[Access to and retention of community safety camera recordings.]

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by amending Section 19.3 to allow the Public Defender, other criminal defense attorneys and investigators, and the District Attorney to obtain community safety camera recordings for particular purposes; and amending Section 19.6 to specify the procedures for the Public Defender and other criminal defense attorneys and investigators to request community safety camera recordings and to require that the Department of Telecommunications and Information Services retain the recordings for at least 14-30 days but not more than 21-30 days, and making specified unauthorized disclosures of the recordings a misdemeanor.

Note:

Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman; deletions are strikethrough italies Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double underlined. Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 19.3, to read as follows:

SEC. 19.3. LIMITATIONS ON COMMUNITY SAFETY CAMERAS.

The City and County of San Francisco may install community safety cameras for the purpose of enhancing public security only in locations experiencing substantial crime and where the potential to deter criminal activity outweights outweighs any concerns asserted by the affected community as determined by the Police Commission. The cameras shall record areas perceptible to the human eye from public streets and sidewalks only. Images obtained by the community safety cameras may be released *only* to *the following*:

(a) soworn members of the San Francisco Police Department holding the rank of Inspector or higher-only. Police shall limit review of images to investigation of specific crimes: and

(b) The Public Defender, other criminal defense attorney, or an investigator appointed by the Court to assist a pro se criminal defendant. Requests may be made only in connection with the investigation or defense of a charged criminal case. Any recordings released may be used only in connection with the charged criminal case. The requesting individual may disclose the recording only to the defendant and any expert retained by the requesting individual, and to no other individual. This Subsection (b) shall not preclude the requesting individual from disclosing any recording during a hearing or trial in the charged criminal case if the Court approves such disclosure. Any person who discloses a recording in violation of this Subsection (b) shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and may be punished by a fine not exceeding \$1,000, imprisonment in the county jail for not more than six months, or both such fine and imprisonment; and

(c) The District Attorney as provided in Section 19.6.

Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 19.6, to read as follows:

SEC. 19.6. PROTOCOLS FOR OVERSIGHT AND ACCESS TO SURVEILLANCE INFORMATION.

(a) Access to the recorders for community safety cameras shall be limited to personnel from the DTIS for purposes of installation, repair, maintenance and upgrades, and to Custodian of Records staff from the *Emergency Communications* Department <u>of Emergency</u>

<u>Management</u> ("ECD<u>DEM</u>"). <u>ECDDEM</u> staff shall be responsible for proper release of the records.

- (b) The camera recording devices shall be kept in secure areas with password protection for access.
- (c)(1) Members of the Police Department may obtain copies of the recordings by presenting a written request to *ECDDEM*. The request shall be submitted by an Inspector of the SFPD, and approved by a Captain or the Deputy Chief of Inspectors. In exigent circumstances only, *ECDDEM* may release the information to an Inspector prior to receipt of a written request, but the Inspector must then provide a written justification for the release, including specification of the exigent circumstances. Within 7 days from the release under exigent circumstances, the SFPD Inspector must submit, in writing, the supervisor's and captain's approval of the Inspector's initial request.
- (2) The Public Defender, other criminal defense attorney, or an investigator appointed by the Court to assist a pro se criminal defendant may submit a written request to obtain copies of the recordings to DEM. A copy of the request shall be delivered concurrently to the Office of the District Attorney. The request shall include the name and court number of the charged criminal case, the time and place of the recordings, and a declaration under penalty of perjury verifying that the request is made in connection with the investigation or defense of a charged criminal case and further declaring under penalty of perjury that the attorney or investigator will use any community safety camera recordings released by DEM only in connection with the charged criminal case. Upon receipt of the written request, DEM shall preserve for 180 days any recordings requested and deliver a copy of the recordings to the Office of the District Attorney. The District Attorney may review the recordings with members of the Police Department at the rank of Inspector or higher in determining whether to seek a Court order preventing disclosure. DEM shall deliver

to the requesting individual a copy of the recordings within 5 court days of the disclosure to
the District Attorney, unless the District Attorney applies for a Court order to prevent
disclosure of the recordings pursuant to existing law. If the District Attorney applies for a
Court order to prevent disclosure, DEM shall not produce the recordings to the requesting
individual until the court issues a decision regarding production.

- (d) *ECDDEM* may only release records to agencies <u>or individuals</u> other than the SFPD those specified in section 19.3 pursuant to a court order. <u>ECDDEM</u> must notify the Board of Supervisors within 7 days of any release pursuant to a court order.
- (e) Under no circumstances may recordings from community safety cameras be used for personal purposes.
- (f) DTIS shall ensure that the community safety cameras retain data for a period <u>of at</u> <u>least 30 days but not longer than not to exceed 14-30 days</u>.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: (1/1)

KATHARINE HOBIN PORTER

Deputy City Attorney



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Ordinance

File Number:

071536

Date Passed:

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by amending Section 19.3 to allow the Public Defender and other criminal defense attorneys, investigators and the District Attorney to obtain community safety camera recordings for particular purposes; and amending Section 19.6 to specify the procedures for the Public Defender and other criminal defense attorneys and investigators to request community safety camera recordings and to require that the Department of Telecommunications and Information Services retain the recordings for at least 30 days but not more than 30 days.

January 8, 2008 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

January 15, 2008 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

January 29, 2008 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

February 12, 2008 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE

BEARING SAME TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

February 12, 2008 Board of Supervisors — CONTINUED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

Noes: 2 - Chu, Elsbernd

February 26, 2008 Board of Supervisors — PASSED ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

Noes: 3 - Alioto-Pier, Chu, Elsbernd

Excused: 1 - Dufty

February 26, 2008 Board of Supervisors — PASSED ON FIRST READING AS AMENDED

Ayes: 7 - Ammiano, Daly, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

Noes: 2 - Chu, Elsbernd Absent: 1 - Alioto-Pier Excused: 1 - Dufty

March 4, 2008 Board of Supervisors — FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 8 - Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin,

Sandoval

Noes: 3 - Alioto-Pier, Chu, Elsbernd

File No. 071536

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on March 4, 2008 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Date Approved

Mayor Gavin Newsom

Date: March 14, 2008

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

Clerk of the Board

File No. 071536