you are scheduled to meet with?
- 3. Will you consider any reports about entities compliance under Sunshine that the SOTF provides as part of the Budget Process, requiring departments to provide plans to come in the Compliance as the SOTF has advised as part of their budget submission?
- 4. When there is an SOTF (Sunshine Ordinance Task Force) Determination regarding an Application of a Provision of the Sunshine Ordinance, will you follow the Advice of the Sunshine Taskforce as the Full Board of Supervisors Does?
- 5. If, As A Supervisor, Your Office employs DTIS to maintain, preserve, and restore electronic records will you require written instructions to guide both you and DTIS in these tasks?
- 6. Will Your Office Recognize E-mail Records Exchanged per Department business are Public Records? (Ex: E-mails Exchanges by Diane Feinstein, Henry Waxman and The Department of Justice) And personal emails sent by city officials that pertain to city business.
- 7. Will Your Office permit active Records to be stored on computers, pda's, cellphones (call detail records) belonging to individual employees? Will you discourage senders who contact you on city related business on your private email accounts, cell phone messages, PDA's Instant Messages and forward these communications immediately to City servers for retention?

52



"PRO-SF" <home@prosf.org>

09/23/2008 10:48 AM

Please respond to <home@prosf.org>

"Mariam Morley" <Mariam.Morley@sfgov.org>, "Paula Jesson" <Paula.Jesson@sfgov.org>, "Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, "Ron Vinson" <Norman.Goldwyn@sfgov.org>, "SOTF" <soft@sfgov.org>, cc "Paul (WS0001) Callender" <Paul.Callender@sfgov.org>, "Ethics Commission Director" <john.st.croix@sfgov.org>, bcc

Subject: "Unbroken Chain": Mariam Morley - Paula Jesson - Trent Rhorer: Appeal to Supervisor of Records: 19 Weeks & 2 Years Outstanding SFMS Public Records & PRESS Requests / Mayors Office: NEW REQUEST: Immediate Disclosure Request

53

From: Christian Holmer [mailto: ]  
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 1:57 PM

To: 'Mariam Morley'; 'Paula Jesson'; 'Mayor G Newsom'; 'Ron Vinson'; 'Chris Vein'; 'Hello Trent Rhorer'; 'Nathan (WS0052) Ballard@sfgov.org'; 'Brian (WS0076) Purchia'; 'Giselle (WS0023) Barry'; 'Erin (WS0051) Garvey'; 'David (WS0054) Miree'; 'Shirley (WS0052) Chou'; 'Mike (WS0020) Farrah@sfgov.org'; Wade.Crowfoot@sfgov.org; 'Goodbye Phil Ginsburg'; Paul.Zarefsky@sfgov.org  
Cc: Norman.Goldwyn@sfgov.org; 'SOTF'; 'Paul (WS0001) Callender'; 'Ethics Commission Director'; districtattorney@sfgov.org; 'Allen Grossman'; 'Josh Wolf'; 'Bruce Wolfe'; is: 'James Chaffee'; 'Peter Warfield'; 'MARTIN L MACINTYRE'; 'Kimo Crossman'; sr.voter\_empowerment\_project@yahoo.com; 'Herb (DTIS) Tong'; 'Richard (DTIS) Robinson'; 'Inomas (S.I.S DCA) Long'; 'Brian (DTIS) Roberts'; @prosf.org; 'Sunshine Posse'; 'Joe Lynn'; 'h. brown'; 'Angela Calvillo'; 'Board of Supervisors'; 'Jake McGoldrick'; D2 - Michela Alioto-Pier; 'Aaron Peskin'; Ed Jew; 'Ross Mirkarimi'; 'Chris Daly'; 'Sean Elsbernd'; 'Bevan Dufty'; tom.ammiano@sfgov.org; 'Gerardo Sandoval'; 'Sophie Maxwell'; Pro-SF@yahoo.com; 'Steve Jones'

Subject: "Unbroken Chain": Paula Jesson - Trent Rhorer: Appeal to Supervisor of Records: 19 Weeks & 2 Years Outstanding SFMS Public Records & PRESS Requests / Mayors Office: NEW REQUEST: Immediate Disclosure Request

Importance: High

From: Paula (City Attorneys Supervisor Of Records) Jesson [mailto:Paula.Jesson@sfgov.org]

Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 4:03 PM

Subject: Out of Office

I will be out of the office starting 09/01/2008 and will not return until 09/22/2008. Appeals to the Supervisor of Records will be handled by Mariam Morley. Her email address is Mariam.Morley@sfgov.org.

From: Joe (I Can't Believe it Aint Sunshine) Arellano [mailto:Joe.Arellano@sfgov.org]

Sent: Thursday, August 28, 2008 10:02 AM

Subject: Joe Arellano is out of the office - Not Checking E-mail


I will be out of the office starting 08/28/2008 and will not return until 09/08/2008. For press inquiries or immediate assistance, please contact the Mayor's Office of Communications at (415) 554-6131 or via e-mail at mayorspressooffice@sfgov.org For all other inquiries, please contact Erin Garvey at(415) 554-6483 or Brian Purchia at (415) 554-7135.

Mariam Morley (Interim Supervisor of Records - Paula Jesson, The Acting DCA Who Is Helping Us With This Request Is Out Until 09/22/08). Zarefsky Told Me You Were Acting Supe of Records And Shees Gone For a Few Weeks So Heres an Easy One.

Paula Already Ruled These As Public Records And She Has Been Expecting Submissions from the Mayors Office for Over a Month. Could You Check In With Them (Rhorer and Co.) And See What Portion of Responsive Documents are Stored Off Site With GRM Information services and Which Portion Are Readily Accessible Electronically?

53

10/7



**SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL MANAGER

1155 Market St., 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103 • Tel. (415) 554-1600 • Fax (415) 554-3161 • TTY (415) 554.3488



September 23, 2008

The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco

The Honorable Ben Rosenfield  
Controller, City and County of San Francisco

**SUBJECT: Declaration of Emergency – Replacement of Kirkwood Generator Stator Core**

Gentlepersons:

This memo supersedes previous memo dated September 17, 2008. In accordance with Chapter 6, Article IV, Section 6.60(D) of the Administrative Code of the City and County of San Francisco, I am declaring an emergency on behalf of the Public Utilities Commission.

The Kirkwood Powerhouse (KPH) was constructed in 1967. Generator units KPH1 and KPH2 were in service shortly thereafter. The life span of a generator winding is 30 years. HHWP issued contract HH910 to rewind KPH1 and KPH2 (replace the stator windings only). Upon removal of the stator windings the stator core was inspected. Due to the inconsistencies in the stator core configuration of KPH1, the new windings could not be installed with proper wedging or side packing (the stator core in KPH2 is useable). To have KPH1 available to generate for spring runoff, the stator core must be replaced prior to installing the windings. Without the core being replaced, the rewind on KPH1 cannot be completed. If KPH1 is not rewound and available for spring runoff, there may be a reduction in generation revenues of up to \$3,500,000 (median year, varies by water year type).

It is in the best interest of the City to issue emergency contracts to replace the Kirkwood Generator Stator Core. Work to be performed is beyond the capabilities of City forces. The estimated total cost of the project is \$2,200,000.

I am therefore declaring the existence of an emergency. I trust that this meets with your concurrence and approval.

Very truly yours,

Edward Harrington  
General Manager

cc: A. Caen F.X. Crowley F. Vietor M. Carlin T. Rydstrom

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 24 PM 2:40  
BY 54

GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

ANN MOLLER CAEN  
PRESIDENT

F.X. CROWLEY  
VICE PRESIDENT

FRANCESCA VIETOR  
COMMISSIONER

ED HARRINGTON  
GENERAL MANAGER



10/7



09/28/2008 09:58 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Support reform of San Francisco Zoo

24 Letters  
Received

55

Board of Supervisors

Dear [ Supervisor ],

I am writing to urge you to support Supervisor Daly's ordinance to reform the troubled San Francisco Zoo by transforming it to a rescue institution and establishing an animal welfare oversight committee.

The Christmas Day tiger tragedy opened a window for the world to see the animal welfare deficiencies that have plagued this zoo for decades. It is shocking that a world-class city like San Francisco would have a zoo that houses animals in such outdated and inhumane conditions.

It's time for San Francisco to establish a zoo that provides a refuge for animals in need and provides them with the best possible quality of life in a forever home.

Please co-sponsor and hold prompt hearings on Supervisor Daly's important legislation to rescue the San Francisco Zoo.

Sincerely,  
Geoffrey Doman

\_\_\_\_\_

55



10/7

1003 Letters  
received



Gaylen Stirton

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

09/24/2008 09:50 AM

cc

Please respond to

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

56

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Gaylen Stirton

\_\_\_\_\_

56

10/7



"Francisco Da Costa"

09/26/2008 08:18 AM

To "Francisco Da Costa"

cc

bcc Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

Subject Clean up Hunters Point and address the pertinent issues facing our City of San Francisco.

57

**Clean up Hunters Point Naval Shipyard and address the pertinent issues facing the City and County of San Francisco:**

**<http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2008/09/26/18541426.php?printable=true>**

**Francisco Da Costa**

57

10/7



"Francisco Da Costa"

09/25/2008 02:20 PM

"Angela Calvillo" <Calvillo.Angela@sfgov.org>, "BOS BOS"

To <supervisors@sfgov.org>, "SFBOS BOS"

<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Rose Arrieta"

cc

bcc

Subject No Action Alternative on Hunters Point Naval Shipyard.

**No action Alternative on Hunters Point Naval Shipyard:**


58

**<http://www.franciscodacosta.com/articles/bayview140.html>**

**Francisco Da Costa**

57

10/7



"Stucky, Shirley"  
<SStucky@  
m>  
09/23/2008 08:57 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, <info@sftop.com>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject 3 JACKSON BUS STATUS

59

9/23/08

Dear Sir or Madam:

It has been brought to my attention that there is a possibility of eliminating the 3 Jackson bus route.

**PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS!!!**

As a 30-year rider of the 3 Jackson, it is my lifeline to work (most importantly because of my late work hours) and essential for conducting my personal business (buying groceries, doctors appointments, cleaners pickup, etc.). I have also noticed that within the last year, the 3 Jackson has more and more riders so it is a viable bus route. Just because I live on Jackson Street, it does not mean I have a car or am wealthy. The 3 Jackson also has the most mature and capable bus drivers (among them, James Holland and Chang). They are outstanding, very polite and look out for their passengers. Something I have **never** experienced on any other bus route after living in San Francisco since 1980.

If you must eliminate a bus, it is the 4 Sutter that is usually empty and has very few riders.

The bus you **must review** is the 1 California which is stinky, overcrowded and a mess. It was so disgusting this very morning, that I had to get off before I would vomit. You need to talk to the drivers about packing the bus with people until it is intolerable.

Please consider very carefully when you vote at the end of this month. We desperately need the 3 Jackson because it services many people who simply have no other alternative.

Thank you for your consideration of my request and for reading my e-mail.

Best regards,  
Shirley A. Stucky

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

59

10/7



"Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>  
>  
09/25/2008 03:36 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
cc "Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"  
<Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
#20080805-006

Here's the status of removing graffiti at the following private property locations:

- UC Campus @ 55 Laguna on the Haight and Buchanan Street sides SR# 824957 (Abated 8-13-08)
- Gateway High School, Geary and Scott SR# 827305 (Abated 8-15-08)
- 1223 Divisadero SR# 827306 (Abated 8-15-08)
- 816 Divisadero SR# 827308 (Abated 8-15-08)
- 631 Divisadero SR# 827314 (Abated 8-15-08)
- 401 Divisadero SR# 826073 (abated 8-13-08)
- 968 Page SR# 827317 (Abated 8-02-08)
- 512 Page SR# 827319 (Abated 8-28-08)



Jonathan Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Supervisor  
695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W  
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 11:05 AM  
To: Vaing, Jonathan  
Cc: Rodis, Nathan; Hines, Timothy  
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE #20080805-006

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi. Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,  
Frank

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2008 2:59 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.



