

# 100748

---

Petitions and Communications received from May 18, 2010, through May 28, 2010, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on June 8, 2010.

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to proposed resolution calling for a boycott of the State of Arizona and Arizona-based businesses until Arizona repeals Senate Bill 1070. File No.100256, Approximately 300 letters (1)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to expanding parking meter hours/and or Sunday metering. Approximately 300 letters (2)

From Sandy Weil, suggesting eight hour parking meters be installed at the zoo on Sloat Boulevard. (3)

From Police Department, submitting request for waiver of Administrative Code Chapter 12B for Fleetcar Technologies. (4)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed plan to build a disc-golf course in McLaren Park. 2 letters (5)

From Municipal Transportation Agency, submitting copy of letter sent to constituent regarding an increase in parking rates at City facilitated garages. Copy: Supervisor Alioto-Pier (6)

From concerned citizens, urging the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to fund the Mission Learning Center. 3 letters (7)

From Kelly Leathers, submitting copy of petition sent to Matier and Ross regarding coverage of John O'Connell High School. (8)

From Department of Public Health, submitting the number of AIDS cases reported through March 2010. (9)

From Office of the Controller, submitting their audit report for the Police Department's Property Control Unit. Copy: Each Supervisor (10)

From Office of the Controller, submitting their audit report for the Sunol Valley Golf and Recreation Company. Copy: Each Supervisor (11)

From Patrick Monette-Shaw, regarding Laguna Honda Hospital. (12)

From Webster Bones, regarding the Department of Human Resources reform. (13)

From La Tonya Broughton, regarding the management at the Recreation and Parks Department. (14)

From Abdalla Megahed, submitting copy of letter sent to President Obama regarding his visit to San Francisco. (15)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting notice regarding transfer of function under Charter Section 4.132. Copy: Each Supervisor (16)

From Daniel Pong, commenting on MUNI's proposed budget. (17)

From concerned citizens, commenting on the 2010 Bay to Breakers event. 5 letters (18)

From State Office of Historic Preservation, submitting notice that the Temple Sherith Israel was placed on the National Register of Historic Places. Copy: Each Supervisor (19)

From Kimble McSweeney, urging the Board of Supervisors to keep the Tonga Room open. (20)

From Don, inquiring when San Francisco will boycott China for human rights violations. (21)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for the proposed legislation concerning the sit/lie law. 5 letters (22)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting notice that the Mayor will be out of State from Thursday, May 27, 2010, through Monday, May 31, 2010. Supervisor Sean Elsbernd will serve as Acting-Mayor. Copy: Each Supervisor (23)

From Clerk of the Board, the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement of Economic Interest: Catherine Rauschuber, legislative aide to Supervisor Chiu, assuming. (24)

From Jill Linwood, submitting opposition to proposed placement of four cell antennas in the steeple at St. Matthew's Church at 3281-16<sup>th</sup> Street. (25)

From Blair Camp, regarding the mural being painted on a building at the corner of Fell and Scott Streets. (26)

From concerned citizens, urging the Board of Supervisors to ensure that the California Pacific Medical Center Master Plan meet the needs of the surrounding communities. 6 letters (27)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to the proposed plan to charge entrance fees for entrance to the Strybing Arboretum/Botanical Garden. File No. 100469, 2 letters (28)

From S.F. Public Utilities Commission, regarding the California Public Utilities Commission certification of San Francisco's Community Choice Aggregation Program Implementation Plan. (29)

From Becky Jennings, submitting opposition to 7-Eleven's proposal to open a store at 30<sup>th</sup> and Mission Streets. (30)

From Erik Gordon, regarding the beautiful plant life that was recently installed on the median strip leading into the Broadway Tunnel. (31)

From concerned citizens, urging the Board of Supervisors to protect Supportive Housing services in the FY2010-2011 Budget. 7 letters (32)

From Nudcot, regarding the recent statement by Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International concerning the treatment of migrants in Mexico. (33)

From Francisco Da Costa, regarding Candlestick Park and Hunter's Point Shipyard and the Public Utilities Commission. (34)

From concerned citizens, submitting support for Mayor Newsom's right to know cell phone legislation. 2 letters (35)

From Eden Niemela, regarding access to MUNI bus line 2X on May 22, 2010. (36)

From SF Local Agency Formation Commission, regarding status of Community Choice Aggregation Contract. (Reference No. 20100420-006) (37)

From James Corrigan, regarding the fake "On Official Fire Department Business" signs that are used by some to gain free parking advantages. (38)

From Mark Rand, submitting opposition to the 10% cut in MUNI service. (39)

From Michael Demetrious, concerning people looking through his trash for bottles to recycle. (40)

From Lawrence Feigenbaum, thanking the Board of Supervisors for voting to save the Botanical Gardens by charging an entry fee to out-of-town visitors. (41)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to proposed Charter Amendment regarding Board of Education electors regardless of whether the residents are United States citizens. File No.100635, 16 letters (42)

From concerned citizens, submitting opposition to proposal to cut the Citywide Case Management and Community Focus budget by 15%. 2 letters (43)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding predatory equity lending in San Francisco. (44)

From Jim Skanberg, commenting on the unfair parking ticket practices in San Francisco. (45)

From Anne Pagan, regarding college funding for San Francisco students. (46)

From Cecilia Ovando, regarding the Health Service System Plan and Contribution Rates. File No.100134 (47)

From Office of the Mayor, submitting appointments to the following Commissions: (48)

Art Commission

Jessica Silverman, term ending January 15, 2011

Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board

Anderson Pugash, term ending March 25, 2011

Mark Dunlop, term ending March 25, 2013

Murat Eskicioglu, term ending March 25, 2014

Stefanie Roumeliotes, term ending March 25, 2014

Mel Lee, term ending March 25, 2011

Isabelle Farrow, term ending March 25, 2011

Juvenile Probation Commission

Julian C.L. Chang, term ending January 15, 2014



War Memorial Board of Trustees

Gina Moscone, term ending January 2, 2013

Paul Pelosi, Sr., term ending January 2, 2013

Charlotte Mailliard Shultz, term ending January 2, 2013

Wilkes Bashford, term ending January 2, 2013

Nancy Bechtle, term ending January 2, 2013

From Office of the City Administrator, submitting the Capital Plan report for FYs2011-2012 through 2019-2020. (49)

From Michael Smith, regarding funding for the Youth Jobs Program. (50)



"Robert J. Rudolphi"  
<rjrsw2005@comcast.net>  
05/17/2010 01:55 PM

To <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>,  
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc

Subject We canceled all of our hotel reservations in your area  
yesterday!

The following is the text from an email I sent earlier today to the Visitors Bureau's of San Diego, Los Angeles, Monterey and San Francisco in regards to the reasons that we canceled all of our California vacation reservations for this June. Almost immediately they responded to the email along with the local TV stations here in Tucson that I forwarded it to. One has asked me to appear on air and explain why I made the decision but at this point I haven't accepted the invitation yet.

From the news coverage we have seen here in Arizona it is obvious that there is a lot of animosity being encouraged by some California politicians towards Arizona's people. The sad part is that I was born in San Francisco and I'm a native Californian and was looking forward to visiting the area where I was born near Sausalito. Because of the present situation at this point I and others here that I have discussed this with wouldn't feel safe driving or parking a vehicle in your area with Arizona plates.

"To whom it may concern,

We live in Tucson, Arizona and normally spend time in the San Diego area at Paradise Point Resort and other areas around California every summer.

We had made reservations in San Diego for June but have decided to take our business to an area and a State that really wants our business and hasn't decided to boycott the people of Arizona.

After seeing the news coverage and the obvious animosity for Arizona expressed by the Mayor of Los Angeles and other California Cities and the obvious effort on their part to inflame their constituents and promote a boycott against Arizona's people we would no longer feel safe traveling by motor vehicle in California in a vehicle with Arizona license plates.

We had already made reservations two months ago in San Diego and then were going to travel all the way to San Francisco following the Pacific Coast Highways in our new Corvette and had made hotel reservations in coastal cities along the entire route and were also going to visit the Monterey Bay Aquarium. As of yesterday we have canceled all the reservations and have now made reservations in Nevada in the Lake Tahoe and Lake Las Vegas areas instead.

It appears that a number of the major metropolitan areas of California have decided that the protection and support of people who have illegally entered the United States and are not US Citizens is more

①



Carol Badger <carolbadger@mac.com>

05/21/2010 01:03 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, MTABoard@sfmta.com

cc

bcc

Subject No Sunday Meters & Extended Meter Hours

2

Dear MTA, Gavin Newsom, and Board of Supervisors,

It has been brought to my attention that there is a plan to further inconvenience drivers, who patronize our local businesses.

Considering that San Francisco has not provided *any* alternatives to driving, this is unnecessary roughness on our already struggling local economies.

MUNI is completely broken... running less often, so it is slower, and more crowded. MUNI is dangerous with all pedestrian and car accidents. Increasingly, Ironically, MUNI becomes more and more costly to ride. Why not make MUNI's cumbersome MASS transportation into RAPID transportation by submerging MUNI underground, circumventing accidents and increasing service speed?

Bike riding in San Francisco is still not an option, since the streets of San Francisco are too dangerous for bikers. There are no bike lanes or bike routes, clear of buses and parked cars. For example, why not make Jefferson Street, in the Fisherman's Wharf area, open only to delivery trucks, buses and bikes? Why not open the tunnel under Fort Mason to bike commuters?

Before making it even more impossible to park and do business in San Francisco, why not make San Francisco more accessible by making MUNI a RAPID transportation solution and making safe routes for bikes?

Those are my thoughts.... Carol

Carol Badger

[carolbadger@mac.com](mailto:carolbadger@mac.com)

(415)441-2331

1966 Jefferson Street

San Francisco, CA 94123

Document is available  
at the Clerk's Office  
Room 244, City Hall



sandy weil  
<sweil46117@aol.com>  
05/19/2010 09:18 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject parking meters for revenue on Sloat Blvd.

Dear Supervisors:

How about meters on Sloat Blvd. at the zoo? Already part commercial area. Should put in 8 hr. meters so people wouldn't get tickets while visiting zoo, but would pay \$1.00 an hr. This is what they do in Monterey near Aquarium. But need to be 8 hr. meters.

Also, no to Arboretum fee. Have volunteers stand next to "flower" donation jars already in place and encourage donations. Try that for one year. Arboretum spending over \$100,000 on PR person, they can't be that short of money!

Thanks.

3



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

2010 MAY 19 9:54 AM ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B  
WAIVER REQUEST FORM  
(HRC Form 201)

FOR HRC USE ONLY
Request Number: _____

► Section 1. Department Information

Department Head Signature: [Signature]  
 Name of Department: San Francisco Police Dept.  
 Department Address: 850 Bryant St., S.F., CA 94103  
 Contact Person: Wendy Chan  
 Phone Number: 553-1683 Fax Number: 553-1114

► Section 2. Contractor Information

Contractor Name: Fleetcar Technologies DBA Chevron Vendor No.: 76065  
 Contractor Address: P.O. Box 70887, Charlotte NC 28272-0887  
 Contact Person: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact Phone No.: \_\_\_\_\_

► Section 3. Transaction Information

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 5/18/10 Type of Contract: Purchase  
 Contract Start Date: 7/1/10 End Date: 6/30/2011 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 20,000.00

► Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)

Chapter 12B  
 Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements may still be in force even when a 14B waiver (type A or B) is granted.

► Section 5. Waiver Type (Letter of Justification *must* be attached, see Check List on back of page.)

A. Sole Source  
 B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or 21.15)  
 C. Public Entity  
 D. No Potential Contractors Comply – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 5/18/10  
 E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement – Copy of this request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_  
 F. Sham/Shell Entity – Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: \_\_\_\_\_  
 G. Subcontracting Goals  
 H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) (for contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7.1.3)

HRC ACTION	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
HRC Director: _____	Date: _____

**DEPARTMENT ACTION – This section must be completed and returned to HRC for waiver types D, E & F.**

Date Waiver Granted: \_\_\_\_\_ Contract Dollar Amount: \_\_\_\_\_

4



Reginald Thomas  
<tab841@yahoo.com>  
05/18/2010 12:21 PM

To Tina.Kim@sfgov.org, John Avalos  
<Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc Reggie Thomas <tab841@yahoo.com>  
bcc

Subject Preserving McLaren Park

My wife and I were walking our dog in our beloved neighborhood park and happened upon a notice that informed us, much to our dismay, of an already approved plan to build a disc-golf course in McLaren Park back in 2005 without our input, approval or disapproval. As a voter, as well as, a taxpayer to the city of San Francisco we have as much right as anyone in the decision-making process to voice our concerns in an open forum.

We raised our children celebrating their birthday in McLaren Park and jog and walk our dog there regularly. As concerned residents we would like the park to remain as it is, with full access and without the unsightly apparatuses necessary for the sport and the accompanying crowd, traffic and pollution that the sport will draw.

We want an immediate moratorium on any legal agreement with the SF Disk Golf Club for the construction of a course in McLaren Park. We also want a full public notification and input process conducted. And finally, we want a commitment to a full study of the environmental impact of a disc golf course on the park.

Sincerely, Reginald Thomas & Zila Brand

5



lesley stansfield  
<lesleyastansfield@hotmail.com>

05/21/2010 07:18 PM

To <tina.kim@sfgov.org>, <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject: McLaren Park - disc golf

McLaren Park is a jewel in San Francisco. I strongly oppose a 18-hole disc-golf course in McLaren Park. Although heavily used by hikers, nature lovers and dog walkers, I know disc golf would not be a big money maker. In addition to all the destruction it would cause, it is just not necessary and in this time of economic recession would be plain irresponsible.

Lesley Stansfield

property owner of 309 Woolsey street, SF 94134

Hotmail has tools for the New Busy. Search, chat and e-mail from your inbox. [Learn more.](#)

cc - Sup Photo-Pier

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 19 PM 3:10

Gavin Newsom | Mayor  
Tom Nolan | Chairman  
Jerry Lee | Vice-Chairman  
Cameron Beach | Director  
Malcolm Heinicke | Director  
Bruce Oka | Director  
Nathaniel P. Ford Sr. | Executive Director/CEO

May 14, 2010 BY \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. James J. Ludwig  
66 Montclair Terrace  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Mr. Ludwig:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding parking rates at downtown garages and the potential impact on garage occupancies and retail activity. We recognize that, among many other things, availability of convenient and affordable parking plays a vital role in the success of downtown businesses.

Over the last three years, as the economy continued to sour and the unemployment rate more than doubled, most of our parking facilities have experienced reduced occupancies. The weak retail environment, reduced attendance at conventions and lower hotel occupancies have affected transient or short-term parking, while higher unemployment has affected the number of monthly parkers. Much higher gas prices, compared to three years ago, have also caused a mode shift from auto to transit. In essence, there are many factors that have contributed to reduced demand at parking facilities. It is highly unlikely that reduced parking demand is due to the increase in parking rates, since the City facilities still offer rates that are lower than most private garages.

During the last couple of years, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) faced operating budget deficits in excess of over \$100 million annually. Various cost reduction strategies and increases in fees, fines and fares, including parking rates, were necessary to lessen the impact on transit riders that include students, seniors and persons with disabilities. Increase in parking fees is not an option that we embrace, although necessary to address the budget deficit and continue to further the goals of the City's *Transit First Policy*. Please be assured that any future parking policies, including parking rates, will continue to reflect the SFMTA's 2008-2012 Strategic Plan goals and the SFMTA Board priorities.

6



Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 10:57 AM

To John Avalos/BOS/SFGOV, David Campos/BOS/SFGOV,  
Sophie Maxwell/BOS/SFGOV, Ross Mirkarimi/BOS/SFGOV,  
Sophie Maxwell/BOS/SFGOV,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Mission Learning Center "Add-Back Campaign" for DCYF  
Funding



Christopher Schwandt  
<christopher@micsf.org>  
05/26/2010 05:19 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Mission Learning Center "Add-Back Campaign" for DCYF  
Funding

Dear Mayor, Supervisors, DCYF and Friends of Mission Learning Center:

My name is Chris Schwandt and I am the site coordinator for Mission Learning Center at Flynn Elementary School. As you may already know, we are one of many nonprofit organizations looking for our DCYF funding to be reinstated next year.

Mission Learning Center has been around for 38 years and has established an excellent reputation for improving literacy skills of children throughout the Mission. Our after school literacy program fills a major gap in each student's education as we help some of the most disadvantaged youth in San Francisco learn to read. In my time with the organization, as a teacher and now as a site coordinator, I have seen tremendous progress with all of our students.

Attached to this email are letters written to you by some of our kinder through 5th grade students. Please, take the time to read some of them and consider how much reading and how much our after school program means to them.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,



Chris Schwandt      Letters to the Mayor and supervisors.pdf

7

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/18/2010 04:33 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Please fund Mission Learning Center!



Julie Lein  
<j2ulie@gmail.com>  
05/18/2010 02:28 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Please fund Mission Learning Center!

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Mission Learning Center (MLC) has been providing literacy programs to poor and extremely poor San Francisco children who have fallen behind in their reading abilities for the past 38 years.

This program is provided at no cost to child's family and all the students are referred to the program by teachers in the San Francisco Unified School District. These are children with parents who are struggling to put food on the table and a roof over their heads, children whose parents may not have had a formal education and who do not speak English.

MLC's reading programs work and show measurable results: children advance on average two grade levels in their reading ability in just seven months. Mission Learning Center literacy programs for children have a high one-to-five instructor-to-student ratio, so each child receives focused attention. The program at Leonard R Flynn Elementary ensures close school district collaboration.

While MLC's focus is clearly on developing reading skills, they view literacy in a broader sense by encouraging children, parents, and the community to "read the world", to understand and appreciate all that life has to offer, and to provide opportunities for each individual to reach his or her own unique potential.

**Please fund Mission Learning Center because child by child, family by family, Literacy strengthens our community**

7



Allison Schwarz  
<allisonschwarz@yahoo.com>

05/17/2010 01:10 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Please Support Childhood Literacy and FUND Mission Learning Center

History:

📧 This message has been forwarded.

Dear Mayor Newsom and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Mission Learning Center (MLC) has been providing literacy programs to poor and extremely poor San Francisco children who have fallen behind in their reading abilities for the past 38 years.

This program is provided at no cost to child's family and all the students are referred to the program by teachers in the San Francisco Unified School District. These are children with parents who are struggling to put food on the table and a roof over their heads, children whose parents may not have had a formal education and who do not speak English.

MLC's reading programs work and show measurable results: children advance on average two grade levels in their reading ability in just seven months. Mission Learning Center literacy programs for children have a high one-to-five instructor-to-student ratio, so each child receives focused attention.

The program at Leonard R Flynn Elementary ensures close school district collaboration.

While MLC's focus is clearly on developing reading skills, they view literacy in a broader sense by encouraging children, parents, and the community to "read the world", to understand and appreciate all that life has to offer, and to provide opportunities for each individual to reach his or her own unique potential.

**Please fund Mission Learning Center because  
child by child, family by family, literacy strengthens our community.**

Thank you,

Allison Schwarz

Mission Learning Center Board of Directors

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 21 PM 3:02

BY: AK

May 19, 2010

Matier and Ross  
The San Francisco Chronicle  
901 Mission Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Cc: Ward Bushe, Editor in Chief, The San Francisco Chronicle  
Gavin Newsome, Mayor of San Francisco  
Carlos Garcia, Superintendent of Schools, SFUSD  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Board of Education, SFUSD

Dear Mr. Matier and Mr. Ross:

I am a teacher at John O'Connell High School, which has appeared in your column twice this year. I am writing to state my vehement objection to your coverage of our school in your column. In order to achieve a splashy headline, you are doing us an extreme disservice during a very trying time for our school in particular, and public education in general. I am requesting that you provide fair and balanced reporting on our school in the future. The attached petition is evidence of how strongly my school community objects to the skewed perspective that you have put forth in your column.

John O'Connell High School serves a diverse student population of students, the majority of whom are socio-economically disadvantaged, and 50% of whom are English Language Learners. As a result, our standardized test scores are low, a phenomenon which leads to an overly simplistic general perception of our school as "failing." Partially as a result of these scores, we suffer from declining enrollment – and your articles do nothing to ~~correct this erroneous stereotype, but rather reinforce it, thwarting our efforts to~~ communicate to San Francisco all the positive aspects of our school.

John O'Connell has, without a doubt, the most dedicated, caring, accomplished staff I have ever known. This is my fifth year teaching at O'Connell, and if given the chance to change schools tomorrow (as indeed, all teachers in the district may apply to do each year) – I would decline it. Students, many of whom will be the first in their family to graduate from high school, are provided with a rigorous academic curriculum, as well as the support they need to rise to that challenge. Staff and students form a deeply respectful school community that is the strongest I have seen at any school, high- or low-performing. All adults in the building place the students' best interests as their number one priority. I know that the image of the failing urban school as perpetuated by Hollywood movies, with the burned-out, authoritarian teachers and administrators, is a sure-fire attention-getter; but in the case of John O'Connell, that image does not reflect the reality.

Your most recent article dealt with a police officer interviewing a student on campus about an incident that occurred on her way to school. I don't wish to get involved in a


8

point-by-point rebuttal of the several facts in your article that were incorrect; I will say merely that your statement that “neither the school nor the district returned calls for comment” is misleading at best; the statement provided to you by the district on behalf of the school (as is district policy) provided the school’s version of what happened that day, which contrasted in many key ways with the officer’s statement – yet you included only the officer’s side of the story. Why, I wonder, would you do this when you had access to both versions? Every decision that was made by our esteemed administrators that day was motivated by a concern for student safety and privacy, and was in line with the policies and procedures agreed upon in the Memorandum of Understanding between the San Francisco Police Department and the school district. I truly cannot fathom what would motivate supposed “journalists” to present such a one-sided version under the guise of objective reporting, especially knowing that the result would be to further tarnish the reputation of a struggling school.

Rather than maligning our school from afar, I invite you visit us. Come see what John O’Connell *actually* looks like: caring staff, engaging curriculum, authentic teaching and learning. Better yet, invite your readers to do the same. The San Francisco School Volunteers is a wonderful program that sends residents of the city into classrooms on a regular basis. Rather than publicly perpetuating the incorrect idea that public schools are an unsalvageable disaster, why not encourage readers of the Chronicle to get involved in helping the good work that we in the schools are doing? In spite of budget cuts, massive layoffs, and punitive measures based on unreliable standardized test scores, our schools, and O’Connell in particular, are thriving. I invite you to come witness it for yourself. Or, at the very least, to please give us fair and balanced coverage; the California state budget crisis is kicking us while we’re down quite enough already, thanks – we don’t need any help from you.

Sincerely,

---
































Kelley Leathers  
Teacher  
John O’Connell High School  
2355 Folsom St.  
San Francisco, CA 94110  
[leathersk@sfusd.edu](mailto:leathersk@sfusd.edu)



May 19, 2010

We, the undersigned, request that Matier and Ross provide fair and balanced reporting in their coverage of John O'Connell High School. We object to the one-sided reporting in their May 10<sup>th</sup> column that painted our school in a negative light, and ask that, in any future reporting on the school, the perspective of school or district staff be included when it is provided to them.

	Name (Print)	Signature	Affiliation with school (teacher, staff, student, parent, etc.)
1	Gary Cruz	Gary Cruz	teacher
2	Raquel Noya Garcia	Raquel Noya Garcia	Student
3	Elah Shalideh	Elah Shalideh	Teacher
4	Christine Lee	Christine Lee	Wellness Coordinator
5	Beverly Choice	Beverly Choice	Security
6	Corinne Davis	Corinne Davis	teacher
7	Alberto Villar	Alberto Villar	teacher
8	Mariana Chavez	Mariana Chavez	Counselor
9	RICHARD DUBER	Richard Duber	PRINCIPAL
10	Katie Tobin	Katie Tobin	Teacher
11	Matt McEue	Matt McEue	Teacher
12	Christie Chew	Christie Chew	Teacher
13	Steven Bonaccorso	Steven Bonaccorso	Teacher
14	Margot Goldstem	Margot Goldstem	teacher
15	Christian Alvarez	Christian Alvarez	student
16	Crystal Perez	Crystal Perez	student
17	JOEY ROSS	Joey Ross	CUSTODIAN
18	Laura Zamora	Laura Zamora	teacher
19	Leslie Clark	Leslie Clark	teacher
20	Elaine Moskowitz	Elaine Moskowitz	LIBRARIAN
21	Anthony Esoldo	Anthony Esoldo	Teacher (IRF)
22	Eliza Finkelstein	Eliza Finkelstein	teacher
23	ESA P. GAMINO	ESA P. Gamino	Classified Staff
24	Susie Belenzuela	Susie Belenzuela	Parent
25	Judith Chavez	Judith Chavez	Parent
26	Lili Rodriguez	Lili Rodriguez	COUNSELOR
27	Myra Quadros	Myra Quadros	Assistant Princ.
28	Karen Schieve	Karen Schieve	Para
29	Eric Rose	Eric Rose	Teacher
30	Julietta Garza	Julietta Garza	Teacher
31	Chloe Forpahl	Chloe Forpahl	Teacher
32	ROBERTO GAMINO	Roberto Gamino	TEACHER
33	Supanne deBlais	Supanne deBlais	Teacher
34	Kenneth Mendaribil	Kenneth Mendaribil	Teacher
35	DAWN PAGE	Dawn Page	TEACHER

	Name	Signature	Affiliation to OC
36	Vanessa Varko		Wellness Staff
37	Pedro Corona		Student
38	Maria Jopi		
39	Daisy Acosta		Student
40	Elizabeth Alvarado		Student
41	David Smith		Teacher
42	Jovane Gutierrez		Student
43	Alex Ayala		Student
44	Cristian Lopez-Perez		Student
45	Joseph Flores		Student
46	Yosenda Padilla		Student
47	Raul Dittizio		Student/Father
48	IRENE MALDONADO		STUDENT
49	Valentianar rillos		STUDENT.
50	SOMANTHA BLANDON		STUDENT.
51	Abu Rhon-Pha		Student
52	ANASTACIA DIAZ		student
53	José Olmedo		student
54	Shannelle Lee		Student
55	William Dominguez		student
56	Jocelyn Salmeron		Student
57	Maria Rodriguez		Student
58	Jennifer Rivera		Student.
59	Fior Reina		student.
60	Manuel Salmeron		student
61	Carlos Narvaez		student
62	Cristobal Lopez		student
63	MARISOL ESCOBAR		Student
64	Jean Sanchez		Student
65	Kelley Leathers		teacher
66			
67			
68			
69			
70			
71			
72			
73			
74			
75			
76			
77			
78			
79			
80			



81	Daniel Jimenez		teacher
82	Matthew Finkley		teacher
83			
84			
85			
86			
87			
88			
89			
90			
91			
92			
93			
94			
95			
96			
97			
98			
99			
100			

---

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 24 AM 9:47

# QUARTERLY AIDS SURVEILLANCE REPORT

San Francisco Department of Public Health  
AIDS Cases Reported Through March 2010

BY AK

Contents	Page
Surveillance Summary.....	1
Table 1: Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases by Transmission Category.....	2
Table 2: AIDS Cases by Gender and Year of Diagnosis.....	2
Table 3: AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity.....	3
Table 4: AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Asian/Pacific Islander Ethnicity.....	3
Table 5: AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Year of Diagnosis.....	4
Table 6: AIDS Cases by Gender, Age Group and Race/Ethnicity.....	5
Table 7: AIDS Cases by Race/Ethnicity and Year of Diagnosis.....	6
Table 8: AIDS Cases and Cumulative Rates per 100,000 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender.....	6
Table 9: AIDS Incidence, Mortality, and Prevalence by Year.....	7
Table 10: Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition.....	8
Table 11: Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons with AIDS.....	9
Table 12: Living Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases by Transmission Category.....	10
Table 13: Living AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity.....	10
Table 14: Living AIDS Cases by Gender, Age Group and Race/Ethnicity.....	11
Table 15: Living AIDS Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition.....	12
Table 16: Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons Living with AIDS.....	13

The AIDS Surveillance Report is published quarterly by the  
San Francisco Department of Public Health, HIV Epidemiology Section  
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94102; Phone (415) 554-9050, FAX (415) 431-0353  
Director of Health: Mitchell Katz, MD; Section Co-Directors: Ling Hsu, MPH, Susan Scheer, PhD, MPH;  
Program Coordinators: Maree Kay Parisi, Viva Delgado, MPH;  
Epidemiologists: Mia Chen, PhD, MPH, Anne Hirozawa, MPH, Alison Hughes, MPH,  
Priscilla Lee Chu, MPH, Sharon Pipkin, MPH, Tara Schubert, MS, Annie Vu, MPH  
*The AIDS Surveillance Report is accessible via internet:*  
[www.sfdph.org/dph/comupg/oprograms/hivepisecc/default.asp](http://www.sfdph.org/dph/comupg/oprograms/hivepisecc/default.asp)

9

# AIDS Surveillance Summary

## San Francisco (as of 03/31/10)

Cumulative cases <sup>1</sup> :	28,477
Cumulative deaths:	19,104


## California (as of 04/30/09)

Cumulative cases:	153,901
Cumulative deaths:	86,396

## United States<sup>2</sup> (as of 12/31/07)

Cumulative cases:	1,051,875
Cumulative deaths:	583,298

### San Francisco AIDS Incidence, Mortality, and Prevalence by Year, 1980-2010<sup>3</sup>



1. Includes SF residents diagnosed in SF and SF residents diagnosed in other jurisdictions. Excludes persons diagnosed in SF who resided in other jurisdictions at the time of their AIDS diagnosis.
2. The US numbers do not represent actual cases or deaths reported. Rather, these numbers are estimated and adjusted for reporting delays. For additional details, see [www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/surveillance/resources/reports](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/surveillance/resources/reports).
3. Reporting for recent year is incomplete. See Table 9 for actual numbers per year.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 1. Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases (>12 years) by Transmission Category, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Transmission Category	No.	(%)
Gay or bisexual male	20943	(73.6)
Heterosexual male injection drug user	1406	( 4.9)
Heterosexual female injection drug user	697	( 2.5)
Gay or bisexual male injection drug user	4079	(14.3)
Lesbian or bisexual injection drug user	60	( 0.2)
Transgender (1)	401	( 1.4)
Hemophiliac	16	( 0.1)
Heterosexual contact male (2)	140	( 0.5)
Heterosexual contact female (2)	307	( 1.1)
Transfusion recipient	143	( 0.5)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	247	( 0.9)
<b>Total</b>	<b>28439</b>	<b>( 100)</b>

**Table 2. AIDS Cases by Gender and Year of Diagnosis, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Gender	Year of Diagnosis					
	< 2000 No. (%)	2000 No. (%)	2001 No. (%)	2002 No. (%)	2003 No. (%)	2004 No. (%)
Male	22774 (95.5)	480 (86.5)	453 (88.3)	442 (89.5)	496 (88.6)	422 (88.3)
Female	822 ( 3.4)	52 ( 9.4)	45 ( 8.8)	35 ( 7.1)	40 ( 7.1)	39 ( 8.2)
Transgender (1)	251 ( 1.1)	23 ( 4.1)	15 ( 2.9)	17 ( 3.4)	24 ( 4.3)	17 ( 3.6)
<b>Total</b>	<b>23847 ( 100)</b>	<b>555 ( 100)</b>	<b>513 ( 100)</b>	<b>494 ( 100)</b>	<b>560 ( 100)</b>	<b>478 ( 100)</b>

Gender	Year of Diagnosis					
	2005 No. (%)	2006 No. (%)	2007 No. (%)	2008 No. (%)	2009 No. (%)	2010 No. (%)
Male	417 (89.5)	392 (90.3)	395 (89.8)	349 (87.7)	251 (89.3)	11 ( 100)
Female	37 ( 7.9)	30 ( 6.9)	31 ( 7.0)	40 (10.1)	23 ( 8.2)	0 ( 0.0)
Transgender (1)	12 ( 2.6)	12 ( 2.8)	14 ( 3.2)	9 ( 2.3)	7 ( 2.5)	0 ( 0.0)
<b>Total</b>	<b>466 ( 100)</b>	<b>434 ( 100)</b>	<b>440 ( 100)</b>	<b>398 ( 100)</b>	<b>281 ( 100)</b>	<b>11 ( 100)</b>

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Data prior to this are incomplete.
- (2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.
- (3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 3. AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Transmission Category (1)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)
<b>Adult/Adolescent</b>					
Gay or bisexual male	16113 (80.0)	1552 (43.2)	2566 (73.8)	690 (77.0)	55 (43.3)
Injection drug user (IDU)	738 ( 3.7)	1036 (28.9)	248 ( 7.1)	39 ( 4.4)	18 (14.2)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	2989 (14.8)	680 (18.9)	454 (13.1)	71 ( 7.9)	46 (36.2)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	27 ( 0.1)	22 ( 0.6)	6 ( 0.2)	2 ( 0.2)	2 ( 1.6)
Hemophiliac	8 ( 0.0)	2 ( 0.1)	5 ( 0.1)	1 ( 0.1)	0 ( 0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	116 ( 0.6)	184 ( 5.1)	102 ( 2.9)	43 ( 4.8)	4 ( 3.1)
Transfusion recipient	68 ( 0.3)	23 ( 0.6)	27 ( 0.8)	19 ( 2.1)	0 ( 0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	81 ( 0.4)	77 ( 2.1)	60 ( 1.7)	26 ( 2.9)	1 ( 0.8)
<b>Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)</b>	8 ( 0.0)	13 ( 0.4)	10 ( 0.3)	5 ( 0.6)	1 ( 0.8)
<b>Total</b>	20148 ( 100)	3589 ( 100)	3478 ( 100)	896 ( 100)	127 ( 100)

**Table 4. AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Asian/Pacific Islander Ethnicity, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Transmission Category (1)	Chinese No. (%)	Japanese No. (%)	Filipino No. (%)	Southeast Asian No. (%)	Korean No. (%)	Pacific Islander No. (%)
<b>Adult/Adolescent</b>						
Gay or bisexual male	153 (80.5)	80 (83.3)	222 (81.0)	64 (73.6)	9 (81.8)	46 (64.8)
Injection drug user (IDU)	6 ( 3.2)	0 ( 0.0)	8 ( 2.9)	4 ( 4.6)	1 ( 9.1)	9 (12.7)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	9 ( 4.7)	12 (12.5)	21 ( 7.7)	7 ( 8.0)	1 ( 9.1)	9 (12.7)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	2 ( 2.8)
Hemophiliac	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 1.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	4 ( 2.1)	1 ( 1.0)	11 ( 4.0)	5 ( 5.7)	0 ( 0.0)	4 ( 5.6)
Transfusion recipient	9 ( 4.7)	1 ( 1.0)	6 ( 2.2)	3 ( 3.4)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	7 ( 3.7)	1 ( 1.0)	5 ( 1.8)	4 ( 4.6)	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 1.4)
<b>Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)</b>	2 ( 1.1)	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 0.4)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)
<b>Total</b>	190 ( 100)	96 ( 100)	274 ( 100)	87 ( 100)	11 ( 100)	71 ( 100)

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Persons with more than one risk factor (other than the combinations listed in the tables) are tabulated only in the most likely transmission category.
- (2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.
- (3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.
- (4) Includes children who have hemophilia or other coagulation disorder, have received a blood transfusion, or who have acquired their infection from an infected mother during the perinatal period.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 5. AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Year of Diagnosis, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Transmission Category (1)	Year of Diagnosis					
	< 2000 No. (%)	2000 No. (%)	2001 No. (%)	2002 No. (%)	2003 No. (%)	2004 No. (%)
<b>Adult/Adolescent</b>						
Gay or bisexual male	18177 (76.2)	337 (60.7)	312 (60.8)	307 (62.1)	363 (64.8)	314 (65.7)
Injection drug user (IDU)	1562 ( 6.6)	85 (15.3)	69 (13.5)	63 (12.8)	77 (13.8)	51 (10.7)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	3499 (14.7)	101 (18.2)	90 (17.5)	96 (19.4)	84 (15.0)	85 (17.8)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	38 ( 0.2)	3 ( 0.5)	3 ( 0.6)	2 ( 0.4)	3 ( 0.5)	1 ( 0.2)
Hemophiliac	15 ( 0.1)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	256 ( 1.1)	19 ( 3.4)	17 ( 3.3)	15 ( 3.0)	21 ( 3.8)	14 ( 2.9)
Transfusion recipient	141 ( 0.6)	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 0.2)	1 ( 0.2)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	124 ( 0.5)	9 ( 1.6)	21 ( 4.1)	9 ( 1.8)	11 ( 2.0)	13 ( 2.7)
<b>Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)</b>	<b>35 ( 0.1)</b>	<b>1 ( 0.2)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>	<b>1 ( 0.2)</b>	<b>1 ( 0.2)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>23847 ( 100)</b>	<b>555 ( 100)</b>	<b>513 ( 100)</b>	<b>494 ( 100)</b>	<b>560 ( 100)</b>	<b>478 ( 100)</b>

