

1 [Closed Session – Lawsuit - CTIA v. CCSF – Case No. 10-3224]

2  
3 **Motion that Board of Supervisors convene in closed session with the City Attorney for**  
4 **the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding a**  
5 **lawsuit entitled CTIA v. City and County of San Francisco, Case No. 10-3224, filed in the**  
6 **Northern District of California, related to an ordinance adopted by the Board often**  
7 **referred to as the "Cell Phone Right to Know" Legislation.**

8  
9 WHEREAS, This closed session is held pursuant to Government Code Section  
10 54957.6, and Administrative Code Section 67.10(d)(1); now, therefore, be it

11 MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session to consult with the  
12 City Attorney for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney  
13 regarding a lawsuit entitled CTIA v. City and County of San Francisco, Case No. 10-3224,  
14 filed in the Northern District of California, related to an ordinance adopted by the Board often  
15 referred to as the "Cell Phone Right to Know" Legislation; and, be it

16 FURTHER MOVED, That discussion in open session concerning this matter would  
17 likely and unavoidably prejudice the position of the City in the pending lawsuit.



**City and County of San Francisco**

**Tails**

**Motion: M11-42**

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

**File Number:** 110331

**Date Passed:** March 22, 2011

Motion that Board of Supervisors convene in closed session with the City Attorney for the purpose of conferring with or receiving advice from the City Attorney regarding a lawsuit entitled CTIA v. City and County of San Francisco, Case No. 10-3224, filed in the Northern District of California, related to an ordinance adopted by the Board often referred to as the "Cell Phone Right to Know" Legislation.

This closed session is held pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6 and Administrative Code Section 67.10(d)(1). Discussion in open session concerning this matter would likely and unavoidably prejudice the position of the City in the pending lawsuit.

March 22, 2011 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Mirkarimi and Wiener

Excused: 1 - Avalos

March 22, 2011 Board of Supervisors - CONTINUED

Ayes: 10 - Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Mirkarimi and Wiener

Excused: 1 - Avalos

File No. 110331

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was CONTINUED on 3/22/2011 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board