

1 [Urging the Department of Human Resources to Enhance the Competitiveness of Police and
2 Sheriff's Department Recruitment Bonuses and the Police Commission and Sheriff's
3 Department Oversight Board to Develop Full-Duty Staffing Plans]

4 **Resolution urging the San Francisco Department of Human Resources to explore ways**
5 **to adopt policies to match top recruitment bonuses offered by law enforcement**
6 **agencies in Northern California that compete for new and laterally hired police officers**
7 **and sheriff deputies; urging the Police Commission and Sheriff's Department Oversight**
8 **Board to develop sworn staffing plans to achieve recommended full-duty police and**
9 **sheriff staffing levels; and urging continued improved efficiencies in the recruitment**
10 **and hiring of prospective San Francisco Police Department officers and San Francisco**
11 **Sheriff's Department Deputies.**

12
13 WHEREAS, Despite considerable efforts in recent years to remedy San Francisco's
14 shortage in police staffing, the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) continues to face a
15 worsening understaffing crisis, with the most recent count of 1,537 full-duty SFPD officers now
16 significantly short of the current recommended overall sworn staffing level of 2,182; and

17 WHEREAS, According to SFPD's latest budget presentation to the Police Commission,
18 the total number of sworn SFPD officers now eligible for retirement is 478 far outpacing the
19 combined total of new recruits or lateral transfers hired from outside law enforcement
20 agencies to pass SFPD field training, which over the last two calendar years has not
21 exceeded 21 police officers annually; and

22 WHEREAS, San Francisco's current police staffing is at an historically unprecedented
23 low in modern times, with full-duty sworn staffing numbers now significantly below the
24 previous low point of 1,657 full-duty officers, which was reached in 2014; and

1 WHEREAS, Police staffing shortages are not unique to San Francisco and reflect
2 increasingly dire national and statewide trends, with a National Public Radio report last week
3 attributing “to staffing shortages” why longer police response times are being observed in data
4 collected in a survey of 15 cities, including San Francisco; and a recent Los Angeles Times
5 report describing the police staffing crisis in some Northern California jurisdictions as
6 “catastrophic”; and

7 WHEREAS, Well-intended efforts in recent years by the Board of Supervisors, Mayor,
8 San Francisco Police Commission, and voters to remedy chronic police understaffing in our
9 City have thus far continued to fall short in adequately incentivizing interest from sufficient
10 numbers of prospective new recruits or lateral transfers from competing law enforcement
11 agencies to meet San Francisco’s urgent demand for more police officers; and

12 WHEREAS, The most recent of these efforts was Proposition E, a Police Staffing
13 Charter Amendment in the November 3, 2020, Consolidated General Election, which by 71%
14 of San Francisco voters approved based on its promise to “remove the outdated mandatory
15 minimum police staffing requirement, and establish a regular process to set police staffing
16 levels based on data and the needs of our communities”; and

17 WHEREAS, The 2020 Charter Amendment represented the culmination of a long and
18 participatory process that began with a 2016 policy analysis by the Board of Supervisors’
19 Budget and Legislative Analyst, which concluded that the methodology for SFPD’s staffing
20 “should be based on a workload-based assessment that accounts for department-specific
21 conditions, as well as a comprehensive examination of historical workload data”; and

22 WHEREAS, In March 2017, the Board of Supervisors passed without opposition
23 Resolution No. 63-17, “Urging the San Francisco Police Commission to Convene a Task
24 Force on Strategic Police Staffing,” entreating the San Francisco Police Commission to
25 develop a broadly representative Task Force on Strategic Police Staffing to “implement a

1 comprehensive, multi-disciplinary approach to determining staffing levels based on different
2 factors, including studies on calls for service, crime data, officer workload, how deployment is
3 determined, retirees, injuries, demographics, language needs, and population size;” and

4 WHEREAS, In May 2018, the City Controller’s Office’s City Performance Unit
5 conducted research into public safety industry best practices, which included interviews with
6 police staffing experts and a review of applicable literature, and concurred that an appropriate
7 framework for police staffing should be based on workload targets, with a “rough guideline”
8 being one-third of officers’ time “spent on calls for service,” one-third of officers’ time “for
9 officer-initiated and administrative tasks,” and one-third of officers’ time devoted to
10 “uncommitted patrol time for community policing;” and

11 WHEREAS, In early 2019, the San Francisco Police Department engaged Matrix
12 Consulting Group, Ltd. (“Matrix”) to conduct an independent and comprehensive staffing
13 analysis of SFPD, relying on Matrix’s expertise in having conducted more than 350 such
14 studies for law enforcement agencies in the United States and Canada; and