Transmission Category (1)	Year of Diagnosis					
	2005 No. (%)	2006 No. (%)	2007 No. (%)	2008 No. (%)	2009 No. (%)	2010 No. (%)
<b>Adult/Adolescent</b>						
Gay or bisexual male	295 (63.3)	286 (65.9)	289 (65.7)	250 (62.8)	184 (65.5)	6 (54.5)
Injection drug user (IDU)	54 (11.6)	41 ( 9.4)	42 ( 9.5)	32 ( 8.0)	33 (11.7)	0 ( 0.0)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	82 (17.6)	76 (17.5)	68 (15.5)	70 (17.6)	37 (13.2)	4 (36.4)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	3 ( 0.6)	2 ( 0.5)	0 ( 0.0)	3 ( 0.8)	2 ( 0.7)	0 ( 0.0)
Hemophiliac	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 0.4)	0 ( 0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	18 ( 3.9)	20 ( 4.6)	32 ( 7.3)	25 ( 6.3)	14 ( 5.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Transfusion recipient	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	14 ( 3.0)	9 ( 2.1)	9 ( 2.0)	18 ( 4.5)	10 ( 3.6)	1 ( 9.1)
<b>Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>466 ( 100)</b>	<b>434 ( 100)</b>	<b>440 ( 100)</b>	<b>398 ( 100)</b>	<b>281 ( 100)</b>	<b>11 ( 100)</b>

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Persons with more than one risk factor (other than the combinations listed in the tables) are tabulated only in the most likely transmission category.
- (2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.
- (3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.
- (4) Includes children who have hemophilia or other coagulation disorder, have received a blood transfusion, or who have acquired their infection from an infected mother during the perinatal period.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 6. AIDS Cases by Gender, Age Group and Race/Ethnicity, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Male Age at Diagnosis (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)
0 - 12	4 ( 0.0)	4 ( 0.1)	5 ( 0.2)	4 ( 0.5)	1 ( 0.9)	19 ( 0.1)
13 - 19	12 ( 0.1)	1 ( 0.0)	13 ( 0.4)	1 ( 0.1)	2 ( 1.8)	30 ( 0.1)
20 - 24	305 ( 1.6)	69 ( 2.4)	117 ( 3.7)	24 ( 3.0)	5 ( 4.5)	523 ( 1.9)
25 - 29	1660 ( 8.4)	246 ( 8.4)	449 (14.1)	83 (10.4)	22 (20.0)	2488 ( 9.3)
30 - 39	8797 (44.8)	1155 (39.5)	1512 (47.4)	357 (44.8)	52 (47.3)	11970 (44.5)
40 - 49	6455 (32.8)	978 (33.5)	798 (25.0)	244 (30.6)	23 (20.9)	8554 (31.8)
50 - 59	1941 ( 9.9)	370 (12.7)	235 ( 7.4)	63 ( 7.9)	4 ( 3.6)	2635 ( 9.8)
60 +	480 ( 2.4)	99 ( 3.4)	58 ( 1.8)	21 ( 2.6)	1 ( 0.9)	663 ( 2.5)
<b>Male subtotal</b>	<b>19654 ( 100)</b>	<b>2922 ( 100)</b>	<b>3187 ( 100)</b>	<b>797 ( 100)</b>	<b>110 ( 100)</b>	<b>26882 ( 100)</b>
Female Age at Diagnosis (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)
0 - 12	4 ( 1.0)	9 ( 1.7)	5 ( 2.9)	1 ( 1.6)	0 ( 0.0)	19 ( 1.6)
13 - 19	1 ( 0.3)	2 ( 0.4)	2 ( 1.2)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	5 ( 0.4)
20 - 24	15 ( 3.9)	10 ( 1.8)	10 ( 5.9)	4 ( 6.3)	1 ( 7.1)	40 ( 3.4)
25 - 29	41 (10.7)	45 ( 8.3)	24 (14.1)	11 (17.5)	1 ( 7.1)	124 (10.4)
30 - 39	152 (39.6)	203 (37.4)	59 (34.7)	25 (39.7)	8 (57.1)	454 (38.0)
40 - 49	103 (26.8)	187 (34.4)	43 (25.3)	15 (23.8)	4 (28.6)	357 (29.9)
50 - 59	39 (10.2)	65 (12.0)	15 ( 8.8)	4 ( 6.3)	0 ( 0.0)	126 (10.6)
60 +	29 ( 7.6)	22 ( 4.1)	12 ( 7.1)	3 ( 4.8)	0 ( 0.0)	69 ( 5.8)
<b>Female subtotal</b>	<b>384 ( 100)</b>	<b>543 ( 100)</b>	<b>170 ( 100)</b>	<b>63 ( 100)</b>	<b>14 ( 100)</b>	<b>1194 ( 100)</b>
Transgender (2) Age at Diagnosis (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)	
13 - 29	22 (20.0)	27 (21.8)	33 (27.3)	15 (38.5)	97 (24.2)	
30 - 39	53 (48.2)	47 (37.9)	57 (47.1)	17 (43.6)	178 (44.4)	
40 +	35 (31.8)	50 (40.3)	31 (25.6)	7 (17.9)	126 (31.4)	
<b>Transgender subtotal</b>	<b>110 ( 100)</b>	<b>124 ( 100)</b>	<b>121 ( 100)</b>	<b>39 ( 100)</b>	<b>401 ( 100)</b>	

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Total includes persons with multiple or unknown race.

(2) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Certain age or race/ethnic groups are combined for transgender cases because of small number.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 7. AIDS Cases by Race/Ethnicity and Year of Diagnosis, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Race/Ethnicity	Year of Diagnosis					
	< 2000 No. (%)	2000 No. (%)	2001 No. (%)	2002 No. (%)	2003 No. (%)	2004 No. (%)
White	17579 (73.7)	317 (57.1)	297 (57.9)	292 (59.1)	283 (50.5)	259 (54.2)
African American	2739 (11.5)	112 (20.2)	97 (18.9)	87 (17.6)	104 (18.6)	78 (16.3)
Latino	2639 (11.1)	93 (16.8)	73 (14.2)	76 (15.4)	126 (22.5)	110 (23.0)
Asian/Pacific Islander	619 ( 2.6)	25 ( 4.5)	34 ( 6.6)	30 ( 6.1)	35 ( 6.3)	21 ( 4.4)
Native American	93 ( 0.4)	2 ( 0.4)	5 ( 1.0)	2 ( 0.4)	6 ( 1.1)	4 ( 0.8)
<b>Total (1)</b>	<b>23847 ( 100)</b>	<b>555 ( 100)</b>	<b>513 ( 100)</b>	<b>494 ( 100)</b>	<b>560 ( 100)</b>	<b>478 ( 100)</b>

Race/Ethnicity	Year of Diagnosis					
	2005 No. (%)	2006 No. (%)	2007 No. (%)	2008 No. (%)	2009 No. (%)	2010 No. (%)
White	270 (57.9)	243 (56.0)	252 (57.3)	206 (51.8)	143 (50.9)	7 (63.6)
African American	80 (17.2)	80 (18.4)	79 (18.0)	77 (19.3)	55 (19.6)	1 ( 9.1)
Latino	86 (18.5)	77 (17.7)	73 (16.6)	66 (16.6)	56 (19.9)	3 (27.3)
Asian/Pacific Islander	24 ( 5.2)	23 ( 5.3)	29 ( 6.6)	39 ( 9.8)	17 ( 6.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Native American	3 ( 0.6)	6 ( 1.4)	0 ( 0.0)	3 ( 0.8)	3 ( 1.1)	0 ( 0.0)
<b>Total (1)</b>	<b>466 ( 100)</b>	<b>434 ( 100)</b>	<b>440 ( 100)</b>	<b>398 ( 100)</b>	<b>281 ( 100)</b>	<b>11 ( 100)</b>

**Table 8. AIDS Cases and Cumulative Rates per 100,000 by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, San Francisco**

Race/Ethnicity	Male		Female		Total (2)	
	No.	(Rate)	No.	(Rate)	No.	(Rate)
White	19654	(11357.2)	384	( 234.1)	20038	( 5943.9)
African American	2922	( 7839.0)	543	( 1389.9)	3465	( 4538.7)
Latino	3187	( 6210.9)	170	( 344.1)	3357	( 3333.1)
Asian/Pacific Islander	797	( 807.2)	63	( 58.9)	860	( 418.1)
Native American	110	( 8005.8)	14	( 1110.2)	124	( 4705.9)
<b>Total (1)</b>	<b>26882</b>	<b>( 7415.8)</b>	<b>1194</b>	<b>( 330.3)</b>	<b>28076</b>	<b>( 3878.1)</b>

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Total includes persons with multiple or unknown race.

(2) Transgender cases were excluded because population size for transgender can not be determined for rates calculation.



**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 9. AIDS Incidence, Mortality, and Prevalence by Year, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Year	Number of Cases Reported per Year	Number of Cases Diagnosed per Year (1)	Number of Deaths Occurred per Year (1)	Number of Persons Living with AIDS (1)
1980	0	3	0	3
1981	21	26	8	21
1982	75	99	32	88
1983	197	274	111	251
1984	451	557	273	535
1985	673	859	534	860
1986	981	1236	807	1289
1987	1287	1629	877	2041
1988	1408	1763	1038	2766
1989	1585	2162	1275	3653
1990	1686	2046	1364	4335
1991	1685	2284	1505	5114
1992	1638	2327	1641	5800
1993	4271	2073	1599	6274
1994	1915	1787	1592	6469
1995	1633	1564	1481	6552
1996	1242	1081	987	6646
1997	1060	804	422	7028
1998	795	695	401	7322
1999	724	578	356	7544
2000	626	555	348	7751
2001	497	513	322	7942
2002	440	494	323	8113
2003	533	560	301	8372
2004	557	478	305	8545
2005	500	466	312	8699
2006	424	434	288	8845
2007	538	440	267	9018
2008	560	398	174	9242
2009	359	281	146	9377
2010	116	11	15	9373
<b>Total</b>	<b>28477</b>	<b>28477</b>	<b>19104</b>	

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Data in recent years is incomplete due to delay in cases/deaths reporting.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 10. Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

Initial AIDS-Defining Condition	Def. (1) No.	Pres. (2) No.	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(3)]	8	N/A (4)	8 ( 0.0)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	25	N/A	25 ( 0.1)
Candidiasis of esophagus	302	226	528 ( 1.9)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	5	N/A	5 ( 0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	8	N/A	8 ( 0.0)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	380	N/A	380 ( 1.3)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	334	N/A	334 ( 1.2)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	204	N/A	204 ( 0.7)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	0	113	113 ( 0.4)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	391	N/A	391 ( 1.4)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	99	N/A	99 ( 0.3)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	26	N/A	26 ( 0.1)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	21	N/A	21 ( 0.1)
Kaposi's sarcoma (5)	2551	293	2844 (10.0)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	4	0	4 ( 0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	106	N/A	106 ( 0.4)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	419	N/A	419 ( 1.5)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (5)	40	N/A	40 ( 0.1)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	257	3	260 ( 0.9)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	205	15	220 ( 0.8)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	144	5	149 ( 0.5)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	16	11	27 ( 0.1)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	5096	602	5698 (20.0)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	220	27	247 ( 0.9)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	50	N/A	50 ( 0.2)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	8	N/A	8 ( 0.0)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	43	200	243 ( 0.9)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	683	N/A	683 ( 2.4)
CD4 T lymphocyte count <200 or percent <14 [HIV+]	15333	N/A	15333 (53.8)
Any AIDS indicator condition and HIV-negative and CD4 count <400 (6)	4	N/A	4 ( 0.0)
<b>Total</b>	<b>26982</b>	<b>1495</b>	<b>28477 ( 100)</b>

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Indicator conditions diagnosed definitively (e.g. culture or biopsy proven).

(2) Indicator conditions diagnosed presumptively in a person who has laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(3) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(4) N/A: Conditions which require definitive diagnoses only.

(5) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.

(6) In the absence of other causes of immunocompromise.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 11. Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons with AIDS, San Francisco, 1980-2010**

AIDS Indicator Condition (1)	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(2)]	11 (0.0)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	2550 (9.0)
Candidiasis of esophagus	137 (0.5)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	11 (0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	2447 (8.6)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	2486 (8.7)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	61 (0.2)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	1857 (6.5)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	1373 (4.8)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	434 (1.5)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	158 (0.6)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	2477 (8.7)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	67 (0.2)
Kaposi's sarcoma (3)	6704 (23.5)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	5 (0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	390 (1.4)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	542 (1.9)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (3)	1130 (4.0)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	5073 (17.8)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	332 (1.2)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	478 (1.7)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	654 (2.3)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	10975 (38.5)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	309 (1.1)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	1004 (3.5)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	58 (0.2)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	1158 (4.1)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	4328 (15.2)

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Cases may have more than one condition.

(2) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(3) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 12. Living Adult/Adolescent AIDS Cases (>12 years) by Transmission Category, San Francisco**

Transmission Category	No.	(%)
Gay or bisexual male	6709	(71.7)
Heterosexual male injection drug user	463	( 4.9)
Heterosexual female injection drug user	281	( 3.0)
Gay or bisexual male injection drug user	1269	(13.6)
Lesbian or bisexual injection drug user	27	( 0.3)
Transgender (1)	194	( 2.1)
Hemophiliac	5	( 0.1)
Heterosexual contact male (2)	87	( 0.9)
Heterosexual contact female (2)	178	( 1.9)
Transfusion recipient	20	( 0.2)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	126	( 1.3)
<b>Total</b>	<b>9359</b>	<b>( 100)</b>

**Table 13. Living AIDS Cases by Transmission Category and Race/Ethnicity, San Francisco**

Transmission Category	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)
<b>Adult/Adolescent</b>					
Gay or bisexual male	4701 (78.9)	547 (41.9)	1138 (75.0)	358 (75.7)	25 (43.9)
Injection drug user (IDU)	268 ( 4.5)	355 (27.2)	88 ( 5.8)	18 ( 3.8)	10 (17.5)
Gay or bisexual male IDU	871 (14.6)	240 (18.4)	180 (11.9)	40 ( 8.5)	17 (29.8)
Lesbian or bisexual IDU	12 ( 0.2)	12 ( 0.9)	1 ( 0.1)	1 ( 0.2)	1 ( 1.8)
Hemophiliac	3 ( 0.1)	2 ( 0.2)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)
Heterosexual (2)	56 ( 0.9)	105 ( 8.0)	71 ( 4.7)	32 ( 6.8)	3 ( 5.3)
Transfusion recipient	7 ( 0.1)	2 ( 0.2)	5 ( 0.3)	6 ( 1.3)	0 ( 0.0)
Risk not reported/Other (3)	42 ( 0.7)	40 ( 3.1)	29 ( 1.9)	15 ( 3.2)	0 ( 0.0)
<b>Pediatric (0-12 years) (4)</b>	<b>0 ( 0.0)</b>	<b>4 ( 0.3)</b>	<b>5 ( 0.3)</b>	<b>3 ( 0.6)</b>	<b>1 ( 1.8)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>5960 ( 100)</b>	<b>1307 ( 100)</b>	<b>1517 ( 100)</b>	<b>473 ( 100)</b>	<b>57 ( 100)</b>

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Data prior to this are incomplete.
- (2) Includes persons who have had heterosexual contact with a person with HIV/AIDS or with a person who is at risk for HIV.
- (3) Includes persons for whom risk information is incomplete (due to death, refusal to be interviewed or loss to follow-up), cases still under investigation, or interviewed patients who offered no plausible risk for HIV.
- (4) Includes children who have hemophilia or other coagulation disorder, have received a blood transfusion, or who have acquired their infection from an infected mother during the perinatal period.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report**  
**Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\***  
**Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 14. Living AIDS Cases by Gender, Race/Ethnicity and Age as of 03/31/2010, San Francisco**

Male Current Age (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)
0 - 12	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.0)
13 - 19	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.0)
20 - 24	7 (0.1)	6 (0.6)	10 (0.7)	3 (0.7)	2 (4.2)	29 (0.3)
25 - 29	41 (0.7)	11 (1.1)	36 (2.6)	7 (1.7)	3 (6.3)	100 (1.2)
30 - 39	405 (7.0)	81 (8.0)	207 (15.2)	77 (18.9)	2 (4.2)	790 (9.1)
40 - 49	2055 (35.7)	389 (38.6)	620 (45.5)	161 (39.5)	28 (58.3)	3271 (37.8)
50 - 59	2264 (39.3)	369 (36.6)	362 (26.6)	114 (27.9)	11 (22.9)	3132 (36.2)
60 +	991 (17.2)	152 (15.1)	125 (9.2)	46 (11.3)	2 (4.2)	1318 (15.2)
<b>Male subtotal</b>	<b>5763 (100)</b>	<b>1009 (100)</b>	<b>1362 (100)</b>	<b>408 (100)</b>	<b>48 (100)</b>	<b>8644 (100)</b>
Female Current Age (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander No. (%)	Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)
0 - 12	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
13 - 19	0 (0.0)	2 (0.8)	3 (3.3)	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	6 (1.1)
20 - 24	0 (0.0)	2 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.4)
25 - 29	3 (2.0)	5 (2.1)	4 (4.3)	4 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	16 (3.0)
30 - 39	15 (9.8)	30 (12.6)	19 (20.7)	10 (25.0)	2 (28.6)	78 (14.6)
40 - 49	74 (48.4)	71 (29.8)	28 (30.4)	13 (32.5)	2 (28.6)	190 (35.5)
50 - 59	44 (28.8)	101 (42.4)	25 (27.2)	8 (20.0)	3 (42.9)	182 (34.0)
60 +	17 (11.1)	27 (11.3)	13 (14.1)	4 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	61 (11.4)
<b>Female subtotal</b>	<b>153 (100)</b>	<b>238 (100)</b>	<b>92 (100)</b>	<b>40 (100)</b>	<b>7 (100)</b>	<b>535 (100)</b>
Transgender (2) Current Age (Years)	White No. (%)	African American No. (%)	Latino No. (%)	Asian/ Pacific Islander Native American No. (%)	Total (1) No. (%)	
13 - 39	9 (20.5)	12 (20.0)	20 (31.7)	9 (33.3)	50 (25.8)	
40 +	35 (79.5)	48 (80.0)	43 (68.3)	18 (66.7)	144 (74.2)	
<b>Transgender subtotal</b>	<b>44 (100)</b>	<b>60 (100)</b>	<b>63 (100)</b>	<b>27 (100)</b>	<b>194 (100)</b>	

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Total includes persons with multiple or unknown race.

(2) Transgender information was collected since September 1996. Certain age or race/ethnic groups are combined for transgender cases because of small number.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 15. Living AIDS Cases by Initial AIDS-Defining Condition, San Francisco**

Initial AIDS-Defining Condition	Def. (1) No.	Pres. (2) No.	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(3)]	7	N/A(4)	7 ( 0.1)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	6	N/A	6 ( 0.1)
Candidiasis of esophagus	52	53	105 ( 1.1)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	0	N/A	0 ( 0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	1	N/A	1 ( 0.0)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	47	N/A	47 ( 0.5)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	106	N/A	106 ( 1.1)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	22	N/A	22 ( 0.2)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	0	21	21 ( 0.2)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	58	N/A	58 ( 0.6)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	15	N/A	15 ( 0.2)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	9	N/A	9 ( 0.1)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	7	N/A	7 ( 0.1)
Kaposi's sarcoma (5)	321	67	388 ( 4.1)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	2	0	2 ( 0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	22	N/A	22 ( 0.2)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	47	N/A	47 ( 0.5)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (5)	1	N/A	1 ( 0.0)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	19	0	19 ( 0.2)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	72	7	79 ( 0.8)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	37	2	39 ( 0.4)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	2	2	4 ( 0.0)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	410	142	552 ( 5.9)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	72	10	82 ( 0.9)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	0	N/A	0 ( 0.0)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	1	N/A	1 ( 0.0)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	8	21	29 ( 0.3)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	130	N/A	130 ( 1.4)
CD4 T lymphocyte count <200 or percent <14 [HIV+]	7573	N/A	7573 (80.8)
Any AIDS indicator condition and HIV-negative and CD4 count <400 (6)	1	N/A	1 ( 0.0)
<b>Total</b>	<b>9048</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>9373 ( 100)</b>

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

- (1) Indicator conditions diagnosed definitively (e.g. culture or biopsy proven).
- (2) Indicator conditions diagnosed presumptively in a person who has laboratory evidence of HIV infection.
- (3) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.
- (4) N/A: Conditions which require definitive diagnoses only.
- (5) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.
- (6) In the absence of other causes of immunocompromise.

**Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) Quarterly Surveillance Report  
Summary of San Francisco Residents with AIDS\*  
Reported as of 03/31/2010**

**Table 16. Cumulative AIDS Indicator Conditions among Persons Living with AIDS, San Francisco**

AIDS Indicator Condition (1)	Total No. (%)
Bacterial infections, recurrent, <13 years [HIV+(2)]	10 ( 0.1)
Candidiasis of bronchi, trachea, or lungs	445 ( 4.7)
Candidiasis of esophagus	22 ( 0.2)
Cervical cancer, invasive [HIV+]	1 ( 0.0)
Coccidioidomycosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	204 ( 2.2)
Cryptococcosis, extrapulmonary	197 ( 2.1)
Cryptosporidiosis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration)	10 ( 0.1)
Cytomegalovirus (except liver, spleen, lymph nodes), >1 month of age	271 ( 2.9)
CMV retinitis with loss of vision [HIV+]	291 ( 3.1)
HIV encephalopathy [HIV+]	83 ( 0.9)
Herpes simplex: chronic (>1 mo.), bronchitis, pneumonitis, esophagitis	26 ( 0.3)
Histoplasmosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	189 ( 2.0)
Isosporiasis, intestinal (>1 mo. duration) [HIV+]	15 ( 0.2)
Kaposi's sarcoma (3)	879 ( 9.4)
Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia/pulmonary lymphoid hyperplasia, <13 years	2 ( 0.0)
Lymphoma, Burkitt's (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	13 ( 0.1)
Lymphoma, immunoblastic (non-Hodgkin's) [HIV+]	60 ( 0.6)
Lymphoma, primary in brain (3)	115 ( 1.2)
Mycobacterium avium complex or M. kansasii, disseminated or extrapulmonary	305 ( 3.3)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, pulmonary [HIV+]	38 ( 0.4)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	100 ( 1.1)
Mycobacterium other species, disseminated or extrapulmonary [HIV+]	183 ( 2.0)
Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia	1396 (14.9)
Pneumonia, recurrent [HIV+]	13 ( 0.1)
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy	226 ( 2.4)
Salmonella sepsis, recurrent [HIV+]	4 ( 0.0)
Toxoplasmosis of brain, >1 month of age	83 ( 0.9)
Wasting syndrome [HIV+]	610 ( 6.5)

\* Residents of San Francisco at time of initial AIDS diagnosis.

(1) Cases may have more than one condition.

(2) [HIV+]: Indicator conditions that require laboratory evidence of HIV infection.

(3) Laboratory evidence of HIV infection in persons > 60 years of age.

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISOR  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 20 PM 1:40

BY AK

# City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor

## SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT:

The Property Control Unit Can Account for the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls



May 20, 2010

10



RECEIVED  
NOV 13 2003  
CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
CITY SERVICES AUDITOR**

The City Services Auditor was created within the Controller's Office through an amendment to the City Charter that was approved by voters in November 2003. Under Appendix F to the City Charter, the City Services Auditor has broad authority for:

- Reporting on the level and effectiveness of San Francisco's public services and benchmarking the city to other public agencies and jurisdictions.
- Conducting financial and performance audits of city departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of processes and services.
- Operating a whistleblower hotline and website and investigating reports of waste, fraud, and abuse of city resources.
- Ensuring the financial integrity and improving the overall performance and efficiency of city government.

The audits unit conducts financial audits, attestation engagements, and performance audits. Financial audits address the financial integrity of both city departments and contractors and provide reasonable assurance about whether financial statements are presented fairly in all material aspects in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Attestation engagements examine, review, or perform procedures on a broad range of subjects such as internal controls; compliance with requirements of specified laws, regulations, rules, contracts, or grants; and the reliability of performance measures. Performance audits focus primarily on assessment of city services and processes, providing recommendations to improve department operations.

We conduct our audits in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards published by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). These standards require:

- Independence of audit staff and the audit organization.
- Objectivity of the auditors performing the work.
- Competent staff, including continuing professional education.
- Quality control procedures to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the auditing standards.

Audit Team: Cynthia Chavez, Associate Auditor  
Annie Cheng, Associate Auditor  
Rob Malone, Associate Auditor



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Monique Zmuda  
Deputy Controller

May 20, 2010

Police Commission  
Thomas J. Cahill Hall of Justice  
850 Bryant Street Room 505  
San Francisco, CA 94103-4603

Chief George Gascón  
Thomas J. Cahill Hall of Justice  
850 Bryant Street Room 525  
San Francisco, CA 94103-4603

Dear Chief Gascón:

The Controller's Office, City Services Auditor, presents its audit report regarding the San Francisco Police Department's (department) Property Control Unit (PCU). The audit found that:

- The PCU can account for all monetary evidence in its inventory and there is no indication of any misappropriation of monetary evidence.
- The department needs to clarify and expand upon its authoritative guidance (Department General Orders and Bulletins) that govern the packaging and sealing of monetary evidence envelopes.
- The department's Property Evidence Tracking System (PETS) does not contain an accurate record of the total dollar value of monetary evidence held at the PCU because the system does not allow the dollar amount field to be edited, which prevents PCU staff from correcting known discrepancies.
- PETS is an antiquated system that does not provide system security that is consistent with current industry standards.
- Oversight of PCU can be improved by adopting better segregation of money-handling duties and requiring the PCU to submit routine reports to department management regarding the status of the unit's inventory of monetary evidence.
- PETS records showed that monetary evidence envelopes containing a total of \$252,354, had exceeded the 15-month hold and destruction cycle.

This report includes 19 recommendations for the San Francisco Police Department. The department's response to the audit is attached as Appendix A. We appreciate the assistance and cooperation that PCU and other department staff provided to us during the audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Tonia Lediju  
Director of Audits

cc: Mayor  
Board of Supervisors  
Civil Grand Jury  
Budget Analyst  
Public Library

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Introduction .....	1
<b>Chapter 1 – The Property Control Unit Can Account for the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls .....</b>	<b>7</b>
Finding 1.1. Department officers did not consistently follow established procedures to ensure monetary evidence envelopes were properly packaged and sealed.....	7
Finding 1.2. PETS does not accurately report the dollar value of monetary evidence in the PCU safes.....	9
Finding 1.3. The amounts recorded on monetary evidence envelopes are not always accurate .....	10
Finding 1.4. The department needs to improve its procedures for sealing monetary evidence envelopes .....	11
<b>Chapter 2 – The Department Needs to Strengthen Controls over Access to Electronic Data .....</b>	<b>13</b>
Finding 2.1. Access control to property evidence data can be strengthened .....	13
Finding 2.2. The PCU does not conduct routine reviews to ensure it has a current list of authorized PETS users .....	14
<b>Chapter 3 – The Property Control Unit Needs to Improve and Update Its Policies and Procedures for Handling Monetary Evidence .....</b>	<b>15</b>
Finding 3.1. The PCU does not maintain current policies and procedures for handling monetary evidence .....	15
Finding 3.2. The PCU conducts monthly reviews of aged items but does not perform routine annual reviews.....	16
<b>Chapter 4 – The Department Should Improve Its Oversight and Monitoring of Receipt and Storage of Money Evidence .....</b>	<b>19</b>
Finding 4.1. The department and the PCU have inadequate segregation of money handling duties.....	19

Finding 4.2. Additional training in monetary evidence handling  
needs to be provided .....20

Finding 4.3. The PCU produces no routine management reports .....21

**Appendix A – Department Response..... A-1**

## **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

---

The following acronyms are used throughout the audit report:

DT	Department of Technology
PCU	Property Control Unit
PETS	Property Evidence Tracking System
SFPD	San Francisco Police Department (department)

*Page intentionally left blank.*

## INTRODUCTION

---

### Audit Authority

The City Charter provides the Controller, City Services Auditor (CSA), with broad authority to conduct audits. This audit was conducted under that authority and pursuant to a request from the Office of the Chief of Police of the San Francisco Police Department.

### Background

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD, department) is organized broadly into four offices: Chief of Police, Chief of Staff, Operations, and Administrative Services. The Office of Administrative Services is headed by an Assistant Chief and is comprised of several divisions that provide support to the department. Among these divisions are Forensic Services, Training, Technology, and Support Services. The Property Control Unit (PCU) reports to the director of the Support Services division. The PCU is led by a lieutenant who is responsible for 16 uniform and civilian department employees.

*The Property Control Unit manages evidence processing, storage, and disposal*

The PCU is charged with receiving, storing, maintaining, and the disposal of all evidence, found property, and property for safekeeping in a secure facility. PCU staff pick up monetary evidence from all district stations on a daily basis. The PCU maintains two secured facilities to store booked<sup>1</sup> evidence. Its primary facility is located at the Hall of Justice, and a secondary storage facility is located in the City's Bayview district.

The PCU's primary storage facility consists of various rooms and areas for storing different types of property and evidence, including bicycles, suitcases, narcotics, guns, money, and jewelry seized during the course of police investigations.

*Published department guidance establishes evidence-handling rules*

The department issues two types of internal authoritative documents to department members regarding its policies and procedures: Department General Orders and Bulletins. Department General Orders guide the day-to-day duties of department members, and they include both policies and procedures of the department and rules governing conduct. Department General Orders are the most authoritative

---

<sup>1</sup> Property that has been processed by department staff into the property evidence system.



directives issued to department members. They can only be adopted following a public hearing and subsequent approval by the Police Commission. Department General Orders remain in effect until they are amended, superseded, or rescinded. Bulletins can include other policies and procedures that must be followed by department members. Moreover, they may supplement or amend rules contained in Department General Orders. Bulletins are issued by the Chief of Police, and remain in effect for two years following their date of issuance.

Department General Order 6.02, Physical Evidence and Crime Scenes, defines physical evidence as anything that has been used, left, removed, altered or contaminated during the commission of the crime by victim(s) or suspect(s).<sup>2</sup>

Department General Order 6.15 states that property is divided into four major categories:

1. Property for identification that consists of evidence (property or money that is related or possibly related to a crime, except narcotics), non-evidence (found property and property for safe keeping)
2. Narcotics
3. Volatile or inflammable substances
4. Destructive devices

*Discrete rules are in place that govern the handling of monetary evidence*

This audit examined only the portion of property that consists of evidence and non-evidence that has monetary value. Evidence and non-evidence with significant monetary value (monetary evidence) includes currency, coins, precious metals, and jewelry. Monetary evidence is a unique category of evidence that is handled by the PCU and department members according to specific policies and procedures, which are outlined in both a subsection of General Order 6.15 and in the PCU's policies and procedures.

Monetary evidence is packaged in specially printed storage envelopes. Police officers record required information on the front of the envelope, including their names and badge

---

<sup>2</sup> Physical evidence as defined by Department General Order 6.02 is referred to as "evidence" in this report.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

numbers, the case number, incident date, type of offense, and other applicable information. The monetary evidence must include a count of each denomination of currency, or a description of any non-currency item that is enclosed in the envelope. This report refers to all such property as monetary evidence.

*PCU staff are responsible for receiving, logging, storing, securing, and eventually disposing of monetary evidence*

PCU staff do not package monetary evidence envelopes. Monetary evidence envelopes are packaged and sealed at the district station that initiates the case with which the evidence is associated. Any envelopes initiated at a station during a given day are packaged, sealed, logged, and stored under lock until picked up by PCU staff. PCU's unit-specific procedures for the handling of monetary evidence begin at the point that a PCU staff member accepts the sealed envelopes from the district stations. At the time of pickup, PCU staff reserve the right to refuse to accept an envelope if staff determines the envelope is not properly packaged or sealed.

PCU staff log new monetary evidence envelopes into two separate systems: the Property Evidence Tracking System (PETS) and manual log books. PCU staff create a new PETS record, into which they enter the information written on the front of the envelope when it first arrives at the unit. PCU staff also make PETS entries when envelopes are signed out, released, or disposed. The second system is a manual set of log books that are used to record the initial arrival of an envelope into PCU's custody and any check-ins and check-outs of an envelope between the time it is first received and the time it is disposed or released. Once the information has been logged, PCU staff file the envelopes in one of two safes maintained by the unit. An envelope is disposed when its contents are either authorized for release or officially become classified as unclaimed. Unclaimed money is deposited by the department into the City's general fund.

PCU is responsible for routinely reviewing the disposition of all monetary evidence envelopes in the department's inventory. PCU procedures include three routine reviews:

- *Monthly:* PCU distributes to relevant departmental units a PETS report that includes a listing of all monetary evidence envelopes that PCU has been holding for

exactly 15 months. The officer or inspector assigned to each case indicates on this report whether the envelope should be held or disposed, and the report is returned to the PCU.

- *Annually:* PCU is required to review the status of all envelopes that are on "hold" status. PCU procedures do not detail how this annual review should be conducted.
- *Inventory:* According to PCU, once per calendar year, PCU staff conduct an inventory of all monetary evidence envelopes to confirm that all envelopes shown in the PETS system are actually in PCU safes.

## Objectives

The purpose of this audit was to determine whether:

- The physical inventory of monetary property/evidence envelopes, held at the PCU's facility, included all items reported in the property inventory report from PETS, the department's Property Evidence Tracking System for items stored at the Hall of Justice.
- Officers are properly packaging monetary property/evidence to ensure its integrity and credibility.
- The PCU has adequate internal controls and policies and procedures over safeguarding of monetary evidence envelopes received, stored, and maintained by its staff.

## Scope and Methodology

The audit team conducted an inventory count of all the monetary evidence envelopes contained in the PCU's inventory, as of a PETS report dated April 9, 2010. According to PETS records, the inventory included envelopes received by the PCU between 1983 and 2010. The review was primarily conducted after business hours to avoid disruptions to the PCU. During this review, the auditors:

- Inspected each individual envelope and noted the following: the indicated dollar value, the type of incident that occurred (narcotics, robbery, homicide, found property, etc.), and whether the envelope was packaged and sealed according to both departmental procedures and best practices in public safety evidence

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

handling.

- Confirmed whether each inspected envelope was included in the PETS report.
- Observed PCU staff as they opened and counted the monetary contents of a sample of envelopes from the inventory.

Subsequent to the inventory of all monetary evidence in the PCU's inventory, the audit team:

- Assessed the PCU's monetary property/evidence handling controls through review of written policies and procedures and interviews of PCU staff.
- Assessed the adequacy of PETS access controls.
- Evaluated the risks associated with identified weaknesses and deficiencies in the PCU's monetary evidence handling controls.

This performance audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. These standards require planning and performing the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. The audit team believes that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives.

*Page intentionally left blank.*

## **CHAPTER 1 – The Property Control Unit Can Account for the Monetary Evidence in its Inventory but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls**

---

### **THE PCU ACCOUNTED FOR ITS INVENTORY OF MONETARY EVIDENCE**

As of April 9, 2010, the Property Evidence Tracking System (PETS) documented that the PCU had 7,300 envelopes in its possession that contained monetary evidence. The auditors accounted for the 7,300 envelopes and found no indication that any monetary evidence had been misappropriated.

Auditors physically counted 7,225 monetary evidence envelopes during the inventory count; 75 items were subsequently accounted for as either released or signed-out to an officer or an inspector. The auditors did not physically open any envelopes to confirm whether the amounts of cash recorded as being in the envelopes was correct. In addition to confirming the existence of each envelope, auditors inspected each envelope to determine whether the envelope was packaged and sealed in compliance with procedures stated in Department General Order 6.15, dated July 27, 1994.

Department General Order 6.15 requires that officers package monetary evidence in dedicated envelopes with the amounts written in both figures and words by the submitting officer. It mandates that both the officer packaging the money and the station keeper sign their initials and star (badge) numbers on the back flap of the envelope, and that the envelope is sealed with transparent tape. The auditors found that department officers did not consistently follow these procedures when packaging and sealing money evidence envelopes.

#### **Finding 1.1**


**Department officers did not consistently follow established procedures to ensure monetary evidence envelopes were properly packaged and sealed.**

Of 7,225 envelopes counted, 2,769 (38.3 percent) were not properly packaged and sealed by department officers in accordance with Department General Order 6.15. For example, envelopes lacked initials and star numbers, and were not properly sealed with transparent tape. A number

of envelopes had more than one of these compliance issues. The Exhibit summarizes the results of the compliance review.

