## FILE NO. 170186

[Urging California State Legislature and Governor to Pass California State Assembly Bill 342 (Chiu) - Automated Speed Enforcement]

Resolution urging the California State Legislature and Governor to pass California State Assembly Bill 342, introduced by Assembly Member Chiu, authorizing a five-year pilot of Automated Speed Enforcement technology in the City and County of San Francisco and the City of San Jose.

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco adopted Vision Zero as a policy in 2014, committing to build safe streets, prioritize enforcement of the most dangerous traffic violations, and adopt policy changes in order to eliminate traffic deaths and serious injuries by 2024; and

WHEREAS, Speeding is the number one cause of fatal and severe injury collisions in San Francisco, claiming ten times more lives than drunk driving; and

WHEREAS, Every 18 hours someone is severely injured or killed in a traffic crash in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, The California Office of Traffic Safety consistently ranks San Francisco as the number one city in the state for seniors to be injured and killed in traffic crashes while walking; and

WHEREAS, In San Francisco, the annual medical costs for traffic injuries amounts to \$35,000,000, and the total annual economic costs of traffic injuries and fatalities involving people walking is \$564,000,000 per year; and

WHEREAS, According to the Budget and Legislative Analyst, people walking and bicycling are at a heightened risk of injury in speed-related crashes; and

WHEREAS, Studies show that if a person walking is hit by a car traveling 40 mph there is an 85% chance the pedestrian will be killed, but if a driver strikes a pedestrian while traveling 20 mph there is a 5% chance the pedestrian will be killed; and

WHEREAS, Automated enforcement systems can result in measureable safety improvement at high crash locations; and

WHEREAS, The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration reports that fixed speed cameras reduce injury crashes by 20% to 25%, and mobile speed cameras reduce injury collisions by 21% to 51%; and

WHEREAS, Automated speed enforcement, or speed safety cameras, are in use in one hundred and forty two communities in the United States; however, California law prohibits the use of camera technology to enforce existing speed limits; and

WHEREAS, On February 12, 2016, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed a resolution supporting state legislation to authorize an Automated Speed Enforcement program San Francisco, and the San Francisco County Transportation Authority at their December 15, 2015, meeting; and

WHEREAS, Numerous community leaders and groups support the use of Automated Speed Enforcement in San Francisco, including Walk San Francisco, the San Francisco Bay Area Families for Safe Streets, the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition, California Walks, Chinatown TRIP, CC Puede, The Central City SRO Collective, The FDR Democratic Club of San Francisco, the Balboa Park CAC, the Duboce Triangle Neighborhood Association, California Alliance for Retired Americans, Livable City, TODCO, The Traffic Safety Coalition, South Beach Rincon Mission Bay Neighborhood Association, Friends of Monterey Boulevard, and the North of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association; and WHEREAS, After cost recovery all revenue derived from an Automated Speed Enforcement program shall be used only for pedestrian safety or other roadway traffic safety improvements; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco supports the use of Automated Speed Enforcement technology in San Francisco to reduce speeding and increase safety; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, To protect the privacy interests of persons who are issued citations under an Automated Speed Enforcement program, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco supports a program in which the photographic, video, or other visual records generated by the program are confidential, and are made available only to alleged violators, and to governmental and law enforcement agencies solely for the purpose of enforcing these violations; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco urges our local delegation who represent San Francisco in the State Legislature to support California State Assembly Bill 342 (AB432) authorizing Automated Speed Enforcement Technology in the City and County of San Francisco and further urges the California State Legislature and Governor Jerry Brown to pass AB342; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to send a copy of this resolution to the office of Senator Scott Wiener, and Assembly Members David Chiu and Phil Ting, and Kasen Chu, Senator Jim Beall and Assembly Member Jim Frazier; Chairs of the Senate and Assembly Transportation Committees, and Senator Nancy Skinner and Assembly Member Reginald B. Jones-Sawyer Sr.; Chairs of the Senate and Assembly Public Safety Committees, and Governor Jerry Brown.



# City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

### Resolution

#### **File Number:** 170186

Date Passed: March 14, 2017

Resolution urging the California State Legislature and Governor to pass California State Assembly Bill 342, introduced by Assembly Member Chiu, authorizing a five-year pilot of Automated Speed Enforcement technology in the City and County of San Francisco and the City of San Jose.

March 13, 2017 Land Use and Transportation Committee - RECOMMENDED AS COMMITTEE REPORT

March 14, 2017 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Breed, Cohen, Farrell, Fewer, Kim, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Sheehy, Tang and Yee

File No. 170186

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 3/14/2017 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

### Unsigned

Mayor

3/24/2017 Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board