[Supporting the California Energy Commission's recommendation for a cost/risk/benefit analysis of the State's continued reliance on aging nuclear facilities.]

Resolution supporting the California Energy Commission's recommendation for a cost/risk/benefit analysis of the state's continued reliance on aging nuclear facilities and to support the planning for our state's energy needs and decrease any risks from a radioactive release due to an act of terrorism, malice or insanity, or to earthquake or age-related accidents.

WHEREAS, in December 2005, Senator Harry Reid (D-Nevada) introduced legislation to store all high-level radioactive waste at reactor sites, including Diablo Canyon and San Onofre on California's seismically active coast; and

WHEREAS, in November 2005 California Energy Commission's (CEC) recommended evaluation of "the long-term implications associated with the continuing accumulation of spent fuel at California's operating [nuclear power] plants, including a case-by-case evaluation of public safety and ratepayer costs of on-site interim storage of spent fuel versus transporting spent fuel offsite for interim storage..." and stated that given "the high level of uncertainty surrounding the federal waste disposal program, California's utilities will likely be forced to retain spent fuel in storage facilities [and] at currently operating reactor sites for an indefinite period of time; and

WHEREAS, in January 2006, the nation's only proposed temporary offsite facility for storage of high-level radioactive waste was designated as a federal wilderness site and will not longer be available to temporarily store California's waste; and

WHEREAS, in January 2006, a stop-work order was issued for Yucca Mountain, the nation's only proposed permanent high-level radioactive waste facility; and

WHEREAS, hundreds of tons of high-level radioactive waste are currently stored on California's fragile coast bluffs in dangerous proximity to the City and County of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, if license renewals are granted to California's operating nuclear plants, additional high-level radioactive waste sites must be constructed in California; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors supports the California Energy Commission's recommendation for a cost/risk/benefit analysis of the state's continued reliance on aging nuclear facilities, recognizing that it is time for California to address how many additional tons of high-level radioactive waste we will allow to be stored on our state's earthquake-active coastal zones and what legacy this could mean for future generations; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, The City and County of San Francisco is interested in working with the California Energy Commission's, the State of California, the CPUC, and PG&E to plan for our state's energy needs and decrease our risks from a radioactive release due to an act of terrorism, malice or insanity, or to earthquake or age-related accidents.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:

060155

Date Passed:

Resolution supporting the California Energy Commission's recommendation for a cost/risk/benefit analysis of the state's continued reliance on aging nuclear facilities and to support the planning for our state's energy needs and decrease any risks from a radioactive release due to an act of terrorism, malice or insanity, or to earthquake or age-related accidents.

February 7, 2006 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick,

Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval Absent: 1 - Alioto-Pier File No. 060155

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on February 7, 2006 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board

Mayor Gavin Newsom

02/08/06

Date Approved