**EXHIBIT**

**Inventory count statistics**



Note: Compliance review did not include the 75 envelopes that were signed out or released.

Source: Auditor analysis

The PCU also has its own written procedures for transferring monetary evidence envelopes from district stations to the PCU for safekeeping. According to its procedures, PCU personnel are to carefully examine the envelopes to ensure they are properly packaged and sealed. If they are not, the PCU has the right to refuse to accept the envelope. Nevertheless, the PCU accepted many envelopes (38.3 percent) that were not properly packaged and sealed. The auditors did not conduct a detailed review of individual non-compliant envelopes to determine why PCU did not refuse to accept them. However, the auditors did verify that PCU's procedures do reference a "right of refusal" policy, but the policy is not

documented in writing.

In addition to reviewing whether envelopes were sealed according to departmental procedures, the auditors also reviewed whether department members sealed envelopes according to best practices in evidence handling. Best practices call for evidence envelopes to be taped and signed over all potential entry locations, which include envelope seams that may come pre-glued. Of the 7,225 envelopes counted, 1,038 (14.4 percent) met this more stringent standard, which goes beyond the department's procedures.

### Recommendations

The San Francisco Police Department should:

1. Issue a Department Bulletin that details specific procedures required of officers when packaging and sealing monetary evidence envelopes.
2. Ensure all department officers are fully and adequately trained on proper monetary evidence packaging and sealing procedures.
3. Include in the recommended Bulletin, PCU's stated "right of refusal" policy in order to re-affirm that PCU staff have the authority to refuse the transfer of monetary evidence envelopes that are not properly packaged and sealed.

### Finding 1.2

#### **PETS does not accurately report the dollar value of monetary evidence in the PCU safes.**

PETS contains errors in the dollar amounts recorded for monetary evidence envelopes retained for safekeeping by the PCU. PETS shows 235 envelopes as having a monetary value of \$0. For many of these report entries, the amount field shows no dollar value; however, the dollar amount indicated on the envelope is documented in the description field of the report. According to PCU staff, PETS, which is administered by the City's Department of Technology (DT), does not allow the dollar amount field to be altered. Once an entry is made, it cannot be corrected for data errors or updated to account for known discrepancies. To make corrections, PCU staff must add an entry of the actual value to the description field for that



record. On further examination of the amounts written on the actual envelopes, the auditors found that 189 of the 235 envelopes had recorded monetary values, totaling \$80,320.

In addition to records with \$0 amounts listed, amounts indicated on 59 other envelopes did not correspond to the amounts indicated in the PETS records. The total of these discrepancies was \$125,797. Further review of these envelopes and the PETS record history showed that some of these discrepancies were documented in the description field and were caused by data entry errors or partial release of items. This \$125,797 discrepancy represents another example of PETS not accurately reflecting an accurate total of monetary evidence held at the PCU.

### Recommendations

The San Francisco Police Department should:

4. Advise the department's Technology Division and the PCU to work with Department of Technology to investigate what changes to PETS access controls or programming would be required to allow the dollar amount field to be updated in specifically defined circumstances.
5. Keep a record of all known discrepancies between the amount shown in PETS and the actual amount recorded on monetary evidence envelopes in PCU custody, and conduct a monthly reconciliation to PETS reports to ensure all amounts in the safes are accurately recorded in PETS.

### Finding 1.3

#### **The amounts recorded on monetary evidence envelopes are not always equal to contents.**

Of the 380 envelopes, 9 (two percent) contained amounts that did not match the amounts recorded in PETS or the amount indicated on the envelope. The 9 discrepancies included both overages and shortages when compared to the amounts recorded in PETS. In total, the 9 envelopes contained \$255 more in cash than the amounts recorded in PETS and on the individual envelopes.

Following established PCU procedures, the only time a discrepancy is discovered between the amount initially recorded on the monetary evidence envelope and the

amount actually enclosed is when currency is fully or partially released. PCU procedures state that PCU personnel do not inspect the contents of envelopes at any time during the booking procedure or during their shelf life. The amount written on the envelope at the station by the officer, and verified by a second officer, is taken at face value and is recorded into PETS. It is therefore important that officers accurately record the dollar amounts on the envelopes, and that the PCU accurately enters the information into PETS.

During the inventory count by auditors, the department elected to test for potential discrepancies by having PCU staff open and count the money contained in a sample of 380 envelopes. The auditors did not open envelopes or count money, but only observed PCU staff in conducting the count. PCU assured the auditors that, during the counting and the re-sealing of sample envelopes, it complied with all department procedures to maintain the integrity of the evidence in the envelopes.

**Recommendation**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

6. Ensure that its officers accurately record the dollar amounts on the monetary evidence envelopes, and that amounts are accurately entered into PETS.

**Finding 1.4**

**The department needs to improve its procedures for sealing monetary evidence envelopes.**

Department guidance regarding the packaging and sealing of monetary evidence is incomplete and out-of-date. General Order 6.15 includes instructions on the sealing of monetary evidence envelopes that do not provide enough details to completely guide officers through the process of adequately packaging and sealing the envelopes. Both the general order and the PCU procedures call for the preparer and verifier to initial the back flap of the envelope, as well as indicating their star numbers. Further, the procedures require the envelope to be sealed with transparent tape.

**Recommendations**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

7. Obtain monetary evidence envelopes specifically designed to maintain the integrity of the money contained in the envelope. Such envelopes would only

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

**San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory**

have one opening.

8. Issue updated guidance that requires current monetary evidence envelopes to be taped and signed across all three of the envelope's entry points, until it implements the new envelope.
9. Consider adding user-based security access to PETS, which would allow only current PCU staff to have access to modify PETS records.

## CHAPTER 2 – The Department Needs to Strengthen Controls Over Access to Electronic Data

---

### Finding 2.1

**Access controls to property evidence data need to be strengthened.**

The computer system used by the department to manage property and evidence is antiquated, and the security measures used to protect the integrity of electronic data are not consistent with current industry standards.

*PETS is an old system that is managed using out-of-date system-security measures*

The department's Property Evidence Tracking System (PETS) is a mainframe subsystem that was implemented in 1982. The mainframe computer that runs PETS is located outside the department and is managed by the City's Department of Technology (DT). PETS is accessed by individual computer terminals that are administered by the department's Technology Division.

According to a sergeant of the department's Technology Division, all terminals in the department have read-only access to PETS. However, certain designated terminals also have access that allows users to enter and modify PETS data. Therefore, a user's ability to modify PETS records is primarily dependent on whether he is sitting at a designated terminal; there are no user-specific access controls for modifying data.

*Access to terminals with modify access to PETS needs to be more effectively controlled*

DT staff provided a system report showing a list of 28 terminals that currently have access to modify PETS records. While DT manages the mainframe and is responsible for making any requested changes to the list of approved terminals, it is the responsibility of the department to track where the terminals are located and to control who has access to them. Department staff indicated that terminals with access to modify PETS should only be located in the PCU's work area. However, during the course of the field work, auditors were not able to obtain a current list showing the physical location of each of the 28 terminals shown on the system report.

Although access to the PCU facility is controlled, and only assigned PCU staff should be able to access the terminals,

the current security protocol should be improved to further ensure that there is no unauthorized access to PETS. Under the current protocol, a member of the department who is not assigned to the PCU could access and modify PETS records if sitting at a terminal in the PCU, because all department users are granted access to the computer network.

**Recommendation**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

10. Produce a current list identifying the location of all 28 terminals that currently have access to modify PETS, and consider whether any of these 28 should have their access adjusted.

**Finding 2.2**

**The PCU does not conduct routine reviews to ensure it has a current list of authorized PETS users.**

The PCU does not conduct a periodic review of user-access rights to confirm that only authorized individuals have access to modify PETS records. Periodic review and updating of lists of authorized users of department computer systems are necessary because a user may have left the department, or may have changed job responsibilities and no longer require special access rights to a unit-specific system such as PETS. By failing to conduct routine user-access reviews, the PCU increases the risk that unauthorized persons may have access to PETS.

**Recommendation**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

11. Conduct a periodic review of its PETS users to ensure that current users' access rights are appropriate based on their job functions, terminated members are removed timely, and that access rights for new users are approved by management.

## **CHAPTER 3 – The Property Control Unit Needs to Improve and Update Its Policies and Procedures for Handling Monetary Evidence**

---

### **Finding 3.1**

**The PCU does not maintain current policies and procedures for handling monetary evidence.**

The PCU has not updated its monetary evidence procedures for more than 12 years. The auditors reviewed the PCU's currency procedures for the following activities:

- Picking up monetary evidence from district stations.
- Booking monetary evidence to the PCU's computer and log-book systems.
- Releasing monetary evidence.

The policies and procedures provided by PCU command staff were prepared and last updated in 1998. Department staff were not able to locate any updated or revised Department Bulletins related to the handling of evidence.

*Currency procedures require improvement*

The PCU's current practices for the handling of monetary evidence are not completely consistent with the unit's written policies and procedures. PCU staff accepted monetary envelopes from district stations although the stations did not always comply with the requirements and procedures outlined in General Order 6.15, which addresses, in part, the handling of monetary evidence. For example, the auditors identified envelopes that were not signed by one or more individuals, envelopes that were not sealed with tape, and envelopes that did not contain an individual's star number.

In some instances, the PCU accepted monetary evidence from district stations even when the monetary evidence did not meet PCU's own requirements. For example, PCU staff accepted envelopes containing money although the stations did not properly indicate on the envelope that the amount was verified by someone other than the individual who counted the currency, and in cases in which one or more individuals signed their initials and star number on an area of the envelope other than the flap.

*Disposal procedures also  
should be improved*

PCU staff did not follow all the unit's procedures related to the disposal of monetary evidence, and the PCU's current practices in this area are not consistent with its written policies and procedures. For instance, under its policy, the PCU is to provide a specific form that department units are to fill out when reviewing the list of evidence that is 15 months old and is subject to disposal if no longer needed. However, the PCU no longer requires department units to submit this specific form, but instead requires them to sign a monthly hold and disposal checklist to document that the units have reviewed the listing of evidence that may be disposed.

In another departure from its policies and procedures, the PCU does not require the Deputy Chief of Administration to be notified if units do not return their responses with 30 days of receiving the monthly disposal list. Instead, the PCU's practice is for its lieutenant to contact the captain of the Investigations Bureau and notify the captain of the units that have not responded. According to the PCU, this is a result of recent organizational restructuring within the department.

### **Recommendations**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

12. Advise the Property Control Unit to update its policies and procedures to reflect current practices, as well as to take into account any department-issued orders, bulletins, and memoranda that may affect the PCU's practices.

### **Finding 3.2**

**The PCU conducts monthly reviews of aged items but does not perform routine annual reviews.**

*Monthly reviews are properly  
initiated*

The PCU adheres to its procedures for conducting monthly reviews of the monetary evidence that has been held for 15 months. Each month, the PCU requests from DT a PETS report showing all currency envelopes that have been stored at the PCU for 15 months. The report is processed according to PCU's written "Hold and Destruction Cycle" procedures. As part of this process, the PCU distributes the report to all department units, and the units certify receipt of the report by dating and signing a form. The officer assigned to the case for which the evidence is being held indicates on the report whether the evidence is to be further held or disposed, and the officer-in-charge of that unit signs

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

each page of the report to indicate approval of the disposition instructions. The original report document is then returned to the PCU, which destroys the items identified for destruction and retains the items identified to be further held in storage.

*Disposition instructions received via the monthly reviews are not acted upon timely*

PCU initiates the monthly review required by its procedures, but PCU staff do not complete the processing of instructions received from case officers in a timely manner. Case officers indicate on the monthly report whether envelopes should be held or released. Released money is either returned to an individual or deposited into the City's general fund if it is classified as unclaimed.

The audit team's analysis of PETS records showed that 955 of the 7,300 monetary evidence envelopes had exceeded the 15-month hold and destruction cycle but contained no entry in PETS to indicate what instruction had been given by the case officer during the monthly review. PETS records show that these envelopes contained a total of \$252,354. Auditors did not conduct a detailed analysis of the disposition of each these envelopes, but PCU procedures require that these items should have been approved for either hold or release.

*Required annual reviews of held items are not routinely performed*

Although the PCU completes the required monthly review, it does not routinely perform the annual review of aged inventory that has been on hold at the PCU for over 18 months. This separate review is also required by PCU's policies and procedures. According to the PCU lieutenant, a review of all held envelopes has not been conducted during his two and one-half years with the PCU. As a result, the PCU cannot easily identify the total dollar amount of items whose shelf-life has exceeded 18 months, and is potentially not identifying monetary evidence/property that can be released or deposited into the City's general fund. This separate, annual review of held items is necessary because the monthly review process only looks at envelopes that have just reached the 15-month point. The monthly review does not consider envelopes that have been held by the PCU for 16 months or longer.

In addition to finding that the required annual review of held envelopes is not routinely conducted, the auditors found that the PCU does not have specific procedures for conducting the annual reviews. PCU's procedures state



Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

**San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory**

than an annual review is required, but they do not detail how the review should be conducted.

**Recommendations**

The San Francisco Police Department should require the PCU to:

13. Develop and implement routine review procedures for monetary evidence and property that has been stored for greater than 18 months.
14. Revise its policies and procedures to reflect current practices and implementation of additional, revised, and comprehensive procedures.
15. Process the 955 aged envelopes that should by now have received disposition instructions from their case officers through the monthly review process.

## **CHAPTER 4 – The Department Should Improve Its Oversight and Monitoring of Receipt and Storage of Monetary Evidence**

---

### **The Department Should Improve Its Oversight and Monitoring of the Receipt, Storage and Maintenance of Monetary Evidence**

The department and the PCU have a number of satisfactory procedures in place to control monetary evidence. For example, PCU staff do not open monetary evidence envelopes while they are in PCU custody. The PCU staff store the envelopes in safes, to which access is limited, and retain records of the stored evidence envelopes in two separate systems that can be reconciled to ensure all envelopes are accounted for. However, improvements are needed to the oversight and monitoring of monetary evidence.

#### **Finding 4.1**

#### **The department and the PCU have inadequate segregation of money handling duties.**

PCU does not have job descriptions to clearly define the roles and responsibilities of each staff member related to the handling of monetary evidence envelopes.

The auditors assessed whether PCU staff performing the procedures and controls observed as part of the audit held any conflicting duties, and whether any potential conflicting duties are adequately addressed in the design of the PCU's procedures and controls. The auditors determined that authorization, processing, record keeping, asset custody, and reporting should not all be performed by the same person. Better segregation of duties would provide assurance that no one person is performing conflicting duties in the course of performing everyday activities. Proper segregation also serves to protect employees from allegations of wrongdoing.

The auditors did not conduct detailed observations or testing to confirm all ways in which PCU's actual practices may be incompatible with adequate segregation of duties. The auditors' analysis did show that written procedures do not prohibit PCU staff from performing incompatible duties. For example the lieutenant who manages the PCU and authorizes bank deposits is not prohibited from preparing or making the deposit. Proper segregation would have one staff person prepare and sign the deposit (preparation) and

the lieutenant review and approve the deposit (authorization).

**Recommendation**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

16. Develop and implement updated procedures and job descriptions that incorporate sound segregation of duties throughout PCU's monetary evidence handling activities.

**Finding 4.2**

**Additional training in monetary evidence handling needs to be provided.**

The department does not provide periodic training to officers for handling monetary evidence. According to PCU and district-station staff, officers receive their primary training in monetary evidence handling during their initial training at the police academy. Academy students receive classroom training in general order requirements, and then have an opportunity to practice packaging monetary evidence during the field training portion of the academy training. Upon completion of their academy training, officers are eligible for field training every two years. According to a field training sergeant, the scope of this training is broad, and it may change each training cycle. It may or may not include any information regarding monetary evidence handling.

Furthermore, the department does not have sufficient training or guidance materials to aid officers in handling monetary evidence. Officers from eight district stations reported that they have received no additional written guidance outside of the Department General Order 6.15 for handling monetary evidence. As discussed in Chapter 1, this order does not contain comprehensive procedures to assist the officers in handling monetary evidence.

The PCU also needs to improve the training of its staff in the specific procedures it uses to log and track monetary evidence envelopes. Although the PCU has written procedures and training materials to assist staff in using its system of log books, the critical PETS application reportedly has no user manual. PCU staff indicated that new members of the unit are trained on PETS by having an existing staff member sit with them and verbally walk them through how to make entries into the system.

Accountability for the proper receipt, storage, and maintenance of monetary evidence would be increased through better documented and more regular training activities.

**Recommendations**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

17. Issue routine and comprehensive guidance to all officers and district stations regarding proper monetary evidence handling procedures.
18. Advise the PCU to work with the department's Technology Division and the City's Department of Technology to develop a user reference and training manual for PETS.

**Finding 4.3**

**The PCU produces no routine management reports.**

The PCU does not submit any regular reports to senior department management regarding its monetary evidence inventory. According to the PCU lieutenant, the unit has not provided any reports to the Office of the Chief of Police during the two and one-half years the lieutenant has been assigned to the PCU.

In the course of its normal operations, the PCU accepts new inventory and makes releases or deposits from existing inventory on a monthly basis. Therefore, the precise makeup of the PCU's inventory is constantly in flux. The PCU's inventory of 7,300 envelopes containing more than \$3.58 million clearly defines it as a significant operation worthy of routine attention from senior management. Accountability for the disposition of items in the PCU's inventory would be increased if senior management was routinely provided with summary descriptive information regarding the monetary evidence currently in PCU's custody as well as the money that is deposited into the City's general fund each month.

**Recommendation**

The San Francisco Police Department should:

19. Develop a monthly or quarterly report through which the PCU can provide department management with summary descriptive information regarding the money evidence inventory.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor  
San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

*Page intentionally left blank*

## APPENDIX A: DEPARTMENT RESPONSE

---



POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
THOMAS J. CAHILL HALL OF JUSTICE  
850 BRYANT STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103-4503



May 19, 2010

Tonia Lediju  
Director of Audits  
Office of the Controller  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 477  
San Francisco, California 94102

Re: Audit report on the San Francisco Police Department's Property Control Unit.

Dear Ms. Lediju:

Enclosed herewith kindly find the Police Department's completed recommendation and response form concerning the above referenced audit. On behalf of the members of the Property Control Division (PCD) I want to thank you and your staff for your collegial and collaborative approach while conducting an inventory and audit of the contents of our PCD safe and evaluating the Department's money handling policies. Your report is an important component in the Police Department's Management Analysis and Audit of its property handling systems.

The Controller's inventory and audit of the Property Control Division's (PCD) safe has provided the Police Department (SFPD) with important recommendations to consider as we evaluate our entire property processing system. Your report's confirmation that the contents of the safe, which includes 7,300 monetary evidence envelopes, are all accounted for and that there is no indication that any monetary evidence had been misappropriated confirms that while some of our practices need updating, the security of our system is sound.

To continue in the spirit of collaboration, this letter will provide further context to your findings and to clarify some items in the report.

### Findings and Responses

**Finding 1.1, "Department officers did not consistently follow established procedures to ensure monetary evidence envelopes were properly packaged and sealed."**

The audit revealed that the internal policies and procedures of the PCD and Department General Order (DGO) 6.15 (Property Processing) are not congruent in some regards. DGO 6.15 was issued in July of 1994 and has not been amended since. The internal policies and procedures of the PCD were written in 1998 and reflected the "best practices" for packaging monetary evidence at the time. DGO 6.15 has been augmented with policies contained in Department Bulletins (DB). In light of preliminary audit findings, on April 27, 2010 the Police Department

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor  
San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

San Francisco Police Department Letter of Response 2

issued DB10-115 which updates DGO 6.15 with policies for processing of monetary evidence. A copy of DB 10-115 is attached for your review.

*Controller's recommendations made in response to Finding 1.1 are:*

1. Issue a Department Bulletin that details specific procedures required of officers when packaging and sealing monetary evidence envelopes.
2. Ensure all department officers are fully and adequately trained on proper monetary evidence packaging and sealing procedures.
3. Include in the recommended Bulletin PCU's stated "right of refusal" policy to re-affirm that PCU staff have the authority to refuse the transfer of monetary evidence envelopes that are not properly packaged and sealed.

*SFPD response:*

The three recommendations are addressed by DB10-115, which states the current department policy applicable to all members. Each member is issued a copy of the DB and is required to process monetary evidence in accord therewith. Moreover, supervisors are required to participate in the processing of monetary evidence.

**Finding 1.2 "PETS does not accurately report the dollar value of monetary evidence in the PCD safe."**

Court will sometimes approve a partial release of monetary evidence from a monetary evidence envelope. Unfortunately, the PETS system does allow users to modify the original amount that was entered into the system. This system limitation creates discrepancies between the computer records and PCU written logs. The PETS description field is modifiable and often this is where changes to the amount contained within an envelope are documented, as well as in the log book.

The PETS system contained incorrect entries for 59 envelopes that were caused by data entry errors or were the result of partial releases that were not accurately reflected in PETS. A hand check of the corresponding log book entries for all of these envelopes shows that the proper entries were made in the book despite the lack of changes made in PETS.

*Controller's recommendations made in response to Finding 1.2 are:*

4. Advise the department's Technology Division and the PCU to work with DT to investigate what changes to PETS access controls or programming would be required to allow the dollar amount field to be updated in specifically defined circumstances.
5. Keep a record of all known discrepancies between the amount shown in PETS and the actual amount recorded on monetary evidence envelopes in PCU custody, and conduct a manual, monthly reconciliation to PETS reports to ensure all amounts in the safes are reflected accurately.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

San Francisco Police Department Letter of Response 3

*SFPD response:*

We concur with the recommendations and have begun to collaborate with Department of Technology and Informational Services (DTIS) and the SFPD Information Technology (IT) unit to develop strategies to replace or update PETS to support best practices policies. This recommendation will also be considered as the department evaluates IT solutions as part of its currently ongoing Management Analysis and Audit of its property processing systems. PCD management has conducted training for their members assigned to enter monetary evidence into PETS and will develop procedures to reconcile PETS reports on a monthly basis.

**Finding 1.3, "The amounts recorded on monetary evidence are not always accurate."**

Current SFPD policies and procedures, industry "best practices" and PCD policy preclude members of PCD from opening every item of evidence that is packaged and booked into evidence, including monetary evidence. The SFPD currently employs a two-person rule for the counting of monetary evidence. During the course of the audit 380 monetary evidence envelopes were opened by members of the PCD (in the presence of Controller's staff). Nine envelopes contained a total of \$255.06 *more* in cash than was recorded on the evidence envelope. Seven of the nine envelopes contained more money than what was stated on the envelope and two of the nine envelopes overstated the contents by a grand total of \$1.50.

*Controller's recommendation made in response to Finding 1.3 is:*

6. Ensure that its officers accurately record the dollar amounts on the monetary evidence envelopes, and that amounts are accurately entered into PETS.

*SFPD response:*

We also concur with this recommendation. Officers in the field are responsible for recording the proper amount of the monetary evidence on the envelope. DB 10-115 addresses this issue and clarifies policy to assure that the second person counting monetary evidence must of the rank of sergeant or higher. As for the proper entering of the amount into PETS, internal quality control training has been provided to PCD members.

**Finding 1.4, "The department needs to improve its procedures for sealing monetary evidence envelopes."**

Current PCD policies and the Department General Order (6.15) were found to be in conflict with each other during this audit. As such, Department Bulletin 10-115 was issued to clarify this issue and to set the standard of taping and initialing all seams of the monetary evidence envelope. This practice is now the same as what the Department requires for narcotics evidence envelopes.



Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

San Francisco Police Department Letter of Response 4

*Controller's recommendations made in response to Finding 1.4 are:*

7. Obtain monetary evidence envelopes specifically designed to maintain the integrity of the money contained in the envelope. Such envelopes would only have one opening.
8. Issue updated guidance that requires current monetary evidence envelopes to be taped and signed across all three of the envelope's entry points, until it implements the new envelopes.

*SFPD response:*

We concur with the recommendations. Currently, the SFPD requires two signatures and stamps (officer counting and supervisor verifying) to be placed on the monetary evidence envelope. The PCD is in the process of designing a new monetary evidence envelope that will contain printed signature blocks. Based on industry best practices the Department's Management Analysis and Audit may make further recommendation to the design of the new envelope.

Department Bulletin 10-115 provides the updated guidance requested for the proper way to secure the monetary evidence envelope, with tape and the booking officer's initials on all three seams.

**Finding 2.1, "Access control to property evidence data can be strengthened."**

The PETS system is clearly outdated and lacks the functionality to support accepted industry best practices. Current plans call for the PETS system to be replaced by a new records management system. The new system would provide better security and the functionality to create the sort of storage and destruction reports necessary to efficiently manage PCD.

As stated above, this recommendation will also be considered as the department evaluates IT solutions as part of its currently ongoing Management Analysis and Audit of its property processing systems. DTIS and the IT unit of the SFPD will be partners in our efforts to identify and implement appropriate IT support at PCD.

*Controller's recommendations made in response to Finding 2.1 are:*

9. Consider adding user-based security access to PETS, which would allow only current PCU staff to have access to modify PETS records.
10. Produce a current list identifying the location of all 28 terminals that currently have access to modify PETS, and consider whether any of these 28 should have their access adjusted.

*SFPD response:*

We concur with the recommendations of the Controller's office and will collaborate further with DTIS and the SFPD IT unit to strengthen access to evidence data. DTIS personnel advise that user based security access did exist briefly in CABLE (the CABLE9 monitor), but it was removed when ICAD security came on line, and staffing constraints limit their ability to recreate this capability. SFPD IT suggests that they may be able to manage user security in the Level 2

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor  
San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

San Francisco Police Department Letter of Response 5

application. DTIS and the IT unit of the SFPD will be our key partners as we endeavor to implement appropriate IT security at PCD.

The draft audit report states that there are 28 terminals throughout the Department that have access to modify PETS. In fact, there are only 19 active terminals that have access to modify PETS. PCD has 12 remaining and SFPD IT personnel control the other 7 terminals. A list of the terminals and their locations is attached to this report. No other department computer terminal has the access level needed to modify PETS.

**Finding 2.2, "The PCD does not conduct routine reviews to ensure it has a current list of authorized PETS users."**

This finding is correct and protocols are being established with the assistance of DTIS and SFPD IT to limit user access levels and regularly review user access to PETS so as to limit user access as appropriate.

*Controller's recommendation made in response to Finding 2.2 is:*

11. Conduct a periodic review of its PETS users to ensure that current users' access rights are appropriate based on their job functions, terminated members are removed timely, and that access rights for new users are approved by management.

*SFPD response:*

We concur with this finding and recommendation. Property Control Division management will determine who should and should not have access. When that has been determined, SFPD IT will modify user rights and access to PETS.

**Finding 3.1, "The PCD does not maintain current policies and procedures for handling monetary evidence."**

PCD policies and procedures are in conflict with DGO 6.15 because the DGO has not been amended in many years. The SFPD has created a new Written Directives Unit that will work to address the updating of the SFPD's General Orders. As for the PCD policies and procedures, a Management Analysis and Audit is being conducted on the entire operation of the PCD. All policies and procedures will be reviewed and updated during this process. Policies and procedures specific to the processing of monetary evidence will be addressed at this time as well.

*Controller's recommendation in response to Finding 3.1 is:*

12. Advise the Property Control Unit to update its policies and procedures to reflect current practices, as well as to take into account any department-issued orders, bulletins, and memoranda that may affect the PCD's practices.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor  
San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

San Francisco Police Department Letter of Response 6

***SFPD response:***

Although there are policies and procedures in place with regard to handling monetary evidence, we concur that they are not current and could be updated. During this time, a Management Analysis and Audit of all PCD policies and procedures is being conducted. Monetary evidence processing policies and procedures will soon be updated as a result of both audits.

**Finding 3.2, "The PCD conducts monthly reviews of aged items but does not perform routine annual reviews."**

We concur with this finding. The Management Analysis and Audit will also address the policies and procedures with regards to conducting annual reviews of monetary evidence. Additionally, a monetary evidence reduction plan will be implemented at the conclusion of this audit in order to deposit monetary evidence that is not on hold after 15 months and any funds that have been released by inspectors.

***Controller's recommendations in response to Finding 3.2:***

13. Develop and implement routine review procedures for monetary evidence and property that has been stored for greater than 18-months.
14. Revise its policies and procedures to reflect current practices and implementation of additional, revised and comprehensive procedures.
15. Appropriately process the 955 aged envelopes that should by now have received disposition instructions from their case officers through the monthly review process.

***SFPD response:***

We concur with this finding and recommendations. Current policies and procedures are in place for the annual review of monetary evidence but will be reviewed during the Management Analysis and Audit. However, a plan is ready to be implemented to reduce the amount of monetary evidence held in the PCD safe.

**Finding 4.1, "The department and the PCD have inadequate segregation of money handling duties."**

PCD management is determining the job assignments for the various personnel that handle monetary evidence on a daily basis. This issue has been primarily addressed with the addition of a civilian clerk who checks written evidence records from the stations, logbook and computer entries at PCD. Policies and procedures with regard to the preparation and deposit of monetary evidence are being addressed during the Management Analysis and Audit.

***Controller's recommendation in response to Finding 4.1:***

16. Develop and implement updated procedures and job descriptions that incorporate sound segregation of duties throughout PCD's monetary evidence handling activities.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

San Francisco Police Department Letter of Response 7

***SFPD Response:***

We concur with this finding and recommendation. A review of all policies and procedures of the Property Control Division is being conducted by a Management Analysis and Audit. It is anticipated that after evaluating current positions and mapping work processes, segregating duties to increase the reliability of the system will be accomplished.

**Finding 4.2, "Additional training in monetary evidence handling needs to be provided."**

Although station personnel stated that they have no additional written guidance, the monetary evidence envelopes contain written instructions on the back. The booking of monetary evidence is very much like that of booking other items of evidence. The monetary evidence envelope is different, with a green border; however, all information required for the envelope is located in boxes on the front of the envelope with the instructions on the back. DB 10-115 has been distributed and contains additional information for the proper processing of monetary evidence. Moreover, each time that monetary evidence is booked a supervisor also counts the money and certifies the accuracy of the count.

***Controller's recommendations in response to Finding 4.2 are:***

17. Issue routine and comprehensive guidance to all officers and district stations regarding proper monetary evidence handling procedures.
18. Advise the PCD to work with SFPD IT and the City's Department of Technology to develop a user reference and training manual for PETS.

***SFPD response:***

We partially concur with these recommendations. It is not a very difficult task to properly fill out an evidence envelope. SFPD Department Bulletin 10-115, "Monetary Evidence Processing", was issued 4/27/2010 and addresses this recommendation. Also, a picture of the front and back of a properly filled out monetary evidence envelope will be posted at each evidence storage location at each District station.

Although we are supposed to move towards a new records management system that includes a new property and evidence module, DTIS recommends that the PCD assign a member to draft a document that explains how the unit uses all the transactions/screens in PETS. This document will serve as DTIS's basis for developing a user reference guide for PETS.

**Finding 4.3, "The PCD produces no routine management reports."**

In order to have any reports produced, PCD management must make a request through DTIS. The reports that are produced are not easily interpreted. PCD staff cannot create reports themselves for senior management. Newer technology may help with the production of reports.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor  
San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve  
Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

San Francisco Police Department Letter of Response 8

*Controller's recommendation with regards to Finding 4.3 is:*

19. Develop a monthly or quarterly report through which the PCU can provide department management with summary descriptive information regarding the money evidence inventory.

*SFPD response:*

We concur with this finding and recommendation. The Property Control Division management will identify the required content of the report and the SFPD IT Unit will assist in producing the report as needed. With the potential for the implementation of a new property and evidence module in a new records management system, reports such as these should be easily customizable.

Respectfully submitted,



GEORGE GASCON  
Chief of Police

Enclosures

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
1. Issue a Department Bulletin that details specific procedures required of officers when packaging and sealing monetary evidence envelopes.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. SFPD Department Bulletin 10-115, 'Monetary Evidence Processing', was issued 4/27/2010 and addresses this recommendation.
2. Ensure all department officers are fully and adequately trained on proper monetary evidence packaging and sealing procedures.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. SFPD Department Bulletin 10-115, 'Monetary Evidence Processing', was issued 4/27/2010 and addresses this recommendation. Additionally, this bulletin is an 'A' priority bulletin and must be signed for by all officers.
3. Include in the recommended Bulletin, PCU's stated "right of refusal" policy in order to re-affirm that PCU staff have the authority to refuse the transfer of monetary evidence envelopes that are not properly packaged and sealed.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. SFPD Department Bulletin 10-115, 'Monetary Evidence Processing', was issued 4/27/2010 and addresses this recommendation.
4. Advise the department's Technology Division and the PCU to work with DT to investigate what changes to PETS access controls or programming would be required to allow the dollar amount field to be updated in specifically defined circumstances.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation; however, we will need to work with DTIS and SFPD IT to implement the recommendation. DTIS response: It depends what they mean by "in specifically defined circumstances". DTIS can change the code of the UMPRP program to allow dollars and cents to be modified, but other than restricting access to specific terminals, DTIS doesn't believe there would be much we could do to restrict access to it.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>5. Keep a record of all known discrepancies between the amount shown in PETS and the actual amount recorded on monetary evidence envelopes in PCU custody, and conduct a monthly reconciliation to PETS reports to ensure all amounts in the safes are accurately recorded in PETS.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation. The management of the Property Control Division has conducted training of the members who are assigned to enter monetary evidence into the PETS system. A procedure to account for a monthly reconciliation of the monetary evidence is being developed by the PCD management and should be implemented soon.</p>
<p>6. Ensure that its officers accurately record the dollar amounts on the monetary evidence envelopes, and that amounts are accurately entered into PETS.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation. Officers in the field record the amount of monetary evidence on the envelope and PCD members enter the amount into PETS. Department Bulletin 10-115 addresses quality control for officers filling out the envelopes. Internal quality control training has been provided to PCD members on this issue.</p>
<p>7. Obtain monetary evidence envelopes specifically designed to maintain the integrity of the money contained in the envelope. Such envelopes would only have one opening.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation. A newly designed envelope is being developed. This change in envelope could take approximately 6 months to implement.</p>
<p>8. Issue updated guidance that requires current monetary evidence envelopes to be taped and signed across all three of the envelope's entry points, until it implements the new envelopes.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation. SFPD Department Bulletin 10-115, 'Monetary Evidence Processing', was issued 4/27/2010 and addresses this recommendation.</p>

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>9. Consider adding user-based security access to PETS, which would allow only current PCU staff to have access to modify PETS records.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation; however, we will need to work with DTIS and SFPD IT to implement the recommendation. DTIS response: <i>User based security access did exist briefly in CABLE (the CABLE9 monitor), but it was removed when ICAD security came on line. We do not have the staff to recreate this capability in CABLE. We may be able to manage the user security in the Level 2 application.</i></p>
<p>10. Produce a current list identifying the location of all 28 terminals that currently have access to modify PETS, and consider whether any of these 28 should have their access adjusted.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation. All 28 PETS terminals have been located and are either located in the IT unit or Property Control Division. All 'PE' computers have been replaced by Level 2 access computers indicated by the 'PRO' designation.</p>
<p>11. Conduct a periodic review of its PETS users to ensure that current users' access rights are appropriate based on their job functions, terminated members are removed timely, and that access rights for new users are approved by management.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation; however, we will need to work with DTIS and SFPD IT to implement the recommendation. Property Control Division management will determine who should and should not have access. When that has been determined, SFPD IT will modify user rights.</p>
<p>12. Advise the Property Control Unit to update its policies and procedures to reflect current practices, as well as to take into account any department-issued orders, bulletins, and memoranda that may affect the PCU's practices.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation. A Management Analysis and Audit of all policies and procedures of the Property Control Division is being conducted. The policies and procedures specific to the handling of monetary evidence is part of this review and will be updated within the next several months.</p>



Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

**San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory**

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
13. Develop and implement routine review procedures for monetary evidence and property that has been stored for greater than 18 months.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. A Management Analysis and Audit of all policies and procedures of the Property Control Division is being conducted. The policies and procedures specific to reviewing monetary evidence and the length of storage is part of this review and will be updated within the next several months.
14. Revise its policies and procedures to reflect current practices and implementation of additional, revised and comprehensive procedures.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. A Management Analysis and Audit of all policies and procedures of the Property Control Division is being conducted. The policies and procedures specific to the handling of monetary evidence is part of this review and will be updated within the next few months.
15. Process the 955 aged envelopes that should by now have received disposition instructions from their case officers through the monthly review process.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. A monetary evidence deposit plan will begin implementation at the conclusion of this report. Monetary evidence not being held that can be deposited immediately, will be deposited into the City's General Fund.
16. Develop and implement updated procedures and job descriptions that incorporate sound segregation of duties throughout PCU's monetary evidence handling activities.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. A Management Analysis and Audit of all policies and procedures of the Property Control Division is being conducted. The policies and procedures specific to the handling of monetary evidence is part of this review and will be updated in the next few months. Job descriptions will also be updated.
17. Issue routine and comprehensive guidance to all officers and district stations regarding proper monetary evidence handling procedures.	San Francisco Police Department	We concur with the recommendation. SFPD Department Bulletin 10-115, 'Monetary Evidence Processing', was issued 4/27/2010 and addresses this recommendation. Additionally, this bulletin is an 'A' priority bulletin and must be signed for by all officers.

Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor

San Francisco Police Department: The Property Control Unit Can Account for but Needs to Improve Some of Its Controls Over the Monetary Evidence in Its Inventory

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>18. Advise the PCU to work with the department's Technology Division and the City's Department of Technology to develop a user reference and training manual for PETS.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We somewhat concur with the recommendation; however, we will need to work with DTIS and SFPD IT to implement the recommendation. <i>DTIS response:</i> We recommend that the PCU detail put together a preliminary document that explains how they use all the transactions/screens. DTIS could then try to fill in the blanks, but it will require assigning someone to research and study the system. A planned new system may make this recommendation a moot point.</p>
<p>19. Develop a monthly or quarterly report through which the PCU can provide department management with summary descriptive information regarding the money evidence inventory.</p>	<p>San Francisco Police Department</p>	<p>We concur with the recommendation; however, we will need to work with DTIS and SFPD IT to implement the recommendation. Property Control Division management will identify the required content of the report and DTIS and SFPD IT will assist in producing the report as needed.</p>

*Page intentionally left blank.*

# City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller – City Services Auditor



## SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION:

### Audit of Sunol Valley Golf & Recreation Co.

RECEIVED  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 18 PM 1:00

BY \_\_\_\_\_

May 18, 2010

**CONTROLLER'S OFFICE  
CITY SERVICES AUDITOR**

The City Services Auditor was created within the Controller's Office through an amendment to the City Charter that was approved by voters in November 2003. Under Appendix F to the City Charter, the City Services Auditor has broad authority for:

- Reporting on the level and effectiveness of San Francisco's public services and benchmarking the city to other public agencies and jurisdictions.
- Conducting financial and performance audits of city departments, contractors, and functions to assess efficiency and effectiveness of processes and services.
- Operating a whistleblower hotline and website and investigating reports of waste, fraud, and abuse of city resources.
- Ensuring the financial integrity and improving the overall performance and efficiency of city government.

The audits unit conducts financial audits, attestation engagements, and performance audits. Financial audits address the financial integrity of both city departments and contractors and provide reasonable assurance about whether financial statements are presented fairly in all material aspects in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Attestation engagements examine, review, or perform procedures on a broad range of subjects such as internal controls; compliance with requirements of specified laws, regulations, rules, contracts, or grants; and the reliability of performance measures. Performance audits focus primarily on assessment of city services and processes, providing recommendations to improve department operations.

We conduct our audits in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards published by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). These standards require:

- Independence of audit staff and the audit organization.
- Objectivity of the auditors performing the work.
- Competent staff, including continuing professional education.
- Quality control procedures to provide reasonable assurance of compliance with the auditing standards.

Audit Team: Ben Carlick, Audit Manager  
Helen Vo, Associate Auditor



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Monique Zmuda  
Deputy Controller

May 18, 2010

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission  
1155 Market Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103

President and Members:

The Controller's Office, City Services Auditor, presents its report concerning the audit of Sunol Valley Golf & Recreation Co. (Sunol). Sunol has a 25-year agreement, through December 31, 2028, with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) of the City and County of San Francisco (City) to operate two public golf courses and related facilities on SFPUC's land.

**Reporting Period:** January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2008

**Fees Paid:** \$1,000,000

**Results:**

Sunol paid \$500,000 of base rent during each of the two years, but sometimes submitted its base rent payments late, resulting in \$5,000 of late charges, which the SFPUC did not collect. Sunol also did not pay the percentage rent when due, resulting in \$5,667 of percentage rent due to the SFPUC for the audit period. In addition, Sunol did not report its gross revenues to the SFPUC each quarter, as required by the lease. Overall, the audit team found that the SFPUC did not adequately administer some provisions of the lease with Sunol.

The responses from the SFPUC and Sunol are attached to this report. The Controller's Office, City Services Auditor, will work with the SFPUC to follow up on the status of the recommendations made in this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Tarsia  
Deputy Audit Director

cc: Mayor  
Board of Supervisors  
Budget Analyst  
Civil Grand Jury  
Public Library

*Page intentionally left blank.*

# INTRODUCTION

---

## **Audit Authority**

The Office of the Controller (Controller) has authority under the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 10, Article 1, Section 10.6-2 to audit, at regular intervals, all leases of city-owned real property for which rent of \$100,000 or more a year is to be paid to the City and County of San Francisco (City). In addition, the City Charter provides the Controller, City Services Auditor (CSA), with broad authority to conduct audits. This audit was conducted under these authorities, and pursuant to an audit plan agreed to by the Controller and SFPUC.

## **Background**

Sunol Valley Golf & Recreation Co. (Sunol) has a 25-year lease with the City's San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), expiring on December 31, 2028, to operate two public golf courses and related facilities, including providing food and beverage services, on land owned by the SFPUC in Alameda County.

The lease requires Sunol to pay the SFPUC a base rent of \$41,667 each month. The lease requires periodic adjustments in the base rent based on an adjustment index. The SFPUC adjusted the base rent on the second anniversary date; the next adjustment is on the tenth anniversary of the lease, with subsequent adjustments every five years thereafter. The lease also requires Sunol to pay quarterly a percentage rent based on revenues when the computed rent exceeds the base rent for the quarter. The percentage rent rate ranges from 5 to 10 percent of gross revenues, based on the type of revenues. At the end of each year, the SFPUC is to compute the annual rent due by comparing the percentage rent to the base rent, and retain as a credit any overpaid rent. Sunol can use this rent credit when computing future percentage rent due to the SFPUC.

## **Scope and Methodology**

The purpose of this audit was to determine if Sunol:

- Complied with the reporting and payment provisions of its lease.
- Complied with other significant lease terms.



The audit covered the period January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2008.

To conduct the audit, the audit team:

- Reviewed the terms of the lease.
- Assessed the adequacy of Sunol's procedures for collecting, recording, summarizing, and reporting its gross revenues to the SFPUC.
- Assessed the adequacy of the SFPUC's procedures for invoicing, processing payments, monitoring, and reporting as it relates to Sunol's lease.
- Compared gross revenues provided by Sunol to its audited financial statements.
- Reviewed the workpapers prepared by Sunol's CPA in support of its 2007 and 2008 audits.
- Verified Sunol's compliance with certain other provisions of the lease.

This performance audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. These standards require planning and performing the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives.

## AUDIT RESULTS

### Sunol Paid the Base Rent, But Did Not Follow Other Lease Requirements

From January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2008, Sunol paid \$500,000 each year in base rent to the SFPUC, for a total of \$1,000,000 in base rent during this two-year period. However, Sunol did not comply with other significant lease terms, because it did not report its gross revenues each quarter, pay percentage rent when applicable, and pay its base rent timely. In addition, the SFPUC did not adequately administer some provisions of the lease with Sunol.

### Sunol Did Not Properly Report Gross Revenues as Required

Sunol did not report its gross revenues to the SFPUC on a quarterly basis. The lease requires Sunol to submit a statement of gross revenues within 20 days after the end of each calendar quarter. According to Sunol's general manager, Sunol stopped submitting revenue statements a few years ago because percentage rent never exceeded base rent. The SFPUC Director of Real Estate Services explained that he relied on the audited financial statements prepared by a CPA and submitted by Sunol each year to review Sunol's revenues.

Upon request, Sunol provided the audit team with monthly revenue statements for the audit period. These statements showed that Sunol earned gross revenues of \$10,865,499 for the audit period. The audit team compared the gross revenues to the CPA audited financial statements and found no difference in gross revenues for 2007. For 2008, the gross revenue was different by an immaterial amount. Exhibit 1 summarizes Sunol's gross revenues for each lease year.

EXHIBIT 1	Gross Revenues		
	January 1, 2007, Through December 31, 2008		
	January 1, 2007, Through December 31, 2007	January 1, 2008, Through December 31, 2008	Totals
<b>Gross Revenues</b>			
Green Fees / Lessons	\$2,335,667	\$2,141,722	\$4,477,389
Golf Carts / Rental Clubs	899,199	822,587	1,721,786
Merchandise	541,799	472,785	1,014,584
Food & Beverage / Room Rentals	1,803,619	1,848,121	3,651,740
<b>Total Gross Revenues</b>	<b>\$5,580,284</b>	<b>\$5,285,215</b>	<b>\$10,865,499</b>

Source: Sunol's monthly rent calculation statements.

**Recommendation**

The SFPUC should:

1. Require Sunol to submit quarterly revenue statements.

**Sunol Did Not Pay Percentage Rent When Due**

In addition to not submitting quarterly revenue statements, Sunol did not pay the percentage rent for each quarter that the percentage rent exceeded the base rent amount. During the audit period, Sunol did not pay percentage rent due for two quarters in each of the years audited. According to the lease, Sunol is to calculate the percentage rent on a quarterly basis, based on gross revenues earned during the quarter. If the percentage rent exceeds the base rent for the quarter, Sunol is required to pay the excess amount to the SFPUC. The lease further requires the SFPUC to prepare an annual comparison of the rent due to the rent paid. If Sunol paid excess rent to the City, it can apply the excess to the next percentage rent due to the City<sup>1</sup>.

The audit team determined that Sunol owes the SFPUC \$5,667 in unpaid percentage rent for the two-year audit period. This amount includes certain revenues that Sunol classified at the wrong percentage rent rate (see below). This amount also considers credits in percentage rent from the first lease year to the next lease year. However, the calculation does not consider any amounts that Sunol may owe for periods before or after the audit period, and therefore may not be the full amount due. Exhibit 2 summarizes the quarterly percentage rent due to the SFPUC for the two-year audit period.

<b>EXHIBIT 2 Percentage Rent Owed to the SFPUC</b>			
<b>January 1, 2007, Through December 31, 2008</b>			
<b>Quarter</b>	<b>Percentage Rent Due</b>	<b>Percentage Rent Credits Applied</b>	<b>Cumulative Amount Due to PUC</b>
2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr. 2007	\$691	\$0	\$691
3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr. 2007	13,535	0	14,226
2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr. 2008	1,938	(1,938)	12,288
3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr. 2008	6,621	(6,621)	5,667

Source: Percentage rent computed based on Sunol's monthly rent calculation statements.

The lease also specifies that any unpaid percentage rent is subject to a late charge of six percent of the unpaid balance in each instance, and default interest of 10

<sup>1</sup> At the end of the lease term, if Sunol has paid excess rent to the SFPUC, the SFPUC is to remit the balance to Sunol at that time.

percent per year on any unpaid rent from the due date until paid<sup>2</sup>. The audit team did not calculate late charges and default interest because the calculation would need to consider any unpaid rent from prior lease years.

## **Recommendations**

The SFPUC should:

2. Require Sunol to compute the percentage rent due during the lease term to date, including the percentage rent calculated during the audit period of \$5,667, and pay to the SFPUC the percentage rent owed. Also, require Sunol to compute and timely pay the percentage rent owed for future calendar quarters.
3. Calculate the late charge and default interest on the percentage rent owed in accordance with the terms of the lease, and collect this amount from Sunol.
4. Consider amending the lease so that any excess percentage rent paid by Sunol is returned to Sunol at the end of each lease year. In this case, the SFPUC should not return any excess percentage rent until Sunol has submitted its annual CPA audit report, and confirmed the rent calculation is correct. By making this change in lease terms, the SFPUC would not have to track percentage rent paid from one lease year to the next, among other benefits.

## **Sunol Misclassified Players Club Membership Revenue**

Sunol misclassified players club membership revenue under the concessions gross revenues category instead of the admissions gross revenues category. The Players Club is a program for golfers who receive discount pricing in exchange for membership fees. There are different rates for calculating the percentage rent for these categories. According to the lease, membership and club dues are to be included in the admissions gross revenues category, and rent is to be calculated at 10 percent for this category. Rent for the concessions gross revenues category is calculated at 8.25 percent. Because of this error, Sunol owes percentage rent of \$1,318 for the audit period, which the audit team factored in when calculating the total amount owed for percentage rent above (see Exhibit 2).

---

<sup>2</sup> The lease specifies that interest shall not be calculated on late charges.

**Recommendation**

The SFPUC should:

5. Require Sunol to properly categorize players club membership as admissions gross revenues, calculate percentage rent for players club membership at ten percent for the period from the start of the lease term to date, and collect any additional amounts due.

**Sunol Did Not Always  
Submit Base Rent Timely**

Sunol submitted the base rent payments after the due date for 11 months of the 24-month audit period. The lease requires Sunol to pay the base rent on or before the first day of each month. Further, the lease requires Sunol to pay a six percent late charge for each instance it doesn't pay the rent within five days after the due date.

For 2 months of the 24-month audit period, Sunol did not pay the base rent timely, including 7 days late in January 2007 and 11 days late in November 2008. Because the base rent due was \$41,667 for each month, the late charge at 6 percent is \$2,500 in each instance, for a total of \$5,000 in late charges. For 9 months of the 24-month audit period, Sunol paid the rent after it was due but before a late charge was applicable.

The lease also specifies that rent paid late is subject to a 10 percent default interest per year. The audit team did not calculate the default interest for these late base rent payments because the rent paid was only a few days late and the default interest would be immaterial.

**Recommendation**

The SFPUC should:

6. Collect \$5,000 in late charges from Sunol and remind Sunol to pay its base rent by the first of the month.

**Sunol Submitted Its CPA  
Financial Statements  
Late**

Sunol submitted its required CPA financial statements for each year of the audit period, but submitted them late. The lease requires Sunol to submit to the SFPUC an annual statement of gross revenues, audited by an independent CPA, within 45 days after the end of each lease year. The SFPUC's Director of Real Estate stated that he has not tracked the receipt date of the audited financial statements. According to the date on the CPA financial statements, Sunol submitted the 2007 financial statements at least 42 days late and the 2008 financial statements at least 206 days late.

The requirement that Sunol submit the CPA audited financial statements within 45 days after the end of the lease year may be too stringent, since Sunol needs time to perform its year-end accounting and prepare financial statements before the CPA can conduct the audit. Other City departments for which CSA audits similar agreements allow contractors either three or six months to submit their CPA audit reports. The SFPUC should consider amending the lease to provide Sunol with more time to submit its financial statements.

**Recommendation**

The SFPUC should:

7. Remind Sunol of the lease requirement to submit the CPA audited financial statements within 45 days after the end of each lease year. Alternatively, it should amend the lease to require submission of the audit from three to six months after the end of the lease year.

**Sunol Has Not Retained Some Documentation**

While Sunol retained most of the records necessary for the audit, it did not retain some supporting documentation for its revenues. The lease requires Sunol to retain records for three years after the end of each lease year. Supporting documentation is necessary to enable the City to verify that gross revenues were accurate and complete. The audit team found that Sunol did not retain the following documents:

- Golf shop cashier's count sheets
- Bank deposit slips
- Redeemed gift certificates

The cashier's count sheets and redeemed gift certificates are discarded after Sunol staff performs the cash count each day. Bank deposit slips were only retained since January 1, 2009.

**Recommendation**

The SFPUC should:

8. Remind Sunol to retain all documents in support of its revenues for three years after the end of each lease year.

**The SFPUC Did Not Adequately Administer its Lease with Sunol**

The SFPUC did not adequately administer its lease in several respects. The SFPUC did not:

- Ensure that percentage rent statements were submitted by Sunol each quarter.
- Ensure that Sunol paid the required percentage rent when applicable.
- Ensure that base rents were paid timely according to lease terms.
- Charge Sunol the appropriate late charges and default interest for late payments.
- Track the dates it received the CPA audited financial statements to ensure that they were submitted when due.


The auditors observed that the SFPUC's system to track payments for all its leases does not provide for different payment due dates. According to the SFPUC's Customer Service Billing and Collection Assistant Manager, the system can only compute late payment charges as of a single date for all leases. The manager explained that since all of the SFPUC's agreements have due dates on or before the 15<sup>th</sup> of the month, the unit made a business decision to charge all leases for late payments as of the first business day after the 15<sup>th</sup> of the month. This procedure does not allow the SFPUC to monitor late payments according to the terms of its lease with Sunol. Effective lease administration procedures would allow the SFPUC to monitor and enforce the actual lease terms, including payment terms included in all of its leases.

**Recommendations**

The SFPUC should:

9. Ensure that Sunol submits revenue statements and pay percentage rent when required.
10. Consider whether it can modify its system to charge late charges and default interest for tenants that paid their rent late, according to lease terms. Alternatively, the SFPUC should consider amending its leases so that dates for late charges agree with the SFPUC's existing procedures.
11. Track and monitor when the CPA audited financial statements are received from tenants.

# ATTACHMENT A: SFPUC'S RESPONSE



## SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL MANAGER  
1156 Market St., 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Tel. (415) 554-1600 Fax (415) 554-3424



May 11, 2010

GAVIN NEWSOM  
MAYOR

F.X. CROWLEY  
PRESIDENT

FRANCESCA VIETOR  
VICE PRESIDENT

ANN MOLLER CAEN  
COMMISSIONER

JULIET ELLIS  
COMMISSIONER

ANSON B. MORAN  
COMMISSIONER

ED HARRINGTON  
GENERAL MANAGER

Robert Tarsia, Deputy Audit Director  
Office of the Controller, City Services Auditor Division  
City Hall, Room 476  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Management's Responses to Audit Report for Sunol Valley Golf & Recreation Co.


Dear Mr. Tarsia,

Thank you for providing your audit report for Sunol Valley Golf & Recreation Co. covering the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. We appreciate the time and effort that you and your staff have dedicated to the completion of this audit.

Attached for your review and consideration, is the completed Audit Recommendation and Response form containing management's responses. We concur with your recommendations and will resolve all outstanding items.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 554-1600.

Sincerely,

  
ED HARRINGTON  
General Manager

cc: Michael Carlin, Deputy General Manager  
Todd L. Rydstrom, AGM Business Services and Chief Financial Officer  
Gary Dowd, Director Real Estate Services  
Nancy L. Horn, Director Assurance & Internal Controls



Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission should:		
1. Require Sunol to submit quarterly revenue statements.	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will resolve via formal correspondence with SVGR.</p> <p>Sunol Valley Golf &amp; Recreation Co. (SVGR) will be reminded to submit quarterly unaudited revenue statements on a timely basis, as required by the lease agreement. PUC will proactively monitor quarterly revenue statements and also notes they are unaudited; all reconciliations are performed as part of SVGR's year-end financial statement audit.</p>
2. Require Sunol to compute the percentage rent due during the lease term to date, including the percentage rent calculated during the audit period of \$5,667, and pay to the SFPUC the percentage rent owed. Also, require Sunol to compute and timely pay the percentage rent owed for future calendar quarters.	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will resolve via formal correspondence with SVGR.</p> <p>SVGR will be requested to recalculate percentage rent due from the lease term to current date and submit payment for any amounts owed, and that all future calendar quarters be calculated and paid on a timely basis.</p>
3. Calculate the late charge and default interest on the percentage rent owed in accordance with the terms of the lease, and collect this amount from Sunol.	RES	SFPUC concurs and will calculate and collect applicable late charges, inclusive of interest. Resolution of late charges and default interest owed is dependent upon results from SVGR's recalculation of percentage rents owed. (Recommendation #2)

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>4. Consider amending the lease so that any excess percentage rent paid by Sunol is returned to Sunol at the end of each lease year. In this case, the SFPUC should not return any excess percentage rent until Sunol has submitted its annual CPA audit report, and confirmed the rent calculation is correct. By making this change in lease terms, the SFPUC would not have to track percentage rent paid from one lease year to the next, among other benefits.</p>	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will address amendment of the lease as part of a blanket lease modification, pending assessments of other lease agreement requirements in the SFPUC Lease Portfolio.</p>
<p>5. Require Sunol to properly categorize players club membership as admissions gross revenues, calculate percentage rent for players club membership at ten percent for the period from the start of the lease term to date, and collect any additional amounts due.</p>	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will resolve via formal correspondence with SVGR.</p> <p>SVGR will be asked to recalculate percentage rent due from the lease term to date, using proper categorization of revenues and submit payment for any amounts owed, and that future revenues be categorized accurately.</p>
<p>6. Collect \$5,000 in late charges from Sunol and remind Sunol to pay its base rent by the first of the month.</p>	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will resolve via formal correspondence with SVGR.</p> <p>SVGR will be requested to submit \$5,000 in late charges and reminded that base rent should be paid timely by the 1<sup>st</sup> of each month.</p>

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>7. Remind Sunol of the lease requirement of submitting the CPA audited financial statements within 45 days after the end of each lease year. Alternatively, it should amend the lease to require submission of the audit from three to six months after the end of the lease year.</p>	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will resolve via formal correspondence with SVGR.</p> <p>SVGR will be reminded that CPA audited financial statements are to be submitted timely, as required by the lease agreement, within 45 days at the end of each lease year.</p> <p>SFPUC will address amendment of the lease as part of a blanket lease modification, pending assessments of other lease agreement requirements in the SFPUC Lease Portfolio.</p>
<p>8. Remind Sunol to retain all documents in support of its revenues for three years after the end of each lease year.</p>	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will resolve via formal correspondence with SVGR</p> <p>SVGR will be reminded that supporting documentation for revenues received must be retained for at least three years after the end of each lease year, as required by the lease agreement.</p>
<p>9. Ensure that Sunol submits revenue statements and pay percentage rent when required.</p>	RES	<p>SFPUC concurs and will resolve via formal correspondence with SVGR</p> <p>As similarly responded in Recommendation #1, SVGR will be reminded to submit quarterly unaudited revenue statements on a timely basis, as required by the lease agreement, and that they must pay percentage rent whenever required, as stated by the lease agreement.</p>

Recommendation	Responsible Agency	Response
<p>10. Consider whether it can modify its system to charge late charges and default interest for tenants that paid their rent late, according to lease terms. Alternatively, the SFPUC should consider amending its leases so that dates for late charges agree with the SFPUC's existing procedures.</p>	<p>RES</p>	<p>The existing lease management system currently does not have the flexibility to charge late charges and interest automatically. However, management will inquire on this capability again and explore for potential alternatives.</p> <p>SFPUC will address amendment of the lease as part of a blanket lease modification, pending assessments of other lease agreement requirements in the SFPUC Lease Portfolio.</p>
<p>11. Track and monitor when the CPA audited financial statements are received from tenants.</p>	<p>RES</p>	<p>SFPUC concurs and will ensure that CPA audited financial statements from SVGR are tracked, monitored and received.</p>

## ATTACHMENT B: SUNOL'S RESPONSE

---




May 6, 2010

Robert Tarsia, Deputy Director  
City Hall, Room 476  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Robert Tarsia,

As representative of Sunol Valley Golf & Recreation Company, I agree with the San Francisco Public Utility Commission Audit report. I do not believe there to be any errors or omissions and I agree with the proposed amendments to the lease agreement.

Sincerely,



Bryan Richardson  
General Manager  
Sunol Valley Golf & Recreation Company  
(925) 862-2404 ext 220  
brichardson@sunolvalley.com

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/19/2010 05:49 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Laguna Honda Hospital Rebuild Article in Today's in  
Examiner



pmonette-shaw  
<Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>

05/19/2010 05:45 PM

Please respond to  
Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

To undisclosed-recipients;  
cc  
Subject Laguna Honda Hospital Rebuild Article in Today's in  
Examiner

The Examiner carried a story this morning, in which Louise Renne says that although there were many "obstacles" during construction " ... we haven't had to compromise with the quality of the facility."

<http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/Laguna-Honda-opening-in-sight-94227549.html>

Ain't that rich! Let's see: The hospital hasn't decided yet what to do with the dialysis chairs, and that may kick up an extra \$4 million in the project budget (or something else will be cut), they just added \$6 million in architectural and engineering fees (and God only knows whether that's a budget increase or whether something else got cut out to increase design costs), they're not sure what they are doing with the morgue, which is still under discussion, the fate of the Hospice remains an unknown, they've eliminated 420 SNF beds and 200 to 250 assisted living units ... and then there's all of the problems with everything from the fire alarms and nurse-call system to the flood, and the disastrous weatherproofing system ... and Renne characterizes these as mere "obstacles" that have been overcome?

And giving up the 420 SNF beds wasn't a compromise on quality? What about quantity, Louise?

And where did the Examiner's author, Brent Begin, get the ridiculous nonsense that funding for the new hospital (which is \$183 million over budget) had come, in part, from the LHH Foundation? Although the Foundation was created in 2004, it was shut down within a year by Ms. Renne herself, and at last report it has remained "in suspense" (suspended) ever since. The Foundation was to have raised \$25 million for furniture, fixtures, and equipment, and of course the

12

Foundation has done no such thing, despite that fact that the furniture has skyrocketed to \$40.7 million.

The timing of the two fluff pieces today (in both the Examiner and the Chronicle at <http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2010/05/18/BASN1DGNSN.DTL>) may have been a smart move and good P.R. timing, but I think smart observers will see that truth and public records are always good antidotes to propaganda spun by LHH's three-employee public affairs spin-control department.

Patrick

p.s.: In the deepest of ironies, LHH's web site at [www.lagunahonda.org](http://www.lagunahonda.org), had a page posted on its site as recently as May 12 (which is only partially still available in Google's "cache" feature) titled "Fact or Fiction?: Mythbusting at Laguna Honda," but LHH has suddenly removed the linked page. On it, it had ironically contained a new myth (weird, on a mythbusting page) that claimed it was Louise Renne who had sued for the City to obtain the tobacco settlement revenue account used to pay, in part, for the LHH rebuild, when Renne had done no such thing.

Instead, it was former Board of Supervisors President Angela Alioto who had ushered through legislation at the Board requiring the City to sue Big Tobacco, and after Alioto's ordinance passed (which Renne had attempted to scuttle just minutes before the Board's vote), then-Mayor Brown had his City Attorney, Louise Renne outsource filing of the tobacco lawsuit to an external law firm. Renne had next to nothing to do with that lawsuit, other than to have first attempted to scuttle it, and then to outsource it. All of this is documented in Alioto's autobiography, "Straight to the Heart: Political Cantos." So much for the ongoing myth-making churned out by LHH's P.R. department.

Similarly, it pretty much a myth that the LHH Foundation has raised substantial funds for the hospital or its furniture, fixtures, and equipment, in part because it has been next to impossible to track down the non-profit tax returns the LHH Foundation is required to file with the IRS, like every other "good corporate citizen" 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization does.



Webster Bones  
<peoplesrights1@yahoo.com  
>  
05/18/2010 10:49 AM

To Craig.Nikitas@sfgov.org  
cc board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org,  
Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org, David.Chiu@sfgov.org,  
Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org,  
bcc  
Subject DR Reform

Planner Nikitas;

I have briefly looked at your Memorandum Discretionary Review Data, dated May 7, 2009, addressed To Honorable Chair Sophie Maxwell and Members Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors. I must say you did a beautiful job with the presentation, however it appears that you painted a picture which does not ring true.

I went online and reviewed all DR's for 2007 and found there are 51 Public and Staff DR's. I looked at your spreadsheet data for 2007 on page 8, you have 44 Public and Staff DR's. I counted all the false outcomes in the Outcome Accord, you have 15 but there are actually 16 FALSE outcomes. Staff made 16 FALSE outcomes out of 51 DR's which is equal to about - 31%.

I looked at 2008 on page 9, you have 53 Public and Staff DR's and 14 FALSE outcomes. Staff made 14 FALSE outcomes out of 53 DR's



which is equal to about -

26%.

I looked at 2009 on page 10, you have 34 Public and Staff DR's and 8

FALSE outcomes. Staff made 8 FALSE outcomes out of 34 DR's

which is equal to about -

23%.

Your report states: "...in each successive year, decreasing numbers of these cases indicates that staff and the Commission are coming into better alignment on projects."....

Your statistics and data really do not paint an accurate picture, with Staff make 25% FALSE outcomes for each and every year does not reflect that Staff ...."has markedly improved concurrence between staff's recommendations and the Commission's determinations on project outcomes." The 25% FALSE each year does not show markedly improved and there is no guarantee the improvement will be constant, unless planning staff controls the outcome.

In 2009 you have a column W/PROPOSED DR REFORM, WOULD

THERE BE A DR HEARING? You have 1 FALSE out of 25 DR's,

meaning that Staff took control of the DR's, Staff would only have 1

FALSE outcome. Sure if Staff takes controls the DR process then Staff

can control the outcome, however it would not be an accurate outcome

as compared to the Commissions outcome. I would be shocked beyond belief that Chair Sophie Maxwell and Members Land Use Committee, Board of Supervisors fall for this one.

25% of the people for each year, that's 75 people out of 300 would have to suffer the consequences because staff made wrong decisions. Why should the people suffer when the people pay you to protect their rights and interest?

**DR Reform is a not a move that will benefit the people.**



LA TONYA BROUGHTON  
<broughton1973@yahoo.com  
>  
05/20/2010 03:00 AM

To Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,  
michela.alioto-piers@sfgov.org, john.avalos@sfgov.org,  
david.campos@sfgov.org, david.chiu@sfgov.org,  
cc broughton1973@yahoo.com

bcc

Subject The Corruption Going On Within The Recreation and Parks  
Department

Dear Board of Supervisor,

I want to inform you of the corruption going on within Recreation and Parks systems. I will start of by informing you of the things that Mr.Ginsberg and brain wash following that has taken place. There had been a committee form with department Supervisors,Union Board Members started back in the early part of this year with people that you always see come out to support Mr.Ginsburg about thing that he is proposing at the meetings you see the at the Board meeting with purple shirts or some type union references on or not theses our the people who are on the board and have now all been given jobs because of the support of tearing down the department such as your Margot Reed, Linda Barnard's,Sean McGrew, Karla Rosales,Bob Palacio,Larry McNesby all these people listed you have seen one time or another supporting Mr.Ginsberg and who are on the board and have been all been placed in jobs. There have been staff told not to go out and speak against anything that Mr.Ginsburg has proposed because he can make it hard for to remain employed with department this is being told by Supervisors to staff. I find it hard to believe that there has not been anyone outside of the of Union/Management Team to be placed in these new positions.The other thing is how these so called Chapter President and Union officials have come stating that they speaking are speaking behalf to the workers about the support between Management and Union when none of this true, Although it might because the names that I have mention are both Supervisor and Union members. They say all of this for themselves because they had to been guaranteed jobs and where able to make this new reorganization work and if the come out and support him and what he wants the department to look like after this transformation. I find hard to believe that the new 10 supervisor position where all given to the people listed on the Union/Management Team.

Thanks In Advance for your reading and any additional information such as the documents of the list of Union/Management Team Members and or the list of people who have given the new position feel free to contact me anytime.

14



LA TONYA BROUGHTON  
<broughton1973@yahoo.com  
>

05/20/2010 04:04 AM

To michela.alioto-pier@sfgov.org, sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org,  
ross.mirkarimi@sfgov.org, david.campos@sfgov.org,  
david.chiu@sfgov.org, carmen.chu@sfgov.org,  
cc broughton1973@yahoo.com

bcc

Subject (UPDATED)The Corruption Going On Within The Recreation  
and Parks Department

Subject: Fw: The Corruption Going On Within The Recreation and Parks Department

To: michela.alioto-pier@sfgov.org, sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org

Cc: broughton1973@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, May 20, 2010, 3:07 AM

Subject: The Corruption Going On Within The Recreation and Parks Department

To: Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, michela.alioto-piers@sfgov.org,  
john.avalos@sfgov.org, david.campos@sfgov.org, david.chiu@sfgov.org, carmen.chu@sfgov.org,  
chris.daly@sfgov.org, bevan.dufty@sfgov.org, sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org, shophie.maxwell@sfgov.org, ross.l

Cc: broughton1973@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, May 20, 2010, 3:00 AM

Dear Board of Supervisor,

I want to inform you of the corruption going on within Recreation and Parks systems. I will start of by inform you of the things that Mr.Ginsberg and brain wash following that has taken place. There had been a committee form with department Supervisors,Union Board Members started back in the early part of this year with people that you always see come out to support Mr.Ginsburg about thing that he is proposing at the meetings you see the at the Board meeting with purple shirts or some type union references on or not these our the people who are on the board and have now all been given jobs because of the support of tearing down the department such as your Margot Reed,Linda Barnard's,Sean McGrew, Karla Rosales,Bob Palacio,Larry McNesby all these people listed you have seen one time or another supporting Mr.Ginsberg and who are on the board and have been all been placed in jobs. There have been staff told not to go out and speak against anything that Mr.Ginsburg has proposed because he can make it hard for to remain employed with department this is being told by Supervisors to staff. I find it hard to believe that there has not been anyone outside of the of Union/Management Team to be placed in these new positions.The other thing is how these so called Chapter President and Union officials have come stating that they speaking are speaking behalf to the workers about the support between Management and Union when none of this true, Although it might because the names that I have mention are both Supervisor and Union members. They say all of this for themselves because they had to been guaranteed jobs and where able to make this new reorganization work and if the come out and support him and what he wants the department to look like after this transformation. I find hard to believe that the new 10 supervisor position where all given to the people listed on the Union/Management Team.

Thanks In Advance for your reading and any additional information such as the documents of the list of Union/Management Team Members and or the list of people who have given the new position feel

free to contact me anytime.

P.S the other thing I forgot to mention is that they may come back to say that they all had to test for those position and interview for these jobs. The problem with that is there was no surprise that they all scored high and passed the written and all Interview steps as well and made it all the way to get those new positions, could it be because they where ones who set on the Union/Management Team and had in put on the test if they where not the ones who came up with the question and answer portions of the whole test? They would have passed all screening for the Interview process as well because they knew what the panel was looking for because once again they formulated this whole process so it was a win win situations for all the set on that so call team. So this would be the cover up if anyone looking from the outside and had any question is that shows that they followed the protocol's of them all taken and passing both the written and Interview processes. when they had known all the all long that whom was on that Team will come victorious and with a job structure all these new positions and how they would look and who would hold all the positions.

Of the 42 people who rank in the number 1 positions that scores was 1060 and they only found the people whom had been on this team to be qualified for these new position that sounds very strange to me.

Written on behalf of a Concern worker  
(415)413-6102

Latonya Y Broughton

May 25, 2010

RECEIVED  
MAYOR'S OFFICE

10 MAY 25 PM 2:44

President Barack Obama  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington DC 20500

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 25 PM 2:52

BY 

Dear President Barack Obama:

How do you do? I just turned 68 years old last month!

I had my seventh heart attack on August 17, 2008 when you visited us in San Francisco. Before I could shake your hand (in the Fairmont Hotel), the ambulance took me away to the hospital. Today, I would like to have another opportunity to shake your hand and make my dream come true.

I had support from many Bay Area political officials (including Senator Barbara Boxer and Congresswoman Barbara Lee), and I hope that your security team allows me to see you and shake your hand before I die. Attached is my old letter dated 4/21/09, the one I sent to you in Washington DC.

Ty to understand, I am American-Egyptian, and I never give up. I hope you will understand that my dream can come true by meeting you face to face and shaking the hand of my hero. I love you, support you, and dream to see you. Me and my family in Egypt love you and support you.

Mr. President, even though I had another heart operation 10 days ago on May 15, 2010, I still risk my life after 6 stants and 8 heart attacks to come here today to meet you.

