[Floating Power Plant]

1

2

3

7

8

13

20

24

BAY

OPPOSING THE PLACEMENT OF A FLOATING POWER PLANT ON SAN FRANCISCO

WHEREAS, California Independent Systems Operator (CAISO) and PG and E for now withdrawn their proposal to dock National Energy Group have proposed docking a floating power plant on San Francisco Bay environmental laws in effect in the State of California due to the/predicted shortage of energy; and,

WHEREAS, Air and water pollution represent significant environmental problems for the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco; and,

WHEREAS, CAISO and energy utilities throughout California have speculated for years what the current amount energy that would be required to meet demand; and,

WHEREAS, Energy conservation and demand side management programs have great potential to help meet energy shortfalls; and,

WHEREAS, The floating power plant runs on jet fuel, a very unclean form of energy; and,

WHEREAS. This floating power plant will cause significant particulate matter emissions that contribute to smog and respiratory problems; and,

WHEREAS. California Independent System Operator is asking this project to be exempted form the California Environmental Quality Act and approved without environmental review; and,

WHEREAS, Environmental health and justice issues are among the highest priorities for immediate attention in the City and County of San Francisco; and,

WHEREAS, The Bay Conservation and Development Commission has found as of 1982, that the surface waters of San Francisco Bay are unsuitable for the mooring and operation of a power plant; and,

Board of Supervisors: Gavin Newsom, Bierman

25

rt

1

WHEREAS, There is a risk of spillage of jet fuel into the San Francisco Bay that could endanger marine mammals and other aquatic wildlife; and

WHEREAS, Adequate energy supply is essential to the health and economic well being of the people of the State of California; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED. That the City and County of San Francisco opposes the mooring of a floating power plant within San Francisco Bay and is in favor of drastic conservation methods and alternative forms of energy; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco urges the California Energy Commission, The Bay Area Air Quality Management District, The Regional Water Quality Control Board, The Bay Conservation Development Commission, and Pacific Gas and Electric to conduct studies on the impact of the proposed floating power plant on Bay Area air and water quality including the potential increases in air, water and dioxin if the floating power plant is reintroduced as a solution to the pollution; and, be it energy crisis that is facing California

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco urges the Governor of the State of California and the California Energy Commission to require review under CEQA and use alternative sources of energy and institute emergency conservation measures before approving a floating power plant on the San Francisco Bay.



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Resolution

File Number:

001398

Date Passed:

Resolution opposing the placement of a floating power plant on San Francisco Bay.

August 7, 2000 Board of Supervisors — SEVERED FROM FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

August 7, 2000 Board of Supervisors — AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Becerril, Bierman, Katz, Kaufman, Leno, Newsom, Yaki, Yee Absent: 2 - Brown, Teng

August 7, 2000 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Becerril, Bierman, Katz, Kaufman, Leno, Newsom, Yaki, Yee

Absent: 2 - Brown, Teng

File No. 001398

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED AS AMENDED on August 7, 2000 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board

AUG 1 8 2000

**Date Approved** 

Mayor Willie L. Brown Jr.