10/7



Dan Gluesenkamp

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

< >

09/24/2008 08:51 AM

cc

Please respond to

bcc

Subject Restore Sharp Park

61

I understand the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is weighing the future of the City's municipally owned golf courses, including the financially and ecologically mismanaged Sharp Park Golf Course. I urge the City and County of San Francisco to restore Sharp Park as a coastal lagoon and wetland habitat for endangered species.

Sharp Park Golf Course has a long history of environmental problems because of its poor design and unfortunate placement on a coastal lagoon. The course has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since opening, and the Department has created new and significant environmental impacts. The current operation of the golf course harms the wetland habitat and causes illegal take of two federally listed species, the California red-legged frog and the San Francisco garter snake.

Restoration of this area to a natural state is the best option for Sharp Park. Restoration will provide access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities sorely needed in San Mateo County. Restoration will also ensure the continued existence and abundance of endangered species at Sharp Park.

Ecological restoration is also the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park and dealing with flood management issues at the site. Compared to the costs of implementing capital improvements necessary to maintain the golf course combined with the high potential for massive civil penalties for harming endangered species, restoration alternatives seem to be the most fiscally prudent method for retaining recreational uses of the area.

Please fully consider restoration alternatives at Sharp Park before any long-term decisions about the future of the area are made.

Dan Gluesenkamp

< >

< >

< >

61



Jeff Miller  
<jmiller@biologicaldiversity.org>

09/24/2008 09:02 AM

'Aaron Peskin' <Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org>, 'Bevan Duffy'  
<Bevan.Duffy@sfgov.org>, 'Boris Delepine'  
<boris.delepine@sfgov.org>, 'Carmen Chu'

cc  
bcc

Subject City of San Francisco Warned of Lawsuit over Killing  
Endangered Species at Sharp Park\*Golf Course in Pacifica

10/7

[www.restoresharppark.org](http://www.restoresharppark.org)

San Francisco Chronicle 9-24-08  
Rare Frog, Snake at Center of Golf Dispute

62

For Immediate Release, September 24, 2008

Contact: Jeff Miller, Center for Biological Diversity, (510) 499-9185

**City of San Francisco Warned of Lawsuit over Killing Endangered Species at Sharp Park Golf Course in Pacifica**

*Conservation Groups Urge Restoration of Coastal Wetlands at Park to Protect San Francisco Garter Snake, California Red-Legged Frog*

San Francisco — The Center for Biological Diversity today filed a 60-day notice of intent to sue the City and County of San Francisco for illegally killing and harming two endangered species at Sharp Park Golf Course in Pacifica, in violation of the federal Endangered Species Act. Activities at the golf course have been killing federally protected California red-legged frogs, and recent studies show that ongoing course operations may be threatening endangered San Francisco garter snakes.

"The time is right to restore Sharp Park to its natural condition," said Jeff Miller, a conservation advocate with the Center for Biological Diversity. "San Francisco has a golden opportunity to save taxpayers' money, preserve our endangered species, and improve recreational access to our coast."

The Center is calling on San Francisco to cease harming endangered species, restore Sharp Park to its natural state as a coastal wetland, and provide more diverse recreational opportunities for the public at the site. The Center opposes a flawed plan released recently by San Francisco's Recreation and Park Department that calls for privatizing the mismanaged and financially failing golf course and illegally reconstructing flooded portions of the course at the expense of endangered species.

The operation and mismanagement of the golf course is undermining habitat-restoration work within the nearby Golden Gate National Recreation Area for the garter snake and the frog at adjacent Mori Point. In 2005, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service notified the Recreation and Park Department that golf course operations were illegally "taking" threatened California red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora draytonii*) by draining and pumping the frog's aquatic habitats, which strands and desiccates frog eggs and kills tadpoles. New evidence has surfaced that extremely rare San Francisco garter snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*) have been killed by groundskeepers mowing grass in areas that the snake uses for basking.

Sharp Park Golf Course is owned by the City and County of San Francisco but is located to the south of the city on the coast, in Pacifica. Its ongoing environmental problems are largely due to poor design and unfortunate placement. To create the course in the early 1930s, the Recreation and Park Department dredged and filled areas around a lagoon known as Laguna Salada for 14 months. Not surprisingly, Sharp

67

Park has had problems with flooding and drainage ever since. The course's ceremonial opening day was delayed twice due to wet playing conditions, major coastal floods have on two occasions destroyed several holes, and normal winter rains flood the course nearly every year.

"Sharp Park is built over lagoon wetlands and will always lack proper natural drainage and require extravagant effort to maintain," said Peter Baye, a coastal ecologist who prepared lagoon-wetland restoration plans for California State Parks and the National Recreation Area. "Maintaining a drowning golf course as sea levels rise is a futile investment. Restoring flood outlets and expanding marsh areas would improve endangered species habitat and increase flood-control options for adjacent landowners."

San Francisco is reviewing all of its municipally owned golf courses to map out their future use. The Center for Biological Diversity has proposed restoring Sharp Park to a natural state and providing access to hiking trails, picnicking spots, camping facilities and educational opportunities – all of which are sorely needed in San Mateo County.

The Recreation and Park Department, on the other hand, in August released a fatally flawed consultant's report that advocates privatizing San Francisco's public golf courses and reconstructing Sharp Park as an "elite" golf course with all 18 holes west of Highway 1. The plan's recommendations would destroy and fragment much of the snake and frog habitat on the site. It would make flood problems significantly worse, create legal liability to San Francisco for flood damage to adjacent properties, and involve time-consuming permit processes for development that will never be allowed by state and federal regulatory agencies.

"This habitat destruction plan is a non-starter, and if pursued further by the Recreation and Park Department will result in a costly lawsuit for San Francisco," Miller said. "It is untenable on economic, flood management, and ecological grounds and imposes huge financial and legal risks to the City and County of San Francisco and its taxpayers."

"Sharp Park is a critical link in the chain of endangered species habitats on the central coast," said Peter Brastow, director of Nature in the City, a local conservation group. "A restored Sharp Park ecosystem would seamlessly integrate into the surrounding National Park landscape and could be showcased as a natural area where children and adults can connect with wild nature in their own backyard."

The Center for Biological Diversity, Sierra Club San Francisco Bay and Loma Prieta chapters, San Francisco League of Conservation Voters, Nature In The City, and Golden Gate Audubon Society all have called on San Francisco to consider restoration of coastal wetlands and endangered species habitat at Sharp Park.

More information about Sharp Park is on the Center for Biological Diversity Web site at: [http://www.biologicaldiversity.org/campaigns/restoring\\_sharp\\_park\\_california/index.html](http://www.biologicaldiversity.org/campaigns/restoring_sharp_park_california/index.html)

The San Francisco Recreation and Park Department's Golf Course Task Force will meet at 6 p.m. next Monday, September 29<sup>th</sup>, at San Francisco City Hall, Room 278, to discuss the consultant's report and the future of the Sharp Park Golf Course.

*The Center for Biological Diversity is a national nonprofit conservation organization with more than 180,000 members and online activists dedicated to the protection of endangered species and wild places.*

###

### Background on Endangered Species

The San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*) has been dubbed "the most beautiful serpent in North America." The fantastically colored snake has a broad greenish-yellow stripe on its back bordered by black and red stripes, and a distinctive greenish-blue or bright turquoise-blue belly. Adults can grow to a length of two to three feet. All known populations of this snake occur in San Mateo County near freshwater marshes, ponds, canals, and slow-moving streams along the coast. The snake's preferred





habitats have been hit hard by agricultural, residential, commercial, and even recreational development. Loss of habitat because of development caused the garter snake to be listed as a federally endangered species in 1967 and a state endangered species in 1971. It feeds on the California red-legged frog and the Pacific tree frog and hibernates in winter. There may be only one to two thousand San Francisco garter snakes remaining in the wild today. One of the last places to see this gorgeous species is at Mori Point in the GGNRA and the adjacent Sharp Park Golf Course.

The state's largest native frog, the California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*) has disappeared from more than 70 percent of its historic range in California. This frog prefers ponds, marshes and creeks with still water. It requires riparian and upland areas with dense vegetation and open areas for cover, aestivation (summertime hibernation), food and basking. Habitat loss to urban development and the effects of introduced predators, such as bullfrogs, are the primary threats to red-legged frogs. It was listed as a federally threatened species in 1996.

### Sharp Park Financial Drain

Sharp Park's environmental problems are compounded by the fiscal drain the golf course puts on San Francisco's budget. The Recreation and Parks Department estimates indicate that Sharp Park will drain between \$100,000 and \$4,000,000 dollars from San Francisco's coffers between now and 2012. Unfortunately, the lower-loss estimates are achievable only if the City privatizes management and pays employees "lower union wages" - the RPD's sorry euphemism for busting the gardener's union; and convinces a private corporation to invest millions of dollars in capital improvements in the course, presumably by granting the corporation a long-term lease of the property.


This golf bailout plan has its detractors. Harvey Rose, San Francisco's independent budget analyst, has noted that golf rounds played at Sharp Park have declined by almost 40 percent since 2000, and that without massive infrastructure investments Sharp Park cannot increase revenue to the city budget. If fees are raised to pay for the investments, it is very likely that rounds played at Sharp Park will decrease - the primary reason golfers play there today is that course fees are low. The National Golf Foundation - a golf industry group - reported in 2007 that Sharp Park golfers have "very little loyalty" to the course relative to national averages and are "very unsatisfied" with everything from pace of play, on-course services, conditions of golf carts and friendliness of the staff. Any plan to maintain the golf course by squeezing more revenue through "improved" course conditions will not only harm endangered species, but may also bring San Francisco's recreation budget closer to permanent insolvency.

Habitat restoration may prove to be the most fiscally responsible method of managing Sharp Park. While capital investments will be necessary, existing funding sources from private foundations to government agencies that specialize in restoring wetlands have already expressed interest in funding the effort, similar to efforts undertaken at Crissy Field Marsh in the Presidio. The annual operating costs of the restored area would be substantially lower than what San Francisco presently spends on golf course operations.

\*\*\*\*\*

Jeff Miller  
Conservation Advocate  
Center for Biological Diversity  
351 California Street, Suite 600  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Phone: (415) 436-9682 x303  
Fax: (415) 436-9683  
Web site: [www.biologicaldiversity.org](http://www.biologicaldiversity.org)

*The Center for Biological Diversity works through science, law, and media to secure a future for all species, great or small, hovering on the brink of extinction.*



09/27/2008 02:16 PM

To <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Public input for Board of Supervisors

63

Submitted on: 9/27/2008 2:16:21 PM

name: julie

phone:

comments: After traveling the world, San Francisco is still my favorite. The beauty that it has is like no other, but the endless panhandlers have got out of control. You have to either walk around someone passed out, urinating or even defecating on the sidewalk. Where is our city going?? In the toilet? How did we get to this point where it is so prominent and well known all over the world that we have let our city sidewalks become filled with human waste.

Just read todays article and the comments that locals have. I strongly urge you to clean up the streets!!

<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/09/26/MN7U1365I2.DTL&sp=1>

---  
User Data

Client IP (REMOTE\_ADDR) : 171.64.191.29  
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP\_X\_FORWARDED\_FOR) :

63

10/7

080818

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 SEP 26 AM 11:21

September 24, 2008

BY SW

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

64

Sirs:

It was with shock and sadness that I learned of the beautiful Tatiana's needless and tragic death last Christmas day.

I think the Board needs to change..... it is obvious that expertise (if it exists), compassion (if there is any) and especially the welfare of the animals should be the major priority but it is clear it is not.


It is more than time to evaluate the practices of your zoo and what exactly is its purpose .....why should it exist anyway?? Torture and torment of the animals in its care?

I think a re-vamping of the management of the San Francisco Zoo is long overdue.....you need to replace the humdrum old way of thinking with the people who are vital and progressive and professionals who actually care about the animals and transform the zoo into one where the animals.....all the animals.....come first.....COME FIRST!! Out with the stodgy in with the new.....