Sincerely,



Abdalla Megahed  
990 Polk Street, #418  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
(415) 374-4141  
(415) 896-4502

Cc: Gavin Newsom, SF City Mayor  
SF Chief of Police  
Barbara Boxer, Senator  
Barbar Lee, Congresswoman  
Margaret Scoby, American Ambassador in Egypt  
SF Board of Supervisors

15

cc: BUSM Leg. Dep, CEO  
orig: Joy

Office of the Mayor  
City & County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 18 PM 3:05  
BY                     

May 17, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102


**Re: Notice of Transfer of Function Under Charter Section 4.132**

Dear Madam Clerk,

This letter constitutes a notice to the Board of Supervisors under Charter section 4.132 of a transfer of function between departments within the executive branch. As part of the annual budget for this fiscal year (2009-2010) approved by the Board of Supervisors and Mayor, the costs of operating, maintaining and improving the auxiliary water supply system were transferred from the Fire Department's budget to the Public Utilities Commission's budget. To formally complete this transfer, I order that auxiliary water supply system operations, maintenance and improvement functions be transferred from the Fire Department to the Public Utilities Commission, consistent with the approved budget for this fiscal year (2009-2010). If at any time the Public Utilities Commission ceases to exist under the Charter, or if a Charter amendment removes water utility services and supplies functions from the Public Utilities Commission's jurisdiction, the operations, maintenance and improvement functions of the auxiliary water supply system will revert to the Fire Department, to the extent permitted by the Charter and applicable law. This transfer will consolidate into the Public Utilities Commission a water supply and distribution function that is currently not under that department's administrative jurisdiction, and will facilitate effective water distribution planning, conservation of the City's water supplies and reliability of fire suppression facilities.

I direct the Fire Chief, General Manager of Public Utilities, the Controller, Director of the Department of Human Resources and all other City officers and employees to implement the transfer of civil service employees who currently are engaged in the performance of operating and maintaining the auxiliary water supply system to the Public Utilities Commission, with no adverse effect on the employees' status, position, compensation or pension or retirement rights and privileges by virtue of such transfer. I further direct those officers and employees to transfer to the Public Utilities Commission the physical assets that comprise the entire auxiliary water supply system, including infrastructure, real property, and the equipment, vehicles and supplies necessary to support such system. In addition, the Fire Department and the Public Utilities Commission have agreed that the Fire Department will transfer to the Public Utilities Commission surplus equipment and supplies that the Public Utilities Commission will use for its operations, in exchange for payment of \$500,000 representing the fair market value of these assets. The Real Estate Division shall make appropriate changes in its records of property owned by the City to reflect the transfer of administrative jurisdiction over the auxiliary water supply system.

Sincerely,

  
Gavin Newsom

cc: Budget Committee Members  
Harvey Rose  
Controller

16

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/17/2010 05:43 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject muni budget



daniel pong  
<dannyde684@live.com>  
05/17/2010 02:22 PM

To <boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject muni budget

AS A RESIDENT OF THIS CITY, I URGE THIS GROUP NOT TO APPROVE THE BUDGET OF  
MUNI, UNLESS THEY CHANGE THEIR

MIND TO CUT SERVICE/

---

Hotmail is redefining busy with tools for the New Busy. Get more from your inbox. [See how.](#)

17





madabuu  
 <madabuu@yahoo.com>  
 05/19/2010 12:29 AM

To Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org  
 cc info@ingbaytobreakers.com, support@bazusports.com,  
 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org  
 bcc

Subject Re: End the Bay to Breakers Fiasco

17 attachments

- 0516001935.jpg
- 0516001936.jpg
- 0516001938.jpg
- 0516001941.jpg
- 0516001942.jpg
- 0516001942a.jpg
- 0516001944.jpg
- 0516002026.jpg
- 0516002027.jpg
- 0517000900.jpg
- 0517000901c.jpg
- 0517000902.jpg
- 0517000903.jpg
- Guy\_Who\_Abandoned\_Float.jpg
- 0517000904.jpg
- 0517000905.jpg
- 0517000906.jpg

Here are some of examples of the trashing of GG Park due to the Bay to Breakers. Obviously, cleanup is not a high priority of the "race" organizers. Also, it does not seem to matter that the rest of the community cannot use the park not only during the event, but for 24-48 hours afterwards due to mess that 20,000 drunks make. Attached are photos taken 24 hours after the event. If I make a mess I am sure that I would get a ticket because I am a working taxpayer, but if a large corporate sponsor event trashes GG Park and the surrounding neighborhood, it is called a good time. One of these days, the 20,000 drunks will turn into a mob and riot and someone will get hurt and the good times will be over. Will you let the fools continue to party on until then?

Matt Butler

--- On Sun, 5/16/10, madabuu <madabuu@yahoo.com> wrote:

> From: madabuu <madabuu@yahoo.com>  
 > Subject: End the Bay to Breakers Fiasco  
 > To: Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org  
 > Cc: jsabatini@examiner.com, jupton@sfoxaminer.com,  
 info@ingbaytobreakers.com, support@bazusports.com,  
 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin.newsom@sfgov.org  
 > Date: Sunday, May 16, 2010, 4:15 PM  
 > Supervisor Mar:  
 >  
 > The time has come to end the Bay to Breakers fiasco.  
 > After last year's disaster, the SF Examiner published an  
 > article stating that there would be no tolerance for bad  
 > behavior. (All wheeled objects and floats would be  
 > prohibited. A zero-tolerance policy would be observed.  
 > More trash receptacles would be placed along the  
 > course.) This was nonsense, of course.  
 > <http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/Officials-look-to-put-a-stop-to-Bay-to-Breaker-s-shenanigans-39453202.html>  
 > Today they followed that up with an even more absurd  
 > piece of self-serving nonsense, stating that B2B was  
 > "relatively peaceful":  
 > <http://www.sfexaminer.com/local/Police-say-ING-Bay-to-Breakers-relatively-peac>

18

eful-93892944.html.

> The Bay to Breakers race is nothing more than excuse  
> for public drunkenness, public urination and disturbing the  
> peace, all of which I witnessed today. Since the  
> sponsors have proven that they have no interest in reining  
> in the bad behavior and the SF Police Dept has proven that  
> they have no interest in enforcing the laws of the City of  
> SF and State of California, I urge you to support ending the  
> Bay to Breakers. If we never have another B2B, it  
> would be too soon!

>  
> Matt Butler  
> 756 Arguello Blvd  
> SF, CA 94118  
> 415-668-6011

>  
>  
>  
>



Miki Yoshimura  
 <myoshimura2003@yahoo.com>  
 05/19/2010 01:44 PM

To Pro-SF <home@prosf.org>, Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org,  
 John.Avalos@sfgov.org, Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org,  
 Raymond.Vermeulen@ing.com, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org,  
 cc sfpdcommunityrelations@sfgov.org,  
 sfpdmediarelations@sfgov.org

bcc

Subject Re: The Public Private: ING Bagdad B2B Update: Haight  
 Ashbury Panhandle Tenderloin For A Day An Arthur Evans  
 Fantasy Camp

I sounded just like Gavin Newsom on Monday, because my voice was so hoarse from yelling "NO PEEING" at people who left the sidewalk, moved beyond a barrier of chairs, up my driveway, to use my house as a urinal. When the chairs were occupied, runners were asking them to move out of the way, so they could pee on the house! The urinating was excessive this year and there were even porta-potties across the street.

The peeing didn't stop when the race ended. All the people passing through on their way back, continued to use the house as a urinal. We left the house after the race and when we came back around 4 p.m. there were 3 people simultaneously peeing on the house.

Adding to the unpleasantness, some people were quite belligerent when you told them they couldn't pee on the house.

Mayor Newsom should have walked down the hill from his house to check out the Bay To Breakers. If he thought walking on Haight street was an eye opener ....

Kimo - The attempted peeing on my house was more frequent than the MUNI bus runs at commute time and continued for 8 hours. Disorderly is too mild of a term for what was happening. I can handle shooing away a couple of drunks. This felt like a siege.

Miki Yoshimura

**From:** Pro-SF <home@prosf.org>  
**To:** home@prosf.org  
**Sent:** Wed, May 19, 2010 10:14:44 AM  
**Subject:** The Public Private: ING Bagdad B2B Update: Haight Ashbury Panhandle Tenderloin For A Day An Arthur Evans Fantasy Camp

<b>PRO-SF</b>
ProSF Taxpayers Open Government Archive <a href="http://www.scribd.com/Public%20Records%20Information%20Clearinghouse">http://www.scribd.com/Public%20Records%20Information%20Clearinghouse</a>
ProSF Taxpayers Open Government Sunshine/CPRA/FOIA News Blog <a href="http://www.prosfclearinghouse.wordpress.com/">http://www.prosfclearinghouse.wordpress.com/</a>
Address: 250 Ashbury St. SF 94117 : E <a href="mailto:home@prosf.org">home@prosf.org</a> : Supporting Freedom of Information, Government Transparency & Accountability. Providing Public Information To The Taxpayers/Residents of the City and County of San Francisco
<b>From:</b> kimocrossman@gmail.com [mailto:kimocrossman@gmail.com] <b>On Behalf Of</b> Kimo Crossman
<b>Sent:</b> Tuesday, May 18, 2010 6:28 PM
<b>To:</b> DR WAYNE B LANIER
<b>Cc:</b> Pro-SF; Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org; David Campos; David Chiu; ING Head of Media Relations Raymond Vermeulen; Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org; Chris.Daly@sfgov.org; Eric L. Mar; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org; Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org; Sophie.Maxwell@sfgov.org
<b>Subject:</b> Boo hoo. Re: THE CHRON ' s IDEA OF GOOD CLEAN pFUN

With all due respect this is a daily sight in the tenderloin or SOMA as well as many sporting and camping activities. People who need Order 100% of the time typically don ' t live the big city of San Francisco . Let ' s not even talk about how it was run in 1800 ' s.

(written on a mobile, please pardon the brevity, spelling & grammar)

**From:** DR WAYNE B LANIER [mailto:w\_lanier@pacbell.net]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 18, 2010 5:52 PM

**To:** Pro-SF; ING Head of Media Relations Raymond Vermeulen

**Cc:** Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org; Chris.Daly@sfgov.org; David Campos; David Chiu; Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org; Eric L. Mar; Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org; Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org; Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org; Sophie.Maxwell@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

**Subject:** THE CHRON ' s IDEA OF GOOD CLEAN FUN

## Message to ING: Please Go Home

... and Stay There

No More Bay to Breakers on My Corner

*"I don ' t care if you publish my picture, I ' m from Los Angeles ."*

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

05/25/2010 11:27 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,

cc

bcc

Subject Bay to Breakers Traffic / Public bathrooms



Scott Esters <scott@af6rt.com>

05/24/2010 09:48 AM

Please respond to  
scott@af6rt.com

To <mtaboard@sfmta.com>, <Gavin.Newsome@sfgov.org>,  
<Board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

cc

Subject Bay to Breakers Traffic / Public bathrooms

During the Bay to Breakers race, my neighborhood at Broderick and Hayes between 11 am and 1:30 pm was overwhelmed with urinating spectators and participants. There were no police officers or traffic control in sight. At 11 am various floats started coming up Hayes street from Divisadero heading towards Baker street. The mob, 1200 to 1500 strong, overtook the 400 and 500 blocks of Broderick urinating (and more) on our cars and buildings. My neighbors and my family felt unsafe going outside.

Please consider taking steps, maybe cancelling the Bay to Breakers race, to keep our city safe.

Sincerely,  
Scott Esters



DR WAYNE B LANIER <w\_lanier@pacbell.net>

05/20/2010 07:07 PM

To cindy.shamban@sfmta.com, angela@ingbaytobreakers.com,  
michael.niland@sfgov.org, Deborah Goodson Douglas <degoodson@hotmail.com>  
cc Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org, Chris.Daly@sfgov.org, David Campos  
<David.Campos@sfgov.org>, David Chiu <David.Chiu@sfgov.org>,  
Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org, "Eric L. Mar" <Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org>,  
bcc

Subject Our Neighborhood is Profoundly Unhappy with Bay to Breakers and wants it to Never  
Happen Again

# *Wake Up and Smell the Urine...!*

**Message to ING:**

**Please Go Home**

**... and Stay There**

**No More Bay to Breakers on My Corner**

MORE PHOTOS ADDED, MORE TO COME IF YOU ARE NOT CONVINCED.

*"I don't care if you publish my picture, I'm from Los Angeles."*


Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/27/2010 11:19 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Bay to Breakers questions



DR WAYNE B LANIER  
<w\_lanier@pacbell.net>  
05/26/2010 12:22 PM

To Mary Helen Briscoe <mhbriscoe@pacbell.net>, Howard  
Chabner <hlchabner@jps.net>  
cc Deborah Goodson Douglas <degoodson@hotmail.com>  
Subject Re: Bay to Breakers questions



**THIS PHOTOGRAPH SHOWS THE RACE LONG AFTER ANY SERIOUS RUNNERS WERE RUNNING.**

**THE FLOAT SHOWN APPEARED TO BE GIVING OUT BEER.**

**VERY, VERY FEW OF THE PEOPLE SHOWN HAD RACE NUMBERS.**

**You can see, however, that the crowd is quite dense all the way from the North Sidewalk on Fell Street to the regular Golden Gate Panhandle toilets. At NO TIME during the race was there such a dense crowd of runners and other participants. This crowd gathered and partied after the race.**

*Not shown, but to the left of the picture were a very large number of Porta Potties. Even more Porta Potties were along Masonic. There were lines to the Porta Potties, but they did not appear to be very long.*

*ABOUT AN HOUR after this photograph was taken, the Police came sweeping up Fell Street on motorcycles, and declared the race closed. Following them were the clean-up crew.*

*The best description of this Bay-to-Breakers Race was that it resembled the Ft. Lawderdale 'Spring Break Raves' of the past, before that city took measures to restrict the drunken street parties.*

*In this San Francisco 2010 Bay-to-Breakers Race, very large crowds of young people, about college age, came to San Francisco to have a 'Beer Blast' after the race. By their own admissions, they did not participate in the race, itself. They came for the party...! They congregated around Alamo Square and around the Haight/Ashbury part of the Golden Gate Park Panhandle [and extended on up toward Haight Street, I understand from other's comments].*


*A 'Rave' or 'Beer Blast' followed the 2008 race and this 2010 race. As a result of complaints after the 2008 race, alcohol was strictly forbidden for the 2009 race, and that race was not followed by a giant crowd having a 'Beer Blast'. Only kegs and alcohol-carrying floats were forbidden for this race. Most of these folks came prepared with their own coolers and packs of beer and wine.*

*In my opinion, Bay-to-Breakers has been 'taken over' by this young crowd as a 'Beer Party Rave' event. We will see increasing numbers of these folks, who do not participate in the race, but who show up for the wild drunken party.*

*Although their total numbers probably do not greatly exceed the total number of participants in the race, their gathering at specific sites such as the Haight/Ashbury area of the route substantially increases their density and the impact of their uncontrolled behavior. This crowd density, coupled with excessive alcohol, makes it very, very difficult to control their behavior. We do not begin to have enough Police to protect the impacted neighborhoods.*

*All protection of the neighborhood was withdrawn during this drunken rave. The Police and Emergency Services had enough to do to handle serious incidents. Certainly, the ING Observers who came could not, themselves, control the crowd behavior.*





*Any hope of continuing the Bay-to-Breakers Race Tradition without these destructive drunken young people spoiling it is futile and hopeless. The Bay-to-Breakers Drunken Rave has become its own tradition, now, and these folks will certainly show up next year - no matter what San Francisco does.*

*We need to face this fact: Young drunks from out of town have made it their tradition to violate Bay-to-Breakers and they will continue to do so. Such crowd behavior has been seen in other parts of the country where the same sorts of young people have made a 'Drunken Rave' their tradition. Short of the City declaring a Police Emergency during Bay-to-Breakers and employing all of their forces in the 'hot spot' neighborhoods, destruction of property, rude behavior, and, eventually, violence, will escalate.*

*Up and down the route, neighbors took picture of the disorderly behavior. They were prepared by the disorder of the 2008 race and by rumors of the planned 'fun' circulating among young people. They took these pictures in the hope that the City would take real and substantial action, closing the race, if necessary. Next year all these same neighbors will be prepared for violence and to deal with it violently if Police protection is not forthcoming.*

*Over the last decade this neighborhood, and others like it, has seen an enormous growth in young family owners moving in. During the race, many of the neighborhood children came out to play in the streets like Ashbury, north of Fell, which had been closed to automobile traffic. By the time the two*

*pictures above were taken, all the young children of the neighborhood had been taken off the Ashbury block north of Fell. Their parents considered the drunken and rude behavior of the crowd potentially too violent to expose their children to risk. Young fathers were out patrolling the street, warning the drunks not to urinate on their houses. I saw no violence on Ashbury in the block north of Fell, but I saw several incidents that bordered on violence and, had the neighbors come out prepared for violence, those events would have led to violence.*

*When the Supervisors, Police, and City Officials make decisions that expose the residents to violence and drunken behavior, without these same officials taking realistic steps to control that behavior and protect the residents, there will eventually be vigilante defense. These young owners and renters with families have too great an investment to abandon their homes to the casual destructive impulses of pampered drunks. Supervisors like Mirkarimi and Daly, who have moved their families out of San Francisco, should understand this.*

*The only heroes in the last Bay-to-Breakers were the CLEAN-UP CREW, who served far beyond the call of duty. They could not stop the drunken rave, but they did clean up after the drunken rave and they worked very hard to do it.*

*Feeble efforts, such as counting toilets, counting police, asking organizers to step up, checking registration in the race, or playing the cost game will not control determined drunken behavior by large groups of young people. **THE ONLY SOLUTION IS TO ADMIT THAT THIS SAN FRANCISCO TRADITION HAS BEEN DESTROYED BY DRUNKS WHO WE CANNOT CONTROL, AND SO, DISCONTINUING ALL FUTURE RACES.***

*Wayne Lanier*

On May 26, 2010, at 7:07 AM, Mary Helen Briscoe wrote:

This is from Howard Chabner: [hlchabner@jps.net](mailto:hlchabner@jps.net).

Attached is a list of questions I wrote that are relevant to both trying to figure out what went wrong this year and the previous several years, and what must be done to avoid the disaster in the future. Some of this information is publicly available and should be provided by the city in response to a document request. (Should, not necessarily will.) I need to think about whether I want to invest the time trying to get this information. Anyway, I hope this list is helpful in

## asking questions at public meetings.

### BAY TO BREAKERS 2010 – QUESTIONS

In order to avoid a repeat of the disastrous behavior at the 2010 B2B, it's imperative to understand why things went wrong. In order to understand that, it's essential to know the answers to the following questions: a) for the 2010 B2B; b) for the previous several years; and c) in general, for the years immediately preceding ING's first year of primary sponsorship.

#### Participants

How many participants were registered for the race?  
How many people, not registered, were at or near the race course?

#### Managers/Organizers

What are their names, contact information, experience and background?  
Who hired them? On what basis were they chosen?  
What is the scope of work they were hired to do?  
Were they required to post a bond? If so, in what amount?  
Are they a nonprofit or for-profit organization?  
Are they based in San Francisco?  
Did they manage the Bay to Breakers before ING became involved?  
When did they first manage the Bay to Breakers?

#### Sponsors

What are the sponsors' obligations, both financial and otherwise?  
What commitments did the sponsors make?  
Were the sponsors required to post a bond or cash deposit? If so, in what amount and for whose benefit? If so, has the bond or deposit been returned to the sponsors, or paid to the party whose benefit it was for, or is it still being held?

#### Permits

What permits and similar approvals were required from the City and County of San Francisco, any of its departments or agencies, SFMTA, and independent or quasi-independent organizations such as the Port of San Francisco?  
Were all such permits and approvals obtained?  
Which organization(s) applied for/obtained these permits and approvals?  
What conditions and requirements were attached to these permits and approvals?

## Costs

What were the out-of-pocket financial expenses paid by ING, the major sponsor?

What were the out-of-pocket financial expenses paid by the other sponsors?  
What were the financial costs (both out-of-pocket and otherwise) paid or incurred by the City and County of San Francisco, any of its departments or agencies, SFMTA, and independent or quasi-independent organizations such as the Port of San Francisco?

For each of the above questions, provide a total aggregate amount and a breakdown by type of expense.

## Police, Fire Department and Paramedics

How many police officers were assigned to the Bay to Breakers? Where were they deployed?

How many firefighters were assigned to the Bay to Breakers? Where were they deployed?

How many paramedics were assigned to the Bay to Breakers? Where were they deployed?

For each of the SFPD, SFFD and paramedic organization, how many incident reports were made on the day of the Bay to Breakers? Provide a breakdown of the type of incidents reported.

How many arrests were made on the day of the Bay to Breakers? Provide a breakdown of the type of offenses for which arrests were made.

Did the SFPD, SFFD and paramedic organization have written plans, orders, rules of engagement, etc. for the Bay to Breakers? If yes, please provide copies. Have the SFPD, SFFD and paramedic organization made written post-event reports about the Bay to Breakers? If yes, please provide copies.

## Portapotties

How many portapotties were deployed for the Bay to Breakers? Where were they deployed?

How many times can a portapotty be used before it must be cleaned?

Is it feasible to clean a portapotty during the Bay to Breakers, or is a portapotty out of commission for the rest of the day after it has reached its capacity?

## Cleanup

How many personnel (including city employees and contractors) were provided by CCSF for cleanup on the day of the Bay to Breakers?

How many personnel (including city employees and contractors) were provided by CCSF for cleanup of the areas along the race course in the week after the Bay to Breakers?

How many personnel (including paid personnel and volunteers) were provided by the race managers and sponsors for cleanup on the day of the Bay to Breakers?  
How many personnel (including paid personnel and volunteers) were provided by the race managers and sponsors for cleanup in the week after the Bay to Breakers?

### **Transportation and Parking**

What special arrangements were made by MUNI, BART, Caltrain, AC Transit and other transportation providers for transportation for the day of the Bay to Breakers?

What special parking arrangements were made by public and private parking providers for the day of the Bay to Breakers?

OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION  
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION  
P.O. BOX 942896  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94296-0001  
(916) 653-6624 Fax: (916) 653-9824  
calshpo@parks.ca.gov



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SACRAMENTO, CA

2010 MAY -6 PM 4: 38

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
AKC

May 4, 2010

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102-4689

RE: Temple Sherith Israel Listing on the  
National Register of Historic Places

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am pleased to notify you that on March 31, 2010, the above-named property was placed on the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). As a result of being placed on the National Register, this property has also been listed in the California Register of Historical Resources, pursuant to Section 4851(a)(2) of the Public Resources Code.

Placement on the National Register affords a property the honor of inclusion in the nation's official list of cultural resources worthy of preservation and provides a degree of protection from adverse affects resulting from federally funded or licensed projects. Registration provides a number of incentives for preservation of historic properties, including special building codes to facilitate the restoration of historic structures, and certain tax advantages.

There are no restrictions placed upon a private property owner with regard to normal use, maintenance, or sale of a property listed in the National Register. However, a project that may cause substantial adverse changes in the significance of a registered property may require compliance with local ordinances or the California Environmental Quality Act. In addition, registered properties damaged due to a natural disaster may be subject to the provisions of Section 5028 of the Public Resources Code regarding demolition or significant alterations, if imminent threat to life safety does not exist.

If you have any questions or require further information, please contact the Registration Unit at (916) 653-6624.

Sincerely,

Milford Wayne Donaldson, FAIA  
State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure: National Register Notification of Listing

19

April 9, 2010

The Director of the National Park Service is pleased to send you the following announcements and actions on properties for the National Register of Historic Places. For further information contact Edson Beall via voice (202) 354-2255, or E-mail: <Edson\_Beall@nps.gov> This and past Weekly Lists are also available here: <http://www.nps.gov/history/nr/nrlist.htm>

Our physical location address is:

National Park Service 2280, 8th floor  
National Register of Historic Places  
1201 "I" (Eye) Street, NW,  
Washington D.C. 20005

Landscape Architecture Month: <http://www.nps.gov/history/nr/feature/landscape/index.htm>  
WEEKLY LIST OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON PROPERTIES: 3/29/10 THROUGH 4/02/10

KEY: State, County, Property Name, Address/Boundary, City, Vicinity, Reference Number, NHL, Action, Date, Multiple Name

CALIFORNIA, NEVADA COUNTY,  
Davis Mill,  
off North Bloomfield Road; 3 miles NE of Nevada City, Nevada City vicinity, 10000157, LISTED,  
4/01/10

CALIFORNIA, PLACER COUNTY,  
El Toyon,  
211 Brook Rd,  
Auburn, 10000118,  
LISTED, 3/31/10

CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY,  
Geneva Office Building and Power House,  
2301 San Jose Ave.,  
San Francisco, 10000111,  
LISTED, 3/31/10

CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY,  
Temple Sherith Israel,  
2266 California St.,  
San Francisco, 10000114,  
LISTED, 3/31/10

CALIFORNIA, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY,  
William Shipsey House,  
1266 Mill St,  
San Luis Obispo, 10000115,  
LISTED, 3/31/10

CALIFORNIA, SUTTER COUNTY,  
Live Oak Historic Commercial District,  
Along Broadway between Pennington Rd. and Elm St., Live Oak, 97001657,  
PROPOSED MOVE APPROVED, 4/01/10



sfviscount@aol.com  
05/20/2010 10:52 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject The Tonga Room Closure!

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am a native San Franciscan who happens to be stuck in the pubic hair of the US at the moment, known to most of the world as Dallas, Texas. I hate it here and I am living for the day when I can return to my beloved City by the Bay!! I just read in SFGate that the Tonga Room may be closing and that the Board of Supervisors can't seem to agree on reasons to keep the Tonga Room open!!

If they were to bring me back home to San Francisco and place me incharge of things, I would personally see to it that the Tonga Room would not only remain open, but would be a success!! It has been a City landmark for decades! It's kitsch! It's intimate! It's unique! It's romantic! It's OURS!! It's part of what makes San Francisco what it is - a truly memorable destination offering what no other city/restaurant can offer anyone!

We can't simply allow the Tonga Room to fade into history when it's been so much apart of our City's history and unique cultural mix! I would make sure that it became first on every tourist's "Thing's To Do List" when coming to the Bay Area! I would make Polynesian-themed weddings THE vogue thing to do!

Please don't close the Tonga Room!!!!

Most sincerely,  
Mr. Kimble McSweeney  
117 Edgemere Court  
Murphy TX 75094  
972-509-0504





<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
05/20/2010 10:07 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Information Request Form

To:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.orgEmail:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.orgDO\_NOT\_RELEASE  
\_PERSONAL\_INFORMATION:Yes  
FIRST\_NAME:don  
LAST\_NAME:  
ADDRESS:  
CITY:  
STATE:CO  
ZIP:  
PHONE\_NUMBER:303-368-8061  
FAX:  
CONTACT\_EMAIL:  
DATE\_OF\_RECORD:  
FILENUMBER:  
RESOLUTIONNUMBER:  
ORDINANCENUMBER:  
MOTIONNUMBER:  
SEE\_FILE\_ON:  
PICK\_UP\_INFORMATION ON:  
ADDITIONAL\_INFORMATION\_DETAIL:When will you boycott China for real human rights violations?

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/24/2010 12:10 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Supes To Tackle Sidewalk Civility Again

27

— Forwarded by Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV on 05/24/2010 12:10 PM —



AEvans604@aol.com  
05/20/2010 05:51 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Supes To Tackle Sidewalk Civility Again

Dear Friends and Neighbors,

On Monday, May 24, at 10:00 a.m., the supes' Public Safety Committee will again take up the proposed Civil Sidewalks Law (formerly known as the Sit-Lie Law), meeting in the big legislative chamber at City Hall.

At the committee's last meeting, Chris Daly, who is not a member of the committee, urged defeat of the measure, delivering a lengthy, foul-mouthed, angry tirade. Nonetheless, the committee voted to continue the matter until May 24.

The committee's chair, David Chiu, will likely vote against the ordinance next Monday, along with Ross Mirkarimi, who represents the Haight. Bevan Dufty, who represents district eight, will likely vote in favor.

Mirkarimi has been negligent and inattentive on the issue. Some months ago, when meetings were first called at Park Police Station to discuss the emerging public safety crisis in the Haight, he failed to

attend.

After Police Chief George Gascón first floated the idea of a sit-lie law, Mirkarimi failed to answer e-mails from his constituents asking about his views on the subject.

After Mayor Gavin Newsom formerly proposed the measure, Mirkarimi repeatedly scoffed at it. He says he prefers more foot patrols as the answer – ignoring the fact that the proposed law would make foot patrols more effective.

If the Haight had a champion at the board to stand up for its safety and well-being, this committee would pass the Civil Sidewalks Law. But such is not the case.

After Monday's vote, the mayor will likely draw up an initiative to submit to the voters in November, which will probably pass.

The current scenario is following the precedent of the Care Not Cash initiative in 2002. The supes bungled the matter, and all the special interests were opposed to it, but the voters passed it anyway.

Let's not be discouraged by the dysfunctionality and ineptitude of the supes but press ahead with faith in the good sense of the people of San Francisco. Working together, we can bring safety and civility back to our neighborhoods.

The right of the public to safe and civil sidewalks in San Francisco is an idea whose time has come.

Yours for rationality in government,

Arthur Evans

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

05/24/2010 12:57 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,

cc

bcc

Subject the Civil Sidewalks - Sit/Lie Ordinance



Ambiance Antiques

<showroom@ambianceantiques.com>

Sent by: ambianceantiques1@gmail.com

05/21/2010 04:27 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

Subject the Civil Sidewalks - Sit/Lie Ordinance

Dear Board of Supervisors and the Public Safety Committee,

I attended the Board of Supervisor's Public Safety Committee meeting on May 10<sup>th</sup> in order to speak in favor of the Sit/Lie ordinance because my business is suffering from sidewalk encampments outside my store.

I came away very frustrated. It was evident that two of the three Supervisors on the committee did not want to consider the Sit/Lie ordinance because it competed with their own agendas.

And I was appalled by the manner that some of the Supervisors treated the police officers. Very good reasons were given as to why the current laws cannot be effectively used by the police to address the problem on our sidewalks, yet the Supervisors insisted on legal nit-picking. Many cities have struggled with the same issue, and they've settled on Sit/Lie laws as the solution. Just because we have 30 laws that don't work is not a reason to reject a law that has been proven to work. And a Sit/Lie Ordinance would not require more resources from the police. In fact, it will free up resources because instead of having to repeatedly ask people to move on, they will only have to do so once, as has been shown by the other cities.

If the outrageous claims being made by the opposition were true, we would have heard about it. We all know people in Palo Alto and Berkeley, and both those cities have Sit/Lie laws.

At the meeting, Chris Daly was bemoaning the fact that a Civil Sidewalks ordinance on the ballot would be a "wedge" issue. But the "wedge" already exists. The wedge is in the form of people taking over our sidewalks. There is a wedge between the San Franciscans whose safety and livelihood are threatened and the San Franciscans who don't seem to care. How are we supposed to be on the same side, when we're afraid to use the same sidewalks?

I believe that the failure to pass this law will not go over well with the majority of San Franciscans. If it does go to ballot, good luck to those who were willing to compromise the safety, beauty, and economy of San Francisco so that our sidewalks can be some guy's living room.

Sincerely,

Teresa Beltramo

Ambiance Antiques

--

Ambiance Antiques & Venue

550 15th St., #1

San Francisco, CA 94103

415-626-0145

[www.ambianceantiques.com](http://www.ambianceantiques.com)

[www.theambiancevenue.com](http://www.theambiancevenue.com)

The performance of the Board  
re: Sit/Lie Ordinance was  
disgraceful! Espec towards the  
POLICE Reps. who were  
insulted & belittled by a  
BUNCH of LOUDMOUTHS!

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 17 PM 3:00

BY

AK



Panhandler Boycott  
<panhandlerboycott@yahoo.com>

05/26/2010 10:36 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Photos of People Sitting and Lying on the ground

Hello,

I decided to take photos of people I encounter sitting and lying on the ground wherever I go in San Francisco.

<http://panhandlerboycott.vox.com/library/post/people-sitting-or-lying-on-the-sidewalk-sunday-may-9th-2010.html>

22

CLERK / BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

File  
# 100233

BOS-11

PS clerk  
epage

From: Trent Dunphy <tdunphy1@sbcglobal.net>  
Date: May 18, 2010 8:40:46 AM PDT  
To: gavin.newsom@sfgov.org  
Cc: micheta.alioto-pier@sfgov.org sfpd.park.Station@sfgov.org sfpd.Northern.Station@sfgov.org  
Subject: sit/lie

5/18/10

To Mayor Newsom, Supervisor Alioto-Pier, Police Captains Ann Mannix and Teresa Barrett,

My name is Trent Dunphy and I own a small business called The Magazine which has been in the City for 37 years and is now located at 920 Larkin Street just north of Geary. I speak for 10 small businesses in the area of the 900 block of Larkin Street all of whom are in favor of passage of a sit/lie law which would give both police and courts an additional tool with which to address the worsening problem of people with alcohol, drug and mental problems who congregate in this and other areas of the city. We have a daily problem of from two to a dozen people, mostly men, sitting or lying on the sidewalk in front of out businesses, eating, drinking, committing petty thievery, and often aggressively panhandling or making rude and suggestive comments to passers by. Often in front or on the Cedar Alley side of the Chinese Grace Church, this band of regulars have been seen to urinate and defecate in the open without regard especially to women and children attending Saturday and Sunday services, mothers with children leaving Redding Elementary School at Larkin & Pine, as well as other neighbors. They also leave their trash littering the sidewalks and scattered in the street.

The police have been very responsive to repeated calls to try to move these "street-people" along but, even with official police department issued "no trespassing" signs posted, they are severely limited in what they can do about this problem. Current laws are apparently not adequate to deal with this worsening situation which not only effects the quality of life in our neighborhood but also our ability to attract customers and run successful businesses. A new law making it clear that city sidewalks are public spaces not to be blocked by people sitting or lying on them would be one meaningful step in our attempt to improve our streets and neighborhoods and to curb this uncivil and antisocial behavior.



















Respectfully,

Trent Dunphy, owner, The Magazine 920 Larkin Street San Francisco Ca 94109

as well as the businesses noted below:

Thai House Restaurant 901 Larkin St.  
K&S Produce Market 905 Larkin St.  
Limousine Lot 915 Larkin St.  
European Book Co. 925 Larkin St.  
Chinese Grace Church 931 Larkin St.  
Randolph Apts. 945 Larkin St.  
Henry's Italian Food 955 Larkin St.  
Patek House 934 Larkin St.  
Old Chelsea Fish & Chips 932 Larkin St.  
Vietnamese Senior Center 910-914 Larkin St.





Office of the Mayor  
City & County of San Francisco



orig: cpage  
C. COB, BOS-11,  
3 Dep, CA, Renee PN  
Gavin Newsom +1

R 5-27-10  
5:00

May 27, 2010

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Sean Elsbernd as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the state of California at 11:40PM on Thursday, May 27, 2010, until 11:25PM Monday, May 31, 2010.

In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Elsbernd to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,



Gavin Newsom  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

Date: May 27, 2010

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *AC*

Subject: Form 700

This is to inform you that the following individual has submitted a Form 700 Statement:

Catherine Rauschuber – Legislative Aide - Assuming

24

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/21/2010 05:46 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Concern about proposed T-Mobile tower in the Mission

52



Jill Linwood <jillinwood@yahoo.com>  
05/20/2010 09:14 PM

To rm@well.com, linda.avery@sfgov.org  
cc board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
Subject Concern about proposed T-Mobile tower in the Mission

Dear Commissioner:

I am writing to express my strong objection to plans to place four cell antennas in the steeple at St. Matthew's Church at 3281 16th Street. The commission hearing for a conditional use permit is scheduled for June 17th.

As a parent with a child in a school within 300 feet of the church I have various concerns about how this project might impact our neighborhood.

I believe the presence of these antennas and the accompanying batteries inside an old, wooden building, may pose an unwarranted fire and safety hazard. I worry specifically about what would happen in a fire or earthquake.

I also have reservations about what this project might mean for the historic nature and aesthetic of the neighborhood, and how that might negatively impact property values.

And as a committed member of my school community, I worry that this might discourage families from choosing to send their children to our school or

other schools in our neighborhood.

Unfortunately, our parent community was only made aware of this proposal last month. I do not feel there was adequate community engagement on this controversial proposal.

Finally, I am concerned about the potential health risks posed by these cell towers. A growing body of research suggests that prolonged exposure to the electromagnetic radiation emitted by these towers could be harmful to young children. I know that the Board of Supervisors on March 23 passed a resolution urging the U.S. EPA to study the health impacts of wireless facilities, and, if appropriate, to establish a safe level of exposure to radiofrequency radiation emissions.

This is a neighborhood densely packed with school-aged children. We have at least 500 children in four schools within 300 feet of the project, including Children's Day School, Mission Dolores School, Holy Family Day Home and Kinderhaus Pre-school. Given its proximity to so many growing children, I feel strongly that a more appropriate location may be found. I ask that you deny this application for conditional use at 3281 16th Street. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jill Linwood  
49 Cameo Way  
San Francisco

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/27/2010 12:01 PM

To Ross Mirkarimi/BOS/SFGOV, Jeremy Pollock/BOS/SFGOV,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Mural on Fell St @ Scott



Blair Camp  
<grey333@sbcglobal.net>  
05/26/2010 01:22 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, gavin newsom  
<gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject Mural on Fell St @ Scott

To Whom...