15 WHEREAS, In March 2020, Matrix released its 293-page report following an
16 exhaustive fact-finding and analytical endeavor that included: (1) on-site interviews “with
17 SFPD leadership, managers in each departmental functional area, many unit supervisors and
18 line staff throughout the Department”; (2) “specific input from the San Francisco Police
19 Officers’ Association”; (3) input and feedback from meetings held with “[then-Board President
20 Norman] Yee, the City Controller’s Office, the District Attorney’s Office and others”; (4) “data
21 collection and analysis across every service area in order to understand workloads, staff
22 availability, and staffing needs”; and (5) an “iterative and interactive process” in which Matrix
23 “reviewed findings at several levels within the department and city, including the Police
24 Commission, an internal steering committee within SFPD as well as the executive team,
25

1 Supervisor Yee, representatives from the Mayor's Office, and the Staffing Task Force, which
2 is comprised of representatives from the Controller's Office and community members;" and

3 WHEREAS, Matrix concluded in its March 2020 report that its independently
4 recommended minimum sworn staffing level for SFPD was 2,176 officers; and that the 2021
5 update required under the 2020 Proposition E Police Staffing Charter Amendment was a
6 modest upward revision to 2,182 officers; and

7 WHEREAS, Notwithstanding the enormous amount of work done by City leaders,
8 police commissioners, SFPD members and contractors in recent years to address our City's
9 worsening crisis in police understaffing, SFPD's sworn staffing levels have failed even to
10 move in the right direction toward the recommended 2,182-officer minimum; and

11 WHEREAS, Even against the backdrop of widely reported national trends in police
12 staffing shortages, San Francisco is being out-competed by multiple law enforcement
13 agencies in Northern California with hiring bonuses and other incentives for new recruits and
14 lateral transfers, according to data provided recently to the Board of Supervisors, and that
15 representative examples of agencies that currently surpass SFPD's \$5,000 lateral signing
16 bonus program include the following:

- 17 • A \$40,000 structured bonus for lateral transfers to the Redding Police
18 Department;
- 19 • A \$30,000 signing bonus for lateral transfers to the Alameda Police Department;
- 20 • A \$30,000 structured bonus for lateral transfers to the Dixon Police Department;
- 21 • A \$30,000 signing bonus for lateral transfers to the San Mateo Police
22 Department;
- 23 • A \$20,000 signing bonus for lateral transfers and \$10,000 signing bonuses for
24 new recruits and academy graduates to the Hayward Police Department;
- 25

- 1 • A \$20,000 signing bonus for academy graduates and lateral transfers to the
- 2 Vacaville Police Department;
- 3 • A \$15,000 signing bonus for academy graduates and lateral transfers to the
- 4 BART Police Department; and
- 5 • A \$10,000 signing bonus for new recruits and lateral transfers to the Daly City
- 6 Police Department, among others; and

7 WHEREAS, Although recruitment bonuses and salaries are only one factor in decisions
8 that law enforcement professionals and their families make in choosing a jurisdiction to pursue
9 their careers, they are a factor that San Francisco should not yield to law enforcement
10 agencies in competing jurisdictions; and

11 WHEREAS, Chronic understaffing in SFPD creates needlessly expensive and wasteful
12 inefficiencies, with budgetary savings that derive from vacant police officer positions more
13 than offset by mounting needs for overtime pay to address operational staffing shortages and
14 myriad unforeseen public safety imperatives; and

15 WHEREAS, Public opinion polling of San Franciscans by EMC Research between
16 April 27 and May 3, 2022, for the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce's annual Dignity
17 Health CityBeat Poll identified an overwhelming public demand for City leaders to prioritize
18 police staffing in ways that included: 80% support for "increasing the number of police officers
19 on the street in high crime areas"; 75% support for "increasing the number of police officers on
20 the street in busy areas"; and 77% support for "Expanding community-based police work in
21 neighborhoods;" and

22 WHEREAS, San Francisco's City Charter provides that the Mayor, through the Human
23 Resources Director, is responsible for management and administration of all labor relations of
24 the City, including bargaining with employees through their recognized employee
25 organizations regarding salaries, working conditions, benefits and other terms and conditions

1 of employment, which would include establishing bonus matching provisions for police
2 recruitment; and

3 WHEREAS, DHR and the San Francisco Police Department have in recent months
4 reformed processes and generally improved efficiencies in the recruitment and hiring of police
5 officers in San Francisco; and

