FILE NO. 021330

MOTION NO. M02-131

[Ballot Argument]

Motion authorizing ballot argument against Proposition R, an Initiative Ordinance regarding changes to the City's Condominium Conversion Regulations.

MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument against Proposition R, an initiative ordinance to amend the City's Condominium Conversion Regulations; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

Supervisors Peskin, Daly BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Ballot Argument Motions

Page 1 8/20/2002

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT ARGUMENT Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) OF THIS BALLOT ARGUMENT **FOR** or X **AGAINST** PROPOSITION R FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 5, 2002 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO.

Style Notes B, <i>I</i> , or BI		# of words in each line
В	PROPOSITION R IS FAR TOO RISKY - thousands of renters could be	11
	evicted, see rent control repealed, and see rents skyrocket. Thousands of San	
	Franciscans - seniors, working families, teachers, immigrants and those without	
	the financial resources to purchase a condominium - could be forced to leave.	
	• This drastic condo-conversion law makes it much worse by reducing the	12
	availability of apartments and increasing rents. In the next 25 years 85,000	12
	apartments could be converted to condominiums - that's 40% of the City's	11
В	rental stock - apartments will be in short supply and rents will rise.	12
В	• This risky measure is unfair and undemocratic and allows only 25% to	12
	decide if a building will be converted to condominiums - even if the	12
	remaining 75% oppose it!	4
	• Renters risk massive rent increases or even eviction because under state law	12
В	the promised tenant "protections" are unenforceable and condominiums	8
В	are easily exempted from rent control.	6
	• When San Francisco tried this approach before in the 80's, 89% of tenants	12
	could not afford to buy their apartments. Mayor Feinstein's study of the	12
	issue concluded it was a bad idea.	7
В	• Even large apartment buildings risk being converted to condominiums.	9
	Currently, tenants in large buildings are safe from condo conversions.	10
	• Currently, buildings converted to condominiums must be upgraded to meet	10

SA	N FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS PREFERRED FORMAT FOR SUBMITTING LOCAL BALLOT AR	GUMENT	
	Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals		
B	current seismic safety standards. Buildings converted under the proposal,	9	
В	however, will be exempt from this requirement to meet current seismic	11	
В	standards - it's too risky.	.4	
	• Buildings converted to condominiums under this proposal will also be	10	
В	exempt from review by the Planning Commission and The City's	10	
В	affordable housing requirements.	3	
Ι	Join Supervisors Ammiano, Peskin, Gonzalez, McGoldrick, Daly and Senior	9	
Ι	Action Network, St. Peter's Housing Committee, San Francisco Tenants Union, 9		
Ι	Housing Rights Committee, Eviction Defense Collaborative, Tenderloin 7		
Ι	Housing Clinic, Affordable Housing Alliance in voting NO to save rent control		
	and stop risky and dangerous mass condo-conversions.	8	
	[continue text on next page] Total # of Words =	296	

Use additional sheets if more than four signers. Each sheet must include the text of the argument.

1Tom Ammiano	Damian Stralsz			
Printed Name	Signature and Date			
Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument	Martin S.F. 941 0			
2. Aaron Peskin	(Paron Puda: 8-19-02			
Printed Name	Signature and Date			
Supervisor Title to Appear on Argument	522 Filbert St. S.F. 941 33 Address			
	8-19-02			
3. <u>Matt Gonzalez</u> Printed Name	Signature and Date			
T finited ivalle				
Supervisor	825 Hayes St. S.F. 94115			
Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
4Jake McGoldrick	Jake the Arth und 3/19/02			
Printed Name	Signature and Date			
Supervisor	240 40 AVE S.F. 94118			
Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
5. Chris Daly	8/19/02			
Printed Name	Signature and Date			
Supervisor	1346 Stevenson \$ B301 S.F. 9403			
Title to Appear on Argument	Address			
ALL PAID ARGUMENTS MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, WHICH WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VOTER INFORMATION PAMPHLET.				
TODESHED IN THE FOLEX INTOXIATION LAPIT HEET.				

The true source of funds used for the printing fee of this argument is:



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number: 021330

Date Passed: August 19, 2002

Motion authorizing ballot argument against Proposition R, an initiative ordinance regarding changes to the City's Condominium Conversion regulations.

August 19, 2002 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 6 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, McGoldrick, Peskin, Sandoval Noes: 5 - Hall, Leno, Maxwell, Newsom, Yee

File No. 021330

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 19, 2002 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

GloriaL Clerk of the Board