Someone is painting a mural on a building at the corner of Fell St & Scott.

I do not like this. It is gaudy and commercial. Not art, but commercial graphics.

I asked a man and woman who were painting there if they had a permit and they said they did but did not show me. They said the mural was part of a city art program.

It is way too bright with BIG images. Not appropriate to the neighborhood at all. When I said it was gross, the man who was painting threatened to kill me. "You are likely to be alive". He said I was insulting his art. He should be fired.

I have checked with several other building owners in the neighborhood and they said they were not informed of this project either. The painters say the Neighborhood Association approved it. But I have no idea who they are. They do not represent me or my building in any official capacity.

The graffiti actually looked better.

If someone in your neighborhood painted their building pink and you were worried about your property values, you have the right to go through appropriate channels and seek remedy. I have the same concern here, but not sure who to appeal to.

I do not appreciate my tax dollars being spent this way. There are too many jobs that would really improve the city that are not being done.

Please see attached images.

26

Thank you for your time.

Blair M Camp  
1125 Fell St #3  
San Francisco CA 94117-2314



415-420-8043 IMG\_0620.jpg IMG\_0621.jpg IMG\_0622.jpg IMG\_0623.jpg

Dear Supervisors:

Please stand up for healthcare justice by opposing CPMC's "Master Plan" for St. Luke's Hospital and the new Cathedral Hill facility.

California Pacific Medical Center (CPMC) plans on shrinking St. Luke's Hospital by 62 percent and segregating most services in the Cathedral Hill area. St. Luke's patients would be offered a lower standard of care. This would be a crippling loss of healthcare resources for our city. CPMC's plans amount to medical redlining, and would be a tragedy for the many families around St. Luke's Hospital in need of access to quality healthcare services in our community. A healthy San Francisco cannot discriminate!

I urge you to NOT support CPMC's Master Plan unless 1) CPMC agrees to rebuild St. Luke's Hospital at an appropriate size to meet community needs and to provide equal standard of care for all patients, and 2) CPMC signs a binding agreement with the community to treat local residents and businesses, patients, nurses, and hospital staff with the respect we all deserve.

Why I care about St. Luke's Hospital / Why I am against CPMC's current plan:

What is the future plan for San Francisco?  
What will the SOMA & Mission districts  
be like? Don't let Sutter abandon this  
large area.

SIGNATURE

DATE

YOUR ADDRESS

D. Rielby 17th St., SF

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102



San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

27



orig - sup sup

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 26 PM 4:47


BY AK

Dear Supervisors:

Please stand up for the Central City. Ensure that the CPMC "Master Plan" meet the needs of the surrounding communities:

The CPMC plan must:


- 1) **Establish** legally binding, permanent commitments to increase health access for all un/underinsured in San Francisco, while protecting vital neighborhood hospitals.
- 2) **Comply** with and exceed all legal housing obligations and protect tenants and businesses displaced by the project.
- 3) **Create** real opportunities for Central City residents by hiring from surrounding communities, and respecting all employees' right to organize with a union of their choice.
- 4) **Strengthen** our community's existing health and youth services hit hard by budget cuts
- 5) **Compromise** with immediate neighbors around noise and height issues.

  
Signature

20 MAY 2010  
Date

Address: 985 BRANNAN ST #407 SF, CA

Email or phone: tobemarx@gmail.com



San Francisco  
Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102



The Good Neighbor Coalition <http://goodneighborcoalition.com/wordpress.com>

arg - Sep Daly

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 26 PM 4:47

BY AK

Dear Supervisors:

Please stand up for the Central City. Ensure that the CPMC "Master Plan" meet the needs of the surrounding communities:

**The CPMC plan must:**

- 1) **Establish** legally binding, permanent commitments to increase health access for all un/underinsured in San Francisco, while protecting vital neighborhood hospitals.
- 2) **Comply** with and exceed all legal housing obligations and protect tenants and businesses displaced by the project.
- 3) **Create** real opportunities for Central City residents by hiring from surrounding communities, and respecting all employees' right to organize with a union of their choice.
- 4) **Strengthen** our community's existing health and youth services hit hard by budget cuts
- 5) **Compromise** with immediate neighbors around noise and height issues.

Elinabeth Claire Patterson 5-17-10  
Signature Date

Address: 1400 Geary Blvd. #508  
San Francisco CA 94109-6515

Email or phone: (415) 440-3235



The Good Neighbor Coalition <http://goodneighborsanfrancisco.wordpress.com>



San Francisco  
Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors:

Please stand up for healthcare justice by opposing CPMC's "Master Plan" for St. Luke's Hospital and the new Cathedral Hill facility.

California Pacific Medical Center (CPMC) plans on shrinking St. Luke's Hospital by 62 percent and segregating most services in the Cathedral Hill area. St. Luke's patients would be offered a lower standard of care. This would be a crippling loss of healthcare resources for our city. CPMC's plans amount to medical redlining, and would be a tragedy for the many families around St. Luke's Hospital in need of access to quality healthcare services in our community. A healthy San Francisco cannot discriminate!

I urge you to NOT support CPMC's Master Plan plan unless 1) CPMC agrees to rebuild St. Luke's Hospital at an appropriate size to meet community needs and to provide equal standard of care for all patients, and 2) CPMC signs a binding agreement with the community to treat local residents and businesses, patients, nurses, and hospital staff with the respect we all deserve.

Why I care about St. Luke's Hospital / Why I am against CPMC's current plan:

SIMPLY, WE NEED TO CONTINUE  
TO SUPPORT AFFORDABLE HEALTHCARE  
FOR ALL. SHRINKING ST. LUKE'S WILL NOT  
ACCOMPLISH THIS.


SIGNATURE

DATE

173 HARTFORD ST SF CA

YOUR ADDRESS

District 8



2 Pages  
C: Duffy Office

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 19 PM 2:58  
BY [Signature]

Dear Supervisors:

Please stand up for the Central City. Ensure that the CPMC "Master Plan" meet the needs of the surrounding communities:

**The CPMC plan must:**

- 1) **Establish** legally binding, permanent commitments to increase health access for all un/underinsured in San Francisco, while protecting vital neighborhood hospitals.
- 2) **Comply** with and exceed all legal housing obligations and protect tenants and businesses displaced by the project.
- 3) **Create** real opportunities for Central City residents by hiring from surrounding communities, and respecting all employees' right to organize with a union of their choice.
- 4) **Strengthen** our community's existing health and youth services hit hard by budget cuts
- 5) **Compromise** with immediate neighbors around noise and height issues.

Signature

*J. Doherty*

Date

*5/17/10*

Address:

*201 Eddy SF 94102*

Email or phone:

*jdoherty@tnde.org*



The Good Neighbor Coalition <http://goodneighborsanfrancisco.wordpress.com>

*C - Pages*



**San Francisco  
Board of Supervisors**

**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place**

**San Francisco, CA 94102**

*District #6*

Dear Supervisors:

Please stand up for the Central City. Ensure that the CPMC "Master Plan" meet the needs of the surrounding communities:

**The CPMC plan must:**

- 1) **Establish** legally binding, permanent commitments to increase health access for all un/underinsured in San Francisco, while protecting vital neighborhood hospitals.
- 2) **Comply** with and exceed all legal housing obligations and protect tenants and businesses displaced by the project.
- 3) **Create** real opportunities for Central City residents by hiring from surrounding communities, and respecting all employees' right to organize with a union of their choice.
- 4) **Strengthen** our community's existing health and youth services hit hard by budget cuts
- 5) **Compromise** with immediate neighbors around noise and height issues.

Signature

Date

Address:

Tenderloin Housing Clinic  
120 Hyde St. SF, Ca 94102

Email or phone:

krista@thclinic.org

The Good Neighbor Coalition <http://goodneighborsanfrancisco.wordpress.com>

San Francisco  
Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

BY

AK

2010 MAY 17 PM 3:25

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/24/2010 02:50 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject File 100469: Arboretum Fees



Mariel Alexandre  
<alexandre.mariel@gmail.com>  
05/23/2010 12:14 PM

To Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Arboretum Fees

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as a native San Franciscan in strong opposition to Ordinance 100469, which plans to charge fees for entrance to the Strybing Arboretum / Botanical Garden.

As a child and teenager growing up in the city (and now as an adult), the Arboretum was always my favorite place to escape the stresses of urban life. Although I went to some of the "better" public schools, Hoover Middle School and Lowell High School, I still had to deal with the usual challenges associated with growing up in an urban environment, including exposure to drugs and violence. The Arboretum was always my refuge and my only easy access to nature.

Yesterday I went on one of my monthly walks in the Arboretum, and I was happy to see a group of about ten high schoolers enjoying themselves in the sun. I know from experience that most teenagers will not go through the hassle of getting i.d.s with their address on it unless they must. Just having to produce such an i.d. to enter the garden would be a deterrent for many.

Fees would be even more of a deterrent for many. I am lucky that I am one of the few native San Franciscans that can still afford to live in in the City. Many of my friends from growing up now live in the East Bay or on the Peninsula because they cannot afford to raise their families here. It is highly unfair that should this ordinance pass they would have to pay fees for a public space that is such a part of their personal history, and I don't doubt fees would deter them from bringing their children to experience this most wonderful part of Golden Gate Park.

The worst part about the proposed fees is that there is no well-researched financial justification for it. The numbers that have been presented do not show that the fees would make any significant money for the park.

San Francisco Botanical Garden Society Director McKechnie has said that a non-resident fee is the first step to "an eventual mandatory admissions program." Once the infrastructure is in place, if the garden does not turn a profit on non-resident fees alone, whom will they charge next? It appears the goal is to make the Arboretum a revenue generating tourist destination, similar to the Japanese Tea Garden. If successful, residents will have to pay twice, once with taxes and once with a fee at the gate, or lose access to a public garden on public land.

More than 5,000 people have signed a petition in opposition to the fees, and the fee proposition failed last year due to public outcry. We love our garden and need it to remain free and accessible for all. Fees for the Strybing Arboretum are morally, financially and politically irresponsible towards the majority's needs in the city. Please vote against this unjust and unwarranted ordinance.

Sincerely,

Mariel Alexandre

4009 24th St. Apt 1  
San Francisco, CA  
94114

(541) 5170-9040 cell



piequeen@comcast.net  
05/25/2010 09:31 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject KEEP THE ARBORETUM FREE

I URGE ALL OF YOU TO VOTE TO KEEP THE ARBORETUM FREE!

Sincerely,

Laura Simmons





"Jue, Tyrone"  
<TJue@sfgov.org>  
05/18/2010 02:58 PM

To "Chiu, David" <David.Chiu@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Eric"  
<Eric.Mar@sfdph.org>, "Alioto-Pier, Michela"  
<Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org>, "Chu, Carmen"  
cc "Harrington, Ed" <EHarrington@sfgov.org>

bcc

Subject CleanPowerSF, City's Community Choice Aggregation  
Program, Receives Important CPUC Implementation Plan  
Certification

1 attachment



20-10 CPUC Implementation Plan.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached a copy of a news release that went out today regarding the California Public Utilities Commission's (CPUC) certification today of San Francisco's Community Choice Aggregation Program Implementation Plan.

If you have questions about this news release, please feel free to contact me or Ed at your convenience. Thank you.

Tyrone

**NEWS RELEASE (Release No. 20-10)**

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

**May 18, 2010**

**Contact: Tyrone Jue (415) 554-3247**

**CleanPowerSF, City's Community Choice Aggregation Program, Receives Important CPUC Implementation Plan Certification**

**SAN FRANCISCO, CA** –Today, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) and Local Area Formation Committee (LAFCo) announced the next major milestone for CleanPowerSF, San Francisco's community choice aggregation (CCA) program, with certification of the program's Implementation Plan by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The implementation plan, submitted to the CPUC on March 2, is a step required by state law (AB 117, Migden (202)) for any CCA program and describes the organizational structure, financial considerations, program administration and objectives for CleanPowerSF.

"We're continuing to make forward progress in the implementation of CleanPowerSF," said Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi, Chair of LAFCo and legislative sponsor of the Implementation Plan. "The CPUC's approval of our Implementation Plan is yet another timely step in our goal to bring cleaner, more renewable energy to the people of San Francisco."

29

As a community choice aggregation program, CleanPowerSF would only replace the energy generation component of PG&E service, which is about typically 20% or \$15.00 of a \$75.00 residential gas and electric bill. PG&E would still continue to provide all other components of electric service, like responding to power outages, on-going maintenance of electrical distribution lines, meter reading and billing, and would still provide and charge for gas service. CleanPowerSF's goal is to provide San Francisco residents and businesses a more renewable energy generation mixture than currently provided. CleanPowerSF would also create local jobs and contribute to a more renewable electric supply that will stabilize generation rates over time.

In addition to the certified implementation plan, the City is working on a signed service agreement between the City and PG&E and an official registration packet for the CPUC. City officials are expecting completion of those steps within the next 2 weeks. In the meantime, the SFPUC and LAFCo negotiation team continue to meet daily with the energy service provider on contract terms and customer rates.

“CleanPowerSF is an important new program for our City that needs to be done right from day one,” said SFPUC General Manager Ed Harrington. “Our continuing negotiations are all about getting our future customers reasonable and stable rates, and a much better renewable mixture than what PG&E currently provides.”

###

---

Tyrone Jue  
S.F. Public Utilities Commission  
Communications Division  
1155 Market Street, 11th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
Ph: (415) 554-3247 Fax: (415) 554-3282  
Email: [tjue@sfwater.org](mailto:tjue@sfwater.org)

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV

05/25/2010 11:41 AM

To David Campos/BOS/SFGOV,

cc

bcc

Subject District 9: 7-Eleven--More neighbors say No Thanks



Becky Jennings <beejennings@gmail.com>

05/24/2010 10:35 AM

To rm@well.com, c\_olague@yahoo.com, wordweaver21@aol.com, plangsf@gmail.com,  
bill.lee@flysfo.com, mooreurban@speakeasy.net, hs.commish@yahoo.com  
cc lmunizsf@gmail.com, Linda.avery@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,  
bensdad415@yahoo.com

Subject District 9: 7-Eleven--More neighbors say No Thanks

RE District 9: 7-Eleven proposal

Dear Planning Commissioners,

30th and Mission is a desirable corner.

Our neighborhood deserves better than what this chain store will deliver.

We are concerned about more garbage on the street, more loitering, uneven price and marketing competition for our hard-working independent merchants, more junk food for our tweens and teens, and a more characterless, any-town-in-the-world thoroughfare.

My partner and I were able to purchase a flat here in 1996 and we care about making this area a healthy part of the city. We believe that a business with more positives for the neighborhood will come in if given the chance.

Please support healthier development of SF neighborhoods by turning away this bid by 7-Eleven.

Sincerely,

Rebecca (Becky) Jennings and Walter Mann  
165 Prospect Avenue  
[beejennings@gmail.com](mailto:beejennings@gmail.com)

31

Board of Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/21/2010 05:38 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Plant life @ Broadway Tunnel



"Erik Gordon" <erik1515@earthlink.net>  
05/21/2010 12:57 PM

To <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>  
cc <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>  
Subject Plant life @ Broadway Tunnel

Dear City Officials,

A quick note to thank you for the recent plant life on the median leading into the Broadway Tunnel.

Who ever came up with the idea and vision is a genius! The scenery change is simply remarkable.

I can't wait to see the fascia wall over the tunnel freshly painted in a unique color – or perhaps the same color as the GGB

Please let me know if I can donate \$100 towards paint supplies.

Thank you

Erik Gordon  
San Francisco resident since 1988

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 24 PM 4:11

BY Ak

Dear Board of Supervisors:

The Supportive Housing-Employment Collaborative (SHEC) helps people in my community return to the workforce.

This budget cycle, please protect this important resource that saves the City money by moving people towards meaningful work.

Sincerely,

*Johnnie Norway*

Street Address: 140 Church St.


Phone: S.F., CA 94114

Email:



**SAVE OUR SHEC!**

To:  
**SF Board Of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102



32

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 28 PM 1:37


BY AK

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The Supportive Housing Employment Collaborative (SHEC) helps people in my community return to the workforce.

This budget cycle, please protect this important resource that saves the City money by moving people towards meaningful work.

Sincerely,



Street Address: 7301 Geary (#5)

San Francisco, Ca, 94121

Phone:

(415) 725-6617 (cell)


Email:



**SAVE OUR SHEC!**

To:  
**SF Board Of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

02+4603



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 28 PM 1:37

BY AK

Dear Board of Supervisors:

The Supportive Housing Employment Collaborative (SHEC) helps people in my community return to the workforce.

This budget cycle, please protect this important resource that saves the City money by moving people towards meaningful work.

Sincerely,

*Michael Williams*

Street Address:

Phone:

Email:

*todd1todd180@yahoo.com*

102+4683


community  
housing  
partnership



SAVE OUR SHEC!

To:

**SF Board Of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102





Dear Board of Supervisors:

The Supportive Housing Employment Collaborative (SHEC) helps people in my community return to the workforce.

This budget cycle, please protect this important resource that saves the City money by moving people towards meaningful work.

Sincerely,

*Helena Wingard*

Street Address: *2608<sup>th</sup> St*

Phone: *415-574-9051*

Email: *helena.wingard@yale.edu*

02+4689



SAVE OUR SHEC!

To:

**SF Board Of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

*com*

Dear Board of Supervisors:

The Supportive Housing Employment Collaborative (SHEC) helps people in my community return to the workforce.

This budget cycle, please protect this important resource that saves the City money by moving people towards meaningful work.

Sincerely,

*Patricia Mapati-T.*

Street Address: *6010 Natoma St.*

Phone:

Email:

*S.F. Calif*

*914103*



SAVE OUR SHEC!

To:

**SF Board Of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Board of Supervisors:

The Supportive Housing Employment Collaborative (SHEC) helps people in my community return to the workforce.

This budget cycle, please protect this important resource that saves the City money by moving people towards meaningful work.

Sincerely,

District #1

Virginia Schofield

Street Address:

7301 GEARY BLVD #5

Phone:

415.678.6102

Email:

Virginia.Schofield65@yahoo.com

community housing partnership



USA First-Class Forever

SAVE OUR SHEC!

To:

SF Board Of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Board of Supervisors:

The Supportive Housing Employment Collaborative (SHEC) helps people in my community return to the workforce.

This budget cycle, please protect this important resource that saves the City money by moving people towards meaningful work.

Sincerely,

District #5

Jon Todd

Street Address:

1416 HAIGHT ST

Phone:

415.626.2457

Email:

community housing partnership



USA First-Class Forever

SAVE OUR SHEC!

To:

SF Board Of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

102+4683





Nudcot@aol.com  
05/26/2010 08:37 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject (no subject)

Me Ayolos, I hope you have read the recent statements by Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International about the brutal treatment of migrants by Mexico. Most of the migrants are from Central America. The sanctuary policy was created to protect the people Mexico is accused of murdering, raping, and kidnapping. Yet you extend protection to the perpetrators of these crimes. Of course since this protection excludes background checks this will not be known for certain. The billions of dollars sent to Mexico from the US not only props up the corrupt Mexican Government, it rids the country of millions of the poor and unemployed, the people most likely to demand reform. Not a word has been spoken about the Mexican atrocities by you or any others in the Board. Isn't it time you say something about the country whose culture you have such pride in?



Francisco Da Costa  
<fdc1947@gmail.com>  
05/25/2010 10:47 AM

To Francisco Da Costa <fdc1947@gmail.com>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Candlestick Park and HPS and SFPUC

**Candlestick Park and HPS Phase II  
and SF Public Utilities Commission:**

**<http://www.franciscodacosta.com/articles/bayview157.html>**

**Francisco Da Costa**

34

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:12 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Mayor Newsom's "Right to Know" Cell Phone Legislation



"Leslie Sheridan"  
<Leslie@TheAddedEdge.com  
>  
05/27/2010 07:36 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>,  
<Ross.Mirkarimi@sfgov.org>, <Sophie.Maxwell@sfgov.org>,  
<Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org>, <Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org>,  
<Bevan.Dufty@sfgov.org>, <Chris.Daly@sfgov.org>,  
<Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org>, <David.Chiu@sfgov.org>,  
<David.Campos@sfgov.org>, <John.Avalos@sfgov.org>,  
<Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject Mayor Newsom's "Right to Know" Cell Phone Legislation

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Please support Mayor Newsom's RIGHT TO KNOW cell phone legislation.

Thank you!

**Important Warning about Cell Phones & Brain Cancer**

**The Dr. Oz Show – 11-17-09:**

<http://www.doctoroz.com/videos/link-between-cell-phones-and-cancer>

Learn about *patented* protection from electropollution from cell phones and other devices emitting EMR  
and EMF:

[www.SeizeHealthNow.com](http://www.SeizeHealthNow.com)

Find out why some people drink i-H2O ("i-water,) - *patented, molecularly-restructured water* - as opposed to  
regular water:

<http://lunarbase.rutgers.edu/presentations/Smirnov.ppt>

To get your own i-H2O system: [www.SeizeHealthNow.com](http://www.SeizeHealthNow.com)

Ask me for testimonials!



Leslie Sheridan  
Health Consultant  
Carpe Diem Health  
Wellness in a Wireless World

35

[www.SeizeHealthNow.com](http://www.SeizeHealthNow.com)

707.933-8848

[Leslie@TheAddedEdge.com](mailto:Leslie@TheAddedEdge.com)