6 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Sheriff's Department (Sheriff) of the City and County of
7 San Francisco (City) provides for safe, secure, humane, and constitutional detention of
8 persons arrested or under court order, operates county jail facilities, including in-custody and
9 post-release educational, vocational and transitional programs, and operates alternative
10 sentencing for in-custody and out-of-custody community programs; and

11 WHEREAS, When functions are mandated, the department must perform those duties,
12 even if it requires staff to work overtime; and

13 WHEREAS, Not doing so could present a risk to public safety and cause the
14 department not to comply with local or state law; and

15 WHEREAS, The Sheriff had a salary budget of \$138 million for 1,000.53 full-time
16 equivalent (FTE) authorized positions in fiscal year 2017-18; and

17 WHEREAS, On June 30, 2018, the department had 848 sworn employees and 192
18 civilian employees, for a total of 1,040 employees, some of whom are part-time; and

19 WHEREAS, From fiscal year 2014-15 to 2017-18, the Sheriff's total work hours
20 increased by 13 percent (141 FTEs worth of work); and

21 WHEREAS, The Sheriff may be insufficiently staffed based on its established post
22 assignments; although the department has almost enough supervisors, it needs 76 and
23 has 73; it is significantly short of deputies based on its established post assignments; and

1 WHEREAS, The Sheriff needs 761 deputies to fill post assignments in the Field
2 Operations Division, Custody Operations Division, and Community Programs unit, but has
3 only 585; and

4 WHEREAS, Both the Sheriff's electronic monitoring program and law enforcement and
5 security work for Public Health now require more resources due to recent changes beyond the
6 Sheriff's control; and

7 WHEREAS, The workload of the Sheriff's electronic monitoring program has grown
8 drastically since 2018; staffing for this function has remained relatively static, putting at risk
9 the Sheriff's ability to adequately monitor the program; and

10 WHEREAS, Since fiscal year 2014-15 the average monthly number of new enrollments
11 in the electronic monitoring program has increased 355 percent, the average daily number of
12 participants monitored has increased 274 percent, and the average number of participants
13 who have violated the terms of their electronic monitoring agreements has increased 2,382
14 percent; and

15 WHEREAS, When overtime is used to address temporary and unpredictable
16 fluctuations in the supply of staff, such as when employees are sick, the overtime costs less
17 than hiring and training additional full-time staff, partly because overtime brings no additional
18 costs to the City for health and retirement benefits; and

19 WHEREAS, Some of the Sheriff's sworn employees work excessive hours, potentially
20 resulting in employee fatigue; and


21 WHEREAS, Several studies have found that long work hours increase sworn employee
22 fatigue, and fatigue can have detrimental effects on employee health, safety, and
23 performance; now, therefore, be it

1 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges DHR to explore ways to adopt a
2 policy to automatically match top police recruitment bonuses offered by law enforcement
3 agencies in Northern California that compete for new and laterally hired police officers; and,
4 be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the San Francisco Police
6 Commission and the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board, in coordination with SFPD and
7 SFSD, respectively, to develop plans for achieving recommended full-duty police and deputy
8 staffing levels, and to report back to the Board of Supervisors in time for citywide elections
9 in 2024 if a revised Charter Amendment is necessary to timely achieve recommended staffing
10 levels; and, be it

11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges DHR, SFPD, and the
12 San Francisco Police Commission to continue collaborative efforts to improve efficiencies in
13 recruiting and hiring prospective police officers in the City and County of San Francisco; and,
14 be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges DHR, SFSD, and the
16 Sheriff's Department Oversight Board to continue collaborative efforts to improve efficiencies
17 in recruiting and hiring prospective sheriff deputies in the City and County of San Francisco.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



City and County of San Francisco

Tails Resolution

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 230070

Date Passed: June 27, 2023

Resolution urging the San Francisco Department of Human Resources to explore ways to adopt policies to match top recruitment bonuses offered by law enforcement agencies in Northern California that compete for new and laterally hired police officers and sheriff deputies; urging the Police Commission and Sheriff's Department Oversight Board to develop sworn staffing plans to achieve recommended full-duty police and sheriff staffing levels; and urging continued improved efficiencies in the recruitment and hiring of prospective San Francisco Police Department officers and San Francisco Sheriff's Department Deputies.

May 11, 2023 Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

May 11, 2023 Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee - CONTINUED TO CALL OF THE CHAIR AS AMENDED

June 08, 2023 Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE


June 08, 2023 Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

June 27, 2023 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 7 - Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Safai and Stefani

Noes: 4 - Chan, Preston, Ronen and Walton

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 6/27/2023 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board


Unsigned

London N. Breed
Mayor

07/07/2023

Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

07/07/2023

Date