[Affirming San Francisco's Support for Asylum Seekers Fleeing Gender-Based Persecution and Domestic Violence]

Resolution affirming San Francisco's support for asylum-seeking residents fleeing gender-based persecution and condemning the federal government's administrative decision undermining asylum protections for survivors of domestic violence.

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors has repeatedly affirmed its commitment to protecting San Francisco's immigrant communities, and since January 2017 has consistently condemned actions of the Trump Administration that target our immigrant and asylum-seeking residents; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has a long history and proud legacy of leading the fight to advance women's rights and combat gender-based violence; and

WHEREAS, Former U.S. Attorney General Jefferson B. Sessions, on June 11, 2018, issued a sweeping decision in the asylum case Matter of A-B- (27 I&N Dec. 316 (A.G. 2018) on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 191155, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein), involving a domestic violence survivor from El Salvador; and

WHEREAS, That ruling vacated the Board of Immigration Appeals' landmark decision in Matter of A-R-C-G- (26 I&N Dec. 388 (BIA 2014) on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 191155, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein), which had recognized domestic violence as a basis for asylum; and

WHEREAS, In his decision then-Attorney General Sessions declared that asylum seekers presenting claims based on domestic violence will "generally" no longer qualify for relief; and

WHEREAS, Sessions' decision included sweeping pronouncements undermining

protections for individuals fleeing other forms of persecution perpetrated by nongovernment actors, including gangs and organized crime groups; and

WHEREAS, In Grace v. Whitaker (344 F. Supp. 3d 96 (D.D.C. 2018) on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 191155, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein), the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia found the heightened standards articulated by Sessions in Matter of A-B- to be inconsistent with existing legal precedents and congressional intent behind the Refugee Act of 1980, holding that there can be no blanket rule barring domestic violence asylum claims; and

WHEREAS, Although the *Grace* decision has halted the implementation of Matter of A-B- in initial credible fear proceedings, the Departments of Homeland Security and Justice have instructed adjudicators that Matter of A-B- must continue to be used in deciding asylum claims on their merits; and

WHEREAS, The majority of women and girls seeking asylum at the U.S. southern border hail from the Northern Triangle countries of El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras; and

WHEREAS, These countries are currently experiencing epidemic levels of violence, including widespread domestic violence and other forms of gender-based violence, which is perpetrated with virtual impunity; and

WHEREAS, Rates of femicide (gender-motivated killings of women) in the Northern Triangle are among the highest in the world; and

WHEREAS, According to data from the Syracuse University Transactional Records Access Clearinghouse (TRAC), in the 12 months following the issuance of the *Matter of A-B*-decision national asylum grant rates for applicants from El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras fell to an average of 15 percent, compared to a 24 percent grant rate in the year prior to the decision; and

WHEREAS, The Matter of A-B- decision has put countless women, children, LGBTQ people, and families at heightened risk of removal to perilous situations where their lives are in danger; and

WHEREAS, United Nations guidance and international law reflect that domestic violence can form the basis of asylum protection when all other elements of the refugee definition are met; and

WHEREAS, The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) has asserted that the Matter of A-B- ruling stands at odds with the United States' international treaty obligations by creating a high barrier to women fleeing domestic violence; and

WHEREAS, Other countries party to the 1951 Refugee Convention and its 1967 Protocol such as Canada, the United Kingdom, Australia, and New Zealand have long recognized that domestic violence may be a basis for asylum; and

WHEREAS, In 2018, 84 members of Congress cosponsored Congresswoman Jan Schakowsky's (D-III.) resolution (H.Res.987) condemning the former Attorney General's decision in Matter of A-B-; and

WHEREAS, California Senators Dianne Feinstein and Kamala Harris have decried the Matter of A-B- ruling and called for its reversal; and

WHEREAS, 118 members of Congress, including Congresswoman Jackie Speier (D-Calif.), signed a letter sent on September 12, 2018, to then-Attorney General Sessions requesting that he rescind his decision in Matter of A-B-; and

WHEREAS, The Matter of A-B- decision does not reflect the values or spirit of San Francisco or the United States; and

WHEREAS, The Matter of A-B- decision must be reversed at the earliest possible opportunity; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors declares its condemnation

of former Attorney General Sessions' decision in Matter of A-B- seeking to close the door to women and others seeking asylum on the basis of domestic violence; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors recognizes the decision as a shameful attempt to eviscerate protections for women, children, LGBTQ people, and families fleeing harrowing violence; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors calls on the U.S. Department of Justice to rescind the Matter of A-B- decision; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors urges congressional appropriators to instruct the Departments of Justice and Homeland Security that they may not use appropriated funds to implement Matter of A-B-; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors urges our leaders in Congress to enact laws that address the issues created by Matter of A-B- and restore justice and fairness to our asylum system; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors urges the federal courts of appeals to overturn Matter of A-B- and affirm that domestic violence may be a basis for asylum; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors will continue to stand with our asylum-seeking residents and denounce policies that curtail their right to seek refuge in the United States; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit copies to the members of Congress from San Francisco and the United States Senators from California with a request to take all action necessary to achieve the objectives of this resolution.



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Resolution

File Number: 191155 Date Passed: November 19, 2019 Resolution affirming San Francisco's support for asylum-seeking residents fleeing gender-based persecution and condemning the federal government's administrative decision undermining asylum protections for survivors of domestic violence. November 19, 2019 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED Ayes: 11 - Brown, Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee File No. 191155 I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 11/19/2019 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board Unsigned 11/27/19 London N. Breed **Date Approved** Mayor I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without her approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of

the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.