LinkedIn Business Profile: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/lesliemsheridan>

~~~~~  
Prosperitas cum integritate sit omnibus

per hanc januam inrantibus.

(May there be prosperity with integrity

for all who enter through this door.)



Please don't print this e-mail unless it is necessary.

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/27/2010 11:25 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Mayor Newsom's RIGHT TO KNOW cell phone legislation



"Jeskers"  
<jeskers@shaw.ca>  
05/25/2010 02:56 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject. Mayor Newsom's RIGHT TO KNOW cell phone legislation

With respect, this email is addressed to all members of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors. I am not a US citizen, but rather a resident/friend to the north in beautiful British Columbia. I wanted to express my exuberance that San Francisco is preparing to possibly pass legislation, respectfully, as it should, to require SAR labels on all cell phones in its region for the important purpose of informing persons/users to the level of microwave radiation emitted from the device itself, and potentially absorbed by the head/ body with close contact.

This is a pivotal first step for the rights and protections of consumers regarding cell phones; providing the ability to easily recognize vital information as it pertains to ones personal health is a fundamental right, as should be the choice of individuals as to what level of risk is acceptable, not industry's. This SAR label will undoubtedly help to save lives as it guides consumers to safer phone models with the lowest emissions, as well to remind visually that cell phones (wireless devices) should be used responsibly, especially with regards to children's use.

Far too often government has become cozy with industry, often turning a blind eye, and seriously failing to put the public's best interest first. Absolutely, government's first mandate should always be the health and safety of its citizens, and with responsible decision makers, such as those in San Francisco, legislation will be passed to ensure this mandate is always upheld. If Mayor Newsom's proposed legislation is passed, San Francisco will be a role model not only for other states in the US, but one also for my country.

In Canada, no information is provided outwardly that would assist one in making safer choices to minimize potential health impacts from microwave radiation, even though there are many countries who have advised precaution based upon a significant body of scientific research available. Even our largest city, Toronto, advised precaution in 2008, urging parents to keep children's use to a minimal, so why wouldn't Health Canada advise parents in the rest of the country also?

35

The public deserves to know **all** health information about *any* product, especially one that is used extensively such as cell phones, that could ultimately harm them or those they love. More than ever the public is fed up with big corporations monetary interests interceding before the well fair of society at large.

Please be strong, and know you are serving your communities well by being on the side of information and choice, that being said on the side of public health.

Best Regards,  
Tammy Jeske  
Victoria, B.C.



Toronto- factsheet\_children\_safecellphone.pdf



36



Eden Niemela <aquarius1@sbcglobal.net>  
05/22/2010 10:14 PM

To gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,  
FTA.ARRACivilRightsreq@dot.gov  
cc gfranklin3@sbcglobal.net  
bcc

Subject denial of access to Muni transportation 2x on May 22, 2010 around 3:00pm

History: This message has been forwarded.

May 22, 2010

Dear Mayor Newsom and San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Today around 3:00 pm I reached Embarcadero around 3:00 pm thinking that I can catch Muni M train but the subway was closed and the Muni employee told me to go to the street level and catch F line in order for me to go home.

I was waiting on the F line disabled access ramp for around 20 minutes before I finally saw a F line train and was told by a Black driver to wait for another F line coming up.

So I waited for another 10-15 minutes before another F line train (train#1060) came and when an Asian driver of F line train opened the door and he shouted at me that he is "full." I had to argue with him since he shouted at me when he opened the door of the F train denying me access.

I waited in a breezy COLD afternoon in the disabled F line ramp in Market and Embarcadero to go home YET sustained denial of access to public transportation TWICE.

The Muni Asian driver ALSO denied to help me put my payment of my ride after he was hesitant to gave me access of Muni F train transportation.

I am a woman of color, with disability in my hands and fingers, and mobility and as a disabled person I should NOT be denied of public transportation as other people have the privilege to use.

The Muni F train Asian driver gave me a "nasty" look when I existed the F train. Is this how Muni operates?? --denying disabled person 2x and freeze in cold windy San Francisco street just to go home.

Muni violated the FTA's mission and ADA law.

Sincerely,

Eden Niemela  
San Francisco resident  
near Castro area (Eureka Valley District)  
415-335-8542(cell)  
415-558-9133(home)  
[aquarius1@sbcglobal.net](mailto:aquarius1@sbcglobal.net)

c. Page



Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV  
05/24/2010 05:37 PM

To Madeleine Licavoli/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV  
cc Lolita Espinosa/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV  
bcc  
Subject Fw: SFPUC Response to Letter of Inquiry 20100420-006 -  
CCA Contract Status

This seems to be a Clerk to Act item?

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below.  
[http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs\\_form.asp?id=18548](http://www.sfgov.org/site/bdsupvrs_form.asp?id=18548)

----- Forwarded by Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV on 05/24/2010 05:40 PM -----

From: Jason Fried/BOS/SFGOV  
To: Angela Calvillo/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV  
Cc: "Volberding, Alexander" <Alexander.Volberding@sfgov.org>, "BOS Legislation" <BOS.Legislation@sfgov.org>, "Scanlon, Olivia" <Olivia.Scanlon@sfgov.org>, "Elsbernd, Sean" <Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org>, Nancy Miller <miller@motlaw.com>  
Date: 05/21/2010 04:26 PM  
Subject: SFPUC Response to Letter of Inquiry 20100420-006 - CCA Contract Status



20100420-007

Response to BOS Request 5-21-10.doc

Jason Fried  
Senior Program Officer  
San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission  
Desk: 415-554-6756  
Fax: 415-554-6775

37

**San Francisco  
Local Agency  
Formation Commission**

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. 415.554.5184  
Fax. 415.554.5163

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Jason Fried, Senior Program Officer  
San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission

CC: Supervisor Sean Elsbernd

Date: May 21, 2010

Reference: 20100420-006

Re: Status of Community Choice Aggregation Contract

---

On April 20, 2010 at the Board of Supervisors meeting a letter of inquiry was submitted by Supervisor Sean Elsbernd to both the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC). This letter was inquiring about when a contract was to be submitted to the Board of Supervisors on the Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) program.

It is LAFCo's understanding that the SFPUC will be submitting to you a memo that outlines the current status of the CCA and address these concerns. We are in basic agreement with the SFPUC on the status and moving towards having a contract ready to be submitted to the Board of Supervisors on or before its June 8<sup>th</sup> meeting.

You are welcome to contact us if you have any more questions on this matter.

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/25/2010 12:18 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Such Videos make the Entire San Francisco Government  
Look Bad.



JAMES CORRIGAN  
<marylouc@mac.com>  
05/24/2010 05:13 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc Fire Commission <Fire.Commission@sfgov.org>  
Subject Such Videos make the Entire San Francisco Government  
Look Bad.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Chief Hayes White has failed to reign in all the fake "On Official Fire Department Business" signs that are used by some to gain free parking advantages for their private vehicles in San Francisco.

The Department of Parking and Traffic honors these phony placards because, for the most part, her name on the placard vouches for them.

Fire Chief Hayes-White should discipline any of her employees who possess or misuse these "free parking passes." She has been aware of the problem for some time.

Videos such as the one that appeared on SF Fist today and that is posted on U Tube, give a bad name to all SFFD members and DPT enforcement officers when the problem is with a limited few from each Department.

I would hope that after viewing this video, you would agree that something should be done.  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=02FHN19CTJM>

Sincerely yours,  
James j. Corrigan

38

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/27/2010 11:51 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Muni 10% Cut



Mark Rand  
<okmor@sbcglobal.net>  
05/25/2010 08:39 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Muni 10% Cut

Supervisors-

The 10% cut is intolerable. Please force them to cancel it.

1. Muni Metro is beyond cattle car where people push others to get on so that the doors can close.
2. Surface transport-People are standing beyond the yellow line so the driver can't see.
3. 35 Eureka-Used to be able to get on at 27th & Diamond at 7:05 AM to get downtown to work before 8 PM. Not now. The 35 starts at 7 AM on Market St. So I'm lucky with a 3 block walk down very, very steep hills to get on the cattle car #24.Divisadero. Don't know what others I used to see are doing who got on further away from Market St..

Mark & Donna Rand  
665 27th St.  
415-647-9244  
okmor@sbcglobal.net

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
05/27/2010 12:05 PM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Bottle Bandits - Please respond



Michael Demetrios  
<mbd2@pacbell.net>  
05/26/2010 03:37 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Bottle Bandits

Another week and it's time to roll my trash to the curb...it will be another fit-less night as countless Bottle Bandits roll up and down the street digging for and clanging bottles.

I'm wondering what course the city is taking about this seemingly unchallenged urban nuisance? I PAY Sunset Scavenger to pick up my trash NOT for it to be strewn about the sidewalk in the hopes someone may finding a lone bottle or two... THIS HAS TO STOP! Please tell me what the SF Supes are doing about this.

40



lawrence feigenbaum  
<figby5@yahoo.com>  
05/26/2010 01:33 PM

To Michela.Alioto-Pier@sfgov.org  
cc sophie.maxwell@sfgov.org, Chris.Daly@sfgov.org,  
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org, Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org,  
David.Campos@sfgov.org, jake.McGoldrick@sfgov.org,  
bcc

Subject thank you

Thank you SO much for voting to save the Botanical Gardens by charging an entry fee to  
out-of-town visitors. Marian & Larry Feigenbaum

41



100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:52 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Immigrant voting



Joseph Komins  
<kominsj@elkhart.k12.in.us>  
05/28/2010 07:10 AM

To "board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org"  
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject Immigrant voting

It's simple, to be able to vote you MUST be an American citizen.  
Joe Komins

42

100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:48 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject



"carol"  
<cdorning@actionnet.net>  
05/27/2010 10:38 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject

I was born here, in the USA and I feel those people who DO NOT have legal status no matter how many kids they have in school. This is supposed to be a privilege for a legal citizen. Let us keep it that way.

Carol

100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:42 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Illegals Voting



<congress@jsmith.net>

05/27/2010 08:23 PM

Please respond to  
<congress@jsmith.net>

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject Illegals Voting

Board of Supervisors,

Have you lost your minds? Illegal Aliens are illegal. Is that a difficult concept to understand?

Your constant disregard for the Laws of the land are noted.

Should I be elected to Congress in Nov. I will be working to remove funding for City and School Districts that continue to ignore Federal Laws.

I hope you change your minds and start supporting American Citizens.

John A. Smith  
Congressional Candidate  
39<sup>th</sup> District.

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:31 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject The Immigrant Voting Project - -



"Sarah L. McCloud"  
<saramc45@yahoo.com>  
05/27/2010 12:34 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject The Immigrant Voting Project - -

Please respond to  
saramc45@yahoo.com

## **TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - OR - WHOM IT MAY CONCERN ;**

Look how much money illegals milking the "REAL AMERICAN'S" for every year

**YOU NEED TO WAKE UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!**

**WE NEED a limits on all immigration coming into the  
United States.**

**Each "REAL AMERICAN" paid \$330 last year to provide  
government services for illegal aliens.**

**In other words - FREEBIES!!!!!!! AND REAL AMERICAN'S  
ARE PAYING FOR IT / THEM!!!!!! Yaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!!!!!!**

**If the amnesty plan becomes law, allowing illegals coast-  
to-coast to suddenly establish citizenship, their retirement  
program will set U.S. taxpayers back an estimated \$2.6  
trillion.**

**ASKS YOURSELF - Is this FAIR to the "REAL AMERICAN**

PEOPLE" to foot this bill - WHILE ILLEGALS ARE TAKING / REPLACING REAL AMERICAN'S JOB'S AT A TIME OUR OWN DEMO-GOVENMENT IS SPEND HAPPY?????

The message isn't complicated: the more immigrants, the higher OUR taxes go.

Since 1990, immigrants who have come to the U.S. have swelled the welfare rolls.

"Fifty-three percent of recent immigrants use welfare services and immigrants who have arrived since 1990 and their legally born children account for a 75-percent growth rate in the nation's uninsured population!"

This has "REAL AMERICAN voters worried.

"The issue is about jobs and about fiscal responsibility, and that resonates with the voters."

We're just for lower immigration numbers at this time.

That's hard to understand when there are nearly 25 million Americans who are unemployed!!!!"

AND another expense hits taxpayers in the number of illegal aliens who are in prison.

"I can't speak to the situation in every state, but I know that in California, about 30 percent of the inmate population is illegal."

**Advocates for amnesty claim that by passing an amnesty bill, the U.S. could bring in hundreds of millions of dollars in tax revenue.**

**But that doesn't align with a research report by the Center for Immigration Studies.**

**The CIS report said claims made by a pro-amnesty think tank about illegal immigrants and a 90-percent tax-payment rate are misleading.**

**"The report, 'Immigrant Legalization: Assessing the Labor Market Effects,' was published by the foundation-supported Public Policy Institute of California, and was written by Laura E. Hill, Magnus Lofstrom, and Joseph M. Hayes.**

**You will be reading about the 90-percent-or-so tax-payment rate throughout the upcoming legalization debate," CIS reported.**

**"The trouble is that this finding, though narrowly accurate about the people studied, totally misrepresents the characteristics of America's illegal population generally," the CIS report continued.**

**The CIS report claims that the California group used an ideal model to back their findings about illegal immigrants.**

**"If you read the technical appendices to their study carefully, you will find that they draw data from the 2003 New Immigrant Survey.**

**And who was studied in that survey?**

It was people who, and I am quoting, 'have recently gained legal permanent residence in the United States,'" the CIS report concluded.

Other costs include the losses from crime by illegal aliens, an issue that reached headlines in recent weeks with the shooting death of an Arizona rancher who may have been trying to help an illegal alien crossing his ranch.

States themselves sometimes have resorted to fighting back.

Arizona recently adopted a plan that allows law-enforcement officers to check the immigration status of any suspect if the officer has reason to suspect the person's legal status.

"AND THE ALARM that needs to be raised is that every illegal alien that fills out the census is stealing taxpayer resources and political representation!!!!!!!"

**THANK YOU.....AND THINK AMERICAN!!!!**

**Sarah L. McCloud**

**" If you expect a nation to be ignorant and free,  
you expect what never was and can never be."**

**--Thomas Jefferson**





"Roger D. Sheppard"  
<roger\_d\_sheppard@yahoo.com>

05/27/2010 12:54 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Are you nuts?

Learn the difference between legal and illegal immigration. No one opposes LEGAL immigration. We all must oppose ILLEGAL immigration because it's the law and it's the only sane thing to do to protect our country. To do otherwise is suicidal and insane. So I ask again, are you nuts? Illegals have broken our laws, take jobs away from Americans, use tax payer money for welfare and education for their children and generally take services that they don't pay for. I for one do not want to pay for the whole world to come here. America is the most generous nation in the world by taking in more legal immigrants that all other nations combined! Illegals come here because they are impatient and would rather break out laws. We need to check their criminal and medical conditions before allowing anyone into our country to make a home. Borders are there for a purpose. Without them we would not have a nation.

Stop your insanity about giving voting rights to those would break our laws and are illegally here. It's insane.

Roger..

# 100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:41 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Vote



Chrome6steel9@aol.com  
05/27/2010 06:08 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Vote

What the hell do you mean that illegal's be approved to vote. In case you don't understand what the meaning of illegal is go ask a law abiding citizen, if there is any in your freaking city.

Oh by the way you can tell that chiu guy he is a big moron just like the rest of you commie bastards in your city. He is probably an illegal also. I hope that mother nature on the fault line sure gets active in the middle of your commie city.

# 100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:31 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Stop undermining the laws of California!



"Deanie" <weasaw@att.net>  
05/27/2010 12:34 PM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject Stop undermining the laws of California!

- You can vote if:
- You are a resident of California
- You are at least 18 years of age
- You are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.
- You have not been judged by a court to be mentally incompetent
- You are a United States citizen

# 100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:30 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Immigrants



Steven Nelson  
<scn45@sbcglobal.net>  
05/27/2010 11:00 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Immigrants

Your amendment by Chiu will not only cost money, but it's against California law. It seems who cares about the law thes and illegal immigrants as being the same. You use that terminology to somehow skirt or justify what is law to get your w I go to San Francisco at least once a month and spend my money. With what I see along with many others, I think I'll fir

Sincerely,  
Steve Nelson

#100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:29 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject illegal aliens voting



jack ward  
<scubaca@hotmail.com>  
05/27/2010 09:37 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject illegal aliens voting

stop pandering to criminals. illegal aliens should be deported, along with their anchor babies, not given the illegal right to vote. if they want to vote in school board elections, let them do it in the mexican school districts.

jpward

The New Busy is not the too busy. Combine all your e-mail accounts with Hotmail. [Get busy.](#)

# 100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:27 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Illegal residents and the vote



PHYLLISJERRY@cs.com  
05/27/2010 08:29 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Illegal residents and the vote

The right to vote comes with a price, in this case citizenship. Nearly every organization has the same limit: if you want to vote (ie, control) become a member.

The parents this proposal speaks of can have a voice by expressing their beliefs during the time prior to voting. They can have a larger voice by going through the process and becoming legal citizens.

Being the parent of a legal citizen who happened (planned or otherwise) to be born in the states does not make the parent a citizen.

I believe the Board of Supervisors would do more good by providing English classes and other classes that would enable the immigrant to become a citizen. Voting is difficult enough when one fully understands the issues.

Sincerely, Phyllis Jost

#100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:25 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Charter Amendment Section 13.111



billoldfield@earthlink.net

05/27/2010 08:00 AM

Please respond to  
billoldfield@earthlink.net

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Charter Amendment Section 13.111

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors:

I am a concerned citizen of the USA and a resident of the State of Maryland who is surprised at your recent introduction of an Amendment to your City Charter which seeks to allow illegal aliens with the City of SF to vote in School Board elections. According to state law in every state in the USA, including CA, one must be a US citizen to vote in any election. This Charter Amendment appears to be political posturing of the highest order by the members of the Board of Supervisors and without any common-sense basis. You do realize that if you allow illegal aliens to vote for things which they do not have to fund themselves, that they will vote for millions in increases in school expenditures to benefit themselves. This will place an unfair burden on the legal citizen taxpayers of SF and drive them to leave the city for place with lower taxation and better city and community services. There has never been a case which proves that taxing the public into prosperity has worked, anywhere in the world. Giving illegal aliens the ability to vote to give themselves even more "goodies" is not any way to create success and order in your community.

Are all of you so afraid of retribution and disfavor from the illegal alien population which you have fostered in SF for so many years? SF policies already give illegal aliens sanctuary, prevent SF law enforcement from enforcing US immigration laws, and provide illegal aliens more free services than anywhere in the entire USA. How much more do they need to receive for free off of the backs of legal citizen taxpayers to make Board members like you feel good inside and determine even more ways to assert more power and get yourselves re-elected? Once you finish taking money from the legal citizen taxpayers to support non-taxpaying illegal alien families, where are you going to get more? I think this illegal alien voting policy is doomed to failure and will complete the bankrupting of SF and the state of CA once and for all.

Are you afraid of asking the illegal alien population in SF to either "come clean" and pay their city, county, state, and federal taxes by becoming legal citizens of the USA to pay for the public services they are using freely, or return to their countries of origin and follow well established immigration practices? Your City and State are on the verge of bankruptcy, and even had to receive the largest federal bailout in world history to any individual state in 2009 just to survive as a credit worthy entity. How can you possibly think that giving illegal aliens the right to vote will make them participate in paying their fair share of taxes to the community of legal citizens? If they have not become legal up to this point and have continued to enjoy free education and services, what makes you think that they have any intention of becoming legal citizens? Becoming legal will cost them a lot of money in taxes to your City. They enjoy making far more on a cash basis than legal citizens make on an honest salary basis. If illegal aliens become legal, then they will not be able to receive free welfare and social services benefits because they will have to start reporting their actual incomes and pay their taxes. Giving them the right to vote without becoming legal is only a form of pandering of the highest order, an abject failure of policy, an insult to legal taxpaying citizens of CA and SF, and a sign of weakness from your Board as to your ability to govern a City effectively. One group of illegal aliens having the power to tell all of your legal citizens what to do. An

embarrassment of blackmail by the illegal alien interests against a legitimate governing body of a beautiful international city such as SF. I am ashamed and embarrassed by your behavior and disrespect to the legal citizens and taxpayers of the USA.

Why don't you just do the right thing and make it mandatory for the parents and guardians of students in the SF school system to become legal citizens and taxpayers, or require them to pay out-of-country tuition for each of their children? Your policy of sanctuary is bankrupting your city and your state. If you are environmentally and business savvy, you would understand that your policy of sanctuary and promoting illegal status within your city is "unsustainable" and will collapse the infrastructure of SF and CA very soon. Without a federal bailout and massive redistribution of tax funds from other states, CA would have already become a failed state fiscally. Please see the logic rather than engaging in false pretense emotional and political posturing to those who have no intention of ever becoming legal citizen taxpayers, and do the right thing.

I hope for the best for the beautiful city of SF in these tough economic times. I enjoy traveling to SF and hope it remain fiscally stable and a city of immigrants who have become US citizens. My best of luck to all of you in maintaining the continuing operations of your city. Honestly, it must be a very difficult job working with the budget you currently receive due to the fact that a large population of your city does not pay any taxes into the support of your city operations and infrastructure. Two of my friends have already left SF and sold their homes because they see a collapse coming due to the current sanctuary policies and would rather go somewhere else. They regret this so much because they have lived there for three generations. To me, this is a tragedy for SF because my two friends were in a high income bracket. Many of their friends are also looking for other places to live for the same reason. When SF loses its high income tax base, who knows where the tax dollars will be found to support the continued viability of such a beautiful city.

Please reconsider your charter Amendment and focus on promoting citizenship for your non taxpaying illegal alien population.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Very Best Regards,

Bill Oldfield  
Annapolis, MD



# 100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:14 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject the vote for illegal aliens



"David Timms"  
<d.timms@verizon.net>  
05/27/2010 07:47 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject the vote for illegal aliens

Hey idiots, especially that little weasel Chiu, are you crazy? You want ILLEGALS to be able to VOTE.  
May AIDS attach itself to each of you.

#100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:14 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject the vote for illegal aliens



"David Timms"  
<d.timms@verizon.net>  
05/27/2010 07:47 AM

To <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
Subject the vote for illegal aliens

Hey idiots, especially that little weasel Chiu, are you crazy? You want ILLEGALS to be able to VOTE.  
May AIDS attach itself to each of you.

# 100635

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:13 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject City pleads: Let illegals vote in elections! Yeah, Let my dogs  
vote too.



Cathedra@aol.com  
05/27/2010 07:39 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject City pleads: Let illegals vote in elections! Yeah, Let my dogs  
vote too.

THIS IS ABSOLUTE BULLCRAP. YOU PEOPLE SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF THE WAY YOU ARE  
CONVOLUTING THE CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA.  
HOW ASININE CAN YOU GET?  
IT'S BAD ENOUGH THE FEDERAL GOVT. WILL NOT FOLLOW IMMIGRATION LAWS, BUT YOU  
PEOPLE ARE A SLAP IN THE FACE OF EVERY AMERICAN THAT GAVE THEIR LIVES DEFENDING  
OUR COUNTRY.  
WHAT A BUNCH OF IDIOTS.  
WHAT? DON'T HAVE ENOUGH DEAD PEOPLE TO VOTE?  
WHAT THE HELL, LET MY DOGS VOTE, TOO.

City pleads: Let illegals vote in elections!  
'We want to make sure all immigrants here have a say'  
By Chelsea Schilling

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors is seeking to grant illegal aliens the right to vote in school-board  
elections if the illegals' children attend taxpayer-funded schools.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors President David Chiu introduced proposed charter amendment  
100635 on May 18. The amendment may be added to the Nov. 2 ballot if at least six spuervisors vote for  
the legislation.

"One out of three parents of the kids in our public-school system is an immigrant," Chiu told CBS. "We  
want to make sure that they have an opportunity to have a say."

When a reporter asked Chiu whether it would concern him if illegal aliens vote, he responded, "What we  
don't want to do is turn our elections department into INS agents. That was an administrative situation that  
we wanted to avoid. Again, what we wanted to do is empower all parents and give them a voice in their  
kids' education."

"Even the ones that are here without documents?" the reporter inquired.

"We want to make sure all immigrants here have a say," Chiu replied.

He argued that in recent years jurisdictions in New York, Illinois, Maryland and Massachusetts have  
allowed noncitizens to vote. The San Francisco legislation states:

Charter Amendment (first draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by adding

Section 13.111, to authorize San Francisco residents 18 years of age or older who are the parents, legal guardians or caregivers of children in the San Francisco Unified School District to vote in elections for the Board of Education, regardless of whether the residents are United States citizens.

However, according to the California Secretary of State's office, individuals may register to vote only if they meet the following criteria:

You are a resident of California  
You are at least 18 years of age  
You are not in prison or on parole for a felony conviction.  
You have not been judged by a court to be mentally incompetent  
You are a United States citizen

Adding a separate ballot for noncitizens would cost taxpayers an estimated \$250,000, according to San Francisco Elections Director Jon Arntz. He said adding a separate election would cost "a couple million dollars."

But Chiu told CBS, "I don't think it's going to be a significant cost burden."

Sponsors of the legislation include Chiu, David Campos, Eric Mar, John Avalos, Ross Mirkarimi, Sophie Maxwell, Chris Daly and Bevan Duffy.

The plan mirrors a ballot measure that was narrowly rejected in 2004, with 51 percent of voters opposed and 49 percent approving. That measure, known as Proposition F, was promoted as an initiative to "empower the parents of one-third of our children in San Francisco public schools to have a say in how their children are educated."

The Immigrant Voting Project, a group working to expand voting to noncitizens, states, "Many of these students are citizens, whose needs are left behind because their parents lack a voice in their children's education."

The group adds, "Immigrant voting has a long history. For the first 150 years of our nation's history – from 1776 until 1926, 22 states and territories allowed immigrants to vote and even hold office. Our founding fathers encouraged this practice based on the principle that allowing newcomers to vote encouraged them to build a stake and invest in local communities."

San Francisco is considered a sanctuary city and has an ordinance prohibiting city employees from assisting U.S. immigration authorities with investigations or arrests unless required to do so by federal or state law or a warrant. The city website displays the ordinance in English, Spanish and Chinese.

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors, on a 10-1 vote, recently approved a nonbinding resolution to boycott Arizona because of its recently passed immigration law.

0-PAGES



Date: 2010-05-19 09:17:53

Service Request Number: 658896

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name: LEO PRIBBLE
Phone:
Address:
Email:

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: \* Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sub-Division: \* Clerk of the Board

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

Point of Interest:
Street Number:
Street Name:
Street Name 2:
City:
Zip Code:
X coordinate:
Y coordinate:
CNN:
Unverified Address: [checkbox]

ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION:

Location Description: PLEASE SHOW ALL THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. (For example, located on the 600-block of Market Street or in front of Rite-Aid)

REQUEST DETAILS:

Nature of Request: \* Complaint

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

PATRON STATES: THIS MORNING I AM READING IN THE

Additional Request  
Details: \*

**PAPER ABOUT MY SUPERVISOR AND THE PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD DAVID CHIU ABOUT THE SCHOOL BOARD ELECTION FOR NON CITIZEN VOTING AND I SAY ILLEGAL IS ILLEGAL. I AM TIRED OF THEM HUGGING ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS AND I AN TIRED OF SANCTUARY CITY FOR ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS AND THIS IS GOING WAY TOO FAR.**

Submit Cancel

# 100635



"Quinn"  
<qndelliott@cafes.net>  
05/27/2010 06:10 AM

To: <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject: ILLEGALalienVOTES

San Francisco and California, along with hussein and his dim minions are depriving some European country, a socialist one mind you, of its IDIOTS. Allowing ILLEGAL ALIENS to vote-can you say more dims, more dependence, more government, more idiocy, more destruction of the once great UNITED STATES, more slipping down towards the failed European Union type government. A sanctuary city, how un-American, you folks are despicable.

Quinn Elliott,  
AMERICAN of the old order, Capitalism, Individualism, Patriotism, you know, those AMERICAN traits-but not for dims/liberals. John Wayne and Ronald Regan-you folks don't even come close. Can you say Athens/Greece, your idiocy has already broken your state.

42

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:55 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Mental Health budget



Malinda Kai Tuazon  
<malindakai@gmail.com>  
05/28/2010 11:26 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
Subject Mental Health budget

Dear Supervisor-

I am writing to draw your attention to the proposed cuts by DPH to the only program in the city working exclusively with the highest risk severely mentally ill individuals in San Francisco.

Citywide Case Management and Community Focus under UCSF's Dept of Psychiatry have provided intensive wraparound case management services to the highest users of Psychiatric Emergency Services and the SFGH psychiatric inpatient unit for 29 years. They serve 1035 clients per year and 200 of those clients are in the Citywide Forensics program that take seriously mentally ill individuals directly out of jail and prison and provides treatment in the community that reduces recidivism. They are the only program that works exclusively with mentally ill offenders.

DPH has targeted Citywide Case Management and Community Focus services with the single largest percentage cut out of all the outpatient mental health programs. They have cut 15% of the total budget (\$1.3 million) which accounts for an additional \$1.58 million that we bring into the county through Medical billing. A total \$2.8 million cut would decimate services, accounts for 33% of our overall budget and begs the question if we will be able to keep their doors open.

I am perplexed with DPH's proposal as it runs counter to every principle they say they followed in implementing cuts. They are targeting the highest-risk individuals in our city that utilize the most expensive emergency services in the city.

They say that they applied a 15% to every large program with a budget of over \$5 million, yet, the other large contracts were hit with only 2, 3, 4 or 11% at the highest. They refer to the Mega-RFP that was recently issued for all services. Citywide/Community Focus scored the highest out of all the programs and have consistently had excellent performance reviews.

Citywide/Community Focus has an excellent reputation in the community. They work with clients that no one else desires. They reduce homelessness, recidivism, hospitalizations and maintain public safety.

I hope that you can reconsider these draconian cuts as this is a program that the City cannot afford to lose.

Thank you for your time,

Sincerely,  
Malinda Tuazon

43



BF duk  
CPAGE

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

Dear MAYOR and City Sup

I am a concerned citizen with a great urgency to ask that you reverse the proposed cuts in the Community Behavior Health Services budget for UC Citywide case management and Community Focus.

I am extremely concerned and confused about the rationality being used in making these cuts. DPH also says they applied a 15% cut to every large mental health program with a budget of over \$5 million, yet other large programs were only hit with a 2% 3% 4% or 11% cut.

What really is the explanation for this disparity?

I look forward to Citywide in the mornings, I believe their classes help with over growing and stability, many people have been coming here for years, and look forward like my self to community each morning and Recreation sat.

not long ago they were laying off some of our best case workers, I couldn't imagine being without the help and friendship of my personal caseworkers.

I'm also not the only one who feels this. and will rally the mayors office to keep

over Citywide, and mental health program open please rescind these cuts to Citywide and community Focus.

Sincerely Karen Moore

43



Aaron Goodman  
<amgodman@yahoo.com>  
05/27/2010 05:18 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Preventing Predatory Equity Lending in San Francisco -

2 attachments



r02-0476.pdf



DPW\_maillist07304\_001.pdf

May 27, 2010

SF Board of Supervisors

Due to the recent stellar investment default on Parkmerced, perhaps the city municipal code should be changed to reflect the concerns of citizens in regards to predatory equity lending practices against rental communities in SF, engaged in by Stellar, or other state institutions investment arms, such as SFSU-CSU in regards to the loss of over 1,000 units of rental housing (UPN and UPS) the second largest investment in the bay area in the real estate sector.

SFSU-CSU just applied for an "encroachment" across front, for a future project and did not provide information to the SF BOS Land-Use committee on the notification, outreach, or effects of the future planned project on Parkmerced as an eligible state and national register site. The notification list showed only SFSU, Parkmerced Management, and a couple of other land-owners, but NOT the tenants of Parkmerced. This is how land was sold off prior, through improper notification to residents of the community

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman  
[amgodman@yahoo.com](mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com)

44



Jim Skanberg  
<jskanberg@gmail.com>  
05/28/2010 11:14 AM

To mtacustomerservice@sfmta.com  
cc gavin.newsom@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,  
lskanberg@gmail.com  
bcc

Subject unfair parking ticket practices

History:

 This message has been forwarded.

Hello there,

I recently tried to re-register my car, but before I did, I checked online to make sure I didn't have any outstanding parking tickets. When I entered my license plate number, the system said that I didn't have any outstanding tickets. However, when my Toyota representative went to get the new registration at the DMV, they were told I did have tickets. They gave the rep the citation numbers and sure enough, when we went back online and entered those particular citation numbers, they came up unpaid and attached to my license plate number.... ..in addition, they had gone up in price significantly since they had not been paid... ..

If I don't have the ticket in hand (a common reality), how would I know this, unless they online system can trace tickets under my license plate number accurately. It doesn't seem fair for the online service offered to help me to, in fact, misinform me as to my obligations to the city... ..especially when that leads to even higher fines for nonpayment. If the system does not work the way it is advertised, why have it?

While I am assuming the city will not reimburse me for the late fines I was not aware of, even after trying, I imagine it would surely come collecting from me if something like this happened in reverse (ie. the city realizing that I had done something to mislead it). I'm also assuming the the person reading this will not do much with it, but as a regular person and citizen of this city, I think you should consider, on your way home tonight, how you would feel in the same situation.

Thank you for your attention. Sincerely,

Jim Skanberg  
689 Florida St.  
SF CA 94110

45

Board of  
Supervisors/BOS/SFGOV  
06/01/2010 11:52 AM

To BOS Constituent Mail Distribution,  
cc  
bcc  
Subject SF college funding



"erasvian@juno.com"  
<erasvian@juno.com>  
05/28/2010 07:39 AM

To gavin@gavinnewsom.com  
cc Sean.Elsbernd@sfgov.org, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
Subject SF college funding

Mayor Newsom,

I try to be open minded about new ideas and approaches. This seems like not a good idea.

1. Why would we enter into taking on a new spending measure now while so many citizens are struggling in this economy trying to pay all the increased fees, doing with less, etc.
2. Isn't it a better goal to keep California Junior and State Colleges affordable? There are scholarships in place to encourage college attendance. Are we ignoring the role of the high school college counselor in helping students achieve the college dream? Isn't this a function of the public education system we currently fund? to educate, inspire, and broaden young minds?
3. Aren't we discriminating against private school kindergartners? And why?
4. What happens if a child enters public school in 1st grade or a private school child transfers to public school after kindergarten?
5. If a family moves out of the City or the State do we still give them the money?
6. Shouldn't the people of SF get to say whether or not they want to do this?
7. Does the City of SF really want to take on the banking and saving responsibility for individual citizens?

While I strongly believe in the importance of a college education for our children, I don't believe we need another long term social service program to fund and administer. Perhaps a SF College Grant program available to all SF High School students is something to explore. Something that relies on donations and not taxpayer funds. Thank you for your consideration.

Anne Pagan  
SF

---

### **Penny Stock Jumping 2000%**

Sign up to the #1 voted penny stock newsletter for free today!  
[AwesomePennyStocks.com](http://AwesomePennyStocks.com)

46



CECILIA OVANDO  
 <blackovand@yahoo.com>  
 05/27/2010 04:45 PM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org,  
 cc  
 bcc  
 Subject Fw: Comment on article: 'When Conflict in the Workplace Escalates to Emotional Abuse'

History: This message has been forwarded.

To supervisors that voted Ayes and passed ordinance 52-10-File No:100134 Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Duffy, Elsernd, Mar, Maxwell and Mirkarimi.

I hope next time you take the time and review how this insurance premium increment would affect people like me. Forced into retirement in 2007. My monthly pension is just enough to get by, not enough to pay doctors visits co-payment and medicine co-payment. My disability analyst does not reply to my letters and phone calls and on top of that July 1, 2010 I have no idea how much my insurance premium will be. Please read my horror story below.

Thank you,  
 Cecilia Ovando Jackson

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** "editor@mediate.com" <editor@mediate.com>  
**To:** blackovand@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Wed, April 1, 2009 11:58:24 PM  
**Subject:** Comment on article: 'When Conflict in the Workplace Escalates to Emotional Abuse'

Someone has made a comment to an article published at [mediate.com](http://www.mediate.com). This automated message was generated when the person posted the comment.

**Article title:** When Conflict in the Workplace Escalates to Emotional Abuse


**Article url:** <http://www.mediate.com/articles/davenport.cfm#feedback>  
 Go to the url above if you would like to add your comments

**Comment by:**

**Comment Subject:** Thank you!!!

**Comment:** Two years ago I was forced into early retirement, after 23 years of service. I was super folders. She badmouth or spread rumors among my co workers about my work. In the filed a complaint with my employer and it was denied. I filed a complaint with my uni hospital with acute anxiety, depression, urine incontinence and pneumonia. I was on a transfer and it also was denied. I thought that my health was more important and forced survived through meditation and the support of my family and husband.

47



---

---

**MEMORANDUM**

---

---

Date: May 18, 2010.  
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board *Angela Calvillo*  
Subject: APPOINTMENTS BY THE MAYOR

---

The Mayor has submitted appointments to the following Commissions:

Arts Commission

- Jessica Silverman, term ending on January 15, 2011

Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board

- Anderson Pugash, term ending on March 25, 2011
- Mark Dunlop, term ending on March 25, 2013
- Murat Eskicioglu, term ending on March 25, 2014
- Stefanie Roumeliotes, term ending on March 25, 2014
- Mel Lee, term ending on March 25, 2011
- Isabelle Farrow, term ending on March 25, 2011

Juvenile Probation Commission

- Julian C.L. Chang, term ending on January 15, 2014

War Memorial Board of Trustees

- Gina Moscone, term ending on January 2, 2013
- Paul Pelosi, Sr., term ending on January 2, 2013
- Charlotte Mailliard Shultz, term ending on January 2, 2013
- Wilkes Bashford, term ending on January 2, 2013
- Nancy Bechtle, term ending on January 2, 2013

Under the Board's Rules of Order, a Supervisor can request a hearing on an appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within thirty days of the appointment as provided in Section 3.100(17) of the Charter.

Please notify me in writing by 5:00 p.m., Monday, May 24, 2010, if you wish any appointment to be scheduled.

Attachments

48

Rules club, COB, Leg Dep.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Jessica Silverman to serve as member of the Arts Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Jessica Silverman will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:31  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ ALC

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Jessica Silverman as a member of the Arts Commission effective today, May 14, 2010. Jessica Silverman has been reappointed to fill the seat previously held by Topher Delaney, and this term will expire on January 15, 2011.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Jessica Silverman's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudreau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a large, stylized scribble.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
AK

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:31

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO





## SILVERMAN

804 Sutter Street, San Francisco, CA 94109  
415 255 9508, info@silverman-gallery.com

I founded Silverman Gallery in October 2006, while completing the MA program in curatorial practice at California College of Art. Since its inception, Silverman Gallery has been committed to fostering the work of emerging artists, collaborating closely with a diverse roster that includes San Francisco as well international artists. With a strong curatorial emphasis, the gallery produces a series of dynamic exhibitions that spans across media and seeks to open up critical dialogues on new work within a global context.

The gallery's programming was strongly influenced by my curatorial residency at the Frankfurter Kunstverein (May – August 2006). During my tenure, I worked with the roaming "Martha Rosler Library," developing film screenings, lectures and artist projects designed for public access. This spirit of collaboration informed the gallery's inaugural exhibition, "Me and My Rhythm Box," an exploration of artists' social contexts through ephemera, which has become a strong thematic undercurrent in the gallery's practice. Between 2006 and 2008, we produced a series a "hybrid shows," or visual dialogues between young artists and the key figures that shaped their practice. These shows included Desiree Holman with Lynda Benglis and Joan Jonas curated by Larry Rinder and Christopher Badger with Robert Smithson and La Monte Young. Spanning generational as well as geographic divides, these projects also afforded us the opportunity to introduce new artists to the San Francisco area.

After three years, Silverman Gallery has expanded its roster to include Tammy Ray Carland, Neil Ledoux, Christina McPhee and Susanne M. Winterling amongst others. The gallery has hosted numerous first US solo shows, including those for Job Piston, Yuval Pudik and Ben Shaffer. Our increasingly ambitious programming has produced three challenging and well-received, curated exhibitions: "TV Honey," "Bad Moon Rising," and "Twice Upon A Time." Our individual artist's shows have been reviewed in *Frieze*, *Artforum*, *Flash Art* and numerous local publications. And most recently, Desiree Holman was honored with SFMOMA's biennial SECA Award, which singles out promising Bay Area talent with a museum group show.

Currently, I sit on the boards of New Langton Arts and the San Francisco Arts Commission. This reflects my larger commitment to enrich the Bay Area arts community with special projects and events that bring arts and culture to a broader audience.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Anderson Pugash to serve as member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Anderson Pugash will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Anderson Pugash as a member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board effective today, May 14, 2010. Anderson Pugash has been appointed to fill the seat previously held by Douglas Moran, and this term will expire on March 25, 2011.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Anderson Pugash's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO



# Anderson T. Pugash

1764 North Point, San Francisco CA 94123 - 415.847.2826 - apugash@gmail.com

## EDUCATION

The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

Bachelor of Science in Economics

December 2008

Concentration: Real Estate- Concentration GPA 3.59, Cumulative GPA 3.15

Relevant Coursework: Real Estate Design and Development, Urban Real Estate Economics, Advanced Real Estate Finance, Financial Accounting, Corporate Finance, Real Estate Law, Operations & Information Management, Economics, Marketing

## EXPERIENCE

SIMEON Commercial Properties, San Francisco, CA

March 2009- Present

Associate

- Primarily responsible for underwriting and analysis of potential acquisitions across various property types with a focus on commercial and retail mid-market deals.
- Other responsibilities include entitlement research, portfolio cash flow analysis and due diligence.

Rockwood Capital, San Francisco, CA

Summer 2008

Analyst

- Underwrote numerous property types with a focus on mid-market commercial acquisition and re-positioning.
- Compiled and created market reports on Las Vegas, San Francisco and Seattle.
- Created a model to analyze DSCR and compliance with debt covenants on a \$37 MM mixed-use loan.

Linneman Associates, Philadelphia, PA

Fall 2005 - Spring 2006

Research Analyst

- Conducted research for Dr. Peter Linneman's quarterly economic and real estate publication, *The Linneman Letter*.
- Contributed market focus pieces and created graphs of numerous macroeconomic indicators, such as GDP, office absorption, inflation and other indicators for publication.

Pacific Coast Capital Partners, San Francisco, CA

Summer 2005

Investment Intern

- Conducted market research and deal analysis.
- Financial underwriting on commercial, residential, and industrial properties.
- Underwrote a \$20.5 MM, 71,000 SF mixed-use conversion in Redmond, WA, including office, retail and residential development.

Obsidian Properties, LLC, Philadelphia, PA

Fall 2004 - Present

Co-Founder, President

- Acquire, renovate and reposition undervalued residential properties in Philadelphia.
- In charge of all aspects of development including acquisition, due diligence, renovation, leasing and property management.
- Initial acquisition fully renovated and leased within six months, currently providing positive cash flow.
- Performed financial analysis and pro-forma modeling for prospective investments.

## CHARITABLE GIVING

The San Francisco Social

2006- Present

- Created, envisioned and executed the San Francisco Social, an annual fundraiser that supports local bay area charities. The event has raised funds for the Sonoma Jazz+ Festival ([www.sonomajazz.org](http://www.sonomajazz.org)) as well as the de Young and Legion of Honor ([www.famsf.org](http://www.famsf.org)). The event is in its 5th year.
- Responsible for all aspects of planning, including: marketing, venue selection and negotiations, booking talent, catering, public relations, soliciting sponsorship and website ([www.thesanfranciscosocial.com](http://www.thesanfranciscosocial.com)).
- The event has garnered media coverage in San Francisco Magazine, SF Chronicle, San Francisco Examiner, Marin Magazine, 7X7 Magazine, Nob Hill Gazette, the Marin IJ and Benefit Magazine since inception.