The zoo's mission (is there one now?) should be rescue and rehabilitation of the animals and that should be your main and only mission....not the one you are conducting which is animals for entertainment and exploitation.....shame on you.....it is 2008 not 1808.....!

The San Francisco Zoo is considered one of the worst zoos of all the major zoos.....nice title, eh.....

...to the new Board.....  
...for the animals.....

  
florrie goldman

64

10/2

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 25 PM 3:43  
BY SJO

9/25/08

To: Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Fax: (415) 554-5163  
3 pages

65

From: MEG Gale  
SFPUC  
Collection System Division  
(415) 695-7378

FYI - waiver form and  
justification letter for  
Stericycle

65



**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION**

**S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B**

**WAIVER REQUEST FORM  
(HRC Form 201)**

**FOR HRC USE ONLY**

Request Number:

**Section 1. Department Information**

Department Head Signature: [Signature]

Name of Department: Lewis Harrison, Collection System Division Man. (Act)

Department Address: 3801 3rd St, Ste 600  
San Francisco, CA 94124

Contact Person: Meg Gale

Phone Number: (415) 695-7378

Fax Number: (415) 695-7371

**Section 2. Contractor Information**

Contractor Name: Stericycle

Contact Person: Mary Obot // Andy Heald

Contractor Address: 1366 Doolittle Dr  
San Leandro, CA 94577

(510) 418-2543 // (847) 943-

Vendor Number (if known): 34111

Contact Phone No.: 65978

**Section 3. Transaction Information**

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 09/19/08 Type of Contract: PO

Contract Start Date: 10/6/08 End Date: 10/17/08 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 3360.64  
~~2350.55~~

**Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)**

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

**Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)**

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

**HRC ACTION**

12B Waiver Granted: \_\_\_\_\_  
12B Waiver Denied: \_\_\_\_\_

14B Waiver Granted: \_\_\_\_\_  
14B Waiver Denied: \_\_\_\_\_

Reason for Action: \_\_\_\_\_

HRC Staff: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

HRC Staff: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

HRC Director: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.**

Date Waiver Granted: \_\_\_\_\_ Contract Dollar Amount: \_\_\_\_\_



## SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE/COLLECTION SYSTEM DIVISION  
3801 THIRD STREET, SUITE 800, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94124 • TEL. (415) 695-7310 • FAX (415) 695-7308



### MEMORANDUM

GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

ANN MOLLER CAEN  
PRESIDENT

F.X. CROWLEY  
VICE PRESIDENT

FRANCESCA VIOTOR  
COMMISSIONER

ED HARRINGTON  
GENERAL MANAGER

DATE: September 24, 2008  
TO: Cynthia Goldstein, HRC  
THROUGH: Lewis Harrison, Collections System Division Manager, Acting  
FROM: Meg Galc, Water Pollution Prevention Program Coordinator  
SUBJECT: Waiver for Stericycle

Dear Ms. Goldstein:

I have been working diligently with Hadas Rivera-Weiss of HRC to help Stericycle, a medical waste disposal company, to comply with the City's 12B requirements but to no avail. The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) is hosting a one-day eco-fair event, the Big Blue Bucket event on Saturday, October 11, 2008. The event includes a booth in which San Francisco residents can bring in their old or expired medications for proper disposal. SFPUC is required to dispose of this pharmaceutical waste properly and needs to partner with Stericycle to make this happen. We are requesting that HRC waive the 12B requirements for Stericycle so that SFPUC can ensure its commitment to the SF public and to make this event a success.

There is a state-wide effort, "No Drugs Down the Drain," in which different agencies throughout California are participating in the collection and proper disposal of old or expired medications during the week of October 4-11, 2008. SFPUC is participating by including a booth at their Big Blue Bucket event on October 11, 2008 at the City's Southeast Treatment Plant (750 Phelps Street). Other Bay Area wastewater agencies are also participating. SFPUC has and is continuing to advertise this event throughout San Francisco with Muni bus ads, newspaper advertisements, radio PSAs, SFPUC billing inserts, among other avenues. Thus, SF residents who participate in this event will be expecting to be able to bring their old/expired medications to the event for proper disposal.


I have been working with Hadas Rivera-Weiss on this issue since before September 9, 2008 with corresponding emails and phone conversations. Both Ms. Rivera-Weiss and I have contacted the proper representatives from Stericycle and have not received the proper documentation by the intended deadline.

I have exhausted all other potential vendors. I have asked other participating Bay Area wastewater agencies for recommendations for other vendors, and was told North State Environmental of South San Francisco, CA. After investigation, I found out that North State Environmental is also not compliant with the City's 12B requirements. No other potential vendors were found.

This is an urgent request as the event is upcoming on Saturday, October 11, 2008. It is best if I can submit our order to Stericycle by Friday, September 26, 2008, to ensure that the order is properly processed and that the disposal containers are dropped off prior to the event.

Thank you so much for your attention to this urgent matter. Please contact me at (415) 695-7378 with any questions.

Thank you,



Meg Gale  
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission  
Water Pollution Prevention Program  
3801 3rd Street, Ste 600  
San Francisco, CA 94124  
(415) 695-7378  
(415) 695-7377 (fax)  
[mgale@sfwater.org](mailto:mgale@sfwater.org)

Sep 22 08 10:35a


Land & ResourcesMgt.

650-652-3219

P. 1

Public Utilities Commission  
San Francisco Water Department  
Natural Resources Division

Date: 9/22/08



1657 Rollins Road  
Burlingame, CA 94010

Tel: (650) 652-3209  
Fax: (650) 652-3219

**FAX-MITTAL**

To : Angela Calillo  
Company : Board of Supervisors  
FAX No. : (415) 554-5163  
Tel. No. : \_\_\_\_\_  
From : Gloria Ng

2008 SEP 22 AM 11:08

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

Subject: Waiver Request from Total # of pages (including cover page): 3

Message: \_\_\_\_\_  
For your information  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



Sep 22 08 10:35a  
SEP-22-2008 11:01

Land & Resources Mgt.  
PUBLIC UTILITIES

650-652-3219

P. 2

P.02/02



### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

#### S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (HRC Form 201)

➤ **Section 1. Department Information**

Department Head Signature: [Signature]  
Name of Department: San Francisco Public Utilities Commission-N&LRD  
Department Address: 1657 Rollins Road, Burlingame, CA 94010  
Contact Person: Joseph Naras  
Phone Number: 650-652-3209 Fax Number: 650-652-3219

FOR HRC USE ONLY	
Request Number: <u>00</u>	2008 SEP 22 AM 11:01 SW Contact Person: Tony Rodriguez

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

➤ **Section 2. Contractor Information**

Contractor Name: Heritage Operating LP dba Northern Energy  
Contractor Address: 1155 North 15<sup>th</sup> Street, San Jose, CA 94112  
Vendor Number (if known): 13552 Contact Phone No.: 408-293-8912

➤ **Section 3. Transaction Information**

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 08/28/08 Type of Contract: Commodity  
Contract Start Date: 08/25/08 End Date: 08/25/2009 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$40,000.00

➤ **Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)**

- Chapter 12B
- Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

➤ **Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification must be attached, see Check List on back of page.)**

- A. Sole Source
- B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §§6.60 or 21.15)
- C. Public Entity
- D. No Potential Contractors Comply - Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement - Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- F. Sham/Shell Entity - Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
- G. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million, see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)
- H. Subcontracting Goals

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____
<b>DEPARTMENT ACTION - This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E &amp; F.</b>	
Date Waiver Granted: _____	Contract Dollar Amount: _____

Sep 22 08 10:36a

Land &amp; Resources Mgt.


650-652-3219

p. 3

SEP-22-2008 11:01

PUBLIC UTILITIES

P.01/02

**SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

1155 Market St., 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103 • Tel. (415) 554-3155 • Fax (415) 554-3181 • TTY (415) 554-3488



DATE: September 3, 2008

TO: Cynthia Goldstein

FROM: Joseph P. Naras *J. Naras*

SUBJECT: JUSTIFICATION FOR WAIVER REQUEST FOR NORTHERN ENERGY, SUPPLIER OF PROPANE

GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYORANN MOLLER CAEN  
PRESIDENT

F.X. CROWLEY

ED HARRINGTON  
GENERAL MANAGER

This waiver request is for made for HERITATGE OPERATING LP dba NORTHERN ENERGY, vendor No. 13552. This vendor supplies the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Natural Resources Division with delivery of liquid propane and rental of propane storage tanks at multiple SFPUC facilities throughout its service area including San Mateo County, Santa Clara County, and Alameda County.

The SFPUC has regularly purchased propane in the past from a citywide contract. Unfortunately the contract has expired in January 2008 and the vendor has been classified non-compliant with the 12b ordinance. Evidently attempts to have the vendor become compliant have failed and the only other vendor or this commodity in the service area is also not compliant. No other vendors for delivery of liquid propane and tank rental to this service area could be identified.

Given the importance of having a continuous supply of propane delivered to the numerous SFPUC facilities in the service area, it is requested that a waiver request be approved for this vendor to continue to deliver propane and tank rental services to the SFPUC facilities. The waiver type requested is Type D. "No Potential Contractors Comply".

Your approval of this request would be appreciated.



Back to Story - Help



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 25 AM 10:39  
BY [signature]

# Italian pet owners face fines on dog mess tests

Tue Sep 23, 12:22 PM ET

Dog excrement used to be merely a nuisance to pedestrians, now it could be used as evidence against pet owners and may lead to fines.

A town in northern Italy plans to create a DNA database of all registered dogs and then test droppings left on pavements and in parks to identify the culprit and fine owners who fail to clean up after their pets, La Stampa daily reported Tuesday.

"If signs and invitations aren't enough, we'll try genetics. I want a clean city," Antonio Prencipe, councilor in charge of the environment in Vercelli, a town of some 45,000 inhabitants near Turin, told the paper.

DNA tests would cost around 13 euros (\$19), which would be more than covered by fines, La Stampa said. It did not estimate the cost of creating a database.

The Israeli town of Petah Tikva launched a similar six-month trial program earlier this month, under which dog owners were asked to take their animals to a municipal veterinarian, who then swabs its mouth and collects DNA.

(Reporting by Robin Pomeroy)

Copyright © 2008 Reuters Limited. All rights reserved. Republication or redistribution of Reuters content is expressly prohibited without the prior written consent of Reuters. Reuters shall not be liable for any errors or delays in the content, for any actions taken in reliance thereon.

Copyright © 2008 Yahoo! Inc. All Rights Reserved. Privacy Policy | Terms of Service | Send Feedback | Help

Dear Super:

Since you always looking for innovative ways to tax your residents, this might be a new source of revenue. Since people like Chris Daly are experts on dog messes, he should take the lead. This would also give Karmela Harris new investigative tools so that she might raise the conviction rate.

Joseph Lane



10/7

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

---

---

**MEMORANDUM**

---

---

Date: September 23, 2008  
To: Members of the Board of Supervisors  
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Subject: Conflict of Interest Code Review

67

---

The Political Reform Act requires every local government agency to review its conflict of interest code biennially. Each agency must submit to the Board of Supervisors a notice indicating whether or not an amendment is necessary by October 1, 2008.

This is to inform you that the following City departments and agencies have submitted their conflict of interest code notice to my office:

- War Memorial and Performing Arts Center

67

15/8

September 26, 2008

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, President  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

68

BY  
C. Bos

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 26 PM 4:41

**Subject:** Hearing on appeal of Planning Commission August 7, 2007 Certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for The Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans Project, Planning Case No. 2004.0160E, and adoption of Environmental Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations --Motion Nos. 17659 and 17661, respectively;

**Request:** Reopen hearing on appeal of subject FEIR before the full membership of the Board for action pursuant to requirements of San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31 Section 31.16.

