AMENDED IN BOARD 1/10/12 ORDINANCE NO.

FILE NO. 111050

[Administrative Code - <u>The San Francisco Sentencing Commission and Recidivism Reduction Ordinance of 2011]</u>

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article XXV, Sections 5.250 through 5.250-3 to: (1) establish the San Francisco Sentencing Commission; (2) set forth the Commission's purpose, powers and duties; and (3) establish membership criteria.

NOTE: Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strike-through italics Times New Roman</u>.

Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u>; Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. Findings.

- 1. After AB 109 and AB 117 take effect on October 1, 2011, and criminal justice "Realignment" begins, San Francisco will face increasing responsibility for custody and supervision of criminal offenders, and will experience additional pressure on the criminal justice system at a time of significant budgetary constraints.
- 2. Although San Francisco has a demonstrated commitment to reformed criminal justice strategies that prioritize evidence based practices, without a comprehensive review of local custody and sentencing approaches practices, San Francisco is at risk of an ever increasing local custody population without experiencing reduced crime or recidivism rates, thereby risking the waste of both financial resources and human potential.
- 3. San Francisco already suffers from high recidivism rates, and unless strategies shift, recidivism rates will likely remain high after Realignment begins. Recidivism rates for San Francisco offenders released from state prison for the first time is 77% and for re-paroles from San Francisco, the recidivism rate is 78%.

- 4. Programs such as electronic monitoring, in home supervision, out of custody cognitive behavioral therapy, and other community corrections alternatives have been <a href="https://effectively.implemented through the Sheriff's Department and other agencies and could be expanded. These programs can reduce recidivism of nonviolent, non-serious offenders and may be better options than local custody for nonviolent non-serious offenders in San Francisco.
- 5. Rates of incarceration and recidivism in San Francisco also significantly impact communities of color, particularly African Americans. According to recent data, African Americans make up 6.8% of San Francisco's population and 61% of the people paroled to San Francisco.
- 6. With limited statewide analysis on sentencing practices, local jurisdictions need to review sentencing practices and public safety strategies in order to reduce recidivism, hold offenders accountable, assess and address the impact on communities of color, and efficiently and effectively use public resources.
- 7. Providing As the City has already observed through existing alternative programs, providing alternatives to incarceration for some offenders, both pre-trial and post-conviction, can effectively protect public safety, reduce offender recidivism, stabilize families and communities, and cost less than incarceration, which saves limited City resources.
- 8. To address these issues, the City needs to create an advisory body to analyze sentencing patterns and outcomes, advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and other City departments on the best approaches to reduce recidivism, and make recommendations for sentencing reforms that advance public safety and utilize best practices in criminal justice.
- Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Article XXV, Sections 5.250 through 5.250--4, to read as follows:

Article XXV. SAN FRANCISCO SENTENCING COMMISSION

<u>SEC. 5. 250. – ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE: SAN FRANCISCO SENTENCING</u> COMMISSION.

- (a) The City hereby establishes the San Francisco Sentencing Commission.
- (b) The purpose of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission is to encourage the development of criminal sentencing strategies that reduce recidivism, prioritize public safety and victim protection, emphasize fairness, employ evidence-based best practices, and efficiently utilize San Francisco's criminal justice resources.

SEC. 5.250-1. - MEMBERSHIP AND ORGANIZATION.

- (a) Members. The Commission shall consist of 12 members, or 13 members if the Superior Court agrees to provide one member. The head or chair of each of the following agencies and bodies shall serve on or will assign one staff member to serve on the Commission as a voting member: District Attorney; Public Defender; Adult Probation; Juvenile Probation; Sheriff; Police; the Department of Public Health; the Reentry Council, and the Superior Court, assuming it agrees to participate on the Commission. In addition, the following additional voting members will be appointed: a member of a nonprofit organization that works with victims, chosen by the Family Violence Council; a member of a nonprofit organization that works with ex-offenders, chosen by the Reentry Council; a sentencing expert chosen by the Board of Supervisors; and an academic researcher with expertise in data analysis appointed by the Mayor.
- (b) Quorum. 10 members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, and the Commission shall have the authority to act on the vote of a majority of the quorum.
 - (c) Officers. The District Attorney or his or her designee shall chair the Commission.
- (d) Staff Support. The District Attorney's Office shall provide staff support and administrative assistance to the Commission.
 - (e) Meeting Frequency. The Commission shall meet at least three times a year.

SEC. 5.250-2. - POWERS AND DUTIES.

The Commission shall have the following powers and duties:

- (a) Review and assess sentencing approaches locally and compare to other jurisdictions.
- (b) Review and assess the City's capacity and utilization of services and alternatives to incarceration throughout the criminal justice continuum, including preadjudication and post-release.
- (c) Review and assess the Justice Reinvestment Initiative recommendations to invest in best practices to reduce recidivism.
- (d) Develop a recommended system of uniform definitions of recidivism for City departments to track and report on the outcomes of various criminal sentences and City programs meant to aid in reducing recidivism.
 - (e) Develop data collection standards and recidivism reporting standards.
- (f) Develop and recommend department specific goals to reduce recidivism for the City departments represented on the Sentencing Commission, and other relevant City departments.
- (g) Make recommendations regarding changes that should be made to the Penal Code and any other state laws to remove barriers to effective implementation of best practices in criminal justice.
 - (h) Facilitate trainings on best practices in sentencing for various criminal justice agencies.
- (i) Share information and work in collaboration with the Reentry Council, established pursuant to the San Francisco Administrative Code, and the Community Corrections Partnership, as established by the California Penal Code.
- (j) In December 2012, and on an annual basis thereafter, submit a report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors summarizing the findings of the Commission and making recommendations on the aforementioned categories.

(k) Nothing in this legislation shall infringe on any agency's legally mandated responsibilities in the criminal justice system, and, as such, recommendations are not statutorily binding on any City department.

SEC. 5.250-3. SUNSET CLAUSE.

This legislation shall expire on June 1, 2015, unless the Board of Supervisors adopts an ordinance continuing its existence. The Commission shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors no fewer than six months prior to the expiration date recommending whether the Commission should continue to operate, and if so, whether the Board of Supervisors shall consider legislative changes that would enhance the capacity of the Commission to achieve the goals underlying this ordinance. The Commission's recommendations shall include drafts of ordinances that would implement its recommendations.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of passage.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERBERA City Attorney

By:

SALLIE R. GIBSON Deputy City Attorney



City and County of San Francisco **Tails**

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Ordinance

111050 File Number:

Date Passed: January 24, 2012

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article XXV, Sections 5.250 through 5.250-3 to: 1) establish the San Francisco Sentencing Commission; 2) set forth the Commission's purpose, powers, and duties; and 3) establish membership criteria.

November 03, 2011 Rules Committee - CONTINUED

November 17, 2011 Rules Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE **BEARING SAME TITLE**

November 17, 2011 Rules Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

December 06, 2011 Board of Supervisors - CONTINUED ON FIRST READING Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Mirkarimi and Wiener

January 10, 2012 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Olague and Wiener

January 10, 2012 Board of Supervisors - PASSED ON FIRST READING AS AMENDED Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Olague and Wiener

January 24, 2012 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Olague and Wiener

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 1/24/2012 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

> Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Mayor

Date Approved