- Have raised over \$59,000 before expenses, over four annual events, with all proceeds going to charity.

Mercy Housing and American Cancer Society

- Part time volunteer at Mercy Housing during the summer of 2006.

## SKILLS & INTERESTS

- Park, Recreation and Open Space Advisory Committee Member Representing District 2
- Co-Founder of "Anderson & Nick" a special events production company with 6,000 person mailing list and regular event attendance ranging from 300-500 people.
- Hobbies include reading, guitar, mountain & road biking, sculling, yoga.
- Construction and renovation experience.
- Vice President of Wharton Real Estate Club, 2004-05

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



*Rules Clerk*  
*COB. Leg Dep*  
GAVIN NEWSOM

### Notice of Appointment


May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby reappoint Mark Dunlop to serve as member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Mark Dunlop will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.



Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
ALC

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:28

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have reappointed Mark Dunlop as a member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board effective today, May 14, 2010. Mark Dunlop has been appointed to fill his same seat, and this term will expire on March 25, 2013.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Mark Dunlop's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

  
Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
AK

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:28

## Mark Dunlop

66 F Yerba Buena Road, San Francisco, CA 94130  
Phone: 415-796-2667  
E-Mail: markdunlop1@mac.com

### OBJECTIVE:

To find a position that will enable me to best take advantage my years of public service experience.

### SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS:

For the last ten years I have served as a Redevelopment Commissioner and a Commissioner on the Human Rights Commission. I also served for eight years on the Ryan White Care Council, advising the San Francisco mayor regarding spending priorities for federal AIDS funding. I have also worked on numerous election campaigns, assisting in media relations and event planning.

### EMPLOYMENT HISTORY:

September 2006 to Present

City and County of San Francisco, Ca. Commissioner, San Francisco Human Rights Commission(SFHRC)

Appointed by the Mayor of San Francisco and approved by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to oversee the proper and efficient implementation of public policy by the staff of the Human Rights Commission. The Commissioners also serve as the judicial body for disputes regarding the implementation of the City's fair hiring practices. Also served as Co-chair of the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Advisory Committee (LGBTAC). The LGBTAC advises the SFHRC on issues relating to the LGBT community. Recently I have chaired discussions on issues of race and the LGBT Community.

**August 1998 to September 2006****City and County of San Francisco, Ca. Commissioner, San Francisco Redevelopment Agency**

One of seven commissioners in charge of public policy and long term planning for the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. Reviewing and approving development plans and then implementation and management of major redevelopment projects throughout the City and County of San Francisco. The Commission also approves the hiring of the Agency's executive staff. Major development projects during my tenure include AT and T Park, the development at Mission Bay and Hunter's Point Shipyard to name a few. I was appointed by the Commission to serve as the designated appointee to the Ryan White HIV Care Council.

**April 1992 to June 1998 Manager/Underwriter, Underwriters Reinsurance Company San Francisco, CA**

Managed a small staff and was in charge of marketing and underwriting commercial reinsurance products for the northwestern district (Northern California, Oregon, and Washington) for a Southern California based reinsurance company. My duties included extensive marketing and then review of proposed reinsurance risk submissions by primary insurance companies.

After receiving a diagnosis of AIDS I was forced to resign but continued being active in public service as noted above.



EDUCATION:

St. Charles High School  
St. Charles, Illinois  
1968 to 1972

Elgin Community College  
Elgin Illinois  
1973

Fullerton State College  
Fullerton, California  
1974-1975

University of Phoenix  
Phoenix, Arizona  
Currently working on completing degree program.

### Additional Volunteer Activities and Honors

1981 Participated in creating the lunch program for distressed families at All Saints Episcopal Church in the Haight. It offers a warm meal every Saturday and is one the longest operating programs of its kind.

1983-1985. In conjunction with the food program created and ran a safer sex information and condom distribution program.

1988 Participated in Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi's monthly meetings on the AIDS crisis

1991-1998 Citizens Committee on Community Development Appointed by Mayor Art Agnos, Reappointed by Mayors Frank Jordan and Willie L. Brown. Served on various sub committees and was elected chairman of the Housing Sub Committee.

1995 Invited by President Bill Clinton to be a participant in the first White House AIDS Conference.

2004, 2005 Volunteered at the early Project Homeless Connect as well Project School Connect.

2005-2007 Mayor Newsom appointed me to be a member of the Public Housing Task Force. Creating and presenting to the Mayor the document: **HOPE SF: REBUILDING PUBLIC HOUSING AND RESTORING OPPORTUNITY FOR ITS RESIDENTS**

2008 Worked as a volunteer for the election of President Obama. From August to November 2008, I was the Office Manager and Assistant Field Manager at the Carson City , Nevada field office.

2009 to Present After leaving the HRC Commission I have continued to serve on the LGBT HRC Advisory Committee, working on numerous sub committees addressing issues of racism in our own community as well as other issues of discrimination against queer people.

References:

State Senator Mark Leno  
California State Senate  
Sacramento, California  
916-319-2013

Assemblyman Tom Ammiano  
California State Assembly  
Sacramento, California  
916-319-2003

Mr. Jon Quinn  
Former Field Organizer  
Nevada Campaign For Change  
312-213-9022

Additional References Upon Request

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



Rules Clerk  
COB, Reg. Dep

GAVIN NEWSOM

### Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Murat Eskicioglu to serve as member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Murat Eskicioglu will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ Ak

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:28

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102


Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Murat Eskicioglu as a member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board effective today, May 14, 2010. Murat Eskicioglu has been appointed to fill the seat previously held by John Lum, and this term will expire on March 25, 2014.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Murat Eskicioglu's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

  
Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
AK

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:28

**Murat Eskicioglu**  
247 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94118  
(415) 335-0622  
meskici50@hotmail.com

#### AREAS OF EXPERTISE

- » Strategic Business Planning
- » Policy Procedures Development
- » Menu & Wine List Development
- » Budgeting / Financial Reporting
- » Client Relations
- » Marketing & Promotions
- » Union Contract Negotiations
- » Community Outreach
- » Quality Control Standards
- » Union Relations
- » Hiring Training Supervision
- » Customer Service
- » Team Building
- » Event Planning/Logistics
- » Zero Waste Event Production

#### COMPUTER KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE

Microsoft Office Suite; Filemaker Pro, Micros POS systems, CBORD Catering Software

#### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

##### **Regional General Manager**

September 2003 – Present

Savor... San Francisco – Moscone Center

- » Managing all aspects of the food and beverage operation at Moscone Center & Civic Auditorium.
- » Conduct weekly Executive Team Meetings
- » Direct and lead 65 directors, managers and administrative staff
- » Supervise over 300 union employees to produce high level of customer service
- » Lead all union grievances, mediations and arbitrations
- » Created and implemented the most extensive green initiatives in the catering industry
- » Support other directors in the region as Regional General Manager
- » Lead the recent union negotiations and successfully signed a five contract
- » Participated setting future goals for Corporate office as the member of the Senior Management

##### **Director of Outlets**

May 2000- September 2003

The Palace Hotel, Starwood Hotels, San Francisco, CA

- » Managed daily operation of two very successful restaurants and very busy bar
- » Supervised over 50 employees including 4 direct report managers
- » Participated in the Executive Team meetings
- » Prepare budgets for all restaurants
- » Managed labor, food cost on a daily basis
- » Participate in union grievances and mediations

##### **Senior Store Manager**

September 1999 – May 2000

The Cheesecake Factory, San Francisco, CA

- » Opened a 450 seat restaurant at the top of Macy's Union Square
- » Supervision and appropriate scheduling for Restaurant, and Banquet events.
- » Hired and trained over 200 Employees
- » Purchasing supplies.
- » Maintain high quality food and service per CCF Standards
- » Maintain a food and labor cost within budget.
- » Weekly P&L reports and breakdowns.

**Outlet Manager**

The Palace Hotel, Garden Court Restaurant

July 1995 – September 1999

- Supervision and appropriate scheduling of staff for Bar, Restaurant
- Purchasing supplies and equipment.
- Implementing and maintaining Food and Beverage Cost within budget.
- Hiring, training of employees.
- Maintain 100% Guest Satisfaction within my department.
- Implement menus for Bar, Restaurant, and Room Service with price comparisons to other Hotels and Restaurants.

**EDUCATION/TRAINING**

**Mathematical Engineering**

Istanbul Technical University  
Istanbul, Turkey

**Computer Engineering**

City College  
San Francisco, California

**PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS**

Professional Convention Management Association (PCMA)

Meetings Planners International (MPI)

Leadership San Francisco (LSF)

San Francisco Convention Visitors Bureau (SFCVB)

Golden Gate Restaurant Association

**AWARD/ CERTIFICATIONS/TRAINING/COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT**

**Leadership San Francisco, Alumni, 2007**

**SERVSAFE Certified, Food Safety**

**TIPS Certified, Serving Alcoholic Beverages in California**

**Program on Negotiating Union Contracts, Harvard Law School**

**Project Homeless Connect "Infinite Possibilities" Award in 2009**

**Executive Management Training Program by Cornell University**

**Culinary Knek't Award in 2007**

**Recognized by San Francisco Department of Environment on Green Initiatives and Zero Waste Event**

**Certificate of Participation, Schramsberg Winery Sparkling Wine Symposium, CIA Greystone, 2003**

**Organized Annual Senior Ball**

**Participated as a Judge at KSFO cooking competition**

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Stefanie Roumeliotes to serve as member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Stefanie Roumeliotes will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK



OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Stefanie Roumeliotes as a member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board effective today, May 14, 2010. Stefanie Roumeliotes has been appointed to fill the seat previously held by Margaret O'Sullivan, and this term will expire on March 25, 2014.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Stefanie Roumeliotes' qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY AK

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO



**Stefanie G. Roumeliotes**, a native to the San Francisco Bay Area, has parlayed her passions for supporting political candidates, experiencing world cultures, promoting the arts, and enhancing the welfare of others into a highly productive career as a seasoned fundraiser and strategist in the political and non-profit arenas who is widely acknowledged on a national level as a leader in her field. Recognized for her superior organizational skills, extensive networking contacts, and follow-through, in less than ten years Stefanie has established an admirable reputation for handling each enterprise she manages with expertise and success.

During her undergraduate studies at UCLA, from which she received a Bachelor of Arts in 1999, Stefanie was involved in internships that sparked her interest in political and non-profit fundraising. In 2001, she participated in the EMILY's list fundraising program in Washington, D.C., returning to California to work on a number of campaigns and serve as a Deputy Finance Director of the Phil Angelides for Governor campaign.

In 2004 she created SGR Consulting, of which she is founder & CEO. SGR Consulting, a San Francisco based company, has played significant roles in the success of national, statewide, and local political campaigns and non-profit organizations. Through SGR Consulting, Stefanie has created and managed over 500 fundraising events and raised over \$30 million dollars in the past 6 years.

Stefanie served as the Northwestern Finance Director for Hillary Clinton's campaign for president of the United States. She has acted as a finance consultant for several national organizations including the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC), William Jefferson Clinton Foundation, Foundation for AIDS Research (amfAR) and the re-election campaigns of Senators Dianne Feinstein & Barbara Boxer. She continues to play a major role in identifying new growth opportunities, maximizing investor pool, and expanding supporter base.

Her lifelong love of and attachment to San Francisco has manifested itself in philanthropic involvement and by employment of her professional acumen for the benefit of civic and charitable institutions. She has organized opening night galas for the San Francisco Symphony and the San Francisco Ballet and served as the Opening Night Gala Chair for Symphonix in 2007. She has also served as the San Francisco Symphony Youth Orchestra Chair.

An avid national and world traveler, Stefanie maintains especially strong ties to her Hellenic culture and religious heritage. She has traveled frequently to Greece and continues to help fundraise for the Greek Orthodox Philoptochos Society.

The breadth of her experience, the depth of her commitment to political, cultural, and charitable causes, and her proven effectiveness as a creative leader and organizer, make Stefanie a woman of ever-increasing importance to humanitarian and civic financial prosperity and growth. Her estimable accomplishments point toward even greater achievement as her distinction increases.

## STEFANIE G. ROUMELIOTES

807 Montgomery • San Francisco • California • 94133 • 415-402-0303 tel • [stefanieroumel@aol.com](mailto:stefanieroumel@aol.com)

### SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS

Seasoned fundraiser and strategist in the political and non-profit arenas with more than nine years of experience. Entrepreneurial-minded with strong history of identifying new growth opportunities, maximizing investment pool and significantly expanding supporter base. Effective communicator able to build long-term relationships based on outcomes and mutual respect. Unique ability to lead and motivate large and small groups of people to achieve the desired objectives. Strong project management and problem-solving skills focused on results. Proactive, visionary, dedicated leader with superior analytical and organizational skills.

### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

#### *President & Founder*

March 2004-Current

**SGR Consulting; San Francisco, CA**

Founded and led an innovative fundraising and strategy firm for national, statewide and local political campaigns and non-profit organizations with a combined focus on traditional, internet and viral marketing and public relations campaigns targeted to low and major dollar contributors.\*

- Raised over \$25 million for clientele over a five year period, primarily from individual donors.
- Analyzed, created and executed campaign finance plans for more than 20 clients
- Created and managed more than 500 fundraising events over a five year period

#### *National Finance Consultant*

July 2008-Current

**WomenCount Organization; San Francisco, CA**

WomenCount is a non-profit political organization (federal PAC and a 527) providing women of all generations and backgrounds from around the country a powerful voice in the political process using the Internet as a platform to instantly mobilize and create cutting-edge, hard-hitting political movements.

- Created and implemented a national major dollar program that helped raise over \$250,000 within the first 2 months of opening the 527 account
- Managed overall fundraising operation for both the 527 and the Political Action Committee
- Organized and executed events nationally that helped raise the Organization's profile and cultivate major-dollar donors
- Initiated ideas for low-dollar fundraising and internet marketing that helped the Organization's membership grow by more than 80% since its inception

#### *Northwestern Finance Director*

January 2007-August 2008

**Hillary Clinton for President; San Francisco, CA/Washington, DC**

- Led record-breaking fundraising effort in Northern California and Oregon raising more than \$15 million in increments of \$2,300 or less from personal, individual, non-corporate donations
- Uncovered new and cultivated low and major dollar donors and supporters
- Built successful, long-term relationships in the Business, Legal, Tech, Finance, Land Development, LGBT, Young Professional, Ethnic and Women's Communities
- Produced and executed over 30 fundraising events featuring Senator Hillary Clinton and/or President Bill Clinton
- Developed and implemented a finance leadership committee and a regional fundraising training program to keep individual donors/raisers engaged and supportive throughout the 17 month primary
- Organized meetings, events and trips to the region for top national campaign surrogates throughout the campaign

#### *Northern California Finance Consultant*

June 2006-Current

**The Foundation for AIDS Research (amfAR); San Francisco, CA/New York, NY**

- Devised and executed an annual and regional fundraising plan that has helped raise more than \$450,000 annually
- Designed and produced the annual gala in San Francisco for the last 3 years
- Cultivated new major dollar supporters each year and increased Bay Area support by 50% over the last 3 years
- Worked closely with the New York headquarters to secure and manage celebrities and entertainment for each gala
- Organized high-end silent Auction and live auction for each gala to help produce more than \$100,000 at each gala

***Northern California Finance Consultant***

June 2005-December 2006

Dianne Feinstein for Senate; San Francisco, CA

- Led fundraising effort in Northern California region helping to raise approximately \$1.5 million
- Strategized and collaborated with Feinstein for Senate and the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee on fundraising in Northern California
- Organized and executed over 15 successful events featuring Senator Dianne Feinstein
- Organized additional events that successfully helped Senator Feinstein raise funds for other US Senatorial candidates

***Finance Director***

August 2004-December 2008

Ira Ruskin for Assembly, 21<sup>st</sup> District; Red Wood City, CA/Sacramento, CA

- Created and implemented a fundraising plan for the last 3 Assembly campaigns, raising over \$500,000 per campaign
- Advised the Assemblyman on statewide political and fundraising strategy throughout the last five years
- Managed both District and Sacramento based fundraising strategies.
- Developed strong relationships with California legislative leadership, Sacramento lobbyists and California labor organizations.

***Northern California Finance Consultant***

April 2004-July 2004

Boxer for Senate/Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee (DSCC); San Francisco, CA/Washington, DC

- Successfully collaborated with Boxer for Senate, the DSCC and major dollar donors to help raise more than \$600,000
- Organized a Women's Conference in San Francisco featuring Senator Boxer, Senator Clinton, Senator Stabenow, Senator Lincoln and Senator Mikulski with more than 450 donors in attendance

***Deputy Finance Director***

January 2003-March 2004

Phil Angelides for Governor; Sacramento, CA

- Served as second in command on the finance team for Phil Angelides' 2006 gubernatorial race.
- Implemented and co-structured a fundraising plan, helping to raise over \$4 million within a year.
- Managed candidate during daily phone solicitation sessions, contributor meetings and events.
- Worked with, and developed relationships with California business leaders, developers and labor organizations.
- Coordinated with the team on a daily basis regarding scheduling details, donor updates and prospecting goals.

## E D U C A T I O N   &amp;   T R A I N I N G

University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), School of Arts and Architecture

Bachelor of Arts in World Arts and Cultures, Integrated Studies

June 1999

- Major GPA: 3.81 • Cumulative GPA: 3.35 • Dean's Honors List: 1997-1998 academic year

EMILY's List Political Fundraising Training Program, Washington, DC

July 2001

## A F F I L I A T I O N S

San Francisco Symphony; San Francisco, CA

- Member of Symphonix Board, 2004-2007 • Member of Volunteer Council, 2006-2007 • Youth Orchestra Chair, 2007
- Opening Night Gala Chair, 2007 • Advisory Board Member of Symphonix, 2007-2009

Leadership 100; New York, NY • Member, 2007-2009

EMILY's List; Washington, DC • Member of Majority Council, 2004-2006

BAGABA (Bay Area Greek American Business Association); San Francisco, CA • Member, 2005-2008

Philoctochoi Society; San Francisco, CA • Member, 1999-2009

\*entire SGR Consulting client list and details are available upon request

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Mel Lee to serve as member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Mel Lee will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:29  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Mel Lee as a member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board effective today, May 14, 2010. Mel Lee has been appointed to fill the seat previously held by John Rizzo, and this term will expire on March 25, 2011.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Mel Lee's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:29

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

**Melvin D. Lee**  
**1998 Broadway #1103**  
**San Francisco, CA 94109**  
**(415) 637-3220 (415) 776-1800**  
**January 2008**

**California State Wide:**

**Member, Board of Governors**  
**State Bar of California. 2004 – 2007**

**San Francisco Wide:**

**Center for the Arts at Yerba Buena Gardens. Board Member and its**  
**President 1990 – 1994**  
**City College Blue Ribbon Committee. 1994**  
**Veterans Affairs Council. 1989 – 1991**  
**SF Redevelopment Agency, Commissioner & President. 1977 – 1989.**  
**Board Member, S.F. Senior Centers 2006 – present.**

**Chinese Community Organizations:**

**Board Member, Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association. 1969 –**  
**Present.**  
**Board of Trustees, Chinese Hospital. 2007 – present.**  
**Board Member, Ning Yung Benevolent Association. 1969 – present.**

**Professional:**

**Professional Licenses: Fire Protection Engineer**  
**Private Development: The Avenue Assisted Living. 125-unit senior care**  
**center. General Partner.**





OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Isabelle Farrow to serve as member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Isabelle Farrow will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:29

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102


Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have appointed Isabelle Farrow as a member of the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority Board effective today, May 14, 2010. Isabelle Farrow has been appointed to fill the seat previously held by Stephanie MacColl, and this term will expire on March 25, 2011.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Isabelle Farrow's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

  
Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
AK

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:29



# BELLA FARROW

"The Diva of Fundraising"

The Nob Hill

1170 Sacramento St., 3C

San Francisco, CA. 94108

Phone – (415) 673-0614

## The Bay Area's Premier Fundraiser

1. Born and raised a native of San Francisco
2. 50 year volunteer and twice President of Saint Francis Auxiliary
3. Inducted on March 29, 2008 into the Sovereign Order of St. John Knights and Dames Hospitaller as "Dame Bella Farrow, DSJ"
4. "Woman of the Year" 1995 - Leukemia Society of America
5. "Woman of the Year" 1997 - Junior League
6. "Woman of the Year" 1998 - National Italian American Association – Washington D.C.
7. "Woman of the Year" 2007 - Boys Town of Italy – Rome
8. National Philanthropic Medal 2 years
9. "Points of Light" Award 2 years
10. Distinguished Service Award – Kiwanis International
11. Certificate of Honor – State of California
12. 7 Proclamations – "Bella Farrow Day" – City and County of S.F. – 7 years under 3 different Mayors
13. Declared a "Legend" – Featured in a city-wide parade sponsored by Gump's
14. Honored for "People of Accomplishment" in the Bay Area for work with the Senior Centers of San Francisco
15. Lobby of Saint Francis Memorial Hospital named "The Bella Farrow Lobby" acknowledging 25 years of dedicated service and fundraising for the hospital
16. Created and Chaired "Ambassador's Ball" – Cystic Fibrosis – Annual Event
17. Created and Chaired Annual "Holiday Hob Nob on the Hill®" for 22 years – Raising more than \$2,000,000 to benefit Saint Francis Memorial Hospital Emergency Department, Bothin Burn Center, Programs and Services
18. 2006 Premier Awardee of the "Bella Farrow Legacy Award" for outstanding philanthropy and dedicated service to Saint Francis Memorial Hospital and Foundation
19. Pacific Union Realtors 2006 "Volunteer of the Year" Award
20. Chaired Fundraisers for many years:
  - Merola Opera
  - Girls Town of Italy
  - Breast Cancer Emergency Fund's "This Old Bag: The Power of the Purse"
  - Meals on Wheels
  - Children's Garden of California
  - San Francisco Sheriff's Department Women's Reentry Center and Program
  - AMFAR (Aids Research)
  - AASK (Adopt a Special Kid)
  - Paws for Healing

# BELLAFARROW

"The Diva of Fundraising"

The Nob Hill  
1170 Sacramento St., 3C  
San Francisco, CA. 94108  
Phone – (415) 673-0614

## The Bay Area's Premier Volunteer Fundraiser

### CURRENT BOARDS

1. Board of Directors – Saint Francis Foundation – Development Committee and Special Events
2. Board of Directors – Nob Hill Association – Fundraising – Special Events
3. Board of Directors – San Francisco Opera Guild – Currently Serving
4. Program Board of Directors – The Merola Opera – Serving 12 years
5. Board of Trustees – War Memorial Buildings (Opera House, Symphony Hall, Veterans Memorial Building and Zellerbach Hall) – Currently Serving 12 years
6. Rec and Park Commissioner – Appointed in 1989 – Served 4 years

### Some of Bella's most recent accolades include:

- California Mille Fundraising Reception for Nob Hill Association at the Fairmont
- Renovation and Maintenance of Huntington Park – Chair 1997 - Present
- 35<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of "Meals on Wheels" – Chair
- "Puttin on the Ritz" - benefiting the Children's Playground in Huntington Park – Creator and Chair 2003 - 2006
- "Holiday Hob Nob on the Hill®" – benefiting Programs and Services at Saint Francis Memorial Hospital – Creator and Chair 1989–Present  
20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Gala in 2008 raised \$520,000 for the Bothin Burn Center
- "Girls Town of Italy" - providing housing and schooling for young girls in need worldwide – Chair 1990 – 2006, Honored at 2007 event
- "This Old Bag: The Power of the Purse" Silent and Live Handbag Auction and Reception benefiting Breast Cancer Emergency Fund – Chair 2005 to present
- Ambassador's Ball for Cystic Fibrosis Fdn – Chair and Creator 1998 – 2004
- Co-Hosted with Tom Klein, GM of Fairmont Hotel, a fundraising event for the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society on Tuesday, May 11, 2010

Rules Clerk, COB, Reg. Dep

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

### Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Julian C.L. Chang to serve as member of the Juvenile Probation Commission for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Julian C.L. Chang will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:28  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ Ak

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have reappointed Julian C.L. Chang as a member of the Juvenile Probation Commission effective today, May 14, 2010. Julian C.L. Chang has been reappointed to fill his same seat, and this term will expire on January 15, 2014.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Julian C.L. Chang's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom".

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
AK

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:28

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

## JULIAN C. L. CHANG

1860 McAllister Street · San Francisco, California · 94115  
Telephone · 415-309-0288 · Email · [julianclchang@gmail.com](mailto:julianclchang@gmail.com)

---

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I am a well-rounded, seasoned law and government affairs executive with expertise in law, community relations, advocacy, public affairs, strategic planning, teamwork, and negotiation. My subject matter core competencies include advanced telecommunications issues, competitive business policies, operational and sales-support issues, compliance matters and strategic and tactical advocacy and issue campaigns. My knowledge of government and political affairs, experience in devising and executing strategy and policy, and expert advocacy skills are applicable across all industries.

### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

*Principal*, Chang Consulting, San Francisco, California, January 2005 – Present.

- Provide legal, strategic and tactical consulting services to Fortune 500, mid size, and start-up corporate clients.
- Represent clients in external affairs matters in political, governmental, public relations, and non-profit arenas.
- Assist clients to develop and refine strategic philanthropic, legislative, regulatory plans to implement core business objectives.
- Create internal and external policy and advocacy messages for clients concerning business priorities on community affairs, municipal relations, legislation, regulations, procurement issues, merger and acquisition activity, public-private partnerships and local governmental matters.
- Review, analyze and prioritize proposed legislation of relevance to clients.
- Develop and maintain good relations with executives, lobbyists and government affairs professionals in all industries to ensure ability to build and lead coalitions, partnerships and intelligence networks.
- Meet with members of state legislatures, legislative staff and staff of regulatory commissions, to further strategic and tactical goals of clients.
- Establish and implement strategies for leveraging public-private partnerships, brand recognition and political giving to achieve business goals.
- Travel throughout the United States as necessary for clients.

*Assistant Professor*, California State University, Sacramento, California 2007 - 2008

- At the Masters level, taught graduate classes in the School of Continuing Education on workforce advocacy, resource management and enhancement, branding and coalition building.

*Legislative Affairs Vice President*, AT&T Corporation, San Francisco, California, June 2001 – December 2004.

#### Regulatory and Legislative:

- Responsible for setting and implementing legal legislative strategy in AT&T's Pacific Region in coordination with national, state and business unit subject matter teams.
- Oversaw strategic regulatory matters and cases.
- Traveled extensively to state capitals and Washington DC, to lobby on AT&T's behalf and execute legislative strategies and tactics.
- Coordinated analysis and monitoring of legislative and administrative initiatives.

#### Policy and Advocacy:

- Provided best-in-class legislative, executive and regulatory advocacy to law and government affairs, regulatory and legislative teams.
- Represented AT&T nationwide on VOIP, broadband, critical infrastructure and digital divide policy initiatives and in connection therewith, interfaced with technology associations, companies and government entities.
- Oversaw outside counsel and lobbyists in multiple states.

- Established, reinforced, enhanced and maintained excellent contacts with key legal, legislative, regulatory and law enforcement officials.

**Management:**

- Managed and coordinated in-house law and government affairs professionals as well as outside counsel and consultants.
- Assisted the regional Vice President for Law and Government Affairs in the management of regulatory and public policy matters.
- Participated in international and domestic industry and trade associations.
- Assisted in the formulation of AT&T's nationwide law and government affairs strategy.
- Worked with AT&T's Public Relations team to manage Pacific Region media relationships in accordance with the AT&T law and government affairs strategy.

**Compliance:**

- Ensured on-going compliance with relevant laws and regulations, as principal point of legal contact for AT&T's Consumer Business unit.
- Advised AT&T on legal and regulatory developments and policy issues related to the operation and regulation of AT&T's Pacific Region business and philanthropy.
- Acknowledged as an excellent team player by colleagues, lawyers and business units in AT&T's Pacific Region by AT&T employees throughout the United States and worldwide.

**Public Policy Counsel, BroadBand Office, Inc., San Mateo, California, April 2000 – May 2001.**

- At this Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers-backed start-up, created, coordinated and implemented legislative and regulatory strategies in multiple states before legislators, government officials, commissioners and regulators.
- Created and wrote master customer-facing sales contracts, terms and conditions, order forms and service level agreements.
- Provided direct support to sales and technical teams from beta trials through live rollout to contract negotiation.
- Handled media inquiries and actively represented the company at industry meetings and public events across the United States.
- Managed and coordinated outside counsel in 17 states regarding intellectual property, trademarks, copyrights and regulatory and legislative matters.
- Helped create and implement internal processes, methods and procedures.
- Recognized for excellent, rapid response to business unit teams in sales, portals, applications and product management.
- Analyzed and interpreted federal, state and municipal laws and decisions for business unit clients.

**Senior Attorney, AT&T Corporation, San Francisco, California, January 1997 – April 2000.**

**As Regional Legislative Counsel:**

- Actively represented AT&T before city, county, and state entities to assure the timely transfer of franchises from MediaOne to AT&T. Duties included negotiating and drafting acceptable franchise language, testifying before city councils, media relations and meetings with public officials and customers.
- Handled negotiations with governmental entities in connection with cable franchise renewal or transfer.
- Coordinated, set and implemented legislative strategy, drafted testimony, amended legislation and regularly testified at hearings regarding proposed and pending legislation on telephony, broadband, and wireless issues in California, Nevada and Hawaii.
- Worked closely with AT&T law and government affairs specialists, including outside consultants and state legislative teams, to create and deliver advocacy messages to the public and to key elected and appointed decision-makers.
- Worked in coalition and coordination with other industry members on legislative matters.
- Principal author and drafter of building access legislation that passed the California Assembly 89 – 0.



- Represented AT&T in print and radio interviews.
- Experienced and superb business traveler delivering on-target business results “on the road.”

#### **As Senior Regulatory Counsel:**

- Drafted and coordinated crucial portions of AT&T’s responsive filings under, and was a key member of teams assuring compliance with, Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- Recognized expert on broadband, cable and IP telephony, OSS, performance measures, local loop and resale matters.
- Prosecuted the initial complaint case on behalf of AT&T that directly led to industry-wide performance measures proceeding, which set the standards for benchmarking, parity standards and compliance.
- Handled cost cases involving Pacific Bell and GTE in California and Hawaii by drafting and responding to requests for information, deposing experts, conducting hearings, writing comments, preparing data requests and analyzing various cost models.
- Provided legal support to multi-disciplinary WMDVBE compliance team.
- Handled formal and informal complaints.

### **OTHER PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

*Attorney*, Lewis, D’Amato, Brisbois & Bisgaard, Los Angeles, California, August 1991 – August 1996.

- Specialized in meeting the needs of corporate Directors and Officers in civil litigation and counseling.
- Experienced in recruiting and representing international clients.

*Attorney*, Caudill & Thatcher, Costa Mesa, California, May 1989 – August 1991.

- Represented health care, design, and real estate professionals in all phases of civil litigation.

*Associate*, Shigemura & Harakal, Honolulu, Hawaii, July 1987 – December 1989.

- Handled US-bound investment transactional and litigation (civil and criminal defense) clients.

### **PROFESSIONAL LICENSES**

*Member*, Hawaii State Bar, admitted to practice before all state and federal courts since May 1987.

*Member*, California State Bar, admitted to practice before all state and federal courts since May 1989.

### **BOARD MEMBERSHIPS**

*Currently I serve as a member of the:*

- *San Francisco Juvenile Probation Commission, Vice President*
- *SFO Roundtable, Mayor’s Representative*
- *Board of Directors, Servicemembers Legal Defense Network. Chair, Legislative Committee*
- *Board of Directors, Alice B. Toklas Democratic Club.*
- *Board of Trustees, 4A Inc. (Asian-Pacific American Association for Advancement).*

*I am a former member of the Board of Trustees/Directors of these organizations:*

- San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.
- Gay and Lesbian Victory Fund.
- University of California at Irvine Foundation, Irvine, California.
- San Francisco LGBT Community Center.
- Community Educational Services, San Francisco, California.
- Equality California, San Francisco, California.

### **EDUCATION**

*University of San Diego School of Law*, San Diego, California. J.D. received in May 1986.

*Pacific Union College*, Angwin, California. B.S. received in June 1983 in biochemistry, honors minor in history

*References Available Upon Request*



Rules Clerk, COB, Leg Dep

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

### Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Gina Moscone to serve as member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Gina Moscone will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
AK

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:29

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO



OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have reappointed Gina Moscone as a member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees effective today, May 14, 2010. Gina Moscone has been reappointed to fill her same seat, and this term will expire on January 2, 2013.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Gina Moscone's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:29

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO



EUGENIA BONDANZA MOSCONE

Gina Mosconé  
45 St. Francis Blvd.  
San Francisco, CA 94122

- Native San Franciscan
- Graduate, St. Brigid's High School
- Married 24 years to George R. Moscone; widowed in 1978
- Mother of four: Jenifer; Rebecca; Christopher; Jonathan
- Member, Community Board, St. Mary's Hospital, San Francisco
- Member, Board of San Francisco Art Institute
- Employed by Assembly Speaker Willie L. Brown, Jr.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



*Rules clerk, CoB. Leg  
Dep*

GAVIN NEWSOM

**Notice of Appointment**

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Paul Pelosi, Sr. to serve as member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Paul Pelosi, Sr. will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30



OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have reappointed Paul Pelosi, Sr. as a member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees effective today, May 14, 2010. Paul Pelosi, Sr. has been reappointed to fill his same seat, and this term will expire on January 2, 2013.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Paul Pelosi's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a large, stylized scribble.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30  
BY AK

**Paul F. Pelosi**  
**235 Montgomery Street, Suite 610**  
**San Francisco, CA 94104**  
**pfpelosi@aol.com**

**Born:** April 15, 1940, San Francisco, CA

**Education:** School of Foreign Service, Georgetown University  
 Washington, DC  
 B.S.F.S. 1962  
 Did graduate work in business at New York University and Harvard.

**Work Experience:** An investment banker with extensive knowledge and experience in finance and management. His current business activities are equally divided between real estate development projects and venture capital

|                |                                                                                                                                                |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1974 - Present | <b>President, FLS, Inc. (a.k.a. Financial Leasing Services, Inc.)</b><br>A diversified investment and consulting company.<br>San Francisco, CA |
| 1981-Present   | <b>Managing General Partner, Fairfield Associates</b><br>A real estate investment company.<br>San Francisco, CA                                |
| 2008 - Present | <b>Principal Owner of the Sacramento Mountain Lions, The California team of the United Football League.</b>                                    |
| 1969 - 1974    | <b>Boothe Computer Corp.</b><br><b>GATX/Armco Boothe Corp.</b><br><b>(Now known as Robert Half International)</b><br>San Francisco, CA         |
| 1962-1969      | <b>First National City Bank (now known as Citicorp)</b><br>New York, NY                                                                        |

He has served on many corporate and philanthropic boards. He currently serves on the following boards:

Chairman of the Georgetown University, School of Foreign Service,  
 Board of Visitors, Washington, DC  
 San Francisco War Memorial Board of Trustees, San Francisco, CA  
 National Institute of Health Children's Inn, Washington, DC  
 University of California Medical School Foundation, San Francisco, CA  
 City Car Services, LLC, Boston, MA  
 Matthews International Capital Management, LLC, San Francisco, CA  
 United Football League, Jacksonville, FL

**Clubs:** Avenel TPC, Bohemian Club, California Tennis Club, Cordavalle Golf Club, Olympic Club, Presidio Golf Club, San Francisco Tennis Club, Silverado Country Club, University Club New York, and University Club San Francisco (past President).

**Marital Status:** Married, September 1963 to Nancy D'Alesandro from Baltimore, MD.

Nancy Pelosi is the Speaker of the House of Representatives serving in her twelfth term in Congress. She is the highest elected woman in the history of the United States. They have five adult children ages 39 to 45 and eight grandchildren.





OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Charlotte Mailliard Shultz to serve as member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Charlotte Mailliard Shultz will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ ALC

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have reappointed Charlotte Mailliard Shultz as a member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees effective today, May 14, 2010. Charlotte Mailliard Shultz has been reappointed to fill her same seat, and this term will expire on January 2, 2013.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Charlotte Mailliard Shultz' qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY AK

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:30



## CHARLOTTE MAILLIARD SHULTZ

Charlotte Mailliard Shultz is Chief of Protocol and Chairman of the Host Committee for the City and County of San Francisco and has served seven San Francisco Mayors. In 2004, Mrs. Shultz was appointed Chief of Protocol for the State of California. She is the Chair of the California State Protocol Foundation. As Chief of Protocol, Mrs. Shultz presents San Francisco and California to its most distinguished and notable guests from around the world, arranges for its largest celebrations and facilitates diplomatic relations with the California Consular Corps.

Mrs. Shultz serves on the Boards of the following organizations: The San Francisco War Memorial & Performing Arts Center, San Francisco Ballet, San Francisco Opera, San Francisco Symphony, San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, Grace Cathedral, Commonwealth Club of California, and World Affairs Council of Northern California.

She was chairman of the building committee of the new San Francisco Public Library and co-chairman of the Committee to Restore the San Francisco Opera House and San Francisco City Hall. She has received numerous awards, including the State of California Woman of the Year Award in 1996 and 2000, the United Nations Association of San Francisco's Eleanor Roosevelt Humanitarian Award for Lifetime Achievement, the Commonwealth Club's Distinguished Citizen Award, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce Outstanding Citizen Award and the Woodrow Wilson Award. In 2007, Queen Elizabeth II bestowed on her the title, Commander of the Royal Victorian Order, for her long record of service in assisting royal visitors to California. In 2008, the University of San Francisco awarded her the degree of Doctor of Humane letters, *honoris causa*.

Charlotte is married to former Secretary of State George P. Shultz.



OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



*Rules Clerk  
COB, Leg Dep.*

GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have reappointed Wilkes Bashford as a member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees effective today, May 14, 2010. Wilkes Bashford has been reappointed to fill his same seat, and this term will expire on January 2, 2013.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Wilkes Bashford's qualifications allow him to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY: AK

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:27





**Notice of Appointment**

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Wilkes Bashford to serve as member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Wilkes Bashford will serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 MAY 14 AM 11:27

BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK



Executive Profile:

**Wilkes Bashford**

**Founder, Wilkes Bashford, San Francisco and Palo Alto**

With a storied career spanning more than five decades, Wilkes Bashford is a respected leader in the men's fashion and retailing world, hailed by *Esquire* magazine as "the most important men's fashion specialist in the country." Known for his winning charm, impeccable taste, and sharp wit, Wilkes has appeared on the International Best Dressed List since 1972 and defined the style for generations of San Francisco's movers and shakers, including perhaps most notably former Speaker of the California Assembly and San Francisco Mayor Willie Brown.

Wilkes exploded onto the scene in 1966 when, at the age of 33, he opened his first store in San Francisco's famed Union Square shopping district, the eponymously named Wilkes Bashford. With \$50,000 and 2,000 square feet of empty space, he began stocking the store with the finest men's clothing, immediately breaking new ground as one of the first retailers to offer European designer clothing to U.S. customers. In addition to his unwavering commitment to selling only the finest quality wares, the Wilkes Bashford name became synonymous with exceptional service, offering his customers a range of special services from complimentary alterations to martinis at lunch. The fashion world took notice as did well-heeled San Franciscans, who flocked to his store for impeccably tailored Italian suits, shirts, jackets and slacks as well as advice from San Francisco's newly anointed fashion authority.

The iconic Wilkes Bashford store has come a long way since it opened its doors, adding women's fashions and accessories in 1978, as well as luxurious home furnishings from Pratesi. The retail franchise also expanded in 2001 to include a beautiful stand-alone store in Palo Alto, California. In late 2009, Wilkes Bashford merged with the prestigious Mitchells Family of Stores, a three-generation, family-owned clothier with high-end specialty stores in Connecticut and New York.

Wilkes spent his formative years in the small, rural community of Hillsdale, New York, where his father owned an international harvester dealership. While farming didn't run in his veins, Wilkes enjoyed the retail environment and practiced his salesmanship at the local golf pro shop. His first foray into fashion came in 1951 through a work-study program at the University of Cincinnati in Ohio. Working part-time in a local department store, he quickly demonstrated his knack for selling merchandise and pleasing customers. In 1959, Wilkes' sense of adventure brought him to San Francisco, where he was hired as a buyer for the then prominent White House Department Store, and soon promoted to merchandising manager.



When he's not greeting customers at his flagship Union Square store, popping down to Palo Alto, or attending fashion shows in Milan and Paris, Wilkes enjoys his heralded weekly lunch at Le Central with Mayor Brown and other luminaries, swapping stories and discussing politics with his favorite group of regulars.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



*Rules clerk, COB, Leg Dep*

GAVIN NEWSOM

### Notice of Appointment

May 14, 2010

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

I hereby appoint Nancy Bechtle to serve as member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees for a 4-year term commencing May 14, 2010, in accordance with the 1996 Charter, Section 3.100, (17).

I am confident that Nancy Bechtle will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how the appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over a horizontal line.

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:27  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ AK

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



GAVIN NEWSOM

May 14, 2010

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to the Charter Section 3.100 (17), I have reappointed Nancy Bechtle as a member of the War Memorial Board of Trustees effective today, May 14, 2010. Nancy Bechtle has been reappointed to fill her same seat, and this term will expire on January 2, 2013.

Please see the attached resume which will illustrate that Nancy Bechtle's qualifications allow her to represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Matthew Goudeau at 415-554-6674.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gavin Newsom", written over the word "Sincerely,".

Gavin Newsom  
Mayor

BY \_\_\_\_\_ A/c \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2010 MAY 14 AM 11:27

Nancy Hellman Bechtle  
3560 Washington Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118  
415/931-6117 (phone)  
415/771-3123 (fax)

#### Professional

J.R. Bechtle & Company, Management Consulting  
Chief Financial Officer and Director, 1979 to 1998  
The Charles Schwab Corporation  
Board of Directors, 1992 to present  
Sugar Bowl Corporation  
Chairman, 1998 to present

#### Board Experience

San Francisco Symphony  
President and CEO, 1987 to 2001  
Board of Governors, 1984 to present  
San Francisco Opera Association  
Board of Trustees, 1982 to 2001  
San Francisco Conservatory of Music  
Board of Trustees, 1973 to 2001  
Northern California Health Center  
Vice-Chairman, 1985-1987  
Board of Trustees, 1979-1987  
Katherine Delmar Burke School  
Trustee, 1977-1983, President, 1981-1983  
Museum Society – Fine Arts Museums  
Board of Trustees, 1973-1979  
United States Ski Team  
Chairman, San Francisco Committee, 1970-1977  
Charles and Helen Schwab Foundation  
Trustee, 2001 to present  
Scleroderma Center at Johns Hopkins Medical Center  
Chairman, 2003 to Present  
UCSF Board member, 2005 to Present

#### Government Appointments

San Francisco Arts Commission, 1992-1993  
California Arts Council  
Task Force Member, 1991, 1992  
Governor's Awards for the Arts, 1992, 1993, 1994  
Task Force on Cultural Diversity of the Major Arts organizations, 1990  
San Francisco Cultural Affairs Task Force, 1991  
War Memorial Trustee, Appointed 2001, President 2008  
National Park Foundation, Director, Appointed 2001, Citizen Chairman 2004-2006  
Presidio Trust Board, Appointed 2008, Chairman, 2009

#### Awards

Coro Foundation  
Investment in Leadership Award – 1991  
Saint Francis Hospital  
Leadership in the Arts Award – 1993  
United States Ski Team  
The Greg Badami Inspiration Award – 1995  
Business Arts Council of the Chamber of Commerce  
Trustee Award, 2001  
SPUR- Silver Spur Award, 2001  
California Arts Council – Lifetime Achievement in Music, 2001

#### Education

Katherine Delmar Burke School  
Stanford University, B.A.

**ONESF**  
Building Our Future

Document is available  
at the Clerk's Office  
Room 244, City Hall



SAN FRANCISCO | CAPITAL PLAN



449

0707-110711



Mic & Jenn Smith  
<mikjen\_smith@yahoo.com>  
05/27/2010 10:15 AM

To board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org  
cc  
bcc

Subject Youth Jobs Program

History:

☞ This message has been forwarded.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I understand that in these tough economic times that funding for many programs and departments face cuts. I am writing, after watching a news story about the possible cuts to the Youth Jobs Program (Youth Employment & Education Program). I am asking that if this program were to have its budget cut, that you work with the mayor to give first priority to placing qualified youth who's parents are currently unemployed, so that those families will have at least some income to sustain them while their parents find work.

Thank you,

Micheal Smith

50