BOS Files 081133 - 081136

Dear President Peskin and Supervisors:

San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31, Section 31.16. APPEAL OF FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTS. requires, at Subsections (b) and (e) thereof, that such hearing on an appeal submitted shall be held "before the full Board," [(b)] "The Board shall act...provided that if the full membership of the Board is not present on the last day on which said appeal is set or continued for hearing within such 30 days, the Board may postpone said hearing and decision thereon until, but not later than, the full membership of the Board is present; provided further, that the latest date to which said hearing and decision may be postponed shall be not later than ninety (90) days from the date of filing the appeal." [(e)]

I request that the matter be reopened and rescheduled to a date when the full membership of the Board is present, pursuant to requirements of the above-cited Sections of Chapter 31.

Supervisors McGoldrick, Dufty and Daly were absent during said September 23 hearing and action on subject matter.

Environmental matters are of utmost importance to the welfare of our City and its citizens. The intent of CEQA and Chapter 31 is insurance that appropriate, adequate, and proper attention be given to same, consistent with established standards and procedures.

Respectfully,

*Marilyn Amini*


Marilyn Amini

cc. Angela Calvillo,  
Clerk of the Board

15/8

10/7

# Coalition for San Francisco



www.csfm.net • PO Box 320098 • San Francisco CA 94132-0098 • 415.262.0440 • Est 1972

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 SEP 23 PM 4: 21

BY  
CIN

081152  
081153  
081154  
081155

C: Box

69

September 23, 2008

The Honorable Aaron Peskin, President  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, Ca 94102-4689

Dear President Aaron Peskin:

**RE: FEIR for the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans**

The Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods urges the Board of Supervisors to not certify the FEIR for the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans because it is inadequate, incomplete, inaccurate, and insufficient. The EIR violates CEQA by failing to identify and mitigate the Project's significant impacts direct and indirect, cumulative impacts on parking, traffic, air quality, historic resources, visual and aesthetic resources, open space, growth, economic segregation, incentive for demolition which will result in cumulative and direct impacts on displacement and blight, impacts on infrastructure, sewage disposal, water, seismic impacts, and others. Several of many examples of the EIR's legal inadequacies are:

1. The historic resource survey has not been completed by the consultants and will not be available to the Planning Department until the end of October or early November. The Planning Department states that in the Mission Area alone there are thousands of properties and that the contract with the consultants will only access 30% of the potentially historic stock in the area, this is unacceptable. The Planning Department will not complete their report on the historic resources until the end of the year or early next year. There may be significant historic resources uncovered and significant changes in zoning will be required. The certification of FEIR of the Eastern Neighborhood Rezoning and Area Plan should not be done until the Planning Department has completed their evaluation and provided a copy to the public.


69

- President**  
Gary Noguera 469-8899
- 1st Vice President**  
Mark Sherman 225-0157
- 2nd Vice President**  
Penelope Clark 776-3876
- Recording Secretary**  
Judith Berkowitz 824-0617
- Corresponding Secretary**  
Dick Millett 861-0345
- Treasurer**  
Jim Lew 771-5250
- Members-at-Large**  
Sue Cauthen  
Joan Girardot  
Angelique Mahan
- 
- Barbary Coast Neighborhood Assn
  - Bayview/Hunters Point Coordinating Council
  - Buena Vista Neighborhood Assn
  - Cathedral Hill Neighbors Assn
  - Cayuga Improvement Assn
  - Cole Valley Improvement Assn
  - Cow Hollow Assn
  - Diamond Heights Community Assn
  - Dolores Heights Improvement Club
  - East Mission Improvement Assn
  - Ewing Terrace Neighborhood Assn
  - Excelsior District Improvement Assn
  - Fair Oaks Community Coalition
  - Forest Knolls Neighborhood Assn
  - Francisco Heights Civic Assn
  - Golden Gate Heights Nghbrd Assn
  - Greater West Portal Nghbrd Assn
  - Height Ashbury Improvement Assn
  - Inner Sunset Action Committee
  - Jordan Park Improvement Assn
  - Laurel Heights Improvement Assn
  - Lincoln Park Homeowners Assn
  - Marina Civic Improvement & Property Owners Assn
  - Miraloma Park Improvement Club
  - Mission Creek Harbor Assn
  - New Mission Terrace Improvement Assn
  - North Beach Neighbors
  - North Park Neighbors
  - Oceanview, Merced Heights, Ingleside — Neighbors in Action
  - Outer Mission Residents Assn
  - Pacific Heights Residents Assn
  - Panhandle Residents Organization/ Stanyan-Fulton
  - Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Assn
  - Richmond Community Assn
  - Rincon Point Neighborhood Assn
  - Russian Hill Improvement Assn
  - Russian Hill Neighbors
  - Sunset Heights Assn of Responsible People
  - Sunset-Parkside Education & Action Committee
  - Telegraph Hill Dwellers
  - Twin Peaks Council & Open Space Conservancy
  - Twin Peaks Improvement Assn
  - West Presidio Neighborhood Assn

2. The transportation and circulation elements are inadequate and do not correlate with each other. The Planning Department states that the current Muni service in the area is inadequate and that in the future it will be even worse. The Planning Department needs a plan that will address the problem in the future or not allow significant growth that will compound the problem. The cumulative impact on traffic and transit of the Market Octavia Area Plan and Eastern Neighborhood Area Plan has not been analyzed as required by CEQA (sect. 15355). Muni service in Market Octavia today hours is inadequate during the commute hours, with an increase of population of 15,000 by 2025, Muni service will be completely inadequate. The Eastern Neighborhood Zoning and Area Plan could increase population by another 8,000 people, how will Muni provide service in the Market Octavia and Eastern Neighborhoods in the future? The residents of San Francisco will want an answer instead of throwing up the hands in surrender.
3. The EIR is also legally inadequate because it does not address the diversity requirements of the households of the city. The 66% of the residents of the City are renters. The housing in the Eastern Neighborhood Rezoning and Area Plan does not address the need for more rentals for the expected 8,000 additional residents in the area. To produce mainly 80% market rate housing, 20% affordable housing, and no rental housing is not a plan for San Francisco.

CSFN urges the Board of Supervisors to not certify the FEIR for the Eastern Neighborhoods Zoning and Area Plan, and take action to remand the FEIR back to the Planning Commission for recirculation.

Yours truly,



Hiroshi Fukuda, Chairman  
CSFN Land Use and Housing Committee

Cc: Supervisor Michela Alioto-Pier  
Supervisor Tom Ammiano  
Supervisor Carmen Chu  
Supervisor Chris Daly  
Supervisor Bevan Dufty  
Supervisor Sean Elsbernd  
Supervisor Sophie Maxwell  
Supervisor Jake McGoldrick  
Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi  
Supervisor Geraldo Sandoval

COPY  
10/7



# United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE  
Golden Gate National Recreation Area  
Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123

IN REPLY REFER TO:

A2427 (GOGA-PLAN)

SEP 26 2008

Mayor Gavin Newsom  
City of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

70

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 29 AM 11:18  
BY SM

Subject: GGNRA Resignation from the City of San Francisco Urban Forestry Council (SFUFC)

This letter is to inform the City of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors, and the Department of the Environment that Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) will resign from the GGNRA seat on the City of San Francisco Urban Forestry Council effective October 1, 2008. As the new federal fiscal year begins, it is no longer a tenable option to support a regular member on the Forestry Council. As you know, we have had a representative on the Council for over 5 years and have participated in seeing this Council through these formative years of evaluating the city's urban forest and defining a role for the Council

At this time of both the break in fiscal years, and the recent reformulation of the committee by the Board of Supervisors, we feel that it is now an opportune time to remove ourselves from the Council in order to focus on other priorities, and allow the Council to continue its activities with this reformulated membership.

If called upon in the future, GGNRA we will be available to provide specific advice and information informing interests of the council related to the interface of National Park Service and City forestry concerns.

We want to thank you for the opportunity to participate on the Council during these important years in San Francisco. It has been our pleasure to serve.

Please contact Steve Griswold at 415-561-4722 if you have further questions.

Sincerely,

Brian O'Neill  
General Superintendent

cc: Board of Supervisors  
Department of the Environment  
Terry Milne, Chair SFUFC

70



Sep 23 2008 1:24PM HP LASERJET FAX

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 23 PM 2:22

Chavez  
(approx. 47 letters)

BY SWS

(2 sets of encls.  
per)

71

Dear Board!

I am 100% percent behind the Community Choice Energy Aggregation. Seeing how very important it is to not only find new energy sources, but to also cut the immensely harmful CO<sub>2</sub> emission amount by the US.

Please believe in a new way.

Sincerely,

Shirley E. Penuela

94133


71

7-26-08

Dear Board of Supervisors -

Please support the commission choice  
Energy Aggregation by ensuring  
CCA has the resources and oversight  
needed to be successful and  
continue SF's tradition of progressive  
policies.

Best,



N. Beach, 94133

Sep 23 2008 1:24PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 3

Please! Support Community Choice  
Energy Organization.

Babara Opliger  
94133, NORTH BEACH

DEAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

PLEASE SUPPORT COMMUNITY CHOICE  
ENERGY ADMINISTRATION.

*Carla*

GAIL HARPER

94133

Sep 23 2008 1:24PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.5

Please support Community Choice Energy  
Debbie Reid  
DEBBIE REID

Sep 23 2008 1:24PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 6

Community Choir for Solar Energy.  
I support this & feel it should have  
been done years ago. Please take  
this to the point of getting it done really  
soon.  
Mari Spind

Sep 23 2008 1:24PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.7

Please support community choice  
energy. Help San Francisco go solar!!

Virginia & Victor Juarez

94110

Sep 23 2008 1:24PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 8

Dear Board:

I work for DISH (Delivering Innovation in Supportive Housing); and would be managing installations of solar PV systems at our six SRO Hotels ~ should this program come to pass. Community Choice Energy Aggregation and DISH would make excellent pilot program partners. We are funded by DPH/DAH.

Yours truly,

Rick Röttinger  
Facilities Administrator  
Project Associate  
DISH (Delivering Innovation in Supportive Housing)



Sep 23 2008 1:25PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p. 9

Support use of solar energy in S.F.  
please!

Resimira Jg  
07869

Sep 23 2008 1:25PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.10

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Please support and  
implement Community Choice  
Energy Aggregation. This  
will create the largest urban  
solar facility in the world in SF.

I am a city resident and  
voter. I will be watching  
how well this program ~~is~~ is  
implemented. And I will  
bare my November vote  
on it.

Greg Jowdy

Sep 23 2008 1:25PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p. 11

d. Support Solar and other alternative energy sources  
for San Francisco and the State of California and  
the rest of the Country & World. Rob Jeffries.

I Support Alternative Energy.  
John C. Grew

Sep 23 2008 1:25PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p. 12

Dear, Mr. Aaron Perkins 07-27-08

I'm writing to you in support  
of implementing Community Choice  
Energy Agreements. Please help us  
get solar in San Francisco. Please  
we need your support also.

Thank You  
Kuneyd Hertz

Board of supervisors could you  
please support solar energy.

- Lindsey 90277

Sep 23 2008 1:25PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p.14

DEAR SIR,

PLEASE ADOPT A SOLAR ENERGY PROGRAM  
FOR SAN FRANCISCO. REDUCE FOSSIL FUEL USAGE  
AND SERIOUSLY CONSIDER SOLAR AND ALTERNATIVE  
ENERGY FOR ALL ASPECTS OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE  
SECTORS.

SINCERELY



CHRIS KELLY  
95490

Sep 23 2008 1:25PM HP LASERJET FAX


p. 15

July 27, 2008

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please implement the Community Choice Energy Aggregation. It's time now for San Francisco to lead the nation in solar enlightenment.

Thank you.

EVAN ELLIOT  
NORTH BEACH  
94133

Sep 23 2008 1:25PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 16

Dear Sirs:

I am very concerned that the City needs to move forward more quickly to develop our solar energy resources. I am a city resident and I want this to happen now.

Jim Davis

San Francisco, CA 94111

JD



Sep 23 2008 1:25PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.17

7/26/08

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident, I strongly value renewable energy and would like to express my support for the community choice Energy Aggregation. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources.

