1

10

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

25

24

Freeway alternative configurations, and to postpone future retrofits of the Central Freeway deck.]

[Resolution urging the Governor to commit the State to participate in a study of Central

Resolution urging the Governor to postpone future retrofits of the Central Freeway deck and to commit the State to participate in a study of alternative future configurations for the Central Freeway.

WHEREAS, The current structure known as the Central Freeway is a vestige of a freeway plan for San Francisco that was never built; and

WHEREAS, As a result of three successive ballot measures, the voters of San Francisco decided to replace the remaining portion of the Central Freeway north of Market Street, damaged during the 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake, with a boulevard along Octavia Street, connecting to new touchdown ramps at the intersection of Market and Octavia Streets; and

WHEREAS, Due to environmental, legal, traffic handling, design, construction agreement and funding considerations, construction on the Market Street ramp is going ahead as approved; and

WHEREAS, The fact that the alternative was chosen at the ballot box obviated the indepth analysis of other potential alternatives, including the possibility of terminating the freeway at a location south of Mission Street; and

WHEREAS, Since the adoption of Proposition E in 1998 and Proposition I in 1999, the Better Neighborhood's Market/Octavia Plan, the result of a two-year community planning process, has designated the SOMA West Neighborhood adjacent to the new touchdown ramp to become a high-density, residential neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, Elevated freeway ramps have been proven to be a haven for criminal activity, destroying the livability and vitality of neighborhoods, and

WHEREAS, The Embarcadero and the Hayes Street commercial district have revitalized since elevated freeway structures were removed at those locations, contributing to the livability and vitality of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Transportation Authority has adopted a Strategic Analysis Report (SAR) analyzing the potential impacts of a change in touchdown ramp configuration that calls for an evaluation of relocating the touchdown ramp away from Market Street as part of a long-term Central Freeway corridor and neighborhood revitalization strategy; and

WHEREAS, The concrete roadway deck of the existing Central Freeway, built in the 1950's, will likely reach the end of its useful life within the next decade and will need to be replaced; and

WHEREAS, Before the next set of major rehabilitation investments, including the replacement of the Central Freeway deck, are contemplated, Caltrans, the City and the Transportation Authority should consider the possibility of replacing the freeway with a boulevard as envisioned in the Market and Octavia Plan; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that it is in the interest of the City and County of San Francisco, and of the health and well being of its residents, to consider alternatives for the potential future configuration of the Central Freeway corridor, including the complete replacement of the elevated freeway with a street-level boulevard; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that it is in the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco and the well being of its residents to study the potential changes to the transportation system along the Central Freeway corridor, so that the future transportation network may more appropriately support residential and other land

use changes proposed for the corridor, and better address traffic patterns in the entire South of Market and North Mission area; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Governor to commit Caltrans to participate, together with the City and the Transportation Authority, in a study of alternative Central Freeway configurations that may better serve the evolving traffic patterns in the area, and at the same time further lessen the negative impacts on the surrounding neighborhoods; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Governor to ensure that before any future seismic or roadbed replacement projects are undertaken on the existing portion of the Central Freeway, Caltrans shall participate with the City and the Transportation Authority in an effort to investigate replacing the elevated Central Freeway with a surface boulevard for all or part of its length, as well as improvements to the US 101/Interstate 80 junction from Mariposa to 7th Street, including rationalizing the system of on-ramps and off-ramps, to improve traffic flow and traffic, pedestrian and bicycle safety on South of Market streets; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Transportation Authority to coordinate discussions with City departments and the State on the development of the necessary land use, zoning, traffic and engineering studies, leading to a decision on the future of the Central Freeway; and to develop recommendations for further steps including scope, timelines, agency responsibilities and funding prospects for necessary studies, no later than January 1, 2005.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:

040413

Date Passed:

Resolution urging the Governor to postpone future retrofits of the Central Freeway deck and to commit the State to participate in a study of alternative future configurations for the Central Freeway.

May 11, 2004 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED

Ayes: 8 - Alioto-Pier, Dufty, Gonzalez, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Peskin,

Sandoval Noes: 1 - Hall

Excused: 2 - Ammiano, Daly

File	No.	040413
1110	* * * *	UTUTIO

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on May 11, 2004 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board

Date Approved

Mayor Gavin Newsom

Date: May 20, 2004

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

Clerk of the Board

File No. 040413