Thank you,  
Sally Murr

San Francisco, CA  
94110

Sep 23 2008 1:26PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.18

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please support solar energy infrastructure in our community  
That would help us meet 21st century needs.

Jon Robles + Janine Sawler

Oakland, CA

94611

Sep 23 2008 1:26PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 19

I support Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation &

Lily Hanyan

Sep 23 2008 1:26PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 20

Please support community choice energy.  
Roger Muntz.

Sep 23 2008 1:26PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p.21

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please support the implementation  
of Community Choice Energy Aggregation.  
As a resident of San Francisco, I would  
like to see our city lead the largest  
urban solar project. Thank you for  
your leadership.

Anne Cabrinha  
Presidio, SF 94129

I think Solar energy is the  
future  
thanks

Peter DINGLE 95052

Sep 23 2008 1:26PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p. 23

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in SF. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional independent oversight.

Thanks,

Lisa Elliot

North Beach,

San Francisco 94133

Sep 23 2008 1:26PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p.24

Please support community choice energy. putting solar panels on public buildings would be beneficial to the city as a whole.

Thank you 😊  
Heather Thomas 07/27/08  
Mayan & ally 1-27-08



Sep 23 2008 1:26PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.25

ATTN: Board of Directors.

Solar Power will increase  
power and decrease pollution.  
I support this initiative

Kembelle JH

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 26

Please show true leadership to San Francisco  
and the US by supporting solar power  
in SF. Let's show the rest of the  
country the way.

Thank you!  
Gather Band

Solar power is going to save us, it is awesome!!!!

*[Handwritten signature]*

DEAR BOARD SUPERVISORS,

I'M WRITING IN HOPE THAT YOU WILL NOT ONLY SUPPORT BUT PROMOTE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY AGGREGATION. THE LARGEST URBAN SOLAR FACILITY IN THE WORLD IS EXACTLY WHAT IS NEEDED DURING THIS ENERGY CRISIS. PLEASE ENSURE CCA AND ITS RESOURCES.

THANKS!  
JESSICA ROBERTS

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 29

Solar technology is far more useful &  
non-polluting - most powerful form - &  
it is free - except for the solar panels!  
Suzanna J. Hatch

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

---

p.30

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Please support solar power  
in San Francisco.

Diane Miller

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 31

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional independent oversight.

Thank you,

Zorby J. Eura

cc

0

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.32

Debra Netkin

I support community choice energy!

Debra Netkin 94110



Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 33

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in SF — Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources & professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!  
Preeti Nayak  
94114

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.34

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support Community Choice Energy.

Lisa Breihan  
94114

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 35

I support community choice energy.  
Barbara Stein

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 36

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and  
implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create  
the largest urban solar facility in the world right here  
in San Francisco.

Thanks!

Audrey Bergmann

94114

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.37

Dear Brd of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support  
+ implement Community Choice Energy  
Aggregation.

Thanks!

Lindsay Kampmann  
94131

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 38

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing because I am concerned that you are neither meeting deadlines nor honoring your commitment to the Community Choice Energy Aggregation Program. San Francisco has long been a leader in championing progressive causes, and thus this measure is not only important to the affected residents, but to all Americans who look to the example set by San Francisco. Please make it happen, and soon!

Thank you,

Peter ~~Ervinger~~ ~~Ervinger~~  
94526

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM

HP LASERJET FAX

p. 39

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to thank you for your support of the Community Choice Energy Aggregation and to urge you to continue substantively supporting it. We all know how smart and vital a program this is - I'm counting on you!

Warmly yours,

High Edgerton 94114, San Francisco

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p.40

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue supporting the Community Choice Energy Aggregation. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thank you.

Leif Bratten



Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

P. 41

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thank so much,

David Gustaf, 94110

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

---

p. 42

I support community choice energy. ☀️  
You guys are awesome

WOOT!

- 94121

---

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 43

Dear Board of Supervisors

I'm writing to urge you to continue to  
support and implement <community choice energy>  
Aggregation>

Thanks!

Diana May

94114

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX


p. 44

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in S.F.

Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources + has professional independent oversight.

Thanks so much



MARK PERL

94114

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 45

Dear Board of supervisors:

I'm writing to urge you to continue to support and implement Community Choice Energy Aggregation. This will create the largest urban solar facility in the world right here in San Francisco. Please take leadership to ensure CCA has adequate resources and has professional, independent oversight.

Thanks so much!

Aphie Perl, 94114

Sep 23 2008 1:27PM HP LASERJET FAX

p. 46

Dear Board of supervisors,

I wholeheartedly support the implementation of community choice energy aggregation. Your leadership will be recognized on a national level, so please make our city proud.

Thanks,

Morris Cohen  
94110

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please continue to support & implement  
Community Choice Energy Aggregation. San  
Francisco is America's flagship city for  
innovative energy use - or could be -  
and solar energy is one of our most  
under-used & under-estimated energy sources.  
I strongly encourage you to find ways  
to make use of this resource!

Ruth Kaplan &

Claire Bowen

94131

Stanford University

2008

10/7



"Moore, Grace"  
<Grace.Moore@sfdpw.org>  
09/29/2008 07:29 AM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Mckenna, Dan" <Dan.Mckenna@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis,  
Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank W"  
<Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, "Mirkarimi, Ross"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
#20080805-009

Locations inspected and citations issued where appropriate as follows:

	San Francisco Bay Guardian
	Southeast corner of Fillmore and Post
22-Sep-08	Southwest corner of Divisadero and Sutter
26-Sep-08	9th Avenue and Judah (near bus stops)
26-Sep-08	7th Avenue and Irving (near bus stops)
	Northwest corner of Hayes and Fillmore
22-Sep-08	Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight
	San Francisco Chronicle
	Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
22-Sep-08	Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
26-Sep-08	Irving and 9th Avenue
26-Sep-08	Irving and 7th Avenue
18-Sep-08	Southwest corner of Haight and Clayton
18-Sep-08	Southeast corner of Haight and Masonic
	Examiner
	Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
22-Sep-08	Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
	SF Daily
18-Sep-08	Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough
	City Star
18-Sep-08	Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough
22-Sep-08	Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight
	SF Weekly
18-Sep-08	Southeast corner of Masonic and Haight
22-Sep-08	Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

72

Weekly inspections on-going

Grace L. Moore  
News Rack Program Coordinator  
The Department of Public Works  
News Rack Program

875 Stevenson St., Room 460  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
ph 415.554.5892  
cl 415.254.5767

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2008 2:59 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

72



10/7



"Moore, Grace"  
<Grace.Moore@sfdpw.org>  
09/29/2008 07:27 AM

To "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc "Mckenna, Dan" <Dan.Mckenna@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Mirkarimi, Ross" <Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE #20080722-006

73

Locations inspected and citations issued where appropriate as follows

San Francisco Bay Guardian  
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Post

22-Sep-08 Southwest corner of Divisadero and Sutter  
26-Sep-08 9th Avenue and Judah (near bus stops)  
26-Sep-08 7th Avenue and Irving (near bus stops)

Northwest corner of Hayes and Fillmore  
22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight

San Francisco Chronicle  
Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes

22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore  
26-Sep-08 Irving and 9th Avenue  
26-Sep-08 Irving and 7th Avenue  
18-Sep-08 Southwest corner of Haight and Clayton  
18-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Masonic

Examiner  
Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes  
22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

SF Daily  
18-Sep-08 Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough

City Star  
18-Sep-08 Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough  
22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight

SF Weekly  
18-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Masonic and Haight  
22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

Weekly inspections on-going

Grace L. Moore  
News Rack Program Coordinator  
The Department of Public Works  
News Rack Program  
875 Stevenson St., Room 460  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
ph 415.554.5892  
cl 415.254.5767

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2008 2:59 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

73

10/7



"Moore, Grace"  
<Grace.Moore@sfdpw.org>  
09/29/2008 07:25 AM

To "Lee, Frank W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>, Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc "Rodis, Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Mirkarimi, Ross" <Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>, "Mckenna, Dan" <Dan.Mckenna@sfdpw.org>  
bcc  
Subject BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE #20080812-005

Locations inspected and citations issued where appropriate as follows:

- San Francisco Bay Guardian
- Southeast corner of Fillmore and Post
- 22-Sep-08 Southwest corner of Divisadero and Sutter
- 26-Sep-08 9th Avenue and Judah (near bus stops)
- 26-Sep-08 7th Avenue and Irving (near bus stops)
- Northwest corner of Hayes and Fillmore
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight
- San Francisco Chronicle
- Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
- 26-Sep-08 Irving and 9th Avenue
- 26-Sep-08 Irving and 7th Avenue
- 18-Sep-08 Southwest corner of Haight and Clayton
- 18-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Masonic
- Examiner
- Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
- SF Daily
- 18-Sep-08 Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough
- City Star
- 18-Sep-08 Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight
- SF Weekly
- 18-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Masonic and Haight
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

74

Weekly inspections on-going

Grace L. Moore  
News Rack Program Coordinator  
The Department of Public Works  
News Rack Program  
875 Stevenson St., Room 460  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
ph 415.554.5892  
cl 415.254.5767

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 3:32 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed

74

10/7



"Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>

09/26/2008 03:54 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
cc "Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"  
<Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080916-003

75

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

- Garbage Cans
- Southwest corner of Hayes and Scott SR# 841669 (Abated 9-21-08)
- Northeast corner of Buchanan and Haight SR# 841671 (Abated 9-21-08)
- Northeast corner of Buena Vista West SR# 841674 (Abated 9-21-08)
- On Haight Southside between Masonic and Buena Vista West SR# 839456 (Abated 9-21-08)
- Southwest corner of 5th Avenue and Lincoln SR# 841676 (Abated 9-21-08)

Jonathan Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Act. Supervisor II  
415-695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W  
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 5:07 PM  
To: Vaing, Jonathan  
Cc: Nuru, Mohammed; Hines, Timothy; Rodis, Nathan  
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080916-003

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi. Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,  
Frank

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 4:56 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin

75



"Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>  
>  
09/26/2008 03:43 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"  
cc <Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"  
<Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Jurosek, Marla"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080916-002

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the public property located at the following locations:



- Utility Boxes:
- Northeast corner Linden and Buchanan SR# 841673 (Abated 9-22-08)
  - Southeast corner Linden and Buchanan SR# 841675 (Abated 9-22-08)
  - Southwest corner 9th Avenue and Lincoln SR# 841677 (Abated 9-22-08)
- Bus Shelters:
- Southwest corner of Haight and Baker SR# 841679 (ABATED 9-22-08) Clean,
  - Northeast corner of Baker and Haight SR# 841680 (ABATED 9-22-08)
  - Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight SR# 841681 (ABATED 9-22-08)

Jonathan Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Act. Supervisor II  
415-695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W  
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 5:10 PM  
To: Vaing, Jonathan  
Cc: Nuru, Mohammed; Hines, Timothy; Rodis, Nathan  
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080916-002

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi. Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,  
Frank

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 4:56 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

10/7



"Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>  
>  
09/26/2008 09:46 AM

To: Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
cc "Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"  
<Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
#20080812-003

77

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

- Phone Switch Boxes:
- Southwest corner of Fell near Divisadero SR# 828769 Graffiti Abated  
8-16-08)
- Southeast corner of Broderick and McAllister SR# 839045 Graffiti Abated  
8-16-08)
- Northeast corner of Oak and Broderick SR# 839043 Graffiti Abated  
8-16-08)

Jonathan C. Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Supervisor  
415-695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W  
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 2:51 PM  
To: Vaing, Jonathan  
Cc: Rodis, Nathan; Hines, Timothy  
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE #20080812-003

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi.  
Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and  
me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,  
Frank


-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 3:32 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - DUE NOTICE  
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.  
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

77



"Vaing, Jonathan"  
<Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>  
>  
09/26/2008 09:55 AM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Brown, Vallie" <Vallie.Brown@sfgov.org>, "Galbreath, Rick"  
cc <Rick.Galbreath@sfgov.org>, "Galli, Phil"  
<Phil.Galli@sfdpw.org>, "Jurosek, Marla"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080909-001

78

Here's the status of removing graffiti from the following locations:

- Phone Switch Boxes:
- Southwest corner of Fell near Divisadero SR# 828769 Graffiti Abated  
8-16-08- Again 9-16-08)
- Southeast corner of Broderick and McAllister SR# 839045 Graffiti Abated  
8-16-08) Again 9-16-08)
- Northeast corner of Oak and Broderick SR# 839043 Graffiti Abated  
8-16-08) Again 9-16-08)

Jonathan C. Vaing  
DPW Graffiti Abatement Unit  
Operation Act. Supervisor II  
415-695-2181

-----Original Message-----

From: Lee, Frank W  
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 10:06 AM  
To: Vaing, Jonathan  
Cc: Nuru, Mohammed; Hines, Timothy; Rodis, Nathan  
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080909-001

Jonathan:

Please respond directly to the Board of Supervisors and copy Supe. Mirkarimi. Please use the reference number in your reply title, and copy Nathan Rodis and me because we are tracking these requests.

Thanks,  
Frank

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2008 11:51 AM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

78



"Stringer, Larry"  
<Larry.Stringer@sfdpw.org>  
09/24/2008 02:58 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Mirkarimi, Ross" <Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>, "Nuru,  
cc Mohammed" <Mohammed.Nuru@sfdpw.org>, "Lee, Frank  
W" <Frank.W.Lee@sfdpw.org>  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

79

To All,  
The location at Waller and Fillmore was transmitted to the PUC back in late July for sewer inspection when we received this location the last time. We have temporarily filled the hole last week. We received notice of the PUC findings on 09/22/08. 20 Linear feet of pipe form the catch basin to the manhole is failing causing the sink hole. We will post this location next week for no parking the following 2 weeks after. We will begin the repairs to the pipe within that period of time. Any other questions please feel free to call or email me.  
Thanks

Larry Stringer  
Superintendent  
Bureau of Street and Sewer Repair  
Department of Public Works  
City and County of San Francisco

Ph (415) 695- 2090  
Fax (415) 695- 2097

-----Original Message-----  
From: Lerma, Liz  
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 11:00 AM  
To: Roberts, Kingsley; Stringer, Larry  
Cc: Board of Supervisors  
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

FYI.

Liz Lerma  
Acting Superintendent, Bureau of Urban Forestry  
San Francisco Department of Public Works  
2323 Cesar Chavez Street  
San Francisco, CA 94124 1003  
Direct: (415) 641-2627  
Fax: (415) 641-2666

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors [mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org]  
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 4:00 PM  
To: Lerma, Liz  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

79

For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Liz Lerma  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 9/12/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080909-002  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 10/11/2008:

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 9/9/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting the Department of Public Works to report on the status of repairing potholes at the following locations:

Potholes

- o Sinkhole on Waller and Fillmore (on the Westside of Waller Street near crosswalk) This has been fix two times and the problem is getting worse

- o 484 Waller

Garbage Cans

- o Northeast corner Grove Buchanan
- o Northeast corner Scott and Waller
- o Northeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
- o Northeast corner of Haight and Buchanan

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 10/11/2008



10/7



"Ivan E Pratt"

m>

09/23/2008 05:19 AM

"Altieri Limacher Schnell"

To <19therese48@sanbrunocable.com>, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, "Edward Evans"

cc

bcc

Subject Why Support the YMCA?


80

### YMCA Supports the Community

#### A General Word to the Wise in the General Community,

The YMCA Charles Hughes has just extended an invitation to attend Tuesday, September 23, 2008, 6:30 PM, at the YMCA in the Tenderloin. I explained to him in my original message that I did not want to attend this dinner if I could not contribute to YMCA's fund raiser, but that I would make my financial contribution to raising subsidies money to support the disenfranchised and fixed income folk at the YMCA at a later date, and to please send me an address where these future moneys could be sent. Mr. Hughes replied to my message by telling by his insistence that I attend this dinner anyhow, and that any contributions where gladly accepted at a later date.

For the folk who may not know this, or maybe even care, the YMCA support so many programs that help people who in truth could not afford to attend a gym, or people living with HIV/AIDS, or children who cannot aford the cost of going to summer camp. I've seen people in the gym, the place I love the most about the YMCA, with physical therapist in wheel chairs showing how they can create a healthy alternative to they're live by way of exercise. There are people of with YMCA membership from all walks of life and religions, and prefered cultural social psychologies. I myself have a membership, and live with HIV/AIDS and a bipolar condition. For people with my medical conditions, a gym is not only for physical esthetics in body appearances, but for definite and needed health practices that can be performed in the YMCA gym at a very fair membership price - I could never afford to attend our more fancy gyms with high membership prices, and even more questionable services to they're clients for the high cost. YMCA is a very complete program at a very reasonable membership cost. It is largely community based, that means everyone attending the YMCA contributes to its existence to further support the community at large - this is a very healthy ethic to contribute to in any way you can, but above all, become a member of the YMCA and contribute to that community ethic of community self sufficiency - The YMCA Ethic.

Thankyou for Your Time and Considerations,  
IVAN EDGAR PRATT,   
September 23, 2008  
NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO, <http://www.sgi-usa.org>

80

[CHughes@ymcasf.org](mailto:CHughes@ymcasf.org)>

To: "IVAN E PRATT" \_\_\_\_\_

Date: Mon, 22 Sep 2008 23:03:30 -0700

Subject: RE: Enjoy Your Dinner

Hi Ivan,

Please come to the dinner to kickoff our annual YMCA Strong Communities Campaign. There is no need to donate to attend the dinner, if you'd like to donate at a later date that is fine.

Thanks and I look forward to seeing you on Tuesday evening.

Mick Hughes, Associate Executive Director  
Shih Yu-Lang Central YMCA  
220 Golden Gate Ave.  
San Francisco, CA. 94102  
(415) 447-2502 (Mick's direct line)  
(415) 885-0460 (YMCA Main line)

We build strong kids, strong families, strong communities

-----Original Message-----

From: IVAN E PRATT [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

Sent: Mon 9/22/2008 8:56 PM

To: Charles (Mick) Hughes

Subject: Enjoy Your Dinner

[Chughes@ymcasf.org](mailto:Chughes@ymcasf.org) ,

I'm a member of Central YMCA, and would love to go to the dinner at YMCA, but my money is not going to be right until October 1, and I don't want to go to fund raiser dinner without contributing something for the cause of subsidies program of \$180,000 . However, if you give me an address I will contribute something in the mail. It won't be much, I live on a fix income, but every dollar counts. Enjoy your dinner and don't forget to send me some information that I can send my contribution to YMCA.

THANKYOU,

IVAN EDGAR PRATT, \_\_\_\_\_

September 22, 2008

NAM MYOHO RENGE KYO, <http://www.sgi-usa.org>

---

## YMCA TENDERLOIN ACTIVITY

03

10/7

**COMMISSIONERS**  
Richard Rogers, President  
Carpinteria  
Cindy Gustafson, Vice President  
Tahoe City  
Jim Kellogg, Member  
Concord  
Michael Sutton, Member  
Monterey  
Daniel W. Richards, Member  
Upland

**ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER**



Governor

**JOHN CARLSON, JR.**  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
1416 Ninth Street  
Box 944209  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090  
(916) 653-4899  
(916) 653-5040 Fax  
fgc@fgc.ca.gov

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
**Fish and Game Commission**

81  
RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 23 AM 11:06  
BY SVD

September 24, 2008

TO ALL AFFECTED AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

This is to provide you with a copy of the notice of proposed regulatory action relative to sections 27.20, 27.25, 27.30, 27.32, 27.35, 27.40, 27.45, 27.50, 27.51, 28.26, 28.27, 28.28, 28.29, 28.48, 28.49, 28.51, 28.52, 28.53, 28.54, 28.55, 28.56, 28.57 and 28.58, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to recreational fishing regulations for federal groundfish and associated species for 2009 and 2010, which will be published in the California Regulatory Notice Register on September 26, 2008.

Please note the dates of the public hearings related to this matter and associated deadlines for receipt of written comments.

**Ms. Marija Vojkovich, Regional Manager, Marine Region, Department of Fish and Game, phone (805) 568-1246, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.**

Sincerely,

Sherrie Fonbuena  
Associate Governmental Program Analyst

Attachment

81

**TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission**  
**Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 202, 205, 210, 215, 220, 702, 7071 and 8587.1 of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 200, 202, 205, 206, 210, 215, 220, 1802, 7071, 8585.5, and 8586 of the Fish and Game Code; 50 CFR Part 660, Subpart G; 50 CFR 660.384, and Title 14 CCR 27.20, proposes to amend sections 27.20, 27.25, 27.30, 27.35, 27.40, 27.45, 27.50, 27.51, 28.26, 28.27, 28.28, 28.29, 28.48, 28.49, 28.51, 28.52, 28.53, 28.54, 28.55, 28.56, 28.57, and 28.58; and add Section 27.32, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to recreational fishing regulations for federal groundfish and associated species for 2009 and 2010.

**Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview**

Under California law, the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) adopts regulations for recreational fishing in state waters zero to three miles from shore. The Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council), consisting of representatives from west coast states, recommends management measures for fisheries operating in federal waters 3 to 200 miles from shore. These management measures are established as federal regulations by NOAA Fisheries Service. For consistency, ease of enforcement, and to avoid confusion on the part of recreational fishermen, the Commission routinely adopts regulations to bring state law into conformance with federal rules for groundfish and other federally-managed species. The proposed changes described in this action would make the requisite changes for the 2009 and 2010 seasons.

There are 90 species of groundfish that occur in state and federal waters off the coast of California, including several species of rockfish, lingcod, cabezon, kelp greenling, California scorpionfish, some flatfish species, and some shark species. These "federal groundfish" species are managed by the Council under the Pacific Coast Federal Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (GFMP) (Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries and Conservation Act 16 U.S.C Section 1851 et seq.).

California sheephead, ocean whitefish and all greenlings of the genus *Hexagrammos* are species that occur almost exclusively within state waters in California and are managed by the Department of Fish and Game (Department). These state-managed species, otherwise identified as "associated species" are known to be caught with federal groundfish species, and thus are regulated in conjunction with federal groundfish.

At its June meeting, the Council adopted new optimum yields for some federal groundfish species along with harvest guidelines for the different fishery sectors for 2009 and 2010. Management measures (e.g., seasons, depth constraints, bag limits) were also decided by the Council to keep within these optimum yields and harvest guidelines for each state and sector. Analysis of past years' catch is used to determine which management measures are expected to keep each fishery sector within its harvest guideline.

Optimum yields set by the Council are based on stock assessments (analyses of current population size), or if a stock assessment is not available, average recent catches are used as a proxy, and are set to maintain healthy stocks. If a stock assessment reveals that the current population size is at or below 25 percent of the unfished biomass (the historic population size), it is considered "overfished". Federal law requires that steps be taken to rebuild overfished stocks

under strict guidelines that place an emphasis on a reasonable likelihood of achieving success within specified time periods.

Currently there are six groundfish species in California that are considered overfished: bocaccio, canary rockfish, cowcod, darkblotched rockfish, widow rockfish, and yelloweye rockfish. Rebuilding plans for each of these species have resulted in the need to set strict harvest guidelines and tailor management to ensure that fishery impacts are minimized. For California's recreational fishery, significant constraints to fishing activities in northern waters will be needed to keep catches of yelloweye rockfish within the allowable harvest guideline for the 2009 and 2010 seasons, similar to 2007 and 2008.

While not designated as "overfished", some federal groundfish species stock assessments show a need to enact more constraining regulations to keep catches within established harvest guidelines, even in the absence of a rebuilding plan. For California's recreational fishery, additional restrictions off central California will be needed to keep catches of blue rockfish within the allowable limit for 2009 and 2010.

It is virtually impossible to target an individual rockfish species, thus fishing for all rockfish has to be restricted to keep within the optimum yield for a constraining species. A "constraining" species is one whose harvest level is low enough that fishing for other co-occurring species becomes limited so that the constraining species' harvest level is not exceeded. Yelloweye rockfish and blue rockfish are considered to be the two most constraining species for California's recreational fishery. Therefore, these species were the primary determinants for the chosen season lengths and depth constraints for fishing for all groundfish and associated species for 2009 and 2010. Canary rockfish, another overfished species, has in the past been a constraining species and could potentially be a constraining species for the central California management areas in 2009 and 2010, which would be addressed in a future rulemaking package if necessary.

Yelloweye rockfish are primarily caught with a mix of other shelf rockfish species north of Point Arena (Mendocino County) in waters deeper than 20 fathoms. For 2009 and 2010, the recreational fishery harvest guideline for yelloweye rockfish will continue to require conservative fishing seasons that may occur only in waters that are 20 fathoms and less in depth.

Blue rockfish is a dominant species in the recreational fishery in California. To stay within the new harvest guideline for this species, it was necessary for the Council to reduce the fishing season length by two weeks in central California.

---

~~In order to make the State's regulations consistent with new federal rules that will be established by NOAA Fisheries following the June 2008 Council action, the proposed changes identified in this regulatory package are needed to Title 14 regulations regarding recreational groundfish fishing in State waters. The resulting season structure and depth restrictions adopted by the Council are provided in Table 1. The proposed regulatory changes will affect boat-based anglers only; exceptions for shore-based anglers and divers would remain in effect.~~

Table 1. Proposed season structure and depth restrictions for the California recreational groundfish fishery for 2009 and 2010, adopted for federal waters by the Council in June 2008.

Management Area	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Northern	CLOSED				Open < 20 fm, May 15 - Sep 15				CLOSED			
North-Central N. of Pt. Arena	CLOSED				Open < 20 fm May 15 - Aug 15				CLOSED			
North-Central S. of Pt. Arena	CLOSED				Open < 30 fm, Jun 13 - Oct 31				CLOSED			
Monterey South-Central	CLOSED				Open < 40 fm, May 1 - Nov 15				CLOSED			
Morro Bay South-Central	CLOSED				Open < 40 fm, May 1 - Nov 15				CLOSED			
Southern	CLOSED				Open < 60 fm, Mar 1 - Dec 31							

- Modifications to Section 27.25, Northern Groundfish Management Area.

The Department took emergency action in August 2008 (OAL# 2008 0815 04E) to establish a May 1 through September 2 season with a maximum depth restriction of 20 fathoms (120 feet) for groundfish and associated species. Proposed regulations would change the fishing season, for the waters between the Oregon border and the 40° 10' North Latitude management line near Cape Mendocino (Humboldt County), for all groundfish and associated species by modifying the season length to May 15 through September 15. The proposed regulations would also make permanent the maximum depth restriction of 20 fathoms (120 feet) implemented through the emergency action. These changes are necessary to keep yelloweye rockfish catch within the harvest guideline as discussed above.

- Modifications to Section 27.30, North-Central Groundfish Management Area.

The Department took emergency action in August 2008 (OAL# 2008 0815 04E) to split the North-Central Management Area into two distinct regions - the North-Central North of Point Arena Groundfish Management Area, for waters between the 40° 10' North Latitude management line and Point Arena (38° 57.50' North Latitude; Section 27.30, Title 14, CCR), and the North-Central South of Point Arena Groundfish Management Area, for waters between Point Arena and Pigeon Point (San Mateo County; see below; modifications to Section 27.32, Title 14, CCR). Additionally, the emergency action established a maximum depth restriction of 20 fathoms (120 feet) for groundfish and associated species and a June 1 through November 30 season. The proposed regulations would make the 20 fathom maximum depth restriction permanent, and would modify the fishing season to May 15 through August 15. Splitting the North-Central Management Area into two regions would allow for differing regulations north and south of Point Arena, and allow for finer-scale regional management. The take of yelloweye rockfish north of Point Arena is significantly higher than that south of Point Arena.

The August 2008 emergency action (OAL# 2008 0815 04E) removed regulations pertaining to the Farallons Closure Area, the Cordell Bank Closure Area, and the exception allowing the year-round take of leopard shark in several bays from this section and relocated them to Section 27.32, because these rules do not apply within the re-defined geographic range of this management area. The proposed regulation would make permanent the restructuring of the management areas, with their respective closure areas and leopard shark exception.

- Modifications to Section 27.32, North-Central South of Point Arena Groundfish Management Area.

The Department took emergency action in August 2008 (OAL# 2008 0815 04E) to establish Section 27.32 with regulations pertaining to the waters between Point Arena (Mendocino County) and Pigeon Point (San Mateo County). These regulations include a June 1 through November 30 season for groundfish and associated species, along with a maximum 30 fathom

(180 feet) fishing depth restriction. The proposed regulations would make permanent the 30 fathom maximum depth restrictions, and would modify the fishing season to June 13 through October 31.

The August emergency action (OAL# 2008 0815 04E) removed the regulations pertaining to the Farallones Closure Area, the Cordell Bank Closure Area, and the exception allowing the year-round take of leopard shark in several bays from Section 27.30 and relocated the regulations here without change, because these rules apply within the newly established management area. The proposed regulation would make permanent the restructuring of the management areas, with their respective closure areas and leopard shark exception.

- Modifications to Section 27.35, Monterey South-Central Groundfish Management Area. Existing regulations establish a May 1 through November 30 season for groundfish and associated species, with a maximum fishing depth restriction of 40 fathoms (240 feet). Proposed regulations would reduce the fishing season for all groundfish and associated species to May 1 through November 15, maintaining the 40 fathom depth restriction. Reducing the season by two weeks is expected to keep blue rockfish catch within the new harvest guideline.

- Modifications to Section 27.40, Morro Bay South-Central Groundfish Management Area. Existing regulations establish a May 1 through November 30 season for groundfish and associated species, with a maximum fishing depth restriction of 40 fathoms (240 feet). Proposed regulations would reduce the fishing season for all groundfish and associated species to May 1 through November 15, maintaining the 40 fathom depth restriction. Reducing the season by two weeks is expected to help to keep blue rockfish catch within the harvest guideline.

- Modifications to Sections 28.26 California Sheephead; 28.27 Lingcod; 28.28 Cabezon; 28.29 Kelp Greenling, Rock Greenling; 28.48 Pacific Sanddab, Rock Sole, Sand Sole, Butter Sole, Curffin Sole, Rex Sole and Flathead Sole; 28.49 Dover Sole, English Sole, Petrale Sole, Arrowtooth Flounder, and Starry Flounder; 28.51 Spiny Dogfish, Soupfin Shark; 28.52 Big Skates, California Skates, and Longnose Skates; 28.53 Ratfish, Rattails and Codlings; 28.54 California Scorpionfish (Sculpin); 28.55 Rockfish (Sebastes); 28.56 Leopard Shark; 28.57 Pacific Cod, Pacific Whiting, Sablefish, and Thornyheads; and, 28.58 Ocean Whitefish.

The listed sections list the seasons and fishing depths for these species or species groups. Additionally, some species or species groups have size limits, bag limits, gear restrictions, exceptions for leopard shark and/or exceptions for "other flatfish". The Department took emergency action in August 2008 (OAL# 2008 0815 04E) to establish seasons and fishing depths for these species or species groups. The proposed regulations would make the changes permanent for each of the sections, and change season dates and/or depth constraints to match the proposed regulations for the different Groundfish Management Areas discussed above.

- Modifications to Section 28.28(b), Cabezon Bag Limit.

Existing regulations provide for a one fish bag limit for cabezon within the 10-fish aggregate bag limit for rockfish, cabezon and greenling, the RCG complex. Proposed regulations would increase the cabezon bag limit from one fish to two fish, within the RCG bag limit. The Department analyzed potential impacts of an increase in the recreational cabezon bag limit from one to two fish within the RCG bag limit. Results of the analysis show that an increase in the bag limit is not projected to result in exceeding the recreational harvest guideline for this species. The proposed action could reduce impacts on other fish that would be caught to replace discarded cabezon and decrease waste of discarded dead cabezon.

- Modifications to Section 28.55(b)(1), Bag Limit for Bronzespotted Rockfish.

Proposed regulations would include the bronzespotted rockfish (*Sebastes gilli*) as a prohibited rockfish species (no retention, or bag limit of zero). The bronzespotted rockfish is not described as an overfished species because there has not been a formal stock assessment completed; however, anecdotal information suggests its abundance may be low. The proposed change was adopted by the Council as a precautionary measure for 2009 and 2010 to protect bronzespotted rockfish until more information becomes available.

- Modifications to Section 28.55(b)(3), Bag Limit for Bocaccio.

Existing regulations provide for differing bag limits within the RCG bag limit for bocaccio north and south of the 40° 10' North Latitude management line, with a two fish bag limit in the Northern Management Area, and a one fish bag limit in the remaining management areas, except that there is a zero fish bag limit in the Cowcod Conservation Area. Proposed regulations would allow for a statewide two fish bag limit within the 10 fish RCG bag limit; the zero fish bag limit in the Cowcod Conservation Area would remain unchanged. The coastwide bocaccio optimum yield increased for 2009 and 2010; therefore the statewide recreational fishery can retain two fish while remaining within the bocaccio harvest guideline. The proposed action could reduce impacts on other fish that would be caught to replace discarded bocaccio, decrease waste of discarded dead bocaccio, and allow for the same limit statewide.

- Modifications to Sections 27.25 Northern Groundfish Management Area; 27.30 North-Central Groundfish Management Area; 27.35 Monterey South-Central Groundfish Management Area; 27.40 Morro Bay South-Central Groundfish Management Area; 27.45 Southern Groundfish Management Area; 27.50 Cowcod Conservation Areas; and, Sections 28.48 Pacific Sanddab, Rock Sole, Sand Sole, Butter Sole, Curlfin Sole, Rex Sole and Flathead Sole, Pertaining to the Elimination of Gear Restrictions when Fishing for Sanddabs and Other Flatfish.

Existing regulations allow Sanddabs and "Other Flatfish" to be taken in the California recreational fishery when fishing for rockfish, lingcod, and associated species is closed and in depths where fishing for rockfish is prohibited, providing that anglers are limited to not more than 12 No. 2 or smaller hooks and up to two pounds of weight. A No. 2 hook means a fishing hook with a gap not greater than 7/16 inch between the hook point and the shank. These regulations were implemented in 2004 to reduce bycatch of overfished rockfish species. The specified gear is the standard gear used for targeting sanddabs regardless of whether the rockfish season is open or closed.

The Department analyzed the merits and shortcomings of the sanddab and "Other Flatfish" gear restrictions. The analysis revealed that there has been no appreciable change to impact rates on overfished species, or any species of the genus *Sebastes*, before and after gear restrictions were implemented and that impacts are presently negligible. Additionally, both California Recreational Fisheries Survey samplers and party/charter boat operators indicated that the bycatch of rockfish species while fishing for sanddabs and "Other Flatfish" is minimal. For regulation simplicity, the Council repealed the aforementioned gear restrictions pertaining to sanddabs and "Other Flatfish". The proposed regulatory changes would conform to action taken by the Council.

- Clarifying Changes to Section 27.20(a) Pertaining to the Definition of "Depth Constraint".

Existing regulations provide the definition of depth constraint as based on either general depth contour lines or a set of federal waypoints. Proposed regulations would clarify that the Department uses two different definitions of "depth constraint" depending on whether fishing is



occurring in waters greater than or equal to 30 fathoms or waters that are shallower than 30 fathoms. Areas equal to or deeper than 30 fathoms shall use a line determined by connecting the appropriate set of waypoints adopted in Federal regulations, and areas shallower than 30 fathoms shall use general depth contour lines for approximating depth at which the angler is fishing.

- Clarifying Changes to Section 27.20(b) Pertaining to Special Closure Areas.

The Department took emergency action in May 2008 (OAL# 2008 0505 01E) to clarify that unless there is a special exemption, the take of groundfish and associated species is prohibited in special closure areas (e.g., Cordell Banks closure) even when the rest of the management area is open. This emergency action will expire November 5, 2008; however, the proposed regulation would make the change permanent.

- Clarifying changes to Section 28.56 Leopard Shark.

Current regulations allow for the take of leopard shark in waters 20 fathoms or less from March through December within the Cowcod Conservation Area. This is contrary to Section 28.50 Cowcod Conservation Area which does not allow for the take of leopard shark within the Cowcod Conservation Area. Currently, there are exceptions allowing the take of leopard shark in enclosed bays; however, there are no enclosed bays within the Cowcod Conservation Area and there was never any intent to allow fishing for leopard sharks within the Cowcod Conservation Area. The proposed regulation would remove this inconsistency by prohibiting the take of leopard shark within the Cowcod Conservation Area.

**NOTICE IS GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held at the Flamingo Conference Resort, 2777 Fourth Street, Santa Rosa, California, on Friday, October 3, 2008 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.

**NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in the City of Huntington Beach, City Council Chambers, 2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, California, on Friday, November 14, 2008, at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before November 7, 2008 at the address given below, or by fax at (916) 653-5040, or by e-mail to [FGC@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:FGC@fgc.ca.gov). Written comments mailed, faxed or e-mailed to the Commission office, must be received before 5:00 p.m. on November 11, 2008. All comments must be received no later than November 14, 2008, at the hearing in Huntington Beach, CA. If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address.

---

The regulations as proposed in ~~strikeout~~-underline format, as well as an initial statement of reasons, including environmental considerations and all information upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, John Carlson, Jr., Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to John Carlson, Jr., or Sherrie Fonbuena at the preceding address or phone number. **Ms. Marija Vojkovich, Regional Manager of the Marine Region, Department of Fish and Game, phone (805) 568-1246, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.** Copies of the Initial Statement of Reasons, including the regulatory

language, may be obtained from the address above. Notice of the proposed action shall be posted on the Fish and Game Commission website at <http://www.fgc.ca.gov>.

#### Availability of Modified Text

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 202 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in sections 11343.4, 11346.4 and 11346.8 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

#### Impact of Regulatory Action

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

- (a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Business, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The range of proposed management actions that would limit recreational groundfish fishing in one or more of the Groundfish Management Areas for one or more species of fish may have adverse impacts to some businesses in California. Sport fishing business owners, boat owners, tackle store owners, boat manufacturers, vendors of food, bait, fuel and lodging, and others that provide goods or services to those that recreationally pursue groundfish off California may be adversely affected to some degree from loss of business if the 2009 and 2010 seasons are restricted compared to previous management cycles. However, anticipated impacts are speculative and would vary considerably by geographic location and by the nature and extent of the regulatory action taken. Additionally, it should be noted that the proposed regulatory actions would not apply to those recreationally targeting groundfish from shore or by diving, which would lessen impacts to some businesses such as dive and kayak shops.

Furthermore, while some coastal areas rely more heavily on boat-based sport groundfish fishing activity, there are other areas in which it comprises only a small amount of the overall fishing effort. For 2007, the Department estimates that recreational anglers in ocean waters throughout California made about 3.7 million fishing trips. It is not possible to approximate how many of these trips would not be taken exclusively as a result of the proposed regulatory action. It is important to recognize that in all coastal areas of California there is still recreational ocean fishing opportunity for other desirable target species that are not managed as part of the groundfish complex - namely albacore,

halibut, surf perches, bass, and others. Fishing opportunities for these species would not be impacted by the proposed regulation changes. When recreational anglers are prohibited or curtailed from targeting one particular species or groups of species due to season or area closures, oftentimes they will mitigate by changing target species or relocating their effort.

The Commission has made an initial determination that the amendment of this regulation may have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The Commission has considered proposed alternatives that would lessen any adverse economic impact on business and invites you to submit proposals. Submissions may include the following considerations:

- (i) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables which take into account the resources available to businesses;
  - (ii) consolidation or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements for businesses;
  - (iii) the use of performance standards rather than prescriptive standards; or
  - (iv) exemption or partial exemption from the regulatory requirements for business.
- (b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California:

The proposed regulatory action could produce some impact on the creation or elimination of jobs or businesses that rely on recreational fishing for groundfish and associated species. However, the degree of impact is highly speculative in nature and cannot be quantified. See response to (a) above.

- (c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

- (d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.

- 
- (e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

- (f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None.

- (g) Costs Imposed on any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4: None.

- (h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: September 15, 2008

John Carlson, Jr.  
Executive Director



"Moore, Grace"  
<Grace.Moore@sfdpw.org>  
09/29/2008 07:34 AM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
"Mckenna, Dan" <Dan.Mckenna@sfdpw.org>, "Rodis,  
cc Nathan" <Nathan.Rodis@sfdpw.org>, "Mirkarimi, Ross"  
<Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>, "Lee, Frank W"  
bcc  
Subject RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY #20080923-004

82

Locations inspected and citations issued where appropriate as follows:

- San Francisco Bay Guardian
- Southeast corner of Fillmore and Post
- 22-Sep-08 Southwest corner of Divisadero and Sutter
- 26-Sep-08 9th Avenue and Judah (near bus stops)
- 26-Sep-08 7th Avenue and Irving (near bus stops)
- Northwest corner of Hayes and Fillmore
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight
- San Francisco Chronicle
- Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
- 26-Sep-08 Irving and 9th Avenue
- 26-Sep-08 Irving and 7th Avenue
- 18-Sep-08 Southwest corner of Haight and Clayton
- 18-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Masonic
- Examiner
- Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore
- SF Daily
- 18-Sep-08 Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough
- City Star
- 18-Sep-08 Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight
- SF Weekly
- 18-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Masonic and Haight
- 22-Sep-08 Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

Weekly inspections on-going

Grace L. Moore  
News Rack Program Coordinator  
~~The Department of Public Works~~  
News Rack Program  
875 Stevenson St., Room 460  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
ph 415.554.5892  
cl 415.254.5767

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors  
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 3:32 PM  
To: Reiskin, Ed  
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY

82

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY  
For any questions, call the sponsoring supervisor

TO: Edward Reiskin  
Public Works

FROM: Clerk of the Board  
DATE: 9/24/2008  
REFERENCE: 20080923-004  
FILE NO.

Due Date: 10/24/2008:

This is an inquiry from a member of the Board of Supervisors made at the Board meeting on 9/23/2008.

Supervisor Mirkarimi requests the following information:

Requesting the Department of Public Works to report on the status of removing graffiti from newsstands at the following locations:

San Francisco Bay Guardian  
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Post  
Southwest corner of Divisadero and Sutter  
9th Avenue and Judah (near bus stops)  
7th Avenue and Irving (near bus stops)  
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight

San Francisco Chronicle  
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore  
Irving and 9th Avenue  
Irving and 7th Avenue  
Southwest corner of Haight and Clayton  
Southeast corner of Haight and Masonic

Examiner  
Northwest corner of Fillmore and Hayes  
Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

SF Daily  
Northwest corner of Hayes and Gough

City Star  
Southeast corner of Fillmore and Haight

---

SF Weekly  
Southeast corner of Masonic and Haight and Southeast corner of Haight and Fillmore

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

Your response to this inquiry is requested by 10/24/2008

10/7



# City and County of San Francisco Department on the Status of Women

Mayor Gavin Newsom  
Executive Director Emily M. Murase, PhD

September 25, 2008.

Supervisor Tom Ammiano  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

83

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2008 SEP 25 PM 3:29  
BY SCJ

Via Email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: Reference #20080909-054

Dear Supervisor Ammiano,

In response to your inquiry of 9/9/2008 at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors, made to the District Attorney, City Attorney, Mayor's Office, and All City Departments, regarding violence prevention efforts in the Mission; the Department on the Status of Women shares your concerns regarding the necessity for increased and improved coordination of violence prevention efforts in the district.

The Department on the Status of Women is closely involved in many violence prevention and intervention efforts that impact the Mission. These efforts include:

- Our Violence Against Women Prevention and Intervention Grants Program gives financial support to organizations and programs that work to prevent violence against women and girls, as well as providing support to those who have become victims of sexual assault and intimate partner violence. These organizations include Mission Neighborhood Centers, Horizons, Mujeres Unidas y Activas, Riley Center, La Casa de las Madres, WOMAN Inc., San Francisco Women Against Rape, and the Cooperative Restraining Order Clinic, all of which provide services in the Mission District.
- The Family Violence Council addresses violence throughout the lifespan, including child abuse, domestic violence, and elder/dependent adult abuse. Quarterly meetings afford these communities an opportunity to exchange information and lay the groundwork for new collaborative efforts on cross-cutting issues such as language access, enhancing prosecutions, and follow-up to the Attorney General's Report on Domestic Violence. The Family Violence Council has 3 primary goals: to coordinate services and improve communication between the three communities; to analyze trends and data related to family violence in San Francisco, statewide, and nationally; and to advise the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, and the Courts about these trends and other family violence-related issues. Active members include the Office of the District Attorney, the Police Department, the Superior Court, Adult Probation, the Department of Public Health, the Human Services Agency, and San Francisco Unified School District.

83

- The Justice & Courage Oversight Panel, which seeks to end domestic violence by creating a seamless and well-coordinated system-wide response to intimate partner violence in San Francisco. Currently the Oversight Panel is working with Police, Adult Probation, community service providers, the Sheriff's Department, and others to address 1. Language access for limited English-speaking proficient victims of domestic violence; 2. Policies to identify and address stalking, 3. Improved batterer accountability, 4. The system's inability to identify the complexity of risk that victims face depending on their background, and 5. The system's inability to assess the degree of danger that a victim faces.

In addition to the above programs, the Department on the Status of Women was involved in the development of the City-wide Violence Prevention Plan that was released earlier this year. Implementation of this plan should make a difference in the City's response to violence when it occurs, as well as making a long-term investment in the prevention of violence through improved services and efforts to keep people safe.

If we may provide you with any further information, or assist you in your efforts, please contact our Senior Policy Analyst Jill Tregor at 415-252-2573. We would be pleased to work with you.

Very truly yours,

Emily M. Murase, PhD  
Executive Director

cc: Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, Judge Kevin Ryan, Maya Dillard-Smith

---





Jill Tregor/DOSW/SFGOV  
09/25/2008 02:16 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc Emily.Murase@sfgov.org  
bcc  
Subject Reference #20080909-054

Please see attached letter to Supervisor Ammiano in response to his request for information about violence prevention efforts in the Mission District. We are sending a signed version of the letter via mail. Thank you.

Jill Tregor



DOSW\_BOS\_inquiry reference 20080909-054.doc

\*\*\*\*\*  
Jill R. Tregor, MPH  
Senior Policy Analyst for Domestic Violence Policy & Programs  
Department on the Status of Women  
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite #130  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
<http://www.sfgov.org/dosw>

Phone: 415/252-2573  
Fax: 415/252-2575  
Email: [jill.tregor@sfgov.org](mailto:jill.tregor@sfgov.org)

\*\*\*\*\*



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2008 SEP 26 PM 3:43

BY SW



Amy L. Brown  
Director of Real Estate

# MEMORANDUM

September 22, 2008

84

**TO:** Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

**FROM:** Amy L. Brown *Amy L. Brown*  
Director of Real Estate

**SUBJECT:** Violence Prevention; Reference No. 20080909-061

I am in receipt of your letter dated September 15, 2008 (copy attached), relative to Reference No. 20080909-061 which is cited above. Please be advised that our office is not currently involved in preventing violence in the Mission District, nor is this our area of expertise. We stand ready to assist in obtaining locations for installation of Shotspotters as necessary. However, at this time, we cannot provide anything pertinent to add to your file.

If you require any additional information, please contact me at 554-9875.

Thank you.

ALB:kb  
Attachment

cc: Supervisor Tom